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## 2. INTRODUCTION

## A. The Sources For The Text

## 1. The Greek Manuscripts

L Florence, Laurentianus gr. 74.5, XII c., $129^{\mathrm{v}}-132^{\mathrm{v}}$. The two older manuscripts ${ }^{1}$ were written by Ioannikios and his Italian colleague, in the middle or second half of the XIIc. ${ }^{2}$ According to N. Wilson, the Laurentianus was written by the "Italian colleague" on Spanish paper: ${ }^{3}$ it is 290 by $192 \mathrm{~mm} .{ }^{4}$ in size, consists of 187 f ., and contains for the most part treatises by Galen. ${ }^{5}$ The ms. was probably written in Constantinople. ${ }^{6}$ The palaeography is not an easy one: ${ }^{7}$ the endings are abbreviated and a good number of ligatures are used throughout.

It presents some corrections s.l. by the first hand:
P. 146,18 $\dot{\alpha} v \omega \mu \alpha ́ \lambda$ оv $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha \varsigma] ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \mu \alpha \lambda i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~ к \varrho \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~ L, ~ \delta v \sigma ~ s . l . ~$

P. 152,15 tò pr. L ${ }^{\text {s.l. }}$


[^1]7 Bandini, ibid. col. 53: "Charactere vale contracto, ac per compendia exarato."
P. 154,17 $\tau \grave{d}] \tau \tilde{\omega} \mathrm{L}^{\text {s.l. }}$
P. 170,2 $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \alpha_{o m}$ L, restituit $\mathrm{L}^{\text {s.l. }}$

There are some marginalia in Latin probably written by Burgundio of Pisa, ${ }^{8}$ as Wilson points out. ${ }^{9}$ If that is the case, 1193 would be the terminus ante quem for the manuscript. D. Béguin ${ }^{10}$ has pointed as terminus ante quem 11841185, when Burgundio translated from it the $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i=\alpha$ i@ $\varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega \nu$ with the title De heresibus his qui introducuntur. This copy has been considered the best in several treatises by G. Helmreich and others. ${ }^{11}$ Nutton ${ }^{12}$ and Ph. de Lacy ${ }^{13}$ have noticed several scribal errors and corrections.

T Miland, Trivultianus 685. In the Bibliotheca Trivultianae Mediolanensis, a paper ms. (bombycinus), 212 by 162 in size, ca. 230 f., dated by Wilson to the end of the thirteenth century or to the beginning of the next. ${ }^{14}$ It contains only Galen's works. ${ }^{15}$ The treatise is written on 77r-79v, 82r-83r, after De bono habitu, which ends on f .77 r 1.5 . Some of the folios or quaternions were misplaced when the codex, once the folios were numbered, was bound. The description in Martini's catalogue is not completely accurate. ${ }^{16}$ Several editors of

[^2]Galen describe this ms. ${ }^{17}$ The writing is even, and the use of abbreviations does not prevent an easy reading. The text is not accompanied by marginalia.

There are scarce corrections s.l. by the same hand:
P. 150,11 $\mu$ èv pr. om. TU, add. $\mathrm{T}^{1}$ s.l.

U Modena, Mutinensis gr. 109. A paper ms., 280 by 215 mm , XIV-XV century, in the Estense Library of Modena, ff. 73v-78r. ${ }^{18}$ The book has 82 f . and is written by two hands (3-68 and 69-82). In f .1 v and 2 r is written $\Gamma \varepsilon \omega \varrho \gamma$ io to $\tilde{u}$ B $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$, but the inscription is deleted in f. 1, and A $\lambda \beta \varepsilon ́ \varrho \tau 0 v ~ \pi i ́ o v ~ к \alpha \varrho \pi \alpha i ́ \omega v ~$
 of the collection of Giorgio Valla; in 1564, when Pio di Carpi (by then Cardinal Rodolfo Pio di Carpi) died, the Greek and the Oriental mss. were acquired by the Duke of Ferrara, and they now belong to the Estense Library of Modena. ${ }^{19}$ The treatises ${ }^{20}$ by Galen in this ms. are as follows: Ad Glauconem libri I-II (incomplete), De sectis, De optima corp. nos. const., De bono hab., De inaeq. intemp. The last three treatises are written in the Trivultianus in the same order.

Scarce corrections:
P. 150,20 $\delta \varepsilon ́ \varrho \mu \alpha]$ @́ $\varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \mathrm{U}$, secl. et scrip. $\delta \varepsilon \varrho \mathrm{U}^{1}$

D Oxford, Bodleianus 709 sive Laudianus graecus C 57, nunc 58, a vellum ms., containing the treatise in ff. 202v-208r. It was written by $\Delta \eta \mu \eta$ خюь๐ $\Delta \alpha \mu \nu \lambda \tilde{\alpha} s^{21}$ in the second half of the XVth century, ${ }^{22}$ and contains 364 ff . The

[^3]writing is good. It contains Galen's works. ${ }^{23}$ The explicit of De inaequali in-
 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v \pi \varepsilon \varrho i$ к@ $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega v$ ). The next book is De facultatibus naturalibus. G. Helmreich ${ }^{24}$ considers the alikeness of this ms. and the Vaticanus 282: "they are not good copies, but from time to time they preserve the right readings."25

D presents some corrections s.l.:
P. 156,6 $\lambda$ vó $\mu \varepsilon v o v]-\eta \varsigma$ D $^{\text {s.l. }}$


P. 162,2 $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i ̀ \mu \varepsilon ̀ v \tau o v ́ \tau \omega v$ ह́t expunxit P et indicat D

V Vatican City, Vaticanus graecus 282, a fifteenth ${ }^{26}$ century paper ms., 294 by 209 in size and consisting of III plus 452 folios. ${ }^{27}$ The treatise is on ff. 46v50 r . As in the former ms., the explicit of De temp. is repeated at the end of De inaequali intemperie. Both ms. contain Galen's De elem., De temp., De inaeq. intemp., De fac. nat., De cris., and De dieb. decret., in the same order. ${ }^{28}$

M Venice, Marcianus graecus 282. In the Biblioteca Nazionale Marciana there is a vellum ${ }^{29} \mathrm{~ms}$., 330 by 225 in size, consisting of IV plus 213 folios, writ-

[^4]ten by Georgius Trivizias ${ }^{30}$ for Cardinal Bessarion ${ }^{31}$ ca. 1468-1472. The treatise is on ff. 201r-203v: the ink has nearly disappeared in some of the folios. It is preceded by Galen's De parvae pilae, and followed by De opt. corp. hum. const., De bono habitu ${ }^{32}$, De sectis. ${ }^{33}$ This codex is one of the volumes of the Galen's collection copied for Bessarion or assembled by him: 275-288. ${ }^{34}$ They contain nearly the whole Corpus Galenicum. It was included in the 1474 inventory of the Republic of Venice, which referred to the mss. included between 1468 and 1472. ${ }^{35}$

As for the models of this ms., M. R. Formentin explains that it comes from several exemplars; ${ }^{36}$ in fact the scribes for Bessarion did not copy whole codices, but just the treatises missing from the Collection. I. Heeg ${ }^{37}$ points out that the scribe in this ms. avoids hiatus, changing when necessary the word order. There are many other references to this ms. in Galen's editions. ${ }^{38}$

P New Haven, Beinecke Library (Yale), ms. 1121, olim British Library, Phillipps 4614, ${ }^{39} \mathrm{XII}^{\mathrm{m}}$ c., ${ }^{40}$ ff. 54r-57v. It belonged to the collection of Sir Thomas

[^5]37 See I. Heeg, Galeni in Hippocratis prognosticum, CMG V 9, 2.
38 References in W. de Boer, De atra bile, CMG V 4, 1.1, p. x and note 9; de Lacy, CMG V 4, 2 p. XV; Helmreich, CMG 9,1 p. xii; CMG 9, 2, p. xxv. On the other hand, the Marcianus and the Laurentianus are the only mss. mentioned by Ackermann for the treatise (vol. I, lxxix K).

39 I have kept my old siglum P , because the news of the transfer from London to New Haven only arrived when editing was finished.

40 Christian Brockmann, in Textkritische Überlegungen zu Ioannikios als Schreiber von

Phillipps (Cheltenham, U.K.), ${ }^{41}$ and it was bought by the Fenwick Trust and deposited on loan to the British Library. At present it belongs to the Beinecke Library. This manuscript is mutilus both in initio et in fine, and presents a poor condition. It is around 290 mm by $230 \mathrm{~mm}^{42}$ in size, and consists of 156 folios. It contains only Galen's treatises. ${ }^{43}$

It was written by three hands. The main scribe, Ioannikios, ${ }^{44}$ copied the folios 1-9, and 14-10645 on Spanish paper. ${ }^{46}$ He wrote De inaeq. intemp. on ff. $54^{\mathrm{r}}-57^{\mathrm{v}}$, after De fac. nat. $\left(\mathrm{ff} .15^{\mathrm{v}}-54^{\mathrm{r}}\right)^{47}$ and before De optima corp. nos. const. (ff. $57^{\mathrm{v}}-60^{\mathrm{r}}$ ). ${ }^{48}$ The ms. was written at the middle of the XIIth. c. ${ }^{49}$ The palaeography

Galen- und Aristotelestexten (B. Atsalos und N. Tsironi, edd., Actes du VIe Colloque International de Paléographie Grecque (Drama, 21-27 septembre 2003), Athens 2008, Vol. I, pp. 895-922 (Vol. II, plates 1-22), dated Ioannikios to the middle of the XII c. and located him in Constantinople.

41 Cf. Catalogus librorum manuscriptorum in bibliotheca D. Thomae Phillipps Bt. A. D. 1837, impressus typis Medio-Montanis mense Maio 1837, reprinted with an Introduction by A. N. L. Munby, London 1968; T. C. Skeat, The Catalogues of the Manuscript Collections, London 1953, p. 22; A.N.L. Munby, Phillipps Studies. I. The Catalogues of Manuscripts and Printed Books of Sir Thomas Phillipps. Their Composition and Distribution, Cambridge 1951, and The Dispersal of the Phillipps Library, Cambridge 1960; J. M. Olivier, Répertoire des bibliothèques et des catalogues des Manuscrits grecs de Marcel Richard, 3d. ed., Brepols 1995, p. 216.

42 My description. All the folios have been cut on the edges because they were very damaged.

43 De temp. II-III (the beginning of book 2 is missing), ff.2r-15r. De fac. nat. (ff.15v-54r; f. 53 is missing). De inaeq. intemp. (ff. $54 \mathrm{r}-57 \mathrm{v}$ ). De optima corp. nost. const. (ff.57v-60r). De bono habitu (ff. 60r-61r). De diff. respir. (ff. 62r-106r). Ad Glauc. (107r-140v), et De aliment. fac. (ff. 141r-156; f. 156 mutilus ad finem)

44 Jean Irigoin et Brigitte Mondrain identified the scribe as Ioannikios on the photographs that I presented at the Colloquium Storia e ecdotica dei testi medici greci, Naples, 1997. Cf. now Ch. Brockmann, Philologische Annährungen an Chirurgie und Anatomie. Beobachtungen an Galens Kommentar zu Hippokrates, De articulis, in: Aertze und ihre Interpreten, pp. 6180, p. 65 and note 15.

45 A second hand wrote the folios 10-13, and a third copied 107-154 and 156. A description of the ms. in pp. 175-176 of my: Les scholies marginales au traité de Galien De inaequali intemperie dans le ms. Phillipps 4614, in: Storia e ecdotica..., eds. A. Garzya, J. Jouanna, 1999, pp. 175-183. More details in my: Un texte byzantin inédit sur la scène de Galien et Glaucon (De locis affectis, $8,361,12-366,5 \mathrm{~K}$ ), in: Les Textes Médicaux Grecs..., ed. A. Garzya et alii, 2003, pp. 135-148.

46 In 2001 I sent a description of the paper, made by P. Perez Cañizares in London, to Professor Irigoin, who certified its Spanish origin.

47 Both the Laurentianus and the Phillipps contain De temp. and De fac. nat., besides De inaequali intemperie. For De aliment. fac., cf. Helmreich, CMG V 4,2, p. xxxv.

48 As for the alikenesses in the contents of the mss., LPT contain: De temp. (mut. in in.), De nat. fac., De inaeq. intemp. Both P and T contain De temp. (libr 2-3), De nat. fac., [P: De inaeq. intemp.], De optima corp. nos. const., De bono habitu, T: D.i.i., (not in T De diff. respir.), Ad Glauconem, (not in T De aliment. fac.). [Both mss. have lost the first folios, where the beginning of De temp. should be]. This data is important in order to research the history of the transmission for those groups of treatises.

49 Wilson, Aspects..., p. 59, dated it to the last quarter of the XIIc., but see now Brockmann, note 40.
is very difficult. The text is good, ${ }^{50}$ but curiously enough it does not belong to the same family as the Laurentianus, written in the same Scriptorium. On the folios written by Ioannikios we twice found a monocondylium by K $\omega v \sigma \tau \alpha v \tau i ̃ v o s$ $\Xi \alpha v$ Өómoulos, who is mentioned as a landowner in Smyrna in $1283 .{ }^{51}$

The text was corrected by the scribe himself, who in many cases wrote the "right" letters on the wrong ones, not simply over them; sometimes the earlier text can be read, but it is often impossible to guess. The autopsia of the manuscript does not always permit distinguishing the previous ink from the one used when correcting, because the first is not homogeneous.

On the other hand the scribe also includes words or sentences supra lineam. Some of them are variant readings, but the use of $\gamma \varrho(\alpha \dot{\phi} \dot{\tau} \alpha \mathrm{t})$ (examples in $\mathrm{f} .55 \mathrm{r}, 1.2,1.6,1.9,1.14$ ) is (as usual) uneven. Some are short explanations, but a number of them are long sentences that begin supra lineam and enter the right margin, or begin on the left margin and enter the text. It is not always easy to tell a variant reading from other possibilities. And it would be important, because the corrections are introduced at the same time as the variant readings and the commentaries. All of these additions are only too well integrated. Along with this complicated picture, the copy presents scholia (see below, Indirect Tradition).

## A 2. The Syriac And Arabic Translations

Cristina Alvarez Millán, Elsa García Novo

## 1. The Syriac translation. ${ }^{52}$

The translator into Syriac was Ayyūb al-Ruhāwī (Job of Edessa), who died ca. 832, having translated 39 Galen's treatises. ${ }^{53}$ Our text is not extant, but Ḥunayn ibn Ishāa $(808-873)^{54}$ mentions it in his Risāla, nr. 52. ${ }^{55}$

[^6]
## 2. The Arabic translation.

The treatise was not included in the Alexandrian Canon. ${ }^{56}$ The Arabic version, ${ }^{57}$ entitled K. fī Sū' al-mizāj al-mukhtalif (فازمل| عاوس يف باتک) (فلتختمل), was done by Ḥunayn himself some time between 858 and 873.58 It is important to stress that Ḥunayn had notice of some 129 treatises by Galen, ${ }^{59}$ most of which he had translated into Syrian and/or Arabic. Ḥunayn's expertise on our author was outstanding. He translated directly from the Greek, ${ }^{60}$ and gathered as many manuscripts as he was able to for any other of Galen's books, in order to get as sound a text as possible: he used to choose one manuscript that he compared with the others, writing on it the convenient corrections, much the same way as the Byzantine themselves. ${ }^{61}$ It is obvious that the Greek manuscripts read by him were written in uncial letters, dating from the IX c. or earlier (ante quem 873).

Concerning our treatise, he stated that it consisted of just one book, and he mentioned that he had just one manuscript with the Greek text, which he had not had time to read. He goes on: "Afterwards I translated it [the treatise] for Abū l-Hasan Ahmad ibn Mūsā". ${ }^{62}$

Al-Yacqūbī mentioned this treatise in a list dated ca. $872^{63}$ under the title al-Mizāj ar-radī' alladī laisa yastawī, ${ }^{64}$ probably an older translation.

## 3. The manuscripts.

The Arabic version of Hunayn is found in the following manuscripts:

[^7]E El Escorial, Real Biblioteca del Monasterio, MS 848/2, f. 48b-53b, Magribi writing, VIII/XIV, paper. ${ }^{65}$
S El Escorial, Real Biblioteca del Monasterio, MS 879/2, f. 41b-46a, Magribi writing, VII/XIII, paper. ${ }^{66}$
A Istambul, Ayasofya, MS 3593, 48a-51b, Oriental writing,V-VI/XI-XII c. ${ }^{67}$ [Y] Istambul, Süleymaniye, MS ${ }^{68} 3631,27 a-34 a$, VIII/XIV c. This copy includes a summary of Galen's text written by Thābit ibn Qurrah. ${ }^{69}$
L Lisbon, Academia das Ciencias, MS Vermelho 292-293, f. 45r-50v, Oriental writing, VIII/XIV ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ c., paper. ${ }^{70}$ The copy of De inaequali begins with p . 150,11 of our Greek version. ${ }^{11}$

[^8]M Madrid, Biblioteca Nacional, MS 5011, (earlier 130/3; Gg. 152), f. 127b137a, Magribi writing, IX/XV ${ }^{\text {in }}$ c.' paper. ${ }^{72}$
$\mathrm{P} \quad$ Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale, MS 2847, f. 106a-114b, Oriental writing, 614/1217-1218, paper. ${ }^{73}$ Diels 84 (f. 106-113, XIII c.) This manuscript corresponds to the version of Heunayn's translation elaborated by the Islamic physician Abū Jacfar Ahmad ibn Muhammad ibn Abī l-Ashcath (d. 360/970). ${ }^{74}$ Actually, he simply divided the text into six sections by means of adding a small sentence which summarises the contents. According to the collophon, he considered this treatise to be part -the fourth maqala- of Galen's book on Temperaments. ${ }^{75}$

The treatises De inaequali intemperie, De optima corporis nostri constitutione and De bono habitu, mentioned by Galen as a triad to be read in a row, ${ }^{76}$ are found as a triad in three out of our seven Greek copies, and in the Arabic mss. Matritensis 5011, and Parisinus 2847. Hunayn mentions them in a row (nr. 50-52) ${ }^{77}$, in the same order as the Greek ms. T. That means that they were put together at least in the IX c., but it is rather probable that the group was formed way beforehand.

## 4. Stemma.

There are two families, $\mathbf{h}$ (SEM) and $\mathbf{d}$ (APL). Inside h, EM are closely related against S; inside d, AP are closely related against $L$. There is evidence of contamination in AP, coming from e (EM) and s(S). See next page.

[^9]

The d-family is by far superior to the other.
h=SEM
P. 142,6-7 "erysipelas and the other humour known as" om. SEM
P. 160,10-11 "surplus of heat and coldness"] "heat" om. SEM
P. 166,17 "all of it" om. SEM
$\mu=\mathrm{EM}$
P. 154,18 "turns to" om. EM
P. 166,3 "very intense"] "very" om. EM
h=SM/E corrects
P. 142,11 post $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ ("inactivity"), "or coldness takes them because of something cold nearby, like ice or something frozen or a very cold air" add. SM
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{APL}$
P. 160,19 "nor snake to snake" om. APL
P. 170,1 "to nobody" om. APL
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{PL} / \mathrm{A}$ contaminated by $\varepsilon$
P. 170,18 "the kinds of these diseases"] "the kinds" om. PL=
d=AP/L wrong; contaminated by h
P. 166,6 "of the testicles" AP: "of the teeth" L SEM
$\pi(=P)$ contaminated by $\sigma$
P. 154,15 "everything thick and dense slowly alters" om. PS

## 5. The text of the translation.

The style of Hunayn's Arabic versions compared to the Greek texts is summarised by Strohmaier. ${ }^{78}$ He followed an old method of the Syrian translators, trying to render the sense more than the words; that explains the change of sentence order within a clause, the substitution of a noun for a pronoun, or a paraphrase, for the sake of clarity. He often used two synonyms in order to translate a Greek word.

## 6. Relationship of the Arabic version to the extant Greek text.

6.1. Sometimes the Arabic text is correct, against the Greek codd.
 $\mathrm{O} \triangle \Upsilon N \Omega N T A I$ could have easily turned OPXEIC into O$\Delta$ ONTAC.
6.2. At times, it adds a text not extant in the Greek mss. I have accepted it when it was necessary for the contents, and/or it agreed with Galen's style, and/or the omission could be explained as an error.
P. 142,11 $\pi \alpha \dot{v} \tau \omega \varsigma$ addidi, cf. "(or a calm or inactivity) that surpasses the convenient", Hиn. ПАNT®САРГНС ААТ $\Omega$ Homoeoteleuton
P. 144,6 Hóvov addidi, cf. "just" Hun. Lost by phonetic homoeoteleuton in $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ $\delta \mu \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} \nu \mu o ́ v o v$ and visual alikeness in uncials: OMA $\underline{\Omega} \mathbf{N M O N O N}$
P. 144,9 $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ o addidi, cf. Hun. "my (intention)", and Gal. De antidot. II 1: XIV 106, 5-6 K. Lost because of iotacism in the row $\gamma \varrho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \iota \pi \varrho o ́ к \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha i ́<\mu о \triangleright ~ \delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tau \sim$. P. 144,9 бع addidi, cf. "to you" Hun. (om. Hun ${ }^{\text {MP }}$ ). Lost by phonetic haplography in $\alpha v \alpha \mu \nu \eta \underline{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \varepsilon$.
P. 146,11 кعvás addidi, cf. "hollows" Hun. Homoeoteleuton:

TACMETAE $\Upsilon X \Omega P A C K E N A C$
P. 158,15 $\eta$ خ $\psi v \chi \varrho o ́ \tau \varepsilon \varrho o v, ~ c f . ~ " c o m p a r e d ~ t o ~ t h e ~ c o l d e s t " ~ H u n ., ~ c f . ~ h a e ~ v e r o ~ f r i-~$ gidior, Nic., addidit iam Charterius. Lost by homoeoteleuton in $\theta$ ع@ $\mu$ ótcoov $\eta$ $\psi v \chi \varrho o ́ \tau \varepsilon \varrho o v . ~$
P. 168,14 кат $\dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \iota \alpha ́ \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ addidi pace Hun., "according with the state".

In many cases the Arabic text includes short explanations:
P. 142,6 oidเซкó $\mu \varepsilon v o v]$ "when in it (the organ) occurs oedema -which is the phlegmatic tumor-", Hun.
P. 142,14 $\alpha \pi \lambda \alpha \tilde{\imath}] ~ " s i m p l e ~ a n d ~ s i n g u l a r " ~ H u n . ~$
P. 148,16 post $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu$ оvŋ́ addidit "which is the hot tumor", Hun.

Some additions of Hun.'s text are not genuine. Most of them I have left in the apparatus criticus.

78 Strohmaier, "Galen in Arabic...", p. 19,1, and ANRW, pp. 2010-2011.
6.3. At times Greek text is omited by Hun. I would have deleted it with the same criteria as above. In fact, I have considered them all, but actually I have not deleted Greek text on the basis of Hun. Some examples:
 $\varepsilon$ ๕๒ŋ $\tau \alpha \iota$ non habet Hun. Anatomical Procedures is mentioned in p. 146,5, and appears again here. However, the author twice quotes De temperamentis, in p. 144,1 and p. 146,16. On the other hand, the composition of the text backs the mention of a book at the end of a paragraph.
P. 148,10 $\alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ non habet Hun. It could be a repetition from $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \chi o ́ \theta \varepsilon v$ v́ $\pi$ ò $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ט́ $\gamma \varrho o ́ \tau \eta \tau o \varsigma ~ \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \alpha$. However, the composition of the treatise backs the use of this pronoun for closing paragraphs. See Introduction, Composition.
6.4. Different sense, erroneous in my opinion. Maybe due to a different text.
P. 148,5-6 ő $\alpha<$ í $\chi \cup \varrho \tilde{\omega} \varsigma ~ \sigma \phi \eta \nu \omega \theta \tilde{\eta}$, "when the flow gets hard wedged"; Hun. reads "when it is too much in excess". Maybe he read or understood $\sigma \phi \varrho \iota \gamma \omega \theta \tilde{\eta}$ or something like that (but $\sigma \phi \varrho \iota \gamma \omega \theta \tilde{\eta}$ is difficilior).
 "In fact, on those grounds, probably that reasoning is convenient". Hun. reads "as for this comparison, the soul is scarcely calm with those words".

In other passages, the wrong translation is due to a poor understanding of the Greek text, at times backed by a bad transmission in his exemplar(s):
 Homoeoteleuton -on -on.

When the text includes personal names, they can be transliterated in part of the transmission, and mentioned in a more general way in others. P. 168,17 П@ $\alpha \xi \alpha \gamma$ о́@ $\alpha \varsigma] ~ c f$. Fraksāgūrās Hun: "some Greek men" Hun: om. Нип ${ }^{\text {cett. }}$

The following duality might just be caused by the inferior text of the h-family:
 wise men" Hи $n^{\text {cett. However the mention of both philosophers and physicians }}$ is frequent in Galen.

Very often a Greek word appears translated in some of the Arabic mss., and not in the others. The Arabic d-family usually keeps to the Greek text against the h-family:
P. $168,17 \omega \mu$ òs, cf. raw Hun ${ }^{\text {MAP }}$, om. Hun cett

Sometimes it happens the other way round:
P. 168,18 oúdeiç cf. "nobody" Hun ${ }^{\text {SEM }}$, om. Hun ${ }^{\text {APL }}$
6.5. As for the Greek model, it agrees with the $\Delta$-family. See below, Relationships between the Greek manuscripts. The Greek manuscripts and Henayn.
6.6. Sometimes the mss. of Hun. present two readings, as the Greek text does.
P. 154,12 $\delta v \sigma \kappa o ́ \lambda \omega \varsigma \Lambda \mathrm{P}$, "with difficulty": cf. "with effort and intensity", Hun.: $\chi о \lambda \eta ́, \mu o ́ \gamma \iota \varsigma$ U: $\mu o ́ \lambda ı \varsigma$ z, et U $^{i . m}, c f$. scarcely, Hun ${ }^{\text {L }}$

In p. 162,10 Galen mentions $\dot{\varepsilon} v ~ \tau \alpha i ̃ ̧ ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma v \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \alpha i \tau i ́ \alpha ı \varsigma: ~ " i n ~$ my book of the causes of symptoms" Hun., and in p. 164,17, غ̇v $\tau \alpha i \bar{s} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ vơך $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ גítí $\alpha \varsigma$, "in my book of the causes of diseases" Hun. In both cases
 in the first sentence the Greek ms. P has عịŋ $\tau \alpha \mathrm{L}$, as in Hun. ${ }^{\text {SEM, }}$ "I describe", while the other Greek mss. and Hun. ${ }^{\text {APL }}$ rightly present a Future form: "I shall describe". Probably the agreement is due to a lectio facilior both in the Greek P, and in the three mss. of the h-family of Hun.
6.7. Some errors of Ḥunayn.
P. 142,12 $\pi \alpha \theta$ óv $\tau \omega v$ om. Hun. Lost in the phonetical homoeoteleuton $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \gamma \eta$ $\sigma \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v$, そ้ $\tau \iota \tau o เ o v ̃ \tau o v ~ \varepsilon ́ \tau \varepsilon \varrho o v ~ \pi \alpha \theta$ óv $\tau \omega v$.
 "in the only organ" Hun. He omits ótเoṽv, because of the homoeoarcton ótıoṽv

P. 146,20 $\alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \iota$ om. Hиn., in the homoeoteleuton $\alpha{ }^{\alpha} \pi \alpha \sigma \iota$ тоі̃ऽ $\mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \iota$.
 òvo $\mu \alpha \zeta$ о $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ om. Hun. The next sentence begins with $\dot{\alpha} v \omega \mu \mu \lambda$ оऽ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha$ í: saut du même au même.
 erysipelas, oedema" Нип., $\alpha ้ v Ө$ @ $\alpha \xi$ om. Saut du même au même and iotacism erisi/erpis: EP $\Upsilon C I \Pi E \Lambda A C A N \Theta P A \Xi E P П Н С$.

## A 3. Relationships Between The Greek Manuscripts. The Greek Manuscripts And Ḥunayn. Oribasius

1. All the copies descend from one archetype, for they have common errors:
omission:
P. 146,7 ov́t $\omega$ addidi. It has been lost because of haplography and saut du même au même in the series $\Upsilon \Pi А P X O \Upsilon C I O \Upsilon T \Omega K A I T O \Upsilon T \Omega N$. It is necessary for Syntax, the sentence being nonsense as it is transmitted. See Commentary to p. 146,7. The error was produced in uncial letters, and there are not common minuscule errors. As it is present as well in the translation of Hunayn, written
some time between 858 and 873,79 we may situate the archetype in a terminus ante quem middle of IX c．，a date coherent with the use of capital letters．

There are other errors easily produced in uncial letters（see Commen－ tary，especially to 158，3：A厅TATA $\rightarrow$ АПАNTATA）．Three of them：
P．4，10 $\pi \alpha v \tau$ òs addidi Homoeoteleuton：
 to the previous $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha v \tau o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v o v \tau o s ~$
 P．6，17 $\alpha ้ v$ addidi（ANAN $\Theta$ P $\Omega$ ПOC）Haplography

2．There are two families，$\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ ．The $\Delta$－family is composed of PUDV， while the other is formed by LTM．Both of them agree in error against each other．Family $\Delta$ is by far the best．Both of them present uncial errors．

Errors in $\Delta$ ：
omission：
 teleuton and phonetic similarity of the two groups．
P．146，18 $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \Lambda$ ：om．$\Delta$ ОПОІАТЕТІСЕСТIN Loss of $\tau \dot{\varepsilon}$ in the series of T and EC．
P．146，7 ov́ $\tau \omega \Lambda P^{\text {s．l．}}$ om． $\mathrm{P}^{\text {Pi．l } z}$（ $\eta$ そ̌ $\delta \eta$ U）Easy haplography in：
$\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma \nu \Theta$ OTOCOヶT $\Omega C \Omega \mu \alpha$ TOC（For P ${ }^{\text {s．l．}}$ see below）
 the veins＇bodies themselves＂，Hип．Homoeoteleuton．


P．152，8 $\alpha$ í om．$\Delta$ A〒TAIAIПOIOTHTEC Haplography

P．166，7 $\delta \iota \theta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \omega v$ om．$\Delta$ ，Hun．Homoeoteleuton in $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau$ тoเov́ $\omega \omega \nu \delta \iota \alpha \theta \varepsilon ́-$ $\sigma \varepsilon \omega v$ ．
varia：
P．144，13 $\mu$ о́＠ı $\alpha \Lambda: \mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \eta ~ \Delta \quad(K A \Lambda O \Upsilon)$ MEN $A M O P I A \rightarrow$ MENAMEPH Perse－ veration of ME．
P．146，12 $\pi \alpha ́ v v$ LTP $^{\gamma \varrho}: \kappa \alpha ̂ v \Delta \quad \Pi A N \Upsilon \rightarrow$ KAN Palaeographic confussion．
P．146，13 $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \Lambda, c f$ ．＂in them＂Hun．：$\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \omega v \Delta$ The loss of the adjacent $\alpha ้ v$（ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} v v \phi \omega \varrho \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \varsigma<\alpha ̈ v>\alpha u ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} v)$ can be explained by ANAYTQN，not by ＊ANTO؟TRN．
P．152，6 غ̇ $\pi i ̀ \Lambda$ ：$\dot{\varepsilon} v \Delta \quad$ EПIMEN $\rightarrow$ ENMEN Anticipation．
P．166，7 oưठغ̀ $\Lambda$ ：oű $\tau \Delta$ oưt $\Delta$ is facilior

P．166，8 к $\alpha$ ì $\gamma$ 人̀＠ عỉ $\Lambda$ ：$\varepsilon$ ì $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho ~ \Delta$
P．168，1 $\alpha$ v่тои̃ L（ $\alpha$ v่тท̃ऽ）TM：ov́t $\omega \Delta$
Ơ $\triangle E N E C T I N A \Upsilon T O \Upsilon \rightarrow \underline{\Upsilon} \Delta E N E C T I N O \Upsilon T \Omega$ ，and TOY into TQ．
 way to the facilior $\mu \varepsilon ́ v$ ，while $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ is a correction．
P．170，10 $\lambda$ oıtoò $\Lambda$ ：$\alpha ้ \lambda \lambda$ oı $\Delta \quad$ ờ $\lambda \lambda$ ot is facilior， $\mathrm{A} \Lambda \Lambda$ coming from：
TAIKAIOI $\Lambda$ OIПOI $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ ：TAIKAIOIA $\Lambda \Lambda \mathrm{OI} \Delta \mathrm{E}$
 difficilior，and is backed by the next $\dot{\alpha} \phi i ́ \xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ．

## 2．2．Errors in $\Lambda$ omission：

P．142，7 غ̇бтi om．$\Lambda$ TO؟TO؟ $\Delta E C T I T O \Upsilon \Gamma E N O \Upsilon C$ ，saut du même au même P．144，14 cis om．$\Lambda$ EITYXOIEICMHPON，saut du même au même with the help of iotacism
P．160，15 oủk $\Delta$ ：om．$\Lambda$ oủk was lost in $\Lambda$ in uncial letters，between a first and a third Or：ƠMONONO〒KOIKEIOฯC

P．162，4 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v \Delta$ ：om．$\Lambda \quad$ T $\Omega \mathrm{N} \Delta \mathrm{A} \Lambda \Lambda \Omega \mathrm{NA}$ ANTRN Homoeoarcton and homoeoteleuton
P．162，8 vũv om $\Lambda$ ENTRNయNENECTRTI Haplography
P．162，14 غ̇бđì om．$\Lambda$ кєк＠$\alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ ह̇б兀ì vơך $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ Homoeoteleuton
P．162，15 $\mu$ óvŋ om．$\Lambda$ è $̇ i ̀ ~ \sigma \eta ́ \psi \varepsilon ı ~ \mu o ́ v \eta ~ I o t a c i s m ~$
 teleuton
 teleuton
 copied the next word beginning with E（ $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{t})$ ，leaving $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta$ ，out．
addition：
P．160，14 to ante $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ add．$\Lambda \quad \ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ is right
P．160，15 ع̌ $\chi$ عı post oikćíovs add．$\Lambda$（from preceding line）
P．166，13 кגі add．$\Lambda$ ante $\pi$＠oo＠$\alpha$ ívoıs False correction
P．170，14 post $\phi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ́ \delta \alpha ı v \alpha$ add．$\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu$ оvŋ́ $\Lambda$（from preceding line）
varia：
P．160，19 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i ́ \delta \alpha] \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i ́ \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i ́ \varsigma \Lambda$
 $\alpha u ̄ \xi ŋ \eta$ ย́vтоऽ）
 Т $\Omega \Pi$ in uncial letters
P. 164,21 к $\alpha \tau \varepsilon \psi\rangle \mu$ и́voı $\Delta$ : к $\alpha \tau \alpha \psi v \chi$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon v$ оь $\Lambda$ (difficilior)
P. 166,3 бфоб@ото́тоv $\Delta$ : бфоб@ои̃ $\Lambda$ Haplography
 duced, the adjective was changed into Dative in order to (wrongly) agree with the initial $\tau \tilde{\omega}$.
P. 172,1 $\gamma \mathfrak{i} \gamma v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \Delta: \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \Lambda \quad$ Durative aspect is right.
2.3. Inside the $\Delta$-family, the four mss. have their own errors. On the other hand, P , or U , or DV , by themselves, present at times the reading of $\Delta$.

Errors in P:
omission:
P. 142,14 $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{Q}$ om. P
P. 144,2 ク̀ pr. om. P
P. 144,13 غ̇ $\xi \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ om. P
P. 146,11 غ̇@ $\gamma \alpha ́ \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota]$ غ̇@ $\gamma \alpha ́ \zeta$ оит $\alpha \iota$ P
P. 152,8 $\tau \varepsilon$ от. Pz
P. 152,11 тñ om. P
P. 152,15 $\alpha$ ĩ $\alpha$ от. P
P. 164,15 тьбь от. P
P. $166,2 \gamma \varepsilon$ om. P
P. 170,5 т $\check{\varsigma} \varsigma$ bis LTz: $\tau 0 i ̃ \varsigma ~ M U: ~ o m . ~ P ~$
P. 172,7 äv om. P
addition:
P. 146,14 к $\alpha$ ì ante $\alpha$ ṽ $\alpha \alpha$ เ add. P
varia:

P. 148,6 $\sigma \phi \eta \nu \omega \theta \tilde{\eta}] \sigma \phi \iota \omega \theta \tilde{\eta} \mathrm{P}$
P. 148,12 ò $\delta v v \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha$ t: $\omega \delta v v \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha \_$P

P. 162,5 vơoṽбเv] ка́ $\mu$ vovoı P (e l. 22)

Errors in U:
omission:
P. 144,9 п@óкعıт $\alpha$ í om. U
Р. 146,17 б $\alpha ф \eta$ veí $\alpha$ ऽ om. U
P. $148,3 \mu$ ѝv om. U
P. 148,5 $\alpha$ í om. U
P. 148,10 бט́vסєб $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ оь от. U
P. 150,7 к $\alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \eta \dot{\psi} \alpha \nu \tau \circ \varsigma] \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-$ om. U
P. 154,16 $\chi$ u
P. 164,2 $2 \mu \varepsilon \tau \varrho о ́ \tau \varepsilon \varrho о \nu ~ . . . ~ غ ̇ к ~ غ \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha v \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau о \varsigma ~ o m . ~ U ~$
P. 166,1 кג@тє@ои̃ om. U
P. 168,3 ג $\mu$ ќт@оv от. U
addition:
P. 150,3 post фӨорд̀v add. $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha i ̃ o v ~ \alpha ̉ \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \phi Ө о \varrho \alpha ̀ v ~ U, ~ c f . ~ n e c e s s a-~$ rium est sequi corruptionem Pet.
P. 150,20 vṽv ante v́ $\pi \alpha ́ \varrho \chi o v \tau \alpha$ add. U
P. 150,15 ante $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \eta$ দ́ add. ठv́o U

P. 154,21 к $\alpha$ ì post $\alpha \tilde{i} \mu \alpha$ add. U
P. 156,14 $\grave{\eta}$ ante $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \varrho \tau \eta \varrho เ \tilde{\omega} \nu$ add. U
P. 160,19 $\tau \varepsilon$ ante $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota a d d . \mathrm{U}$
P. 166,1 post ú $\pi$ ò k@úous add. $\pi$ v@ì oũtoı U
P. 168,13 кגі ante $\sigma \pi \alpha ́ v$ vov add. U
P. 170,1 post $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon i \varsigma ~ a d d$. ov́ $\omega \omega \varsigma \mathrm{U}$
P. 170,7 $\left.\alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \phi \omega\right] \alpha^{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \mu \phi \omega \mathrm{U}$
varia:
P. 148,6 $\sigma \tau \varepsilon ์ \gamma \eta \tau \alpha \iota] \sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota \tau \varepsilon \mathrm{U}$
P. 148,8 $\pi i ́ \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \iota] \pi i ́ \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \mathrm{U}$
P. 148,8 $\alpha i] \alpha i ̈ ~ U$

P. 148,17 $\alpha$ v่тòv] $\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\tau} \nu \mathrm{U}$
P. 148,17 Ґદ́ $\varepsilon \iota] ~ \zeta \tilde{\eta}$ U
P. 148,17 бטvєк $\theta$ عৎ $\mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon ı] ~ \sigma v v \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon ı ~ U ~$
P. 148,17 $\alpha$ ủt $\tilde{\omega}] \alpha$ ùtò U
P. 150,6 غ̌ $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota]$ દ̀ $\sigma \tau \grave{U}$
P. 150,7 ن́ү@oṽ] @عv́ $\mu \alpha \tau 0 \varsigma ~ U$
P. 150,22 $\phi \theta \alpha \varrho \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota] \phi \theta \alpha \varrho \eta ́ \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \mathrm{U}$
P. 152,12 $\varepsilon$ i] $\dagger$ U
P. 154,7 к $\alpha \tau \alpha \psi v ́ \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota] ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \psi v \chi \varrho о v \mathrm{U}$
P. $172,7 \gamma \varepsilon] \tau \varepsilon \mathrm{U}$

Errors in D:
omission:
P. 156,6 $\mu \eta \dot{\pi} \pi \omega$ UV: $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \Lambda$ P: $\mu \eta$ D
P. 152,5 $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota] ~ \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \alpha \iota ~ D ~$

Errors in DV=z:
omission:
P. 146,19 と̌бтıv om. z

P． $148,15 \mu$ ѐv om． z
P．150，21 סף入оvótı om．z
P．150，22 $\alpha \hat{\jmath} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ om．z
P．152，12 тє om．z
P．170，8 ह̀v om．z
addition：
P． 152,7 そ̀ ante $\delta \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ add． z
P．154，14 $\left.\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\right] \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{\iota}$ z
P． 156,2 ai ante $\delta \cup \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma$ í $\alpha$ ı add．z
P．158，7 $\alpha$ v่тoṽ ante $\alpha \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v ~ a d d . ~ z ~$
P．170，2 к $\alpha$ ì ante $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ add．$z$
varia：
P．146，19 סウ̀］oṽv z
P．146，20 $\pi \varepsilon \pi$ тоvӨóтоऽ］＠́ $\varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau เ \sigma \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau o \varsigma ~ z ~$
P．148，14 $\beta \alpha \varrho$ ข́vov $\alpha \alpha$ ı］$\beta \alpha \varrho о \tilde{v} v \tau \alpha \iota z$
P．148，15 тò vó夭q $\mu \alpha$ ］$\tau \alpha$ vơŋ́ $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ z




P．152，4 ठı $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu \varepsilon ́ v o เ \sigma \iota] ~-\mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \zeta ~ z ~$

P．152，14 $\tau \mathrm{l}$ ］ $\mathrm{\tau ot} \mathrm{z}$

P．166，9 ôv］oĩov $z$

P is some sort of edition of the treatise．
When P in linea diverges from $\Delta$ ，it usually agrees with the $\Lambda$－family， both in error and in right reading．
P．152，9 گп＠ãऽ Uz：ט́ү＠$\alpha \varsigma ~ \Lambda P$
P．152，16 $\chi$ о $\lambda \tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \varsigma ~ U z: ~ \chi о \lambda \omega \delta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varrho o v ~ \Lambda P ~$

P．156，6 $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ U z: ~ \grave{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma \Lambda P$

It presents readings supra lineam，with or without the indication $\gamma \varrho \alpha ́ \phi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$ ，coming from $\Lambda$ as well．
P．144，15 oikceĩ $\alpha$ om．${ }^{\text {i．l．}} \mathrm{z}$ ，restituit $\mathrm{P}^{\text {s．l．}}$
P．146，12 $\pi \alpha ́ v v$ LTPre $^{\text {A：}}$ кâv $\Delta, ~ c f . ~ " m a y b e " ~ Н и п . ~$
P．146，20 ov́ $\omega \omega \Lambda P^{\text {s．l．}: ~ \eta ̌ \delta \eta ~ U: ~ o m . ~ P . ~}{ }^{\text {i．l．} z}$

P. 158,9 $\alpha v \delta \varrho \alpha ́ \sigma \omega v ~ \Lambda P^{\text {s.l. }}: ~ o m . ~ P ~ P i . l ~ U z ~$

However, at times, $\mathrm{P}^{\text {s.l. }}$ agrees with its own family, while $\mathrm{P}^{\text {i.l. }}$ agrees with the other group.
P. 148,2 $\delta v \sigma \mathrm{P}^{\curlyvee \varrho}, \delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha v$ U, cf. dyskrasia Hun., cf. Gal. 9.309.4: к@д́бıv (sic) cett.
P. 148,11 post $\pi$ @ò habent $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ Pro Uz

Sometimes its s.l. variants are not attested anywhere else, coming from a different source, or, in some cases, from conjecture in a previous copy. Some of them could be glosses:

P. 152,13 غ̇тıєıкฑ̀ऽ] $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varrho i ́ \omega \varsigma$ P $^{\text {s.l. }}$

But others are true readings:

P. 150,6 oṽv Ps.l. om. Pi.l. cett.

At times P preserves the right reading from $\Delta$ (or $\Lambda$ ?).
P. 156,4 t $\dot{\alpha} \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ P, cf. alia Nic.: om. cett.
 cett. $\quad$ र́ $\llcorner$ ávovios étı disappeared in a double saut du même au même: EICHNEIケГIAINONTOCETI, and the remaining 弓 $\omega$ ov was corrected in
 read by Hun. had lost $\cup \gamma \iota \alpha i ́ v o v \tau o \varsigma ~ b u t ~ n o t ~ e ́ t ı . ~$

The corrections in P are introduced by the scribe himself, adding new strokes or crossing out the letters, so that it is often impossible to tell the former spelling from the latter. Probably, as he was a rather good scribe, ${ }^{80}$ somebody told him to write a copy from two different exemplars of the same treatise(s). I guess that he copied the text from a ms. A, while having a second (B) in front of him, which included the scholies: he left room for them. When he finished the copy of all the treatises, he started to correct them looking at B as exemplar, and just having ms. A within reach. Thus, he corrected the text in linea and supra lineam, included lectiones s.l., copied the $\gamma \varrho \alpha \dot{\phi} \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$, and copied the scholies.

In p. 168,11-12, the scribe of a previous exemplar omitted (saut de même
 $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \tilde{\omega} v)$. Its corrector added the sentence in margine. The next scribe (P or a former one) wrote the text before its place (1.10), keeping the omission. A second exemplar, B, read by the scribe at the time of correcting his copy, presented a good text as far as lines 11-12 were concerned: P expunxit the wrong addition, and wrote in margine the missing sentence.

All in all, this ms. is a rather good witness for the constitutio of the text.

[^10]Ms．U has some errors from uncial letters：

P．148，3 $\mu$ èv om．U ПPSTAIMENAIMEIZOイC
P．150，7 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \eta ं \psi \alpha \nu \tau о \varsigma] \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-$ от．U АПАNTOCTO§КАТАСКНЧАNTOC
P．150，20 vṽv ante v́tá＠Xov $\alpha$ add．U AฯTON工ПAPXONTA Dittography
P．154，21 к $\alpha$ ì post $\alpha i ̃ \mu \alpha$ add．U（ $\underline{\text { AIMAKAI）．}}$
P． $172,7 \gamma \varepsilon] \tau \varepsilon \mathrm{U}$（ГЕ TE）
On the other hand，it has several good readings against uncial errors in the other mss．（see Commentary）．Peter of Abano translated a previous copy of this ms．at the end of the XIII c．（see below，The Greco－Latin Translations）． Probably the Greek text of some of the treatises in U ，was not available in mi－ nuscule writing ${ }^{81}$ in a particular area，and a transliteration was demanded for some of them．The XIII c．has seen a new period of transliteration．

Some good readings in U ：
 It is an uncial error：EPケCIПE $\Lambda A T I K A M N \Omega N \rightarrow$ EPYCIПE $\triangle A T O \Upsilon M E N O N$. By the way，such a verb is not attested，whereas the syntagm of $\kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \omega$ with dative is precisely the same in De loc．affect．IV 11：VIII 286，11K．，غ̇＠uбıтと́ $\lambda \alpha \tau \iota$ $\kappa \alpha ́ \mu \nu \varepsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi \vee o v$ ．The good reading in U is backed by the next кд＠кív $\omega$ ，
 PU．For к $\alpha, \mu \nu \omega$ with к $\propto$ кív $\omega$ ，see Adv．Iulianum 8，5：XVIIIa 290，7 K．＝CMG V 10，3；64，6 Wenkebach：$\mu \eta ́ \tau \varepsilon$ к $\propto \kappa ́ ́ \nu \omega ~ к \alpha ́ \mu \nu о \nu \tau \alpha$.
P．158，6 post ov́ $\tau \omega$ add．$\omega \varsigma \Lambda \mathrm{Pz}$ It is a dittography in uncial letters：O$T \mathrm{~T} \Omega \mathrm{C} \Omega \mathrm{C}$ P．158，16 cī U U： $\mathfrak{\eta} v$ cett．Iotacism and lectio facilior
P．168，5 oṽ тoı U：om．cett．It is an uncial error in the others，EXOケCIOケTOI At times， U agrees in error with the $\Lambda$－family：
P．148，8 кevaì Pz，cf．＂hollow＂Hии．：om．$\Lambda \mathrm{U}$
Sometimes in good reading：


In the lower level，DV are rather alike，although both of them have some errors of their own：as they usually agree，I refer to them as $\mathbf{z}$ ．For the most part，$V$ is a copy of $D$ ，even if it is not accurate to say that $V$ is just a copy．On the other hand， D has been corrected，and V has not．There are many errors in z．At times，they keep the right reading of $\Delta$ ，lost in PU：
P．170，9 غ̇к סט́o z，cf．＂two＂Нип．：к $\alpha \kappa$ U：ঠı̀̀ $\Lambda$ Р

Pz agree in error far more often than Uz，so that there is a common source for them．

[^11]omission：
P． 146,8 êv om．Pz
P．146，9 но́рьоv oт．Pz
P．148，1 1 ante $\alpha \pi \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ om． Pz
addition：
P．142，12 post $\pi \varrho о \sigma \pi \iota \pi \tau o ́ v \tau \omega v$ add．$\phi \alpha \varrho \mu \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu \mathrm{Pz}$


P．166，7 тı ante $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ add． Pz
varia：
P．146，16 $\tau \alpha v \tau i] \tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \mathrm{Pz}$
P．150，14 к $\alpha$ ì bis］$\kappa \dot{\alpha} v \mathrm{Pz}$

Uz agree in error（against $\Lambda \mathrm{P}$ ；see above for P agreeing with $\Lambda$ ）． Uz might be $=\Delta$ ：


P．156，20 $\tau \varepsilon$ ］$\tau \iota U z$

P．170，4 غ̇тๆко入ои́Өŋбєv］ŋ̀ко入ои́Өŋбє Uz
2．4．In the $\Lambda$－family，the three mss．have their own errors．
Errors in L
omission：
P．152，10 ov̉𧰨í $\alpha$ s om．L
P．154，9 Өع＠цота́тๆ ．．．ф $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \mu \alpha$ от．L
P．154，9 そ $\alpha v$ Ө̀̀ хо入ŋ́ от．L
P．156，21 $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \varrho \prec \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ от．L
P．160，6 ү $\dot{\alpha} \mathrm{Q}$ om．L
P．162，9 عíđì om．L
P．164，19 í $\chi \cup \cup \varrho \tilde{\omega}$ s om．L
P．166，1 т $\alpha \chi \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$ от．L
varia：
P．142，9 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ o七ov $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v] \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ot $\omega-\mathrm{L}$
P．146，13 $\alpha \grave{\iota} \theta \eta ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota] ~ \alpha \grave{\sigma} \theta \eta ́ \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \iota ~ L$
P．146，21 $\alpha v \omega \mu \alpha ́ \lambda o v] \dot{\alpha} v \omega \mu \alpha \lambda i ́ \alpha \varsigma$
P．148，11 п＠ò］$\pi$＠ò L
P．148，16 $\alpha v \omega \dot{\mu} \alpha \lambda$ oऽ］$\dot{\alpha} v \omega \mu \alpha \lambda i ́ \alpha$ L

P．152，9 $\pi \varepsilon เ \nu \tilde{\eta} \nu] \pi \varepsilon เ \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~L}$
P．166，13 $\mu \grave{\eta}] \mu \varepsilon ́ v L$

Errors in T omission:
P. 146,8 тع om. T
P. $146,19 \mu$ í $\alpha$ от.T addition:
P. 148,10 ante veṽ@ $\alpha$ add. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{T}$ varia:
P. 144,11 тог] $\tau \mathrm{T}$

P. 148,3 к $\alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \eta ́ \psi \alpha \nu \tau о$ ̧ò к $\alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa v ́ \psi \alpha \nu \tau о$ Т T

P. 148,15 $\tau \tilde{\omega}] \omega \varsigma$ T

P. 150,13 ג̀ $\tau о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega ้ v] ~ v ́ \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \nu ~ T ~$
P. 156,9 $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu o ́ \tau \eta \tau о \varsigma] ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu о ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha ~ T ~$
P. 156,15 $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota] ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ v \varepsilon \iota ~ T$
P. 158,12 غ́tı] દ̌์тı T
P. 172,3 סı $\alpha \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon \operatorname{vov} \mathrm{LM} \Delta \Sigma: \delta \iota \alpha \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v T$

Errors in M
omission:
P. 146,12 кäv $\Delta$, cf. "maybe" Hun.: $\pi \alpha ́ v v$ L TP ${ }^{\gamma o}$ Ald.: om. M

P. 150,19 тג̀ $\varsigma$ ante $\phi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \beta \alpha \varsigma ~ o m . ~ M ~$
addition:
P. 154,7 ante фúбعı add. $\tau \tilde{\mathrm{\eta}} \mathrm{M}$
varia:
P. 148,11 $\alpha$ í] $\alpha$ i M
P. 154,7 ที๋] ท̃レ M
P. 154,16 ס' $\dagger$ ] $\delta \grave{\varepsilon} \mathrm{M}$
P. 156,6 тoṽ] $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{M}$
P. 156,6 $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \imath \tau v] ~ \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota v \mathrm{M}$
P. 158,16 $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \zeta \mathrm{ov}] \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha v \mathrm{M}$
P. 168,2 @́үoṽ $\sigma \iota$ M

L and M are closely related. Some common errors: omission:
 $\mu \alpha$ ívovt $\alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon$ om. LM
P. 154,20 кaì ter om. LM
P. 170,1 к $\alpha$ ì om. LM
addition:
P. 154,7 ante фúбعı add. זท̃ LM
P. 158,5 post к $\alpha \grave{\text { a }}$ add. бтє@عoĩs к $\alpha \grave{\text { L }}$ LM varia:
P. 144,12 őбтıऽ] ôऽ LM
P. 156,20 $\alpha \pi \pi \alpha v$, ó óós TPUD ${ }^{p . c .: ~ غ ̇ \pi a ́ v o o o ́ \varsigma ~ L M ~}$

P. 166,10 $\alpha$ ข่тоі̃ऽ P: $\alpha$ v̀t $\alpha i ̃ \varsigma ~ L M: ~ o m . ~ c e t t . ~$
P. 166,10 кıvoĩvto Pz Ch.: кıvoĩto T: кıvعĩto LM
P. 168,4 к $\alpha \tau \alpha$ đòv $\alpha$ ủ 兀òv $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ : $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ aù đòv đòv LM
P. 170,20 ท̃ TPz: $\mathfrak{\eta} v L M, ~ U ~ u t ~ v i d . ~$

At times, M preserves a good reading, or justs corrects:
P. 150,10 ג́кטœото́тๆレ M: - $\omega$ - cett.
P. 156,16 $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi$ о M: $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \varepsilon \iota ~ c e t t . ~$
P. 170,17 $\pi \alpha \chi \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma ~ M: ~ \pi \alpha \chi \varepsilon ́ o \varsigma ~ c e t t . ~$

M against LT, agreeing with $\Delta$ :
P. 156,16 عỉ om. LT

Some good readings both in $\Lambda$ and $P^{\text {s.l. }}$ may hide good text of the former: P. $158,9 \dot{\alpha} v \delta \varrho \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \nu \Lambda P^{\text {s.l. }}: ~ o m . ~ P ~ P i . l ~ U z ~$

Some good readings both in $\Lambda$ and $U$ may hide good text of the former, U being just contaminated by it, or just preserving a right lesson:
P. 162,3 غ̇ккĩvos $\Lambda U$, cf. "that" Hun.: òvo $\mu \alpha$ کó $\mu \varepsilon v o s ~ P z ~$

Some good readings of L most probably come from $\Lambda$, being TM contaminated by $\Delta$ :
 and facilior.
 ficilior than $\kappa \alpha \mathrm{i} ~ \alpha \ddot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi$ то $\lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ (he uses both). The series KAIA $\Lambda \Lambda A T I N A$ was changed into KAIA $\Lambda \Lambda А П О \Lambda \Lambda A$ : TI was read $\Pi$, and NA was read $\Lambda \Lambda A$.
2.5. Both families are independent from each other. The separative errors either have been produced in uncial letters, or are not depending on minuscle letters. That is, the two families existed before the transliteration. That means that they have been separately transliterated.

The fact of $U$ preserving sound text against the other mss., which have uncial errors, as well as many errors in $U$ being uncial errors against the other mss., points to a probable transliteration on the basis of U (ca. XIII c., see below, Peter of Abano).

## 3．The Greek manuscripts and Hunayn．

Hunayn＇s translation agrees with the $\Delta$－family in error，and most of the good readings in it are present in Hun．as well．

Errors in $\Delta$ and Hun．：

Homoeoteleuton and phonetic similarity of the two groups．
P．166，7 סı $\alpha \theta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \nu$ от．$\Delta$ Hun．Homoeoteleuton：
T＠NTOIOTTQN $\triangle I A \Theta E C E \Omega N$
P．146，12 $\pi \alpha ́ v v$ LTP $^{\text {ro：}: ~ \kappa \alpha ̈ ้ v ~} \Delta$ ，cf．＂maybe＂Hun．ПAN $\rightarrow$ KAN
Good readings in $\Delta$ and Hun．：


P．164，20 oṽtoı $\Delta, c f$ ．＂these＂Hии．：ov̌t $\omega \Lambda$ Easy error in uncials：OケTOI read OケTת
P．168，7 ع⿺̌乛ィ $\Delta$ ，cf．＂presents（such condition）＂Нип．：étı $\Lambda$
P．170，2 $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \uparrow \varsigma \Delta, c f$ ．＂it is necessary＂Hun．：om．$\Lambda$
P．170，14 post $\phi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ́ \delta \alpha \iota v \alpha$ add．$\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu$ оvŋ́ $\Lambda$ ：non habent $\Delta$ ，Hun．

## Р－Нип．：

P．142，10 $\dot{\alpha} v \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \lambda$ оs $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta \nu \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \alpha$ Pa．c．，cf．＂anomalous dyskrasia hap－ pens＂Нип．：$\dot{\alpha} v \omega ́ \mu \alpha \lambda$ оı $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha ı ~ \gamma i ́ \gamma v o v \tau \alpha ı$ vel $\dot{\alpha} v-\gamma^{\prime} \gamma-\delta \nu \sigma \kappa-$ P．c．cett．

U－Hип．：
P．146，16 к＠व́ $\sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ U，cf．＂temperament＂，Hиn．：к＠व́ $\sigma \varepsilon \omega v$ cett．
P．150，15 סט́o U，cf．＂two＂Hип．：om．cett．
z－Нип．：
P．144，1 סvбк๐ $\alpha \sigma$ í ı z，cf．＂dyskrasias＂，Hип．：om．cett．
P．148，10 бúvסєб ט́ $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma u ́ v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu o ı ~ \Lambda P ~$

PU－Нип．：
 heats up＂，Hип．：тó $\tau \varepsilon \psi v \chi \varrho o ̀ v ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu o ̀ v, ~ z: ~ \tau o ́ ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu o ̀ v ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \psi v \chi \varrho o ́ v ~ \Lambda . ~$ P．172，2 то $\tilde{\delta} \varepsilon$ PU，cf．＂that＂Hun．：ov́т $\omega$ z：om．$\Lambda$

Pz－Hun．
P．148，8 кєvaì Pz，cf．＂hollow＂Hип．：oт．$\Lambda \mathrm{U}$
Uz－Hun．



P．152，10 ن́ $ү \varrho \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma ~ U z, ~ c f . ~ " h u m i d " ~ Н и п .: ~ \xi \eta \varrho \alpha ̃ \varsigma ~ \Lambda P ~$


As for the Greek text, the fact of Hun. agreeing with P alone, U alone or z alone, as well as with $\Delta$, means that any of them represents for us a layer of the text datable to the IX c. So that U and z cannot be just considered recentiores.

On the other hand, Hun. witnesses the presence of the two Greek families in the IXc., a fact inherent to the families themselves, as I have shown above. Our Greek transmission presented two branches by the time of Hunayn.

Moreover, against all the Greek mss., Hunayn has the right text for p. 166,6: ő@xعıऽ scripsi, cf. testicles Hun. ${ }^{\text {AP: ódóv } \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ c o d d . ~ T h e ~ p r e v i o u s ~}$ $\mathrm{O} \triangle \Upsilon N \Omega N T A I$ could have easily turned OPXEIC into O$\Delta O N T A C$. As all the Greek codd. agree in error, it was in the Archetype. It seems to me that a hypothetic correction of the text, produced before Hunayn or by himself is not probable, unless a parallel passage could be found elsewhere (in fact, there is one locus similis, but $c f$. commentary to Section 14: p. 166,6 of the Text). If not by correction, the right text had to be found in an exemplar independent from the archetype.

## 4. The Greek manuscripts and Oribasius.

The loci similes in Oribasius (see below, The Greek indirect tradition) attest common errors, or at least common text, between them and the $\Delta$-family. ${ }^{82}$
P. 150,2-154,4 кגì סvoĩv $\gamma \varepsilon$... тò $\zeta \tilde{\mu}$ ov $\alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha]=$ Collectiones medicae CMG VI 2,1; 44,1,10,5-44,1,13,1 סvoĩv $\gamma$ 人̀@ ... đò 弓 $\tilde{\omega}$ ov $\alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$.

Error:
P. 152,16 $\sigma v ́ \mu \pi \alpha v] \pi \tilde{\alpha} v$ U Orib.

It agrees in right reading with the $\Delta$-family:
With $\Delta$ :

P. 152,17 бvvסৎд́ $\mu \eta$ P, U ut videtur, z Orib.: $\sigma v v \delta \varrho \alpha ́ \mu о \iota ~ \Lambda$

With P:
P. 150,4 к $\alpha i \begin{aligned} & \delta \eta \\ & \kappa \\ & \text { кì Pp.c. Orib.: } \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \\ & \eta \\ & \text { cett. }\end{aligned}$
P. 150,7 $\dagger$ bis P ${ }^{\text {s.l. }}$ Orib.

With PU:
P. 150,3 $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ add. post $\phi \theta \mathrm{o} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \Lambda z$ : non habent PU Orib. With Uz:
P. 150,9 $\tau \varepsilon p r$. Uz Orib.

P. 152,16 $\chi$ о $\tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \varsigma ~ U z ~ O r i b .: ~ \chi о \lambda \omega \delta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \varepsilon @ o v ~ \Lambda P ~$

[^12]When it agrees with one ms. of the $\Lambda$-family, the reading is attested as well in the $\Delta$-family (U):

With TU:

P. 152,14 है $\chi$ oı TU Orib.: है $\chi \varepsilon \iota ~ c e t t$.

With TUz:
P. 152,15 ci post $\mathfrak{\eta}$ add. LMP (non habent TUz Orib.)

With MU:
P. 152,15 ̧́̌oı MU Orib.: Цદ́દı cett.

The agreement in error in p. 152,16 is not enough to tell for certain the relationship between those and Galen's. ${ }^{83}$

If the text of Oribasius is unanimous, ${ }^{84}$ the community could vaguely be established. If that is the case, the text of De inaequali intemperie, coming from a single archetype in uncial letters (at least, the common omission of oú $\tau \omega$ in P . 146,7), could have been divided into two branches in the IV c.?

We could think that the text of Uz is the correct text of Galen. Even then, the fact of the existence of a $\Lambda$-family, which doesn't come from Uz ( $\Delta$-family), nor the other way round, could point to a division of the text into two branches, datable to the IV century or earlier (previous to the inclusion of Galen's text by Oribasius). The archetype would antedate the division into two branches (III-IV ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ c.) It is just a possibility. At least the two families were divided before Ḥunayn's translation.
5. Stemma. See page 46.

## A 4. The Greek Editions And Their Sources.

1. The first three Renaissance translators of the treatise seem to have collaborated in the Aldine edition: Giorgio Valla, Niccolò Leoniceno and Thomas of Linacre. ${ }^{85}$ While it was usual to find that the Aldine editors and their collaborators just used one ms., several modern editors have remarked that two or even more mss. were at work. For the constitutio of the text of De inaequali in-
[^13]
## STEMMA OF THE GREEK TEXT


temperie, the team of Giovanni Battista Oppizzoni ${ }^{86}$ probably had at hand more than one copy, as did the first Renaissance translators of the treatise. ${ }^{87}$

The Aldine edition is based upon the agreement of the three mss. composing the $\Lambda$-family. The amount of unique lessons and the agreements in punctuation aim at the Marcianus $282^{88}$ as primary source.

Agreements of M and Aldine (A)
Error:
P. 154,5 ท̃ LTPs.l. ${ }^{\text {TV }}$ MA
P. 154,7 ante фט́бとı add. тท̃ MA
P. 154,16 ס' $\dagger$ ] $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ MA
P. 158,8 $\alpha$ ủтoĩs ante $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v$ LPU: ante $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \mu$ о@í $\omega v$ MA: om. cett.
P. 158,16 $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \zeta o v] \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ MA
P. 162,14 кєк@ $\alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v$ ] - $\alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ M ( $\mu$ bis s.l.) A
P. 168,2 @ $\gamma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota]$ @́ $\gamma$ ои̃ $\sigma \iota$ MA
P. 168,12 @́ $\gamma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota]$ @́ $\gamma$ ои̃ $\iota$ MA

Correction:

P. 156,16 $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi$ о MA: $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \varepsilon \iota ~ c e t t$.

The Trivultianus gr. 685, belonging to the same family, has probably been used as well. Its writing is easy. The amount of single agreements with the Aldine edition is small, but it may represent as well the agreements of Ald. with this one and the Laurentianus or/and the Phillipps, which do not share single agreements. Although some Laurentians have been pointed to, as a model for the Aldines, ${ }^{89}$ the writing of this copy is rather difficult. This is also true for the Phillipps.

[^14]Single agreements of Trivultianus and A (just errors)
P. 142,13 $\pi \lambda$ eĩov L] $\pi \lambda$ ع́óoves TA
P. 148,10 ante veṽ@ $\alpha$ add. $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ TA
P. 156,9 $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu о ́ \tau \eta \tau о \varsigma] ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu о ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha ~ T A ~$

P. 172,3 $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ L M \Delta: ~ \delta \iota \alpha \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v ~ T A ~$

There is another reading in the Aldine that is not in the mss., and could come from a wrongly understood compendium in T: $\pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha}$ written as a $\pi$ crowned by a kind of epsilon inclined with the central axis prolonged up- and eastwards ( 7 ):
P. 154,17 $\pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha}$ TMPz: $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{U}: \pi \tilde{\alpha} v \mathrm{~L}: \pi \varepsilon \varrho i($ apud $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ abbrev. in T?) A

The use of those two mss. covers 99\% of the text. They represent -besides their single agreements with A- the agreements with all the groups where any of them is present.

Some readings not found in those groups, could have been taken from one of the mss. z, either D or V. ${ }^{90}$ The Laudianus has been in the hands of German or English people, ${ }^{91}$ while the Vaticanus was in the Vatican Library before 1500. In fact, Thomas of Linacre used one of them (see below, Renaissance translations) for his translation of this treatise, and he prepared Aldine editions. There are not single agreements with A, but the scarce coincidences of A and the $\Delta$-family, could be easily explained by them.
P. 144,13 бкह́ $\operatorname{los} \Delta \mathrm{A}$ : $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon ́ \lambda o u \varsigma ~ L M: ~ \sigma \kappa \varepsilon ́ \lambda \eta ~ T ~$
P. 144,13 $\varepsilon$ cis ${ }^{\text {Ps.l }}$ zA: om. $\Lambda P^{\text {i.l. }} \mathrm{U}$


The use of P is rather improbable, while U just accounts for the first reading, but not for the other two. While the use of three mss. may seem complicated, the hypothesis of a single ms. is rather improbable: there would be many more deviations. As Aldo began to gather mss. of Galen ca. 1500,92 the presses would have collected a good amount of books before 1516, when the

[^15]mss. of Bessarion started to be available. On those grounds the Opizoni team would have had at hand several mss. for some treatises. Looking for a precise book, they may have found copies of several others, and they just profited from them.

Of course, the Aldine does not have more errors than expected, and some of the readings could have been, in fact, corrections of the text, as in the following:

2. Some new misprints and/or errors are found as well in the Aldine. I leave aside minimal misprints, and will quote some interesting ones below (see Appendix).
3. The exemplar of the Aldine in Jena, was corrected by Janus Cornarius. ${ }^{93}$ In three out of four corrections, the correct text is present in the mss. (see Appendix).
4. The second Greek edition of the Opera omnia appeared in Basle, 1538. The treatise ${ }^{94}$ is written in Vol. I, 249-252. The printer was A. Cratander ${ }^{95}$. Among the editors (H. Gemusaeus, L. Fuchsius et G. Camerarius), Leonhart Fuchs ${ }^{96}$ (1501-1566), who prepared the second volume, later published a translation and commentary of De inaequali intemperie. ${ }^{97}$

This edition presents minimal variations in relation to the Aldine. It reproduces most of its faults, and corrects just a few. See Appendix.
5. John Caius ${ }^{98}$ corrected an exemplar of the Basle edition, now in the Eton College. See below. As in the case of Cornarius, for the most part, the

[^16]right readings are present in the mss., and more precisely in $z$ and the translation by Linacre, who used z as model.
6. A third edition of Galeni opera omnia was signed by René Chartier in Paris. ${ }^{99}$ He put together Hippocrates and Galen (1639-1679). He corrected errors, and introduced new text and new errors. He included a Latin translation (vide infra). The treatise is in Vol. VII, 170-177, edited in 1649. The divission into 9 chapters and their position are taken from Nicholas of Reggio (vide infra), but the titles are different.
7. Kühn's edition of this treatise appeared in Leipzig in 1824. He corrected somehow Charterius' text.
8. APPENDIX. Aldine (A), Basle (B), Charterius (Ch).
8.1. Aldine errors:
corr. Cornarius
 TPz


corr. Basle
P. 142,8 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \bar{\alpha} \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$ A, corr. B
P. 150,1 toù $]$ toṽ A, corr. B
P. 156,7 $\left.\alpha \mathfrak{\imath} \sigma Ө \eta \sigma_{\eta}\right]-\eta \sigma^{\sigma}$ A, corr. B
P. 164,6 oủठ' ö $\lambda \omega \varsigma$ ] ov̉ סó $\lambda \omega \varsigma$ codd. A, corr. B
corr. Caius
P. 164,3 ǒ $\tau$ ] ơ ơ AB, corr. Caius, Ch

P. 170,20 ท̃] $\tilde{\eta}^{2}$ LMAB, corr. Caius, Ch
corr. Charterius

P. 148,15 к $\alpha \mu \nu \varepsilon$ !] кá $\mu \nu \varepsilon เ \nu$ Pa.c. z AB, corr. Ch
P. 154,17 $\pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha}] \pi \varepsilon \varrho i ̀ ~ A, ~ c o r r . ~ C h ~$

P. $158,15<\eta \geqslant \psi v \chi \varrho o ́ \tau \varepsilon \varrho o v>$ recte add. Ch., e Nic. vel frigidior
P. 164,19 غ̇ $\psi \dot{\gamma} \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha v$ z: $̇ \dot{\psi} \cup \dot{\chi} \eta \sigma \alpha v$ (sic) $\Lambda, \mathrm{P}$ et add. $\mathrm{z}^{\text {s.l. }, \mathrm{U} \mathrm{AB}, ~ c o r r . ~ C h ~}$
P. 166,7 post $\mu$ ooí $\omega v$ interrogationem recte indic. Ch: dist. codd., AB

99 I checked the copy of the Biblioteca Nacional de España in Madrid.

P. 166,10 кıvoĩvto Pz: кıveĩтo LM AB, corr. Ch
P. 166,11 oủסèv P: oủdè cett. AB, corr. Ch
P. 166,17 $\tau \tilde{\omega}$ z: tò cett. AB, corr. Ch
P. 170,4 @́́үos (sic) AB, corr. Ch
P. 172,7 $\chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha]-\pi о \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha$ L AB, corr. Ch
8.2. New errors in Basle
P. 142,12 кג́к LTA A: к人́к MB
P. 162,2 סı̀ $]$ п@òs B, corr. Ch
P. 162,10 גití $\alpha$ เs] גítí $\alpha$ B, corr. Caius, corr. Ch


### 8.3. New errors in Charterius




## A 5. The Latin Translation From The Arabic.

1. The author.

The first known translation into Latin, beginning Malicia complexionis diuerse quandoque, and ending Deinde post ipsum librum de ingenio sanitatis, is based on the Arabic text:
 continuous, called hektikos by the Greeks" Hun.: preter febrem fixam, dictam grece ethicam Ger.
P. 142,6 $\gamma \alpha \gamma \gamma \varrho \alpha \iota v o u ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ (getting gangrenuous): "it reaches such a point that it takes the way of degeneration and death, the tumour known by the Greeks as anqrāyā" Hun.: ad terminun tendens, secundum quod erit in semita corruptionis et mortis, et est apostema quod greci vocant cancrene Ger.

It scarcely uses Greek terms. The name of Gerard of Cremona (ca. 11141187) ${ }^{101}$ is not mentioned in the manuscripts as far as I know, but in a list of his translations written by his associates and disciples shortly after his death, a Liber Galieni de malicia complexionis diverse, tract. I, is mentioned. ${ }^{102}$

[^17]> Working in Toledo ${ }^{103}$ at least since $1157,{ }^{104}$ Gerard was a very prolific translator.

## 2. The manuscripts.

I have searched manuscripts containing this translation not only through the usual repertoires, ${ }^{106}$ but by means of books, papers and catalogues somehow related to Medieval Science as well.

The amount of copies is outstanding. ${ }^{107}$ The list of Diels, ${ }^{108}$ including 53 mss., is far from complete, and I guess that my own -85 mss.- does not include the whole material. The unexpected interest that this treatise awakened is founded, in my opinion, on two of its features. First, its contents coupled with style: key notions of anatomy, physiology and pathology written in a very clear way. The second is obviously its small size. This book is a comprehensive encyclopaedia of medicine that could be carried by a physician-to-be and/or learned by heart without much effort. It was included in the Montpellier curriculum in 1309. ${ }^{109}$

I have marked with * the copies that I have seen myself.
Basle, Universitätsbibliothek, ${ }^{110}$ D I 5, XIV c., 69rb-71vb, misc. The text is divided into 8 chapters.

[^18]Basle, Universitätsbibliothek, ${ }^{111}$ D III 8, XIV c., 142rb-145ra, misc.
Bergamo, Biblioteca Civica Angelo Mai, ${ }^{112}$ Ms. Gamma V 2, now MA 507, XV c. ( 1470 or shortly after), f. 250v-252r, paper, 2 cols., miscellanea, unnumbered folios. Notabilia ex libro Galieni de malitia complexionis (Excerpt).
*Berlin, Berolinensis ${ }^{113}$ lat. 638 (ol. Boncompagni 214), XIII ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ c., f. 23va-25va, membranaceus, two cols, $382 \times 250$. A Galenic codex for the most part. De malicia between De complexionibus and De simplici medicina.
[Bernkastel-Kues, Cusanus, Bibliothek des St. Nikolaus-Hospitals, ms. medicus 8, xiii/xiv c., no 8 . Diels 84 [56], and ms. medicus 11 , xiii/xiv c., $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{o}} 5$. Diels 84 [56]. Those two Diels' references are no longer correct, according to Ms. Gabriele Neusius, present (2006) curator.]

Bernkastel-Kues, Bibliothek des St. Nikolaus-Hospitals, Cusanus, ms. medicus 296, ${ }^{114}$ XIV c., ff. 206-208, vellum, $334 \times 229$, two columns to the page, 208 ff. A collection of G.'s treatises. Its origin is Montpellier: "Iste liber est magistri henrici stengemse de fritzlar, qui emit in monte pessol(ano)." The 14th treatise is De malicia, followed by De ingenio sanitatis.

Bernkastel-Kues, Bibliothek des St. Nikolaus-Hospitals, Cusanus, ms. medicus ${ }^{115}$ 297, XIII-XIV c., f. 135-137, vellum, $288 \times 200$, two columns to the page, 214 ff . Some Galen's treatises. De malicia is the fifth, followed by De ingenio sanitatis.

Boston, Massachusetts, ${ }^{116}$ The Library of Frank B. Bemis, Ms. 5, Vellum, late XIII ${ }^{\text {th }}$ c., f.?, $390 \times 250,268$ folios. Northern Italy (Bologna?) A Galen's collection. The third treatise is De malicia complexionis diverse, between De complexionibus and De iuvamentis membrorum.

Boston, Massachusetts, ${ }^{117}$ The Library of Frank B. Bemis, Ms. 6, ca. 1350, ff.?, vellum, $420 \times 270$, 98 folios. Northern Italy (probably Venice). Contempo-

[^19]117 Not in Diels, T-K, or Iter. Bibliography, ut supra.
rary notes. De malicia is the fourth treatise, between De pharmaciis and De accidente et morbo.

Bourges (Fr.), Biturigensis ${ }^{118} 299$ (247), XIII ${ }^{\mathrm{f}, 19}$ f. 144vb-147rb. Vellum, ${ }^{120}$ two cols., $410 \times 270 \mathrm{~mm} .180 \mathrm{ff}$. A collection of Galen's books. ${ }^{121}$ Between Liber Galieni De sentenciis ${ }^{122}$ and De iuvamento hanelitus. Southern France, maybe Montpellier. ${ }^{123}$

Brugge (Be.), Brugensis, Stadsbibliothek 466, XIV c., ff. 125ra-126va, membranaceus, ${ }^{124} 308 \times 215,139$ ff. Miscellanea. Between Viaticus Constantini, and Theorica planetarum by Gerard of Cremona. Marginal notes.
*Cambrai, Cameracensis ${ }^{125} 907$ (806), xiv c., f. 164ra-166va, vellum, 166 ff., two cols., 291x211. A small Galen's collection, including five treatises. De criticis diebus is followed by some notes, and a different hand writes De malicia, which is the last treatise of the ms. ${ }^{126}$

Cambridge University, Peterhouse College, Cantabrigiensis ${ }^{127}$ St. Petri 33, XIII-XIV c., ff. 23v-24r.
*Cesena, Biblioteca Malatestiana ${ }^{128}$, Malatest. S V 4, middle or second half of the XIV c., 117vb-119va, vellum, two cols., $405 \times 260,264 \mathrm{ff}$., probably Italian. The ms. belonged to G. Marco de Rimini. De malicia: capitulatus by a second hand, as well as interlinear and marginal notes by a third. The writing is easier than in De inaequali distemperantia (tr. by P. d'Abano), placed in 135va-136vb. Most of the fifty two treatises included are Galen's. This is the $31^{\text {st }}$, between De complexionibus and De simplicibus farmaciis.

[^20]*Cesena, Malatestianus ${ }^{129}$ D XXV 1, XIII c., f. 47vb-50rb, vellum, 403x262, 197 ff . It belonged to G. Marco de Rimini. A collection of twelve treatises by Galen. De malicia is the fifth, between De complexionibus and De criticis diebus. The text includes scarce notes.
*Cesena, Malatestianus ${ }^{130}$ D XXV 2, XIII c., f. 197va-201ra, vellum, 428x270, 2 cols., 272 ff. Mutilo in initio. Collection of G. Marco da Rimini. A Galen codex, including 14 treatises and a Commentum to De sectis. De malicia is the seventh treatise, between De iuvamento anhelitus and De pulsibus ad Tyrones; the text includes chapters and there are a few notes. Probably written by the same hand as D XXV 1 .

Chartres, Autricensis ${ }^{131} 284^{*}(340)$, XIII c., f. 62v-64r, vellum, two cols., $375 \times 247 \mathrm{~mm}$., 258 ff. Galeni opuscula. De malicia between De simplici medicina and De iuvamento membrorum.
[Chartres, Autricensis ${ }^{132} 293^{*}(351)$, XIV c., ff.56v-58r., vellum, two cols., $370 \times 250,129 \mathrm{f}$. Containing a large collection of Galen's treatises, it was destroyed in 1944. De malicia was written between De interioribus libri vi and De ingenio sanitatis libri xiv.]
[Dresden, Dresdensis ${ }^{133} \mathrm{Db} .91, \mathrm{XV}$ c., ff. 15va-18vb, membranaceus, 2 cols. Miscel. Destroyed (Iter 3, p. 376).]

Edinburgh, University Library, ${ }^{134}$ Ms. 166, late XIII c., ff. 190va-192rb, vellum, two cols., $127 / 8 \times 9$ 1/2, 274 folios, Anglo-Norman. Treatise 9, De malicia complexionis, followed by De ingenio sanitatis.
*Erfurt, Stadtbibliothek, Amploniensis ${ }^{135}$ in quarto (Q) 178, first half of the XIV c., 159vb-160vb, vellum, 178 ff. Two cols. Varia medica. De malitia is abridged. Between De complexionibus and De virtutibus simplicis medicine. The last sentence of the treatise is missing. It ends in "spissior, difficilior et siccior" ("Et qui antecedit quidem ... de ingenio sanitatis").

[^21]*Erfurt, Stadtbibliothek, Amploniensis ${ }^{136}$ in folio (F) 249, late XIII c. more than early XIV c. (Schum), ff. 234rb-236vb, two columns to the page, vellum, 294 folios, Italian origin. Many glosses. Between De complexionibus and De elementis secundum Hippocratem. A collection of Galen's treatises that belonged to the physician Amplonius Ratinck from Rheinberg.

Eton College Library, ${ }^{137}$ ms. 132, nr. 13 James/16 Ker, XIII c., ff. 235-237, vellum, 270x190 mm., 2 columns, written probably in France (Ker). 18 Galenic or pseudo-Galenic treatises. De malicia between De simplici medicina and De iuvamentis membrorum.

Florence, Riccardianus ${ }^{138} 868$ (LII 1), XV c., f. 109r-111v, paper, miscellanea. De malicia fragmentary at the end.

Gdansk, Biblioteka Gdanska Polskiej Akademii Nauk, ${ }^{139}$ Mar. F.41, XIV c., ff. 167ra-169rb.

Kassel, Landesbibliothek und Murhardsche Bibliothek der Stadt Kassel, Cassellanus ${ }^{140}$ in $8^{\mathbf{o}}$, Ms. medicus 10, 46r-53r. "Finitus liber de malicia complexionis diverse Galieni anno domini 1336 perfecto in Montepessulano..." A paper codex, 220x150, 136 ff ., composed by three parts ( 1336 to ca. 1380), written in Montpellier and Goslar. Varia medica. Glosses to the treatise.
*Klosterneuburg (Niwenburgensis), Bibliothek des Chorherrenstiftes, CCl 126, ca. 1300, f. $247 \mathrm{ra}-249 \mathrm{rb}$, membranaceus, ${ }^{141}$ two cols., $435 \times 275,249$ fl. North of Italy. Illuminated codex. Text divided into eight chapters. In the middle of the XV c. the ms. arrives at the Neuburg monastery as a gift from Michael Ofner, vicarius chori ecclesie Pataviensis. It is the last treatise of the codex, written after De pulsuum usu. Glosses in margine et supra lineam in a rather small handwriting.

[^22]*Krakow, Bibliot. Jagellonica ${ }^{142}$ 800, ff.115va-117va, 1279 A.D., a parchemin ms. of Italian origin, 395 by 260 in size, containing 279 plus II folios. Text divided into chapters.

Leipzig, Universitäts-Bibliothek ms. 1227, 214r-220v, carta miscell. This part of the manuscript ${ }^{143}$ (it contains different fasciculi) was written probably ca. 1475/80 as the watermarks show (three mountains with cross, see Piccard Dreiberg VII 2313 and VII 2328). There is no printed description of the codex. The curator kindly provided me with these notes.
*London, British Library, Harleianus ${ }^{144} 3748$, XV c., f. 208v-210v, vellum, over 500x300. De malicia after De complexionibus.
*London, British Library, Harleianus ${ }^{145} 5425$, XIV c., f. 53vb-56va., vellum.
*London, British Library, ${ }^{146}$ Add. 22.669, XIV c., f. $45 \mathrm{v}-47 \mathrm{v}$, vellum, two cols., in folio, written in Italy. A Galen's collection. De malicia complexionis diverse between De complexionibus and De bona habitudine.
*London, Wellcome ${ }^{147}$ Historical Medical library, ms. 285, former Phillipps 6915, early XIV c., f. 50rb-52ra, vellum, 355x225, 99 folios, two cols. Written in an Italian gothic hand. Malitia complexionis between De differentiis febrium and De accidenti et morbo.
*Madrid, Biblioteca Nacional, Matritensis ${ }^{188}$ 3308, dated 1472 A.D., ff. 158r168v, 305x210, 168 f.

Montpellier, Montepessulanus ${ }^{149}$ (École de méd.) 18, XIII c., f. 22-24, vellum, in folio. It is an important Galenic collection, written in Italy. Between De complexionibus and De virtutibus naturalibus. ${ }^{150}$

[^23]*Munich, Bayerische Staats-Bibliothek, Monacensis ${ }^{151}$ Latinus 5, dated 1304, f. 143rb-145rb. A vellum codex in-folio, containing a collection of Galen's treatises in 263 ff. Between De complexionibus and De crisibus.
*Munich, Bayerische Staats-Bibliothek, Monacensis ${ }^{152}$ Latinus 11, XV c. (T-K 846), f. 94vb-96va, vellum, 96 f . A small Galen's collection of seven treatises. Between De virtutibus simplicis medicine and De complexionibus (incomplete).
*Munich, Bayerische Staats-Bibliothek, Monacensis ${ }^{153}$ Latinus 3512 (Aug. civ. 12), a. 1300, f. 337ra-338va, vellum, in folio, 434 f. Many scholia in a very small writing. Miscellanea medica for the most part. Between G.' De pulsibus and Comm. in Hipp. Aphorismos.

Naples, Biblioteca Nazionale, Neapolitanus VIII D 30, XIV c., ${ }^{154}$ f. 18ra -19vb, vellum, 2 cols., 265x190 mm. De malitia "fragmentary at the end." (Iter 1, 425). Some glosses. Between De complexionibus and De criticis diebus.
[Naples, Biblioteca Nazionale, Neapolitanus VIII D 32, XIV c.: IP notice. ${ }^{155}$ This is a wrong notice in IP. Not Galen in VIII D 32. ]

Naples, Biblioteca Nazionale, Neapolitanus ${ }^{156}$ VIII D 34, XIV c., ff. 53rb 53 va (55rb according to Cenci), vellum, two cols., 340x240. It includes scholia. Between De accidenti et morbo and Therapeutica.

De malicia complexionis diverse, De crisi, De criticis diebus, De morbo et accidenti, De differentiis febrium, De ingenio sanitatis, De simplicibus medicinis, De iuvamentis membrorum, De interioribus, De regimine sanitatis and De virtutibus naturalibus.

151 C. Halm, G. Laubmann, Catalogus codicum latinorum Bibliothecae Regiae Monacensis, t. I, Pars I, Munich 1868, p. 2. Sudhoff, 1927, 296. Diels 84 [56]: xiv c. Not in T-K, or Iter.

152 C. Halm, G. Laubmann, Catalogus..., p. 3: xiv c. Diels 84 [56]: xiv c. T-K 846: xv c. Not in Iter.

153 C. Halm, G. Laubmann, G. Meyer, Catalogus..., t. I, pars II, Munich 1871, pp. 82-83. Diels 84 [56]: 1300. Not in T-K, Iter.

154 XIV c. according to Iter 1, p. 425; 6, p. 113, and Durling, A Guide to... I-II, p. 506. F. Fossier, La Bibliothèque Farnèse. Étude des manuscrits latins et en langue vernaculaire, Rome 1982, pp. 87-487 (Naples), in p. 369 . Diels 84 [56]. Writings of Galen: de complexionibus, in 3 books, inc. Insignes antiqui medicorum; cf. Durling, Linacre..., p. 85, n. 5; de malicia complexionis diverse, fragm. at the end, inc. Malitia complexionis diversa quando; de criticis diebus, in 3 books, inc. Et signum (i.e., Ea signa?) que non paulatim minuuntur; de accidenti et morbo, inc. In initio huius libri morbum diffinire oportet. (Iter ibid.)

155 IP quotes Cesare Cenci, Manoscritti francescani della Biblioteca Nazionale di Napoli I-II, Florentiae, Romae 1971.

156 Iter 1, p. 425: Malitia complexionis, "perhaps fragmentary at the end." Many notes. Cf. Cesare Cenci, Manoscritti francescani della Biblioteca Nazionale di Napoli I-II, Florentiae, Romae 1971, II, 832. Cf. Chiappini, p. 177, and n. 463 (p. 153). Durling, A Guide... I_II, p. 506. Diels 84 [56]. *IP. Writings by Galen (Iter, ibid.): de simplici medicina, fragm. at the end, inc. Non est mihi necesse ostendere; de differenciis febrium, inc. Differencie febrium que quidem maxime; de accidenti et morbo, inc. Intentio (i.e., in initio) huius libri deffiniri morbum oportet; de malitia complexionis diverse, inc. Malitia complexionis diverse (perhaps fragm. at the end). The inventory also lists: Galen, therapeutica. Hippocrates, de natura humana.

New Haven, Yale University, Med. Library, ${ }^{157}$ Ms. 6., ca. 1350, vellum, Northern Italy (probably Venice), 98 folios.

Nürnberg, Stadtbibliothek, Cent. III 16, vol. II, ff. 28va-30rb, XIII c., vellum, ${ }^{158} 410 \times 255$. Miscellanea medica. Seven Galenic treatises. Probably French. Between De complexionibus and De criticis diebus, as in Neapolitanus VIII D 30.

Oxford, Balliol College Library ${ }^{159}$ 231, late XIII ${ }^{\text {th }}$ c., 280v-282v, vellum, 14,1/2x101/2 inch.

Oxford, Merton College Library ${ }^{160}$ 218, XIV c., ff. 25-27, vellum, in folio, 2 cols.

Oxford, Merton College Library ${ }^{161}$ 219, early XIV c., f. 36b (Diels). Oxford, Merton College Library ${ }^{162}$ 685, 1353 A.D., f. 152 c (Diels).

Paris, Bibliothèque de l'Arsenal, ${ }^{163}$ Parisinus Armamentarii 1080, dated 1334, f. 24 v - 25 v, vellum, two cols., $273 \times 190 \mathrm{~mm}$., 301 ff . Varia medica, including at the beginning some Galenic and Hippocratic treatises, most of them abbreviated by Iohannes de Sancto Amando. The copy was finished in 1334 by Johannes de Berblengheem. De malicia is preceded by the De simplici medicina and followed by De iuvamentis membrorum.

Paris, Bibliothèque de la Sorbonne, Parisinus Sorboniensis ${ }^{164} 125$, 2nd half of XIII c., fol. 26rb-28va, vellum, $430 \times 295 \mathrm{~mm}$., 305 ff . A very important collection of Galen, with 18 treatises. ${ }^{165}$ It includes the series De elementis, De

[^24]complexionibus, ${ }^{166}$ De malicia..., De simplici medicina, De iuvamentis membrorum, De ingenio sanitatis. It presents notes to the treatise.
[Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale, Parisinus lat. 6765, mentioned by Diels 84, [12 and 56] between brackets, and by Ackermann (I lxxix K.). It just contains grammar treatises.]
*Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale, Parisinus ${ }^{167}$ latinus 6865A, XIV c., ff. 39vb41 vb , vellum, 2 cols. No notes. f.41r hardly intelligible.
*Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale, Parisinus latinus 6865B, middle of the XIV c., f. 152va-154va, vellum, two cols., $385 \times 302$. Maybe the best known ms. of the Latin Galen. ${ }^{168}$ It was in Avignon in 1353; D'Alverny suggests that it was written in Southern France. ${ }^{169}$ On the other hand, Nutton ${ }^{170}$ relates it to "the Angevin court of Naples", and points to the period 1345-1353 for the copy.
*Parisinus latinus ${ }^{171} 7015$, XIV c., $36 \mathrm{vb}-38 \mathrm{vb}$, vellum, two cols.
*Parisinus latinus ${ }^{172} 11.860$, XIV c., 82 rb- 84 ra, vellum, 2 cols. Between De morbo et accidente and De simplici medicina.
*Parisinus latinus 14389, XIII c. (middle or third quarter), ${ }^{173}$ or XIV c. ${ }^{174}$, 32ra-35va, Italian (NE).
*Parisinus latinus ${ }^{175} 15455$, XIII c., 94 rb-96rb, vellum. De malicia between De iuvamentis membrorum and De crisibus.
*Parisinus latinus, ${ }^{176}$ nouvelles acquisitions 343, XIV c., 37ra-39va, vellum, miscellanea. De malicia: notes by two hands at least. Between De complexionibus and De virtutibus dispensantibus corpus humanum.

[^25]*Parisinus latinus, ${ }^{177}$ nouv. acq. 1482, XIII ${ }^{\text {ex }}, 76$ rb- 78 va, vellum, miscellanea. De malicia: many notes by a second hand. Easy writing. Between De complexionibus (tr. ascribed to Gerard of Cremona in the ms. instead of to Burgundio), and De elementis secundum sentenciam Ypocratis (tr. ascribed as well to G. of Cremona).
*Prague. Pragensis ${ }^{178}$ bibliotheca universitaria 1404 (VIII A 1), XIV c., f. 280ra-281vb, membranaceus, two columns, $415 \times 255,369 \mathrm{ff}$. In the XV c. it belonged to the physician Iohannis Maelss Braunaviensis. Between Galen's De morbo et accidente and Passionarius Galieni.
*Salzburg (Salisburgensis), Museum Carolino-Augusteum ${ }^{179}$ 4004, XIV c., ff. 207ra-208va, membranaceus, 226 ff . Italian origin. Most of the codex presents two columns, as it happens in De malitia. Between De causis pulsuum and Liber secretorum. De malitia is scarcely annotated.

Tübingen, Universitäts-Bibliothek, Tubingensis ${ }^{180}$ Mc 30, vol. II (ff. 113175) dated 1407 , f. $163 \mathrm{r}-174 \mathrm{v}$, paper, $205 \times 150,175$ f. Varia medica. De malitia is the last treatise of the volume: the text presents interlinear and in-margine glosses.

Tübingen, Universitäts-Bibliothek, Tubingensis ${ }^{181}$ Mc. 343, before 1501 A.D., ff. 278r-288v, paper, misc., 220x160. The treatise ends the volume, and is preceded by a Commentum in Galieni Artem parvam, and some Quaestiones, by Jacobus Forliviensis.

Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus latinus ${ }^{182}$ 2375, XIV c., f. 24r27 r , vellum, misc.

Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus latinus ${ }^{183}$ 2378, XIV c., f. 60r$61 r$, vellum, misc.

[^26]Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus latinus ${ }^{184}$ 2381, XIV c., f. 198r200v, vellum, misc.

Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus latinus ${ }^{185}$ 2386, f. 48r-50r.
Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus latinus ${ }^{186}$ 2416, XIV c., f. 58v60 v , vellum, misc.

Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus Palatinus latinus ${ }^{187}$ 1092, XIIIXIV c., f. 19va-21vb, vellum, 255x195, 169+3 folios, French. A Galen's collection. De malicia between De complexionibus and De simplici medicina.

Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus Palatinus latinus ${ }^{188}$ 1093, XIV c., f. $125 \mathrm{rb}-126 \mathrm{vb}$, vellum, $395 \times 265,145+1$ folios. Italian. Galenic collection. De malicia between Galen's De regimine sanitatis (=Methodo medendi, transl. Burgundio) and De flebotomia, tr. G. Moerbeke.

Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus Palatinus latinus ${ }^{189}$ 1094, XIV c., f. $85 \mathrm{vb}-88 \mathrm{va}$ (CMG), vellum, $310 \times 225,643+1$ folios, French. A very large Galenic collection. De malicia between De simplici medicina and De iuvamentis membrorum.

Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus Palatinus latinus ${ }^{190}$ 1095, XIV c., f. 51rb-53vb, vellum, 410x270, two cols., Italy (Southern France?). A Galen's collection. De malicia between De complexionibus, and De regimine sanitatis (=Methodo medendi, transl. Burgundio).

Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus Palatinus latinus ${ }^{191}$ 1096, XIV c., f. $126 \mathrm{ra}-127 \mathrm{vb}$, vellum, $405 \times 280-285,183+1$ folios, two cols., Italy. Galen and Hippocrates. Between de simplicibus farmaciis, tr. Stephanus de Messina, and De virtutibus naturalibus, tr. Burgundio.

Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus Palatinus latinus ${ }^{192}$ 1104, first half of XIV c., f. 96ra-97vb, vellum (116-127 paper), $365 \times 245,127+4$ folios, Italy, misc. medica. De malicia is the last Galen's treatise, following De virtutibus naturalibus.

[^27]Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus Otobonianus ${ }^{193} 1158$, XIII c., f. 86vb-88vb, vellum, misc.

Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus Urbinas ${ }^{194}$ 209, olim 285, XIVXV c., f. 119. De malitia complexionis capita I-IX cum scholiis excerptis e Galeno et aliis.

Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus Urbinas ${ }^{195}$ 247, XIV c., f. 85v.
Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vaticanus barberianus ${ }^{196}$ latinus 178, XIV c., ff. 103r-104v, vellum, misc., between Galen's De differentiis febrium and De diebus criticis, by Hugo de Civitate Castellis.

Venice, Biblioteca Marciana, Fondo Antico ${ }^{197} 317$ (App. cl. XIV 5) (Diels), XIV c., ff. 49-51.
[Venice, Biblioteca Marciana, App. cl. XIV 26, XV c., f. 65 (Diels 84 [56]). Not in Iter 6. Not by Galen, according to Zorzanello, Catalogo dei codici latini della Biblioteca Nazionale Marciana di Venezia non compressi nel catalogo di G. Valentinelli, vol. III, Trezano 1985 (Class. xiv).]

Vienna, Österreichischen Nationalbibliothek, Vindobonensis ${ }^{198}$ lat. 2294, XIV c., 148b-151a, 228 ff. Treatises by Galen. De malicia between De accidenti et morbo (De symptomatum causis) and Liber pharmacorum.

Volterra, Biblioteca Comunale Guarnacciana, Volaterranus ${ }^{199} 103$ (6365), XIV c. (Iter 6, 292), f. 87 (Diels), excerpt, vellum. Between Galen's De voce and De differ. febrium.

[^28]Wiesbaden, Nassauischen ${ }^{200}$ Landesbibliothek, Ms. 56, XV c., f. 32vb-35vb, paper, two cols., $292 \times 215 \mathrm{~mm}, 342 \mathrm{ff}$. Varia medica. Between Galen's De morbo et accidente and Divisiones omnium infirmitatum corporis humani (attributed to Galen in the ms). ${ }^{201}$
[Wolfenbüttel, Bibliotheca augustea. August. c. libr. de pulsibus (cat. p. 301)??, Ackermann. I cannot find the reference. See Otto von Heinemann et alii, Die Handschriften der Herzoglichen Bibliothek zu Wolfenbüttel, 1884-1913. Zweite Abteilung. Die Augusteischen Handschriften, vol. I-V, 1890-1903, repr. Frankfurt am Main, 1966. Cf. Iter I, p. 225.]

Wrocław, Vratislaviensis ${ }^{202}$ Bibliotheca universitaria, IV F 25, late XIII c., ff. $125 \mathrm{r}-127 \mathrm{v}$.

Wrocław, Vratislaviensis ${ }^{203}$ Bibliotheca universitaria, IV F 26, ff. 86r-88v.
[Würzburg, Universitätsbibliothek, M.p.th. q. 45, ff. 197v, De malicia mali. D. Keller, Die Pergament-Handschriften der Königl. Universitäts-Bibliothek Würzburg in alphabetischer Reihenfolge verzeichnet, Würzburg 1886, p. 9. I. Schwarz, Die medizinschen Handschriften der Kgl. Universitätsbibliothek in Würzburg, 1907, vol. I, pp. 75-77. Iter 3, p. 743: membran., misc., XIII-XIV c. The incipit is De malicia mali, not Galen's De malicia complexionis.]
3. The printed text.

This translation is included in the first Latin edition of Galen's Opera Omnia, edited by the physician Diomedes Bonardus, and printed by Filippo Pinzi in Venice, 1490 (vol. 2, f. 21rb-22vb). ${ }^{204}$ It appears as well in the second edition, prepared by Hieronimus Surianus, and printed by B. Benalius, in Venice 1502 (vol. 2, f. 38a-41b). ${ }^{205}$

On the other hand, an edition of this version, on the basis of the ms. Kassel, Ms. medicus 10, Amploniensis (F) 249, and the 1490 edition, is printed in L. García Ballester, E. Sánchez Salor, "Commentum supra tractatum Galieni de malicia complexionis diverse", in Arnaldi de Villanova opera medica omnia, vol. XV, Barcelona 1985, pp. 15-296.

[^29]4. The text of the translation.

I have checked the earlier manuscripts (and some 45 all in all) in order to find if a part of the Arabic text was missing in our mss., and/or a sentence was wrong in the Arabic text, and right in the Latin text because of error in our extant Arabic mss. That is not the case. ${ }^{206}$ Gerard's version agrees in error with the Arabic ms. E. So that this translation is not necessary to establish the Greek text (see below).

Through the translation of Ptolomeus' Almagest, Gerard was helped by a mozarab called Galippus, ${ }^{207}$ who translated the Arabic into the vernacular, leaving Gerard to put the text into Latin. ${ }^{208}$ We do not know how far this is true for the rest of his versions. ${ }^{209}$ Gerard's translations introduce several Arabic words into medical Latin. ${ }^{210}$

At least six copies of this translation, besides the 1490 edition, present a division into eight chapters: Basle Universitätsbibliothek D I 5 (XIV c.), Cesena D XXV 2 (XIII c.), Cesena SV4 (XIV c.), Klosterneuburg CCl 126 (1300 A.D.), Krakow, Bibliot. Jagellonica 800 (1279 A.D.), and Vaticanus Urbinas 209 (XIV-XV). The earlier mss. are dated in the XIII c. The Hebraic translation of Gerard's version, ante quem 1305, presents this division as well.

As for the style of this translation, it follows even the linguistic structure of the Arabic text when that is possible, ${ }^{211}$ but at times Gerard -or his interpreterdoes not understand the meaning, and/or fails to find the precise Latin words. ${ }^{212}$ Maybe his Arabic exemplar presented such errors. We cannot tell. However the arabic ms. E is very near to the Gerard's text, and it does not present such errors (see below):

[^30]P. 144,9 ante îv $\alpha$ distinxit Hun., sed non Ger. ${ }^{213}$
P. 150,2 $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha i ̃ o v \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha v \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota]$ it is unavoidable for the matter to turn into ... Hun: et impossibile quidem est quin ... perveniat Ger.
 Нип.: in omnibus interioribus rebus et extrinsecis invenitur Ger.

The copies of this version present many errors, due to its ample diffusion. Students of medicine as well as trained physicians most probably copied the text for personal use, as it happened just before photocopying became available world-wide. On the other hand, the mss. are rather contaminated, and to draw a stemma is far from possible.

## 5. The Arabic exemplar of Gerard of Cremona.

Agreements in error:
P. 142,10 $\psi v \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \tau \omega \nu, \eta ̊ ̀ \dot{\eta} \kappa \kappa \alpha v \theta \dot{v} v \tau \omega \nu$ ] cf. when coldness or the sun heat Hun. ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ : heat or coldness from the sun Hun. ${ }^{\text {Ema }}$, calor aut frigus ex sole Ger.
P. 146,21 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}]$ however Hии. ${ }^{\text {MP }}$ : because Hиn. ${ }^{\text {cett. }}$, quoniam Ger.
 the veins' bodies themselves Hun. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ : from the veins' body itself Hun. ${ }^{\text {SEMA }}$, ex ipso corpore venarum Ger.
P. 154,10 $\tau \tilde{\eta} \xi \alpha \vee \theta \tilde{\eta} \chi$ о $\bar{\eta}]$ yellow bile Hun. ${ }^{\text {APL: }}$ : yellow om. Hun. ${ }^{\text {celt, }}$, Ger.
P. 166,17 $\sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \pi \alpha v$ ] all of it Hun. ${ }^{\text {APL: }}$ om. Hun. ${ }^{\text {cett, }}$ Ger.
P. $\left.172,7 \chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\circ} \alpha\right]$ ] heavier and harder Hun. ${ }^{\text {APL. }}$ drier add. Hun. ${ }^{\text {SM, et Aim., sic- }}$ cior Ger. ${ }^{214}$

Ger. agrees in error with $\mathbf{h}$ (see Stemma in The Syrian and Arabic translations, 4), represented 4 times by SEM, once by eA (A contaminated by e) and once by SEA (A contaminated by $\mathbf{h}$ ). It agrees in error with $E$ the six times: his model was a manuscript $\mathbf{e}$, ancestor of E , written before 1187 A.D.
6. The use of this version in the Constitutio textus and Critical Apparatus. When the Arabic text does not agree with the Greek, I have included in the Critical Apparatus the text of Gerard after the Arabic.
P. 146,21 кoıvòv] "general and common", Hun., sed commune et participativum Ger.
P. 148,5-6 ő $\alpha \downarrow$ í $\sigma \chi \cup \varrho \tilde{\omega} \varsigma ~ \sigma \phi \eta \nu \omega \theta \tilde{\eta}]$ "when it is too much in excess" Hun., çf. cum ergo illa superfluitas redundat Ger.
P. 148,9 $\omega$ ' $\sigma \tau \varepsilon]$ "up to happening...", Hun., adeo donec accidat Ger.

[^31]When I add text on the authority of Hun., I usually include the Latin translation:
 secundum disposicionum Ger.

## A 6. The Hebrew Transmission

Lola Ferre. Granada University

## 1. The Hebrew context

Given that Galen was undoubtedly one of the most important medical authorities in the Middle Ages, the number of Hebrew translations is surprisingly small, which is corroborated by Dr. Elinor Lieber in her study on the Hebrew translation of Galen's books. ${ }^{215}$

Galen's books were transmitted in two ways: through their translation and through some collections of summaries. De inaequali intemperie was not among the books translated into Arabic at the beginning of the Oriental translation movement nor in the summaries of his "Sixteen Books" that were known in Arabic as well as in the Hebrew language, as Kibuttzei Galenos (Galenic summaries). ${ }^{216}$

Maimonides, a true Galenist, contributed to the knowledge of Galen by composing his Abridgments of the works of Galen. Nevertheless, his major contribution was his (Medical) Aphorisms, the most popular of his medical books in Arabic, Hebrew as well as Latin. Maimonides wrote in his prologue: "And I do not claim to have authored these aphorisms that I have set down in writing. I would rather say that I have selected them -that is, I have selected them from Galen's words from all his books, both from his original works and from his commentaries on the books of Hippocrates". ${ }^{217}$

The Hebrew medical translations were linked to the Christian universities from the very beginning. The first group of Hebrew medical translations was done at the end of the XIIth century. This group was formed by 24 books and all of them were authored by a single unknown translator. ${ }^{218}$ The 24 books

[^32]were taken from the medical corpus of the first important medical school in Christian Europe, the Salerno School. Among these books some Galenic treatises are found. All of them were translated from Latin, and later, most of those that had originally been written in Arabic were again translated into Hebrew, but now from the Arabic texts.

A significant fact is related to the Hebrew translation of the Canon of medicine by Avicenna. This famous medical encyclopaedia was translated into Latin at the end of $12^{\text {th }}$ century. Nevertheless it was not translated into Hebrew until the end of the $13^{\text {th }}$ century. The reason for this is that the book arrived and became successful in French and Italian universities at the end of 13th century. Only then did the Jews decided to translate it into Hebrew. The book needed to have the approval of the Christian university to gain the appreciation of Jewish translators. ${ }^{219}$
2. The Hebrew translation of De malitia complexionis diverse (= De inaequali intemperie).

The Hebrew translation of De malitia complexionis diverse is also related to a prestigious Christian medical School, the Faculty of Medicine of Montpellier. ${ }^{220}$ This translation was completed in the second half of the $13^{\text {th }}$ century. Some years later, on September 8th 1309, Pope Clement V established the mandatory readings for the Faculty of Medicine of Montpellier. One of them was De malitia complexionis diverse, ${ }^{221}$ and one of the Pope's counsellors was Arnau de Vilanova who had written a Commentum supra tractatum Galieni De Malicia complexionis diverse. This Commentum was expounded by Arnau in the years 1292-1295. ${ }^{222}$

Arnau de Vilanova's medical books were widely translated into Hebrew. In spite of his antijudaism, he was highly esteemed as a medical author among his Jewish contemporaries.

In this environment, David ben Abraham Caslari, also known as Bongodas and Maestro David Caslari, decided to translate the Galenic text into Hebrew.

[^33]David ben Abraham Caslari's life remains quite unknown. He was living in Narbonne, where he did this translation. The poet Abraham Bedersí, who seems to be David Caslari's close friend, addressed him a letter, probably in $1295 .{ }^{223}$ In the year 1305 he was still living there, where his son Abraham ben David Caslari was born. A year later, 1306, Philip IV ordered the expulsion of the Jews and the family moved to Catalonia. Between 1324 and 1349, his son Abraham Caslari settled in Besalú, where he wrote four medical treatises. ${ }^{224}$ Abraham Caslari is the best known family member and we could say that he inherited from his father the medical profession as well as the knowledge of the Hebrew language that he used in his medical books.

This translation has been preserved in the Bodleian Library, with the signature Opp. Add. Fol 18 (fol. 19v-27r). Although the translation was done at the end of the $13^{\text {th }}$ century or at the beginning of the $14^{\text {th }}$, this manuscript was copied by Yehiel ben Mordekai ha-Rofe (the physician) in the year $1475 .{ }^{225}$

The title is taken from the Latin title: ףלחתמ גזמ עור רפס
The incipit is as follows:
לא ירצונ ןושלמ ירלשקה םהרבא דוד 'ר םכחה תקתעהוניאילגס ל ףלחתמ גזמ עור רפס שדוקה ןושל
(Book on the malitia complexionis diverse, translated by the wise R. David Abraham ha-Caslari, from the Christian language into the Holy tongue)

Comparing the Hebrew text with the Latin one, we find a formal difference: the division into chapters. Unlike the Greek and Arabic versions, Latin and Hebrew texts included chapter divisions; but whilst the Latin text we know through the commentary of Arnau de Vilanova is divided into 12 parts, the Hebrew version is divided into 8 chapters. The division into 12 chapters established by the editors of Arnau de Vilanova's Commentary is based on a Latin manuscript of the Commentum (Reginensis latinus 1773, see below, The Medieval Commentaries), and suggested by the internal rhythm of the Commentary. ${ }^{226}$ However, most of the copies of the Latin transmission do not have chapter divisions and, if they do, the division is into 8 chapters, ${ }^{227}$ as in the Hebrew version.

[^34]227 Cf. The Latin translation from the Arabic, above.

In spite of these irrelevant differences, the Hebrew text is a faithful translation from the Latin text. The faithfulness does not involve a slavish dependence on the Latin language. David Caslari had resorted to Latin word transcriptions less than other Jewish translators of Latin medical books did.

Why does it seem that this translation is preserved in a single manuscript? This could be a consequence of the mess that followed the expulsion of 1306 or perhaps the explanation lies in the ultimate triumph of Avicenne's Canon that partially replaced the "new Galen" that Arnau de Vilanova endeavoured to impose. ${ }^{228}$

## A 7. The Medieval Commentaries And Quaestiones Written On The Basis Of The Arabo-Latin Version.

1. The first commentaries/questiones on this treatise were written towards the end of the XIII c. on the basis of the Latin version of the Arabic, the only available at the time, as far as I know; the new Latin translations from the Greek were on the verge of appearing. Their authors were Arnau de Vilanova, Dino de Garbo and Bernard de Hangarra. In early XV c. Ugo Benzi wrote his Questio. Those texts endorse the interest awoken by De malicia, translated from the Arabic just one century earlier. And they were written by the time Pietro d'Abano and Niccolò da Reggio translated from the Greek the $\pi$ e@i $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \mu \alpha ́ \lambda o v \delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha \varsigma$.
2. Arnau de Vilanova (ca. 1240-1311).

Incipit: ${ }^{299}$ Intendit Galienus in hoc tractatu ostendere quomodo malicia complexionis diverse introducatur in membrum unum sive in corpus totum. Explicit: quoniam in aliis libriis Galieni concedente Domino satisfacere plenius curabimus diligenter.

García Ballester places the composition of the Commentum supra tractatum Galieni de malicia complexionis diverse, in the years 1290-1295, ${ }^{230}$ while Arnau was a Professor in Montpellier. De malicia was included in the Curriculum of Montpellier in 1309. ${ }^{231}$ Manuscripts:

Oxford, Merton College 230, ff. 61va-83ra, first half of XIV c.
Vatican City, Vaticanus Reginensis Latinus 1773, ff. 1r-42v. XV c., 2nd. half.

[^35]It was printed in Opera Arnaldi de Villanova, Leiden, ed. Th. Murchio, apud F. Fradin, 1504, ff. 351v-371v. It was reprinted in Leiden 1509, 1520, 1532, Venice 1505 and 1527, and Basle 1585.

We have a thorough study of the Commentary, including an edition of the text, by L. García Ballester and E. Sánchez Salor: Commentum supra Tractatum Galieni De malicia complexionis diverse, in: Arnaldi de Villanova Opera Medica Omnia, Barcelona 1985, pp. 1-296. Cf. as well L. García Ballester, Arnau de Vilanova y la reforma de los estudios médicos en Montpellier: El Hipócrates latino y la introducción del nuevo Galeno in: Dynamis 2, 1982, 97-158.
3. At the same time in Montpellier, Bernardus de Hangarra (Bernard Engarra) wrote 13 quaestiones in Supra libellum de mala complexione diversa dubitata, quorum pones secundum hunc magistrum Bernardum de Hangarra montis pessolani.

Munich, Monacensis latinus CLM 534, XIV c., f. 43r-44v. ${ }^{232}$
Subscriptio: Supra libellum de mala conditione diversa dubitata secundum Mag. Bernardum de Hangarra Cancellarium montispessolanum.
4. Dino del Garbo ${ }^{233}$ or Dinus de Florentia was a disciple of Taddeo Alderotti. ${ }^{234}$ Son of a surgeon in Florence, he learned Medicine (and probably had previous education as well) in Bologna, sometime before 1295, when Alderotti died. He was a member of Florence's guild of physicians in 1297, where he practiced, but went back to Bologna to complete his medical training. By 1305 he started to lecture at the studium of Bologna. He lectured in Sienna, and Padua as well, and spent some years in Florence, where he died in 1327, having achieved an important reputation. He commented on works by Avicenna, Hippocrates and Galen. Dino commented on Galen's De differentiis febrium and the Tegni ${ }^{235}$ as well as De malicia. The treatise was included in the curriculum of Bologna in 1405, along with another fourteen Galenic works recommended for the study of theoretical medicine. ${ }^{236}$

Dino deals with some of the subjects of the treatise. At times he uses the form of quaestiones, as Taddeo and his disciples did in Bologna. ${ }^{237}$

[^36]Incipit. ${ }^{238}$ Ut videamus aliquater necessitatem eorum que in hoc libro sunt tradita (edita Malatest.) Explicit. ad rationes in oppositum factas ... et ideo videatis per vos.

The text is preserved in the following manuscripts. ${ }^{239}$
Erfurt, Amploniensis ${ }^{240}$ F 257, ff. 48r-55v.
Cesena, Malatestianus ${ }^{241}$ D XXVI 1; XIII-XIV c., ff. 159 ra - 165va, vellum, treatise n ${ }^{\circ}$ 18. It belonged to Giovanni Marco da Rimini. It includes Dino's commentary on Galen's De differentiis febrium (following De malicia), and on Tegni.

Madrid, Matritensis ${ }^{242}$ 12241, XIV-XV c., ff. 51ra-65va (f. 50 deest). Dino del Garbo, Gentile de Foligno, Juan de Tomamira. Inc. Malitia complexionis diverse. Explicit scriptum super libro de malicia complexionis diverse secundum q. venerabilem magistrum dinum de guarbo (sic) de Florencia ipso bononie existente deo gratias. Index of the commentary in f. 2r. The next treatise is a commentary on De tabe by Gentile da Foligno.

Vaticanus latinus 2484, ff. 196v-210r according to Siraisi, Taddeo, pp. 420, 424. Incipit Quia intentio nostra est edere tractatum.

Vaticanus latinus ${ }^{243} 4452$, XIV c., ff. 133ra-142vb, vellum, misc. Incipit Ut videamus aliqualiter necessitatem eorum que in libro.

Vaticanus latinus ${ }^{244}$ 4464, ff. 74ra-86va, 1310 A.D.
This commento has not been printed.
5. Ugo Benzi ${ }^{245}$ (Hugh of Siena), 1376-1439. Although he was of great renown, and his Questio de malitia complexionis diverse,, ${ }^{246}$ is the largest of those written by him, there is just one ms. containing the text.

Incipit (Lockwood, ibid. 236): Queritur utrum mala complexio diversa sit in qualibet parte eius cuius alteratur complexio. Ad partem affirmativam ar-

[^37]guitur primo sic. ${ }^{27}$ Mala complexio diversa est qualitas; quelibet qualitas habet subiectum adequatum; ergo male complexionis diverse est aliquod subiectum adequatum.

Explicit: auctoritates autem Galieni et Avicenne nihil volunt preter conclusionem hanc ultimam. Ideo ista de hac questione sufficiant. (Jan. 20, 1422).

It is preserved in the Vaticanus latinus 2473, paper, 257 folios, written at Perugia by Oliverus Steenhusen of Flandria in 1441-1442. The question was written by Ugo in January 1421 (=1422), according to the subscription. "In the manuscript the subscription is followed by a long note attesting the oral discussion of the same quaestio by Ugo himself at Florence a little over a month after it was 'published' ", points out Lockwood. ${ }^{248}$

It was printed "as an appendix to the commentary of Jacobus Forliviensis on Avicenna, I, 1-2 ... and was thus issued six or seven times from 1488 to 1547". ${ }^{249}$ It was printed in Pavia in 1500, and twice in Venice before 1495.

I have checked the Pavian edition of $1512 .{ }^{250}$
f. 29vb: Incipit: subtilis questio Ugonis Senensis de malitia complexionis diverse.
f. 33rb: Explicit. ideo ista de hac questione sufficiant.

Subscription: Scripta Florentie et completa per me Ugonem Senensem Anno domini ab incarnatione 1421, die 2 Ianuarii. Amen.
Papiae 1512, die 10. octobris. impressum per Iacob de Burgofranco, sumptibus Aloysii de Castello Comensis ac Bartholomei de Morandis Bergomensis.

## A 8. The Graeco-Latin Translations. Pietro D'Abano.

1. The author.

The first translation from Greek into Latin, beginning: Inequalis distemperantia fit aliquando secundum animalis corpus, is preserved, as far as I know, in three manuscripts. Only the explicit of Malatestianus S V 4 ascribes it to an author, Peter of Abano (ca. 1250-1315). His translation of this treatise is neither mentioned in his Conciliator, nor in a list of Galen's works translated by Peter, written in the ms. Oxford, Bodleian Library, Canonicus Misc. 46, f.

[^38]30 verso, dated in the XV c. ${ }^{251}$ However Peter of A. mentions Galen's treatise by using the same words as in the above-mentioned translation (De distemperantia inequali). ${ }^{252} \mathrm{I}$ have checked Burgundio's rendering of $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma$ í $\alpha$ in his De complexionibus (=De temperamentis): ${ }^{253}$ both dyskrasia and distemperantia are used. Niccolò da Reggio just transcribes the Greek word (written dyskrasia in the mss.) In the translation ascribed to Peter of Abano, distemperantia is the only word for $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha$.

D'Alverny has gathered the manuscripts' (or editions') evidence and the references to his translations by Peter himself, concluding that he completed some of the translations made by Burgundio Pisanus, ${ }^{254}$ as Niccolò da Reggio corrected afterwards some of Peter's translations. I shall come back to this subject when reviewing Niccolò's translation.

As we do not have a clear picture of Peter's activity, the date of the translation cannot be fixed. He was born ca. 1250, ${ }^{255}$ and died in 1315. He studied in Paris, ${ }^{256}$ went to Constantinople ${ }^{257}$ and was the first Professor of Medicine at Padua (1306-1314). His persecution by the Inquisition may have something to do with the scarce diffusion of some of his translations. Nicholas of Reggio, younger than Peter, received the first payment for his translations of medical works in 1308, and his activity continues up to 1348, but his translation of this treatise was written before ca. 1320. An earlier date for the translation of Peter of A. is probable (before 1315 in any case), but I am not certain.

## 2. The manuscripts.

J Cracoviensis (Bibliotheca jagellonica) 800, a parchment ms. of Italian origin, written in 1279, 395 by 260 in size, containing 279 plus II folios. The beginning of the translation has been inserted after a copy of the Arabo-Latin one, on $\mathrm{f} .117 \mathrm{vb},{ }^{258}$ but on f . 118ra another treatise begins (Galen's De interio-

[^39]ribus in Burgundio's translation). ${ }^{259}$ Kowalczyk's catalogue points out: "Post f. 117 unum folium cum textu desideratur." The codex being written by several hands, I guess that this column was copied after the rest of the manuscript had been written, because it has not been rubricated: "desideratur rubricatio in columna dextra $f .117 \mathrm{v}$." ${ }^{260}$ Thus, the year 1279 is a terminus post quem for the copy on 117 vb . The writing in this column is rather similar to the hand that wrote the preceding treatise. The catalogue ascribes the translation to Nicholas of Reggio. The text presents several wrong geminations (tallis, callefactis, consimilles), and was probably written by an Italian scribe. The text ends in p . 148,2 of the Greek text: Differencie autem paciencium corporum consecuntur naturas. aliter quidem enim simplex caro. aliter vero universus musculus in distemperanciam [devenit inequalem].

This ms. is not included in the specialised bibliography of medical mss. (Diels, Thorndike-Kibre, Durling, Kristeller, In Principio, G. Fichtner), nor in the articles concerning Peter of Abano by Thorndike, ${ }^{261}$ and M. Th. D'Alverny. ${ }^{262}$ I have found it as I checked the copy of De malicia, sent from Poland.

M Cesena, Malatestianus S V 4, ff. 135va-136vb. A parchment codex dated to the middle or the second half of the xiv c., 405 by 260 in size, composed by 264 folios, with two columns to the page. ${ }^{263}$ "Scrittura gothica" (Manfron). The writing is not easy to read. The translation is attributed to Peter of Abano:264 Explicit liber Galieni de inequali distemperantia translatus de greco in latinum per Petrum paduanum. deo gratias. amen. The rendering of De nigra cholera ${ }^{265}$ is attributed as well to Peter of Abano in this ms. The codex contains fifty two treatises, most of them by Galen, including the Arabo-Latin translation De malitia complexionis. According to D'Alverny, this codex is the most important testimony of Peter's translations from Galen. ${ }^{266}$ It is a palimpsest for the most

[^40]part, ${ }^{267}$ including the folios of this treatise, and its hands are Italian. ${ }^{268}$ The writing is gothic (littera textualis). The previous text is De pronosticis (by Nicholas) and is followed by De tabe vel de marasmo.
Explicit. Hec inde sufficere videntur precognoscere debentibus de farmacis consequentia negotium et post hoc methodum curativam.

C Cesena, Malatestianus S XXVI 4, ff. 80ra-83vb, a parchment codex, 312 mm . by 227 mm . in size, composed of 239 folios. ${ }^{269}$ V. Nutton ${ }^{270}$ considers it written ca. 1465. ${ }^{271}$ For the folios 1-133, Manfron describes a littera antiqua from the middle of the $\mathrm{XV} \mathrm{c.},{ }^{272}$ while the following folios are written in a "gotica semi-corsiva", from the XIV c. According to Marinone, ${ }^{273}$ Nutton, ${ }^{274}$ De Lacy, ${ }^{275}$ and Fortuna, ${ }^{276}$ the treatises studied by them in this ms. were copied from the preceding, S V 4, as G. Baader ${ }^{277}$ pointed out for most of the ms. In fact, many of Galen's treatises have been written in the same order on both mss. Our treatise follows Pronosticorum Liber, and De tabe et marasmo is written afterwards, as in the other one. The writing is easy to read. In the explicit of our treatise the translator is not mentioned. Only at the beginning of Galen's De nigra cholera, Petrus Paduensis is said to have been the translator (f. 101ra).
Incipit. Inequalis distemperantia fit aliquando. Explicit: et post hoc methodum curativam.

[^41]3. Relationships between the mss.

The mss. present many common omissions, e.g.:
P. 142,12 $\dot{\alpha} v \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \lambda_{\text {ot om. Pet. }}$

The three of them have a common archetype.
Mss. M and C present common omissions. Being mutilus ms. J, we cannot know whether they were as well in their exemplar of copy, e.g.:


M and C share common errors. M has no errors of its own. C does have errors of its own. C is derived from M .

## Errors in C:

Omission:
P. 142,4 к $\alpha \lambda$ ou $\mu$ ह́voıऽ $]$ vocatis JM: om. C

Misreading of abbreviations in M:
P. 142,10 そ̄] seu JM: vel C
P. 144,5 тot $\alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \iota]$ huiusmodi JM (h ${ }^{\text {di }} \mathrm{M}$ ): hee C
P. 144,7 $\alpha$ र̌v $\tau \kappa \varrho \cup \varsigma]$ quasi JM ( $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{M}$ ): quidem C
P. 146,3 $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \chi \omega \varrho \varepsilon i ̃]$ contingit JM ( $9^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{M}$ ): convenit C
P. $146,4 \gamma \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{@}$ ] namque $\mathrm{JM}(\mathrm{nāz} \mathrm{M})$ ): naturam scripsit C , sed expunxit turam et add. $\mathrm{q}^{3}$ s.l.

Metathesis:
P. 142,3 ú

Changes in Word Order:
P. 144,11-12 к $\alpha \mathrm{i} \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varrho \varrho \alpha \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \theta \omega \varrho \alpha \kappa \alpha]$ et ventrem et pectus JM: et pectus et ventrem C

Lectio facilior:
P. 146,2 $\tau$ évov $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ] corde JM (chordae): cor (expunxit -de) C

Sometimes C introduces orthographical corrections:
P. 142,11 $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu]$ ociosis C: occiosis JM
P. 146,13 бŋ́ৎ $\alpha \gamma \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ ] scissuras C: scisuras JM

MC in error against J:
Omission:
P. 142,3 غ̇v] in J: om. MC
P. 142,14 $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{\varrho}]$ enim J: om. MC
P. 144,2 そ̀] vel J: om. MC
P. 144,15 к $\alpha \grave{i} \mu \varepsilon ̀ v \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \grave{~] ~ e t ~ u t i q u e ~ e t ~ J: ~ e t ~ b i s ~ o m . ~ M C ~}$
P. 146,2 к $\alpha$ ì ter] et J: om. MC
P. 146,14 $\alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ı] hec J: om. MC

Varia:
P. 144,5 $\delta \iota \alpha ф \varepsilon ́ \varrho o v \sigma \iota \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ó $\mu \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$ ] differunt ab equalibus J: ab equalibus differunt MC
P. 144,6 тג̀ $\mu$ ó@t $\alpha$ тои̃ $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha ́ \tau \omega \varsigma ~ \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma] ~ p r e i a c e n t i s ~ J ~(~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha-~$ тоऽ): preiacentes ( $\mu$ ógเ $\alpha$ ) MC
P. 146,3 ciऽ ér çov عíठoc] in aliam speciem J: in alias species MC

J in error against M/C:
P. 142,3 v́dépots] ydropise M : ydropisi J [ydropise facta in carne] wrong gemination in J:

P. 142,13 Өع@ $\mu \alpha \iota v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \iota \varsigma] ~ c a l e f a c t i s ~ M C: ~ c a l l e-~ J ~$
P. 144,12 $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \iota v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu]$ ]: calefactis MC: calle- J
P. 144,13 $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \iota v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu]$ : calefactis MC: calle- J
P. 146,1 đóvסØoot] cartilagines MC: -illa- J
P. 146,3 ó $\mu \circ \circ \rho \mu \varrho \tilde{\eta}]$ consimiles MC: -ille- J
P. 146,6 о́ $о$ оон $\mu \varrho \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ] consimilium MC: -illi- J
P. 146, 9 ó $\mu$ оьо $\mu$ عœغ $\varsigma$ ] consimilem MC: -ille- J

J presents a better text than $M$, and both of them independently come from a lost copy $\alpha$ :

| STEMMA OF PIETRO D'ABANO |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| XIII ${ }^{\text {ex }}$-XIV ${ }^{\text {in }}$ | $\alpha$ |
|  | 11 |
| XIII ${ }^{\text {ex }}$-XIV ${ }^{\text {in }}$ (post $\alpha$ ) | $\mathrm{J} \quad \beta$ |
| $\mathrm{XIV}^{\mathrm{m}}$ | M |
|  | \| |
| 1465 | C |

4. The text of the translation.

D'Alverny ${ }^{278}$ remarks: "Il [Pet.] a essayé de suivre l'usage de la translatio de verbo ad verbum, en conservant l'ordre des mots de la phrase grecque." ... "Voulant mettre les traités grecs à la portée des scolaires il s'efforce d'écrire en latin; le scrupule du litttéralisme nuisant malgré tout l'intelligibilité du texte."

As for the rendering of this treatise，the translation is good and clear． The effort on finding Latin words seems positive to me，even considering that， by then，Greek words were introduced into the Latin medical lexicography．A good example is quod post palmam：
 post palmam et digiti（Nicholas of Reggio just transcribes the Greek words）．

The text is divided into 12 chapters．${ }^{279}$ In ms．J，space has been left for writing the initial rubricated letter，but the letter is missing．Ms．J contains the rubricated initial letter of every chapter，but there is no room for the titles that were inserted afterwards．Ms．C presents chapter numbers and titles，${ }^{280}$ but ch． 2 is not indicated，because it was written in the left margin in $\mathrm{ms} . \mathrm{M}$ ，and the scribe of ms ．C thought of it as a gloss．It is further proof of the dependence $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ ．

This translation has not been printed．${ }^{281}$

## 5．The Greek exemplar

The Greek manuscript used by Pietro was the ancestor of the Mutinen－ sis 109 （U，XV c．）His translation follows the principle de verbo ad verbum to the point that from time to time we can restore the text of the Greek exemplar，thus correcting the＂new＂errors of the Mutinensis．This also means that we shall make use of Pietro＇s translation just in those places where the Greek ms．does not agree with the Latin text，mainly because of omission．

Some readings peculiar to U：



P．150，15 oṽv U，cf．igitur Pet．：om．cett．，Nic．
P．150，15 סúo U，cf．duobus Pet．：om．cett．
P．150，18 к $\alpha i$ Lz：к $\alpha i$ cís U，cf．et in Pet．：$\eta$ そis PM

[^42]P. 154,3 Ө $̃ \tau \tau 0 v] ~ Ө \alpha ́ v \alpha \tau о v ~ U, ~ c f . ~ m o r t a l i t e r ~ P e t . ~$


Omission:
P. 142,11 тoเoṽtov om. U, Pet.
P. 144,9 т@о́кеıт $\alpha$ í om. U, Pet.
 Өévtos om. U, Pet.

Word order change:
P. 146,11 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda$ oıs post $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi ı \pi i ́ \pi \tau o v \tau \alpha$ trasp. U, Pet.

Sometimes it is possible to reconstruct the ancestor of ms. U in the XIII c. by means of Pet.:
 vat secundum ( $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ) animalis corpus
 manifestus sit sermo
 reading in old $\mathrm{U}\left(=\mathrm{U}^{\text {Pet. }}\right)$ was $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L}$, as in z .

 $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \rho \omega v \mathrm{U}$, sed per poros ipsos Pet. (he reads $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \rho \omega \nu \alpha v ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} v)$
P. 148,10 $\alpha \hat{̃} \tau \alpha \iota$ PU, sed ipse Pet. ( $\alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha i ́ ~): ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \alpha i ́ ~ c e t t . ~$
P. 150,8 $\pi \varepsilon ́ \psi \varepsilon \iota] \pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \psi \varepsilon \iota$ U, sed digestionem Pet.

P. 154,11 @ $\alpha \delta$ í $\omega \varsigma$ ] $\delta \iota \omega \varsigma$ U, sed Pet. facile

## A 9. The Graeco-Latin Translations. Niccolò Da Reggio.

## 1. Author and date

In the fourteenth century, some years later than Peter of Abano, Nicholas ${ }^{282}$ of Reggio, physician to the Angevin court, undertook the task of translating from the Greek a considerable amount of Galen's treatises. ${ }^{283}$ Thorndike places

[^43]his translations between 1308 and 1345:284 In $13088^{285}$ Nicholas received payments for his translations of medical works from Charles II of Anjou (from Robert of Anjou afterwards).

Although the actual date of the translation of the $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i ̀ \tau \tau \tilde{v} \alpha v \omega \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda o v$ $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma$ í $\varsigma \varsigma$ cannot be fixed, we have some interesting information. The explicit of De inaequali dyskrasia in the Vaticanus palatinus latinus 1211, f. 55ra, reads as follows: Explicit liber de inequali dyskrasia translatus a magistro Nicolao de Regio de Calabria. capitulatus a magistro Francisco de Pedemonte. Since the physician Francis of Pedemonte died ca. 1320, 286 that year is the terminus ante quem for the translation of De inaequali dyskrasia by Nicholas of Reggio. Thus we have to place it in the first ten years of his activity, considerably near to Peter of Abano's translations.

Incipit: Inequalis dyskrasia fit quidem et secundum totum animalis corpus quemadmodum anasarca ...

Explicit ... his qui secuturi sunt eam que de farmacis operam et post ipsam eam que therapeutice methodo.

## 2. The manuscripts.

A Erfurt: Amploniensis ${ }^{287}$ F 278, early XIV c., ff. 171 rb-172vb, 213 folios. An in-folio parchment codex containing a collection of Galenic treatises. The translation De inequali dyskrasia is preceded by books vii-xiv of De ingenio sanitatis, i.e. the books of De methodo medendi translated by Burgundio, and followed by Hippocrates' Aphorismi and their Commentary by Galen. ${ }^{288}$ Incipit liber Galieni de inequali dyskrasia. A recentior hand adds: expl. lib. de inequali dyskrasia translatus de greco a Nicoalo (sic) de Gregio (sic) de Calabria. There are some glosses s.l. et i.m. by this recentior hand.

[^44]W London, The Wellcome Library, ms. ${ }^{289}$ 286, ca. 1348, ff. 132rb-133rb. A partially illuminated codex on vellum of Italian origin, ${ }^{290} 390 \times 260 \mathrm{~mm}$. in size, two columns to the page. Because of the existence in the marginalia of several references to Giovanni da Penna, who was a physician to the Angevin court and died in 1348, Nutton suggests a date ca. $1348^{291}$. Written by several scribes in clear gothic book hands, in double column of 52-54 lines to a column (Moorat). The treatise is preceded by De temperamentis libri III, translated by Burgundio (De complexionibus), and followed by De accidenti et morbo. There is no mention of the translator of our treatise. Explicit lib. Galieni de inequali dyskrasia.

M Madrid, Biblioteca Nacional: Matritensis ${ }^{292}$ 1978, ca. 1375, 91vb-93rb. A vellum ms., $385 \times 265 \mathrm{~mm}$. in size, 2 cols. It is a collection of (mostly) Galenic books, divided into two parts, the first (14 treatises) being ascribed to Burgundio and the latter (13) to Nicolao de Reggio de Calabria. The second part begins with a dedicatory of Galen's De flebotomia and De utilitate respirationis translated by Nicholas, to prince Robert, "Ierusalem et Sicilie regi primogenito" (f. 97ra); the terminus ante quem for the dedication is 1309, when Robert ascended the throne. ${ }^{293}$ De inequali dyskrasia (the author of the translation is not indicated in the explicit) is placed in the part attributed to Burgundio (beginning with De ingenio sanitatis seu therapeutica), being the seventh treatise, after De differentiis

[^45]293 Cf. L. Thorndike, Translations... Niccolò, p. 214.
febrium. It is written in a clear gothic book hand and includes some marginalia, and corrections supra lineam. The rubricated initial letter is missing. In the second half of the treatise, we find three times room enough for a line and a big initial, that has been left empty except for a small letter, where the title and number of the chapter should have been. Explicit lib. de inequali dyskrasia.

Z Metz: Bibliothèque municipale, Mediomatricensis ${ }^{294}$ 178, xiv c., ff. 15va16 va . An in-folio paper codex, two columns to the page. Cursive writing. Glosses in margine et s.l. It has no incipit. The explicit mentions Niccolò. Explicit liber G. de inequali dyskrasia translatus a nicholao de reggio de greco in latinum. The treatise follows De virtutibus cibariorum. The next is tractatus de virtutibus et usu plantarum (incipit: circa instans negocium de simplicis medicinis).

O Munich: Bayerische Staatsbibliothek München, Monacensis ${ }^{295}$ latinus 490, a paper ms. in-quarto, dated 1488-1503, ff. 83v-92v. The scribe was H. Schedel. The ms. consists of 223 folios. In ff. 1-155 there are some fifteen of Galen's (or pseudo-Galen's) treatises. The explicit of De inaequali dyskrasia ascribes the translation to Niccolò. The text is divided into nine chapters with headings, and presents many marginalia. The treatise follows De exercitio parvae sphaerae, and precedes the Liber farmacorum Galieni. Explicit lib. de inequali dyskrasia translatus de greco a Nicolao de Regio de Calabria.

P Paris: Bibliothèque de l'Académie de Médecine ${ }^{296}$, ms. 51, second half or last quarter of the XV c., ff. $172 \mathrm{v}-178 \mathrm{v}$. A paper ms., $260 \times 205 \mathrm{~mm}$., consisting of 327 folios, which belongs to a group of five codices (51-56) containing works by Galen and Hippocrates. It was written, according to Nutton, in Northern France or Flanders. The author is not mentioned. The treatise is divided into three doctrinae, with two, four and three chapters, i.e. nine chapters, as in Vat. 1211. It has been corrected and variants have been added. Explicit liber Galieni de dyskrasia inequali.

[^46]V Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana: Vaticanus palatinus latinus ${ }^{297}$ 1211, ff. 53ra-55ra, 2 cols. A paper codex of $182+$ VI folios, 290 by 210 in size, containing a collection of medical treatises. It is divided into two parts: the first (ff. 5-88), being written in Italy in the last third of the fourteenth century, belonged to a ms . composed of more folios. The second was written ca. 1400. The treatise is placed in the first part, where three hands have written in cursiva gothica and cursiva textualis, and contains glosses and references by XIV and XV c. hands. There are Galen's treatises translated by Nicholas of Reggio, who is mentioned in their explicit and at the beginning of the series, f. 22ra: "Galienus, tractatus minores de Greco in Latinum translati a magistro Nicolao de Regio et a Stephano de Messina".

Twenty translations by Nicholas are included, with this manuscript being the most interesting among those which present a collection of his translations of Galen. ${ }^{298}$ De inaequali dyskrasia is copied in the ms. between De euchimia et cachochimia and De tumoribus praeter naturam.
Explicit lib. Galieni de inequali dyskrasia translatus a magistro Nicolao de Regio de Calabria. For the division into chapters by Francis of Pedemonte, see above.

## 3. The printed text

The first and second edition of Galen's opera omnia in Latin contain the translation by Gerard of Cremona. The version by Nicholas of Reggio is printed in the third Galen edition, ${ }^{299}$ Pavia, 1515-1516: vol. I 1515, f. 39va-40va, in-folio, editor Rusticus Placentinus, printer J. de Burgofranco. ${ }^{300}$ It contains thirty-nine of Niccolò's translations of Galen, more than any single ms.

According to Durling ${ }^{301}$ it is also printed in the second Juntine edition, 1528: Venice, Printer L. A. Giunta.

The ms. P is very close to the edition. See agreements, below.
4. The text of the translation

This version had a Greek text as model. Often the author just transcribes the Greek ${ }^{302}$ word, as in dyskrasia, passim.

[^47] $\sigma \iota \tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \tau \iota ~ \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \nu 0 v \eta ̋<\tau \tilde{\omega}>\kappa \alpha \varrho \kappa i ́ v \omega]$ ] ydemantem vel flegminantem vel cancrenantem vel erisipelade laborantem vel cancro.

P. 146,13 бض́@ $\alpha \gamma \gamma \alpha \varsigma$ sirangas
P. 150,7 סı $\alpha \phi$ о́@ $\sigma$ бı diaphoresis (evaporatio M)
P. 150,9 $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ó $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma$ apostasis
P. 160,5 غ̇ாเદ́́кદı $\alpha v$ epikiam

Let us put side by side the description of the hand in the versions of Nic. and Peter d' Abano :
P. 1, 21 кג@тóऽ $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \varrho \pi เ \circ v]$ carpus et metacarpium Nic.: palma et post palmam Pet.

In fact, the Monacensis (Nic.'s) presents s.l. in this passage the Latin version by Peter - or else just the common Latin words. In some cases, Nic. worked from a translation previously made by Pietro, ${ }^{303}$ as Pietro himself transformed some of Burgundio's versions.

Some translations of Greek words by Nic. in this treatise:
$\mu \varepsilon ́ v$ quidem
$\delta \varepsilon ́$ vero, autem
$\gamma \alpha ́ \varrho$ enim, nam (at sentence beginning)
$\eta$ そel, $\gamma \varepsilon$ demum, סıótı quoniam
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \varepsilon ̀ v \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha$ et denique et quidem
$\kappa \alpha i \delta \eta \grave{\kappa} \alpha$ í et denique et
$\omega ゙ \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ quocirca, quapropter
غ̇ф $\varepsilon \check{\xi} \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ deinceps
тóт $\delta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \grave{l} \mu \alpha ́ \lambda ı \sigma \tau \alpha$ tunc utique et maxime
ov̋кouv nequaquam igitur
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ \gamma \alpha ́ \varrho$ et enim
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ́+A c$. post
causal ótı quoniam
$\omega ٌ \sigma \pi \varepsilon \varrho$ quemadmodum
ov́ $\omega \varsigma$ ita
ov̉ $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} v$ non tamen.

[^48]Nicholas translated de verbo ad verbum, keeping to the word order: ${ }^{304}$

 $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha ́ v \theta \alpha \iota ~ \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi u ́ \sigma \iota v$. Si vero in tempore ampliore hoc patiantur forsan utique ${ }^{305}$ vincentur quandoque et transmutabuntur omnifariam ut non adhuc calefiant solum ${ }^{306}$ sed iam calefacta sint preter naturam.
5. Division into Chapters.

PV ed., present the same division:
Liber Galeni de dyskrasia inequali. Cuius sunt iii doctrine.
Doctrina prima est de quibusdam cuestionibus ${ }^{307}$ necessariis ad suam doctrinam. Cuius sunt duo capitula.
P. 142,2. Capitulum primum. De quibusdam divisionibus discrasie inequalis et manifestatione sue intentionis ${ }^{308}$ circa ipsas.
Incipit: "Inequalis dyskrasia fit quidem et secundum totum animalis corpus quandoque ${ }^{309}$, quemadmodum .... '
P. 144,12. Capitulum secundum. De quibusdam diversitatibus membrorum et de ${ }^{310}$ magis completa sua intentione ${ }^{311}$ et de ${ }^{312}$ necessitate predicte doctrine divisionis membrorum.
Incipit ${ }^{333}$ : Dividatur itaque ${ }^{314}$ enim a nobis unaquaquem ex eis in ${ }^{315}$ propinquas vocatas particulas ..
Doctrina secunda. de modo ${ }^{316}$ generationis et de ${ }^{317}$ natura discrasie inequalis distincte a parte subiecti exemplariter in flegmone musculi ${ }^{318}$. Cuius sunt quattuor capitula.

[^49]P. 148,2. Capitulum primum. De modo generationis discrasie particularis membri exemplariter in flegmone musculi.
Incipit: Confestim nimirum reumate calido descendente in musculum.
P. 152,12. Capitulum secundum. De modo generationis discrasie inequalis universalis scilicet febris.
Incipit: Igitur si quidem caliditas sanguinis ${ }^{319}$ secundum flegminantem particulam mitis existit.
P. 156,2. Capitulum tertium. De natura discrasie inequalis.

Incipit: Et hee omnes discrasie corporis inequales fiunt.
P. 158,14. Capitulum quartum. De quibusdam incidentibus et de maiori specificatione termini discrasie inequalis.
Incipit: Si vero et hec quidem ${ }^{320}$ fuerit calidior earum, hec vero frigidior, sed non tantum tamen calidior ut contristet vicinantem, neque ita dolebunt ...
Doctrina tertia. De modo ${ }^{321}$ generationis et de ${ }^{322}$ natura discrasie inequalis distincte e parte ${ }^{323}$ corporis et essentie simplicis et composite e contrariis. Cuius sunt tria capitula.
P. 162,11. Capitulum primum. De modo generationis et de natura discrasie inequalis distincte a parte causarum.
Incipit: Redeo vero rursus ad inequalis discrasie differentias ...
P. 164,21. Capitulum secundum. De natura et de ${ }^{324}$ modis discrasie inequalis composite e duobus contrariis.
Incipit: Dolent autem et quicumque infrigidati fortiter a gelu vasto damnati sunt excalefacti cito seipsos.
P. 170,11. Capitulum tertium. De modo generationis et de ${ }^{325}$ natura discrasie inequalis simplicis.
Incipit: At relique vero febres omnes preter ethicas. Similiter autem et quecumque particule alicuius sunt egritudines cum tumore similiter flegmoni secundum dyskrasiam inequalem perficiuntur efficiuntur ${ }^{326}$.
Explicit: Hec sufficere mihi videntur precognita esse his qui assecuturi sunt eam que de pharmacis operam. Et post ipsam eam que terapeutice methodi.

The ms. of Metz has in margine some of the chapters' titles according to this series.

[^50]The Monacensis presents a similar division into nine chapters that agrees with PV ed. However there are no doctrinae, just chapters; the titles are similar to the former ones, but not exactly. See the contents of the last chapter (9th. ch.= 3d. doctrina, 3d. chapter in the other group):
in quo agit de modo generationis discrasie inequalis simplicis et de natura ipsius epilogans et imponens finem dictis.

It begins precisely in p. 170,11 of the Greek text, as the others.
The division into 9 chapters would appear in the Charterius edition, but the titles are not the same.
6. Text non-extant in our Greek mss.
P. 158,16 post $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \zeta o v ~ a d d . ~ n e q u e ~ i t a ~ d o l e b u n t ~ N i c ., ~ a d d . ~ o u ́ \delta غ ̀ ~ o u ́ \tau \omega \varsigma ~$ ò ovvŋ́бєє $\alpha$ Ł Fuchs. This commentator ${ }^{327}$ does not mention Nic., but the 1515 edition of Nic.'s version was available in his time. I do not find it adequate for the text.
 universe. Its loss would be very easy in the $-\tau \alpha$ homoeoteleuton.

At times, the text lost in Greek is preserved both by Hun. and Nic.:
P. 144,9 $\sigma \varepsilon$ addidi, cf. "to you", Hun., te Nic. Lost in $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha i ́ ~<\sigma \varepsilon>$ by phonetic haplograpy -se se.
 that which is cold." Nic. reads: hae vero frigidior. Charterius - who obviously had within reach Nic.'s translation - added $\eta \eta \psi v \chi \varrho o ́ \tau \varepsilon \varrho o v . ~ O u r ~ c o d d . ~ h a v e ~ l o s t ~$ those two words because of saut du même au même.

I have included some other additions of Nic. in my Apparatus criticus.
Text rightly omitted by Nic.:
P. 158,15-16 $\dot{\omega}$ [ $\mu \eta$ 门] $\lambda v \pi \varepsilon i ̃ \nu ~ t o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \zeta o v] ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ s e c l u s i, ~ c f . ~ u t ~ c o n t r i s t e t ~ v i c i n a n-~$ tem Nic.
7. Relationships between the mss.

All the mss. derive from the same copy:
P. 150,7 $\left.\pi \varepsilon \phi \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau \circ \varsigma . \alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta \mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \delta \iota \alpha \phi o ́ \varrho \eta \sigma ı \varsigma\right] ~ v e l ~ d i g e s t o ~[s e c u n d u m] ~ d i a p h o-~$ resis quidem] add. secundum codd. ed.

Agreements in error.
omission:
P. 142,1 Incipit liber Galieni de inequali dyskrasia AWOV: liber Gal. de dyskrasia inequali P ed.: om. MZ
P. 144,14 $\varepsilon$ ì $\tau$ ú $\chi o t]$ si contingit: om. WZOP ed.
P. 150,2 кגì סvoĩv $\gamma \varepsilon$ Өátع@ov] et duorum demum alterum: demum om. WMP ed.

P．152，2 $\varepsilon$ ह̀v $\tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \eta ̀ v<\phi v ́ \sigma \iota v>[\kappa \varrho \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota v]$ ，in eo quod transmutata est crasis：quod om．AWMV
 uniuscuiusque：natura singulare WV
P．152，14 $\tau$ l］aliquo om．AZOPV ed．
P．154，1 $\mu$ ह̀v］quidem om．WV
P．154，2 кגì bis］et：om．WZOP ed．
P．154，6 $\omega \mathscr{\omega} \sigma \pi \varepsilon \varrho \gamma \varepsilon]$ quemadmodum demum：demum om．MP ed．
P．154，6 к $\dot{\alpha} \pi i]$ et in：et om．ZO
 $\sigma ט ́ \mu \pi \alpha v$ ぞס $\eta$ тò $\alpha \tilde{\sim} \mu \alpha]$ sed in his omnibus febribus calefactus est quandoque universus sanguis．iam autem et om．AO
P．156，4 т $\alpha$ $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ ］alia：om．AWOV
P．156，18 $\mu$ óvov］solum om WP ed．
P．158，6 ov́ $\tau \omega$ ］ita om．AOV
P．158，8 к $\alpha i$ $\delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i]$ et denique et：et bis om．APV ed．
P．170，14 $\dot{\xi} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \varrho \varrho о \tilde{\eta} \varsigma] ~ e ~ s u p e r i n f l u e n t i a ~ A W V Z: ~ e x ~ i n f l u e n t i a ~ M O P ~ e d . ~$
P．172，6－7 $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta ́ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \varepsilon u ̀ \theta u ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha ̀ v \omega ́ \delta u v o v] ~ f i e t ~ q u i d e m ~ c o n f e s t i m ~ i n d o l o r o-~$ sum AMZOV：quidem om．WP ed．
P．172，7 $\dot{\varepsilon} v \chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \tau \varepsilon ́ Q \alpha \delta^{\prime} \not \partial \alpha \nu$ ov́t $\left.\omega \gamma \varepsilon \delta \iota \alpha \theta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota\right]$ in difficiliori vero utique ita disposicione AWZOV：utique om．MP ed．
P．172，8 ג̉＠кєĩv $\mu$ оь бокєī］sufficere mihi videntur AWMPV ed．：videntur om． ZO
varia：
P．150，14 ov́ $\tau \omega$ ］ita：fit AZO
P．152，8 $\delta \eta$ ］iam：ita APV ed．
P．154，1 tò is：hiis AMO
P．154，2 tò ］is：hiis AMO
P．154，8］घن̉ $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ot $\omega \tau$ тó $\alpha \tau \tau 0 v$ ］facillime：facile AMV
P．158，4 toĩs ú $\begin{aligned} & \text {＠oĩs humoribus］humidis AZO }\end{aligned}$

The mss．are rather contaminated．The most obvious affinities are P ed．， AO，AV，ZO，WP，W ed．，in this order．Second to them is WV．

8．See Stemma on page 91.

9．The Greek model．
Agreements in error：
omission：
P．148，8 кevai Pz，cf．＂hollows＂Hun．：om．$\Lambda \mathrm{U}$ ，om．Nic．
P．152，15 ci post そ̄ LMP：om．TUz，om．Nic．
P. 168,5 oṽ̃oı U: om. $\Lambda$ Pz, om. Nic.
P. 168,6 $\alpha i \sigma \theta \alpha ́ v o v \tau \alpha ı$ Uz, cf. "perceive" Hип.: om. $\Lambda$ P, om. Nic.
varia:
 quandoque quemadmodum Nic.
P. 150,7 ט́ $ү \varrho o v ̃] ~ \varrho ̨ \varepsilon ́ \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ U, ~ c f . ~ r e u m a t e ~ N i c . ~$
P. 152,7 $\eta$ ^U, cf. "or" Hun.: к $\alpha \mathrm{i}$ Pz, cf. et Nic.
P. 168,3 $\pi v \varrho$ と́ $\tau \tau 0 v \sigma \iota \nu{ }^{\text {s.l. }}$ Uz: $\delta \iota \psi \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota \Lambda P^{\text {i.l. }}, c f$. sitiunt Nic.

The original Greek ms. is not extant. The Greek ms. that solely agrees to Nic. is U. However, there are many places where U and Nic. do not agree: P. 154,3 Өã̃ $\tau \circ v, c f$. citius Nic.] Өáva $\frac{1}{}$ U, cf. mortaliter Pet.
 dolores fiunt Pet.: non habet Nic.
P. 154,16 $\chi u$ uòs om. U, Pet.: habet Nic.

Maybe Nic. included some of Peter of Abano's words, whose model was an ancestor of the Mutinensis, instead of checking U itself: P. 150,7 ט́ $\wp \varrho o v ̃] ~ \varrho ̨ \varepsilon ́ \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ U, ~ r e u m a t e ~ P e t ., ~ N i c . ~$

The hypothesis of just one Greek copy would consist of a copy belonging to the $\Lambda$-family, contaminated by the $\Delta$-group (rather contaminated!) This is the ordinate series of the mss. agreeing in error with Nic.: T L M P U z, being T the nearest.

The apparent eclecticism of Nic. could be based on the fact that he used more than one Greek exemplar. At times, a group of Nic.'s mss. presents one of two Greek readings, and a second group presents the other. Did Nic try to find more than one ms? That accords with the intense contamination presented by the Latin copies. Or else somebody wrote a variant reading on a ms., and it has been copied in several mss. as the good text, eliminating the old one. Nevertheless it could just be lectiones faciliores in a group of mss.
P. 144,10 $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v \varsigma \mathrm{Pz}$, et $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu \mathrm{U}, c f$. incipientes Pet., Nic. ${ }^{\mathrm{A}}: \dot{\alpha} \varrho \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o v$ $\Lambda, c f$. incipientem Nic. cett. ed
 $\alpha v ̃ U^{\prime}$ év $\theta \alpha \delta^{\prime} \alpha v ̃ z, c f$. hinc autem rursus Nic. Wzov
 Nic. WZoped: $\varepsilon \dot{v} \alpha \lambda \lambda$ oí $\omega$ tov P Pi.l cett., cf. facile Nic. ${ }^{\text {AMV }}$
P. 156,12 $\delta \varepsilon ́ \delta \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \iota ~ \Lambda P z, c f$. recepit Nic. ${ }^{\text {ZPVed. }: ~ \delta \varepsilon ́ \chi} \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \mathrm{U}, c f$. recipit Nic. ${ }^{\text {AWMO }}$

## STEMMA OF THE LATIN VERSION BY NICCOLÒ DA REGGIO



## A 10.The Renaissance Translations

1. The Aldine edition was preceded by the studies of the treatise by Giorgio Valla (1447-1500), Niccolò Leoniceno (1428-1524), and Thomas of Linacre (1460-1524). In an effort to improve the Galenic text, widely known in Arabo-Latin versions, the three of them translated the treatise from the Greek. They were somehow or other related to Aldo Manucio.

Giorgio Valla ${ }^{328}$ was the first translator. Born in Piacenza (1447), he learned Greek from Konstantinos Laskaris in Milan and continued his studies in Pavia, ${ }^{329}$ where he started to teach as a Professor in 1466. He was transferred to the School of St. Mark in Venice, in 1485, where he died in 1500. He was a friend of Aldo.

The version by Valla, beginning Distemperantia inaequalis, predated that of his master, Leoniceno, by fifteen years. It was printed by Simon (Papiensis) Bevilaqua in Venice, 1498. ${ }^{330}$ In this same year the Arabo-Latin text was printed as well.
Inc. "Distemperantia inaequalis fit aliquando corporis animati sicut aqua intercutem languentibus obesa carne et eis quae putridae febres appellantur: ac fere omnibus aliis praeterque quae hecticae nuncupantur sit etiam in quolibet turgente membro ..."
Expl. "Sin totum omnino commutetur altereturque illico sine dolore evadet.
In molestiori autem ita dispositione constituta. Haec mihi satis visa nosse eis qui medicamentum tractatus velint inspicere et curandi cum hoc habere disciplinam."

Most of his Galenic translations are collected as well in this edition ${ }^{331}$. The Mutinensis gr. 109 (U), belonging to his collection ${ }^{332}$ before reaching Modena's Library, provided the Greek ${ }^{333}$ text. This is easily checked by reading the

[^51]beginning of his text, for the Mutinensis has lost some words of the first sentence. He used other clues to it as well.
2. Niccolò Leoniceno, ${ }^{334}$ who had the largest private library of his time, was collaborator ${ }^{335}$ with Aldus and Musurus in the edition of Aristotle, and in their projected edition of Galen". He was said to lend his own mss. ${ }^{336}$ to the Aldine presses.

His translation, beginning Intemperatura inaequalis ${ }^{337}$, was printed for the first time in Paris, 1514, ff. 27-32, by Henri Étienne ${ }^{338}$. The second edition was printed in Venice, 1524339, vol. II, ff. 177-180, by B. Vercellensis de Viano et B. Vitalis: ${ }^{340}$ The orthography was corrected. Leoniceno has used mss. both of family $\Lambda$ and family $\Delta$. He knew of the Mutinensis 109 as well.

Incipit. [Text= Paris 1514. V=Venice 1524]. Intemperatura inequalis totum (om. V) corpus animalis aliquando (aliquando ante animalis V ) obsidet, sicut in aqua inter cutem (intercutem V ) quae Anasarca nominatur, et in febribus illis quae Hepialae vocantur, contingit. (non distinxit V) Quod etiam in omnibus aliis fere evenit, praeter eas quae ecticae id est habituales dicuntur. Fit autem et in unoquovis (uno quovis V) membro vel inflato vel inflammato vel Gangrenam vel Herisipelas vel cancrum (om. V) patiente.

Explicit: Quod si totum per totum transmutatum fuerit, atque alteratum, protinus quidem dolore vacabit, sed in difficiliorem incidet dispositionem. Haec mihi visa sunt (fiunt V ) necessaria praecognitu iis (his V ) qui tractatum de medicamentis, atque una cum hoc alterum de (de om. V) curandi ratione sunt assecuti (assecuturi V).
3. The third Renaissance translation published before the Aldine edition was written by Thomas of Linacre. "Sometime toward 1485, William Selling, Linacre's old headmaster, was sent on an Embassy ... to pope Innocent VIII, and Linacre went along with him to Italy..." ${ }^{341}$ While in Italy, Thomas met Lorenzo Medici, Giorgio Valla and Leoniceno, studied in the Vatican Library and collabo-

[^52]rated with Aldo in the editio princeps of Aristotle ${ }^{342}$. In Padua he graduated as Doctor of medicine. In 1491 the University of Oxford admitted him to its doctorate in medicine. ${ }^{343}$

His version, ${ }^{344}$ coupled by the translation of De temperamentis, was printed in Cambridge, 1521, by J. Siberch. ${ }^{345}$ The title, De inaequali intemperie, would be the universal denomination of the Galenic treatise. It was reprinted in the Basle Latin edition of 1549, vol. III, cols. 161-170; in the Venice edition of 1565, the fourth Juntas edition, vol. III, 43r-44v; and in 1576, the fifth Juntas, vol. III, f. 43r-44v.

Durling pointed out ${ }^{346}$ that his Greek exemplar was the Laudianus graecus 58 (my D), copied in Italy ${ }^{347}$ in the second half of the XV c. In fact he may have used that one or its copy, the Vaticanus graecus $282(\mathrm{~V})$, which was catalogued in the Vatican Library ca. 1472:348
P. 144,1 ठvoк@ $\alpha \sigma$ í $\alpha$ DV: om. cett. A intemperies Lin.
P. 144,1 ט́то $\mu \vee \eta ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \iota v$ от. DV om. Lin
P. 146,14 $\pi \alpha \chi \grave{v}] \pi o \lambda u ̀ ~ D V ~ m u l t u m ~ L i n . ~$
P. 146,19 סウ̀] oũv DV ergo Lin.
P. 146,20 $\pi \varepsilon \pi$ тovӨótoऽ] @́ $\varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau o \varsigma ~ D V ~ f l u x i o n e ~ e s t ~ a f f e c t u m ~ L i n . ~$
P. 170,9 غ̇к $\delta$ v́o DV: кג̇к U: ठı̀̀ $\Lambda \mathrm{P}$ ex duplici Lin.
P. 172,2 тои̃סє PU: oṽ $\tau \omega$ DV: om $\Lambda$ sic Lin.

Where D and V disagree, Linacre keeps either to the text of D, as in p. 156, 20, or to V, as in p. 156,6.
 Lin.
P. 156,6 $\mu \eta \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \mathrm{UV}: \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega \Lambda \mathrm{P}: \mu \eta \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{D}}$ non adhuc Lin.

Linacre may have checked other copies as well, such as the Mutinensis 109, a manuscript that seems to have been read by the three translators, unless Leoniceno and Linacre worked on the translation by Valla. If that is the case, he could have taken some readings from ms . U , such as p. 156,6 $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \mathrm{UV}$, instead of consulting both ms., D and V , which would certainly be improbable.

[^53]Linacre's translation is not divided into chapters, but in the 1549 ed. the text is divided according to Johannis Agricola into 12 chapters. It includes as well a different division into 9 chapters, indicated in the text. Venice 1565 and 1576 are divided into 9 chapters.

On the other hand, this translation underwent changes from one edition to the next.
Linacre-Incipit. Text=1521. B=Basle 1549. V=Venice 1565. Inaequalis intemperies alias in toto animalis corpore fit. veluti in ea hydropis specie, quam graeci $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \sigma \alpha ́ \varrho \chi \alpha(-\sigma \alpha \varrho \kappa \alpha \mathrm{V})$ vocant, et febribus iis, quas iidem hepialas appellant, fereque reliquis omnibus, exceptis quas hecticas nominant. Incidit autem et in unaqualibet parte, quum ea vel intumuit (oedemate V), vel phlegmone, gangrena, erysipelate, cancrove, est affecta.
Linacre-Explicit. Quod si tota per tota mutata alterataque sint: fiunt quidem illico a dolore libera. In difficili (difficiliori V) tamen sic constituta sunt statu. Haec praenovisse his (iis BV) qui opus de medicamentis sunt percepturi, et post id medendi methodum, abunde mihi satis videtur.

Once the Aldine edition had been printed, those interested in the treatise would compare the earlier translations with the Greek text. Some of them wrote a new Commentary, some of them just corrected Linacre's version, adding notes, and at least two wrote a new -or no so new- version.

Janus Cornarius corrected Linacre's text in the 1549 Basle edition (162r170r).
P. 142,12 post $\pi \varrho \circ \sigma \pi \iota \pi \tau o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ add. $\phi \propto \varrho \mu \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu$ Pz] ex medicamentis iis Lin. Cornarius eliminated medicamentis, leaving ex iis.

Gadaldinus, in the Venice edition of 1565, the fourth Juntas edition, vol. III, $43 \mathrm{r}-44 \mathrm{v}$, printed in the margin some corrected passages on the basis of the Aldine/Basle. The same is true for the fifth edition, Venice 1576, ibid. P. 142,13 $\pi \lambda \varepsilon$ iov L: $\pi \lambda$ eíoves TAB ] plures add. Gadaldinus

The Latin translation printed by Charterius in his 1679 edition is Linacre's as well. ${ }^{349}$ The next two translators, Fuchs and Lalamantius, just changed some words of Linacre's version.

[^54]4. Leonhart Fuchs, 1501-1566, was a Professor at Tübingen University. 1537 is the date of the Preface written by him to the II volume of the 1538 Basle edition of the Opera omnia in Greek. A translation and commentary ${ }^{350}$ to De inaequali intemperie by L. Fuchs is printed in Paris, 1549, by J. Dupuys, in the first volume of (Galen) Aliquot opera ... a Leonharto Fuchsio ... Latinitate donata, \& commentariis illustrata, pp. 1 r to 12 v .
"Linacre's version is often so obscure as to be unintelligible, without recourse to the original" writes Fuchs in Latin. ${ }^{351}$ He uses the Linacre's version, but often changes it, on the basis of the Aldine/Basle edition, and Niccolò's translation, at least. He divided it into 10 chapters. He included a Commentary (see below).
P. 158,16 post $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \zeta o v ~ a d d . ~ n e q u e ~ i t a ~ d o l e b u n t ~ N i c ., ~ o u ̉ \delta \varepsilon ̀ ~ o u ́ \tau \omega \varsigma ~ o ̉ \delta v v \eta ́ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~$ add. Fuchs et Lalam. post Nic.

Fuchs-incipit. Inaequalis intemperies nonnunquam (alias Lin.) fit in universo (toto Lin.) animalis corpore, velut in ea hydropis specie, quae anasarca dicitur (quam graeci $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \sigma \alpha ́ \varrho \chi \alpha$ vocant Lin.) et febribus iis quas (iidem add. Lin.) hepialas vocant (appellant Lin.), fereque reliquis omnibus, exceptis quas hecticas nominant. Fit vero (Incidit autem Lin.) et in unaqualibet parte, quum ea vel oedemate (vel intumuit Lin.), vel phlegmone, vel gangraena, vel erysipelate, vel cancro laborat (est affecta Lin.

Fuchs-explicit. Quod si vero tota per tota mutata et alterata fuerint (sint Lin.), dico quidem dolores expertia fiunt (fiunt quidem illico a dolore libera Lin.): in difficiliori tamen sic constituentur affectu (constituta sunt statu Lin.) Haec praenovisse iis qui opus de medicamentis sunt percepturi, et post id medendi methodum, abunde mihi satis videtur.

The Aldine edition provides the (real) divergences from Linacre's version: P. 142,13 $\pi \lambda \varepsilon$ ĩov L, $\pi \lambda \varepsilon$ íoves TA] plurimae add. Fuchs. P. 146,12 $\pi \alpha ́ v v$ LTP $^{\text {ro }}$ A: кầ $\Delta$ maxime Fuchs
 incidit vero omnino Fuchs
5. Jean Lallemant (Johannes Lalamantius, 1549-1578?), published a version of the treatise accompanied by corrections and conjectures to the Greek text,

[^55]in Autun (Hedua) 1578, and in Geneva 1579, ${ }^{352}$ apud Petrum Santandreanum. ${ }^{353}$ The Praefatio was written in 1578. Translation of the treatise in pp. 35-58, castigatio in 58-63.

Let us compare his Incipit with Linacre's.
Lallemant-Incipit. Inaequalis intemperies alias in toto animalis corpore fit, veluti in ea hydropis specie, quam graeci $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \sigma \alpha ́ \varrho \kappa \alpha$ vocant, et febribus iis, quas iidem hepialas appellant, fereque in (in om. Lin.) reliquis omnibus, exceptis iis (iis om. Lin.) quas hecticas nominant. Fit vero (Incidit autem Lin.) et in unaqualibet parte, quum ea vel oedemate (intumuit Lin.), vel phlegmone, gangrena, erisipelate cancrove, fuerit (est Lin.) affecta.

Lallemant-Explicit. He added several words (Linacre's below between brackets):
"quod si universa corpora simplicia tota per se tota mutata alterataque fuerint, [Quod si tota per tota mutata alterataque sint:]
ipsa quidem illico erunt doloris expertia, at in difficiliore tamen statu constituta. [fiunt quidem illico a dolore libera. In difficiliori tamen sic constituta sunt statu.] Haec praemonuisse, sufficere putem iis qui tum ea quae in opere de medicamentis, tum quae post id in Methodo medendi tractantur, sunt assecuturi." [Haec praenovisse iis qui opus de medicamentis sunt percepturi, et post id medendi methodum, abunde mihi satis videtur.]

The divergences in the translation, and his corrections to the Basle Greek edition, are based on "two old codices" according to his own statement, most probably the translation of Gerard of Cremona in Venice 1490, and the 1515 edition of Nicholas of Reggio. Other corrections are his own. I have inserted some in the Apparatus criticus.


 Nic.] aut frigidior add. Lalam.
6. An excerpt of the text, translated into Italian, is included in the Ms. Wellcome 356, dated ca. 1625, pp. 450-457. Cf. S. A. J. Moorat, Catalogue of Western Manuscripts, vol. I, p. 226. It is based on Linacre's version, corrected on the basis of the Aldine.

[^56]P. 142,12 post $\pi \varrho \circ \sigma \pi \iota \pi \tau o ́ v \tau \omega v$ add. $\phi \alpha \varrho \mu \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu \mathrm{Pz}$, ex medicamentis Linacre, "da medicamenti" We.
P. 146,14 $\pi \alpha \chi \grave{v}] \pi o \lambda u ̀ ~ D V, ~ m u l t u m ~ L i n ., ~ c r a s s o ~ W e . ~$
7. Having in mind the chronology of the XVI c. Greek editions, Aldine 1525, Basle 1538, let us review the (first) date of the printed translations. See Table I, pag. 102 (T=Translation, C=Commentary).

## A 11. The Renaissance Commentaries And Annotationes

New Commentaries and Notes were written on De inaequali intemperie after the Aldine (and the Basle) edition, most of them based on Linacre's translation. I mention them in chronological order ( ${ }^{*}$ checked).
*1. Leiden, 1538. Prospero Calani Sarzinensis, born 1480 in Sarzana, Italy, fl. 1524-1538.

Paraphrasis ${ }^{354}$ in librum Galeni De inaequali intemperie, Lugduni, apud Seb. Gryphium, 1538. Commentary in pp. 7-105. It includes the translation of Linacre in margine. It is a rather complete commentary, bringing forward Arabian and Greek auctoritates, as well as, say, Leoniceno and Manardi, "nostri temporis veteris Medicinae acerrimi instauratores. ${ }^{335}$
*2. Basle, 1539. Johannes Agricola Ammonius (Johann Bauer; born 1496 in Gunzenhausen, died 1570 in Ingolstadt).

Galeni ${ }^{356}$ de inaequali intemperie, Thoma Linacro interprete cum commentariis Johannis Agricolae. Accedit concordantiae simpl. medic. in auct. praecip. Basle, apud B. Westheimer, 1539, in-8. He divided the treatise into 12 chapters (a division accepted in the Basle Latin edition of 1549, vol. III, cols. 161-170.) I have not yet seen that Commentary.
*3. Leiden, 1547. Hieremias Thriverus Brachelius (Jérémie de Dryère), 1504-1554, Professor in Louvain.

[^57]I. Commentaria ${ }^{357}$ in omnes Galeni libros de temperamentis. II. In omnes Galeni de temperamentis libros epitome, was published at the same time: Lugduni, apud Godefridum \& Marcellum Beringos, fratres, 1547, in-16.

A second edition ${ }^{358}$ in 1557, also in Leiden, by the same eds., G. and M. Bering.

Commentary to De inaequali intemperie, in vol. I, pp. 352-400. He includes Linacre's translation and he comments sentence by sentence on the contents, with rather scarce references to other Galenic treatises or to other authors. Issue: Universidad Complutense, Madrid (digitalised).
*4. Saragosa, 1548. Alfonso López de Corella, 1513-1584.
Annotationes ${ }^{359}$ in omnia opera Galeni, Caesar Augusta, P. Bernuz, 1548, pp. 61v-62v., and Caesar Augusta, P. Bernuz, 1565, pp. 61v-62v.

It includes excerpta from Linacre's version, and notes to them.
*5. Venice, 1548. Andres Laguna de Segovia, Spain (1499-1559).
Annotationes ${ }^{360}$ in Galeni interpretes quibus varii loci in quos hactenus impegerunt lectores, et explicantur, et summa fide restituuntur, Venetiis, apud Hieronymum Scotum, 1548.

In p. 7 v , he corrects two passages of Linacre's version. He quotes Linacre by Juntas, Venetiis, 1541.

The notes are included as well in his Epitome Galeni Pergameni Operum... / per Do. And. Lacunam... collecta... Accesserunt eiusdem And. Lacunae Annotationes in Galeni interpretes... Item de ponderibus \& mensuris medicinalibus vtilis commentarius. Basileae : per Thomam Guarinum, 1571.
*6. Paris, 1549. Jacobus Sylvius Ambianus (Jacques Dubois), born 1478 in Amiens, died 1555 in Paris.

[^58]Cl . Galeni ${ }^{361}$ Pergameni De temperamentis, De inaequali intemperie, Thoma Linacro Anglo interprete, cum isagoge in eosdem libros et scholiis marginalibus longe dosctissimis per Iacobum Sylvium, apud Iacobum Gazellum, Parisiis 1549.

Beside the translation of Linacre, Dubois includes notes in margine, especially dealing with references to other treatises by Galen, in pp. 73-82.

There is another edition with the same title, date and contents, in Leiden: Lugduni, 1549 [sub scuto veneto], apud Gulielmum Rovillium. Text and notes in pp. 175-192.
*7. Paris, 1549. Leonhart Fuchs (1501-1566).

Galen ${ }^{362}$... Aliquot opera/ a Latinitate donata \& commentariis illustrata, vol. I, Paris, 1549, by J. Dupuys, pp. 1r to 12v.

As I mentioned above, Fuchs sometimes corrects the translation of Linacre on the basis of Nicholas' version and the Aldine edition (he took part in the Basle edition). In his scholarly commentary, he fiercely attacks Jérémie de Dryère, whose commentary appeared two years earlier.
*8. Antwerp, 1553. Antonius Busennius.
In Cl. Galeni librum de inaequali intemperie commentarii, ${ }^{363}$ Antverpiae, J. Richardus, 1553, in-8.
*9. Venice, 1562. Iohannes Baptista Rasarius, 1517-1578, physician in Padua.

Galeni ${ }^{364}$ Omnia, quae extant: singulari studio, summaque diligentia Johannis Baptistae Rasarii emendata, novo ordine, cuius etiam attulimus rationem, disposita, librorum nuper inventorum accessione aucta, et magna ex parte in latinum linguam conversa. Venetiis, apud Vincent Valgrisium, 1562 [4 vols.]
*10. Alcalá de Henares, 1567. Francisco del Vallés de Covarrubias (15241592).

[^59]Galeni Ars medicinalis, commentariis ${ }^{365}$ Francisci Vallesii ... illustrata, Commentariola in libellum Galeni de inequali intemperie, Compluti: excudebat Andreas de Angulo, 1567, pp. 187-229.

A different edition in the same year: Francisci Vallesii... Commentaria ${ }^{366}$ illustria in Cl. Galeni ... libros subsequentes, I. Artem medicinalem, II. De inaequali temperie libellum, III. Tertium de temperamentis librum, IIII. Quinq[ue] priores de simplicium medicamentorum facultate libros, V. Duos de differentia febrium [et] Tractatus medicinales, I. De vrinis compendiaria tractatio, II. De pulsibus libellus, III. De febribus, IIII. Methodi medendi libri tres: omnia recens prima hac editione publicata opera \& industria Ioannis Petri Ayroldi Marcellini ... , Compluti 1567.

Printed ${ }^{367}$ afterwards in Venice, 1591, by G. B. Giotti, and in Cologne, 1592, by Francisco de Franciscis \& Ioannis Baptistae Ciottiare, and a second time in 1594.

Del Vallés works from Fuchs translation, correcting it: he changes words more than sentences. He divides the text into 13 chapters. The necessity of the Commentary is backed by his opinion that his predecessors have not understood what is the inaequalis intemperies: quo semel ignorato, necesse est, ut in tota libri enarratione delirent. The basis of the Commentary is a precise discussion of the Greek words. He attacks Arnaud, Jéremie, Fuchs, and brings forward Hippocrates, Aristotle, Celsus, and, of course, Galen himself.
[11. Johannis Riolani, in Angers, Andecavensis 461 (466), 1575, f. 7r-8v, Annotationes in librum de inaequali intemperie. Paper, 259x176, 265 folios. *Catalogue général des manuscrits des Bibliothèques publiques de France, Départements, tome xxxi, Paris 1898, pp. 346. (Recueil de cours de médecine).

If J. Riolanus is the Jean Riolan who was born in 1577 (died 1657), obviously the reference in the Catalogue could not be 1575. I have asked thrice a copy from Angers, but I could not get it.]

See Table I for the Translations and Commentaries written in the Rennaisance.

[^60]
## TABLE I. MEDIEVAL AND RENAISSANCE TRANSLATIONS AND COMMENTARIES OF DE INAEQUALI INTEMPERIE

IX ante 832 *Ayyūb al-Ruhāwī (Syriac T)
858-873 Ḥunayn (Arabic T)

ON THE ARABIC VERSION:

XII ca. 1157-1187 Gerardo de Cremona T

XIII ${ }^{2 m}$ David ben Caslari $\mathbf{T}$
(Gerard's into Hebrew)
ca. 1290-95 Arnau de Vilanova C
ON THE GREEK TEXT:
XIV

| ca. 1300 Bernard Engarra C | ca. 1300 Pietro d'Abano T |
| :--- | :--- |
| ca. 1297-1327 Dino del Garbo C | ca. 1310-1320 Niccolò da Reggio T |

XV
1421 Ugo Benzi C
1490 ed. Gerardo
1498 Giorgio Valla T
XVI
1500 ed. Ugo Benzi
1504 ed. Arnau

1514 Niccolò Leoniceno T
1515 ed. Niccolò da Reggio
1521 Thomas of Linacre T
1525 Aldine ed.
1538 Prospero Calani di Sarzana C 1538 BasLe Ed.
1539 Johannes Agricola Ammonius C
1547 Hieremias Thriverus Brachelius C 1548 Alfonso López de Corella C
1548 Andres Laguna de Segovia C 1549 Jacobus Sylvius C
1549 Leonhart Fuchs TC
1553 Antonius Busennius C
1562 Iohannes Baptista Rasarius C
1567 Francisco del Vallés C
1578 Jean Lallemant T
1625 Wellcome 356 T
1649 CHARTIERED.

## A 12. The Greek Indirect Tradition

1. Oribasius. Two passages of the treatise are collected by Oribasius.
 medicae CMG VI 2,1 Raeder; 44,1,10,5 - 44,1,13,1: סvoĩv $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$... tò ל $\tilde{\mu}$ ov $\alpha i ̃ \mu \alpha$ (= 3.535.15-537.6, Daremberg \& Bussemaker).

Orib. omits:

P. 150,9-20 $\dot{\alpha} \phi$ '́ $\tau \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$... тоі̃ऽ $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi$ voıs.
P. 152,3-11 тoveĩ ... $\lambda v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ ऽ
P. 152,18-154,2 غ̇к $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ . . . ~ \phi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \beta \alpha \varsigma ~$

Book 44 is dedicated by Oribasius to inflammation, and composed of Galen's passages. ${ }^{368}$ Dealing with the effect of the phlegmonê on the whole body, Oribasius omits some of the personal commentaries of Galen, as we might expect: "for it is preferable to start from the better side" (p. 150,5). He does not include either the complete subject of the deposits (p. 150,9-20); there is just the naked fact of healing: "Double in this case will be the healing: either all the obstructed humidity being dissipated, or else being concocted. In fact, dissipation is the most adequate of the ways of healing. Coction, on the other hand, is necessarily followed by these two events: pus' formation and deposit" (p. 150,5-9).

He does not elaborate on pain and the effect of the (uneven) qualities on an organ, which is aligned by Galen with the loosening of continuity ( $\sigma v \vee \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~ \lambda u ́ \sigma ı \varsigma)$, within the spectrum of anomalous dyskrasia (P. 3, 17-24). A last topic, the process of heating reaching the vessels first (P. 4, 5-7), is omitted. All in all, the process of fever from inflammation is rightly explained in Oribasius.

The quotation is literal. The most surprising fact is the agreement of Oribasius' text with the $\Delta$-family. See above, A 3 . Relationship between the Greek manuscripts (4.) The loci similes in Oribasius.
2. A second fragment is collected by Oribasius, and again by Paulus of Aegina: ${ }^{369}$

[^61]369 Paulus collected his material from Oribasius, as it happens in this quotation, al-
P. 158,4-8 к $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{i} \tau \alpha \iota \mu \varepsilon ̀ v . . . \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \mu o \varrho i ́ \omega v=$ Oribasius, Synopsis ad Eustathium filium CMG VI 3 Raeder; 6,21,1,1-3,1. = Paulus Aeg., Epitomae 2,31,1,1-4 Heiberg.

The text in Oribasius/Paulus presents slight differences from Galen's: it is just summarised a bit. The passage deals with the peculiarity of the hektikos fever.

I should remark the use of the participle $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v$, which is presented by Orib./Paulus with the prefix $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa$-. In fact, it is Galen who uses the composed $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s$ for indicating "having been completely heated",


 He refers to the heart's pneuma as already heated, while the humid and the dry matter are still being heated, that is, the humours and the solid parts.

The manner of collecting is rather clever. It keeps to the word as far as necessary for the contents, and just leaves the superfluous out -or the overlystyled sentences. ${ }^{370}$
3. As for Aetius Amidenus, scarce passages of our treatise appear in his books:
P. 164,21-166,3 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma o v ̃ \sigma \iota ~ . . . ~ o ̉ v v ́ \chi ~ \omega \nu v] ~ T h e r e ~ a r e ~ s i m i l a r ~ p a s s a g e s ~ i n ~ D e ~ l o c . ~ a f f . ~$ II 5: VIII 81,5-11 K. and Meth. Med. XII 7: X 853, 12-14 K. The text in Aetius V: CMG VIII 2; V 101, 6-8 Olivieri, is nearer to De inaequali than to the others.

Aetius V 87 deals with the êpialos fever:
P. 168,2-3 évıoı ... $\alpha$ ỉ $\theta$ Ө́vov $\alpha$ ı, also appear in De diff. febr. II 6: 7.347, 5-9 K., and Aetius V: CMG VIII 2; V 87,5 Olivieri. However Aetius' text is more dependent on the latter.

 quotation presents in Aetius the same wording, although the context is not the same.

I checked the $X$ book of Aetius in a handwritten copy of the Vaticanus graecus 282 (XV c.), provided by the research group of Antonio Garzya.

[^62]$\Sigma \quad$ 4. The ms. P (Yalensis 1121, olim British Library, Phillipps 4614), $\mathrm{XII}^{\mathrm{m}}$ c., ff. 54r-57v) presents scholia, written by the same hand in small characters with many abbreviations (half the vowels are absent), as is the case in the su-pra-lineam words and sentences. The scholia were written after the copy of all the treatises [by the first hand, Ioannikios] was completed, probably at the same time as the correction of the text. The scholia have not been corrected. Ioannikios had the scholia before him when he copied the text of De inaequali intemperie. ${ }^{371}$

The scholia are somehow written in Galen's Greek, maybe by a writer-physician who imitated his style. Considering the language used, the two stemmata included in order to introduce $\delta \iota \alpha$ ๒éбعıs, and some other features, I have proposed ${ }^{372}$ for the scholia a date between the third and the sixth century A.D. ${ }^{373}$

When it includes a passage of the treatise, it agrees with the $\Delta$-family, but not necessarily with $P$.
P. 142,4 $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ ante $\alpha \not \approx \alpha \alpha \sigma \iota$ add. $z \Sigma$
P. 158,7 ó $\mu$ oí $\omega \varsigma$ ] ó $\mu$ oí $\omega \nu \Sigma$
P. 172,5 к $\alpha \mathrm{i} \Delta$ : om. $\Lambda \Sigma \quad$ Could it just be an independent omission of $\Sigma$ ?
P. $172,5 \mu \eta \dot{\pi} \omega$ PU $\Sigma: \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega \mathrm{z} \Lambda$

It has several passages from other Galenic treatises, such as De diff. febrium, De Med. Meth., and De tumor. praet. nat.

 diff. febr. II 5: VII 346, 10-12 K.

## R 5. Parisinus gr. 2283.

A paper ms., $\mathrm{XVI}^{\mathrm{th}}$ century, containing excerpta of twenty four treatises by Galen, and consisting of 141 folios. ${ }^{374}$ Excerpta of De inaequali intemperie in ff. $26 \mathrm{v}-27 \mathrm{v}$. The palaeography is rather difficult, as if it were by a layman and not a scribe. ${ }^{375}$ The exemplar belongs to the $\Lambda$-family -perhaps the Aldine edition has been employed. There are some differences from the Galenic text, for the excerpts are not literal:

[^63]P. 142,3 к $\alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \varrho] ~ \omega ゙ \sigma \pi \varepsilon \varrho ~ R ~$
P. 152,11 $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \Delta: \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \sigma \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \Lambda \mathrm{~A}: \sigma \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \mathrm{R}$

There are isolate agreements with the $\Delta$-family:

Some readings could be corrections:
P. 154,18 post $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \phi \eta v \tilde{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ add. tòv $\chi \nu \mu o ̀ v$ R. This one I have included, but it is obvious.

## A 13. The Twentieth Century And The Treatise

G. Helmreich started to prepare an edition of De inaequali intemperie. He had six of the seven Greek mss. (not P), and annotated the text and variants. Probably the Marcianus 282 was in a better state than nowadays, because he could precisely read some variants that are nearly illegible nowadays. He also read the translation of Nicholas of Regio in the Monacensis lat. 490, plus the 1528 (2nd. of Nic.) edition (Venice), and the version of Gerard of Cremona in this same ms., plus the 1490 edition (Venice). His papers have remained in the Berlin-Brandenburgische Akademie der Wissenschaften, and I have checked them. In 172,4 I found a reading that is not present in the extant witnesses: $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \tau 0 t] \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \geqslant \dddot{\eta}$ Helmreich. I have included it in the Critical Apparatus.

A clever English translation of the treatise by Mark Grant appeared in: Galen on Food and Diet (London, 2000). Its colloquial style approaches the text to a non-specialised public. However, as his understanding of the treatise is evident, the version is rather useful.

I have already mentioned the edition of the Commentary of the treatise (Arabo-Latin version) by Arnau de Vilanova, redacted by Luis García Ballester and Eustaquio Sánchez Salor, in Barcelona, 1985. Dr. Rosa M. Moreno, a physician and disciple of García Ballester wrote a Ph.D. dissertation on De inaequali intemperie, which was presented in 1984. ${ }^{376}$ I have quoted her printed papers when necessary. On the other hand, I have mentioned some of my (printed) preliminary results above, in notes.

[^64]
## B. The Author And His Work

## 1. Author And Date

1. Galen indicates that De inaequali is his own, by mentioning it as such in several of his books.

Ars medica, ${ }^{377}$ 37; I 408,3 K.=Boudon, Galien II 388,17.
De differ. febr. ${ }^{378}$ II 14: VII 380,18 K. and II 15: VII 388,5 K.
De tot. morb. temp. ${ }^{379} 78,12-13$ Wille.
In Hipp. progn. comment. ${ }^{380}$ I 42: XVIIIb,107,6-7 K.=CMG V 9,2; 256,2324 Heeg.

De meth. med. ${ }^{381}$ II 2: X 15,4 K.; ${ }^{382}$ II 6: X 122,6 K.; III 9: X 216,7 K., and X 7: X 694,18 K.

De simplic. medic. temp. ac facult. V 6: XI 723,2 K. ${ }^{383}$
De ordine libr. suor. 2; XIX 56,11 K.=Boudon-Millot I 94, 8-9.384

377 Galen quotes the treatise within a catalogue of his works, in Ars medica, 37; I 407412 K. =Boudon-Millot, II, 388, 4-392,17: "Following De temp. ... a small book, De inaequali intemperie, and two others, small as well, De optima corp. hum. const., De bono habitu." For the authenticity of Ars, see now V. Boudon-Millot, Galien II, pp. 158-159. As for the date, Ilberg situates it post 193, and V. Boudon agrees (Septimius Severus, 193 $\rightarrow$ ). See below for the catalogue in De ordine libr. suorum.

378 Date: Second stay in Rome, since 169. Cf. García Ballester, Galeno, p. 267. Galen mentions the treatise when he refers to the genesis of inflammation, and the diathesis of the diseases with flux, coupling De inaequali with De tumor. praet. nat. in the second passage.

379 "In De inaequali intemperie and De tumor. praet. nat. has been explained how an excess of blood has been collected in the organ, producing inflammation". Date in Marcus Aurelius' reign, 176/177-180, cf. García Ballester, Galeno, p. 267.

380 In this commentary, both treatises are again mentioned when dealing with the genesis of inflammation: "...the obstructed blood provokes inflammation, filling in the first place the vessels, and after those, the next hollow cavities". The same date as the previous, Marcus Aurelius' reign, is proposed for the Commentary (García Ballester, Galeno, p. 267).

381 Date: Books 1-6 are dated between 172 and 175 by Hankinson (Galen, On the Therapeutic Method, pp. xxxiii-xxxiv), a shorter period than the proposed by Ilberg: between 169, coming back to Rome, and Marcus Aurelius' death, 180. Nutton dates them no later than the first months of 196 (p. 9 of Style and context in the Method of Healing, in: F. Kudlien, R. Durling, Galen's Method, pp. 1-24). For books 7-14, Ilberg points to the beginning of Septimius Severus reign, 193 AD (see as well García Ballester, Galeno, p. 268), while Hankinson (Therapeutic, p. xxxiv), aims at the period of that Imperator, 193-200.

382 In II 2: X 15, 4 K. (tr. Hankinson p. 9, Comm. in p. 92), Galen deals with such principles as four-element and four-quality theory, and quotes De elem., De temper., De inaequali, De opt. corp. hum. cons., NatFac, De morb. differ. and De sympt. differ. In II 6: X 122.6 K . (tr. Hankinson p. 61, Comm. p. 200) Galen mentions again the same series, leaving out De opt. corp. hum. cons, and including De usu partium. In III 9: X 216,7-8 K., he deals with dyskrasia, and refers to De inaequali, while in X 7: X $694,18 \mathrm{~K}$. , the diathesis of inflammation is introduced, in a passage parallel to p. 2, 12-17.

383 Books 1-8 belong to the first stay in Rome, while Books 9-11 were written in the reign of Septimius Severus, 193-200 A.D. See García Ballester, Galeno, pp. 266-268. The mention of De inaequali intemperie occurs when he explains the drugs that eliminate pus by dragging the humid surplus from the hollow places.

384 Galen exhorts reading, after De elem. and De Temper., the triad: De inaequali, De

De temper. ${ }^{385}$ II 6: I 645,4-5 K.= 85, 15-16 Helmreich: $\dot{\varepsilon} \phi \varepsilon \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ o v ̃ \tau \omega \beta \curlywedge \beta \lambda$ íov
 mind to write a complete book on the anomalous dyskrasia.

Thus, De temperamentis is prior to De inaequali, and was written in the fruitful period of the second stay in Rome, beginning 169 A.D.

As all the others treatises are posterior to De inaequali, ${ }^{386}$ the earlier would provide us with a terminus ante quem. De differ. febr. belongs to the second stay of Galen in Rome, beginning 169, as De simpl. medic. temper. ac facult., book 5. Books 1-6 of Meth. Med. were written post quem 172 AD. De totius morb. temp. and In Hipp. progn. comment., were written in Marcus Aurelius' reign (176/177 to 180). Ars and De ordine libr. suor. are later (195-205). Those data mark a post quem 169 A.D.
2. On the other hand, Galen mentions in our book, several treatises of his own. Some are mentioned as already written, providing a terminus post quem:
P. 144,1 and 146,16: De temper. is mentioned [ I 509-694 K.=1-115 Helmreich]. Second Stay in Rome, vide supra.
P. 146,5; 146,9-10, and 156,7: De anat. admin. [=I 215-731 K.=Garofalo I-II plus Procedimenti anatomici, III (Arabic books)]. Among the 9 extant books in Greek (Garofalo, vol. I, p. ix), books 1-2 have a post quem 176, and an ante quem 180. Books 3-4 and 6-11, under Commodus, 180-192. Books 12-15, ante 192, written again under Septimius Severus (193-c.200). Books 10-15 are not extant in Greek. ${ }^{387}$
 $1-8$ of De simplic. medic. temp. ac facult. (XI 379-892 K. and XII 1-377 K.), were written in the Second stay, beginning 169, while books 9-11 belong to Septimius Severus' reign, 193-c.200. ${ }^{388}$ De comp. medic. sec. loc. (XII 378-1007; XIII
opt. corp. hum. const., and De bono habitu, as he did in Ars (see above). He indicates as well that De simplic. medic. temp., De comp. medic. sec. locos and De comp. medic. sec. genera, should be read before the triad, having in mind that the third book of De temper. deals with the mixtures of the medicaments. He mentions that the properties of medicaments are explained in De sanit. tuenda as well. As for the triad, Galen indicates that he has dictated them on the request of friends. See De ordine libr. suor. 2, 9-15; XIX 55,18-56,14 K. = Galien I 93,1595,6 Boudon-Millot. On the other hand, for the date 195-205 A.D., of De ord. libr.suo., see now V. Boudon-Millot, Galien, I 8-10, and Note 10, with all kinds of details.

385 Cf. Ilberg, Ueber die Schriftstellerei ..., II, pp. 504 y 513: Second Stay in Rome. See as well García Ballester, Galeno, p. 266. At the end of book 2, Galen announces that he shall write a treatise dealing with anomalous dyskrasia.

386 Ilberg, Ueber die Schriftstellerei ..., II, pp. 508 for the date of De inaequali, and pp. 504 y 513, for the date of De temper., De bono habitu, and De opt. corp. const., post 169.

387 See as well Garofalo, Galeno, Procedimenti anatomici, intr., transl. by, Milan 1991, vol. I, 1-67, spec. 1-23.

388 Cf. Garcia Ballester, Galeno, pp. 266-268.

1-361 K.), and De comp. medic. per gen. (XIII 362-1058 K.) were written under Septimius Severus, so that they could not be alluded in De inaequali.
P. 172,9: $\tau \tilde{\eta} \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ Өع@ $\alpha \pi \varepsilon v \tau \iota \kappa \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \mu \varepsilon$ Өóסov. Cf. De meth. med. X 1-1021 K. For the date, vide supra.




 غ́t destroyed and perishes by dissimilars; and, in consequence, the watchfulness of health by means of similars, the destruction of diseases by means of opposites, will be done. However, concerning this, would be another account."

Probably the intended book is De sanitate tuenda (VI 1-452 K. =CMG V 4,2: 3-198 Koch). Second Stay in Rome (García Ballester, Galeno, p. 267). For the subject discussed, see prologue I 1: VI 1, 4-5 K.=CMG V 4,2: 3,2-6 Koch, where Galen states that Medicine has two main parts, the one dealing with health ( $\tau o ̀ \mu \varepsilon ̀ v \varepsilon$ ع́t $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \tau$ tкóv) "... and the task of the former is to watch the condition of the body, of the later, to change it"; farther on he deals with opposite and similar.

In De meth. med. he treats the subject again; cf. X 7 : $\mathrm{X} 634,9-10 \mathrm{~K}$., where Galen makes a statement similar to that included in De inaequali: $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega \sigma \sigma \pi{ }^{\prime} \omega$
 is watched by means of the similars, that way it is destroyed by means of the opposites". However, the last books were not written by the time De inaequali appeared. In the Commentary to NatHom, a synthesis of this doctrine is included: ${ }^{390} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \varepsilon u ̀ \kappa \varrho \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \eta ́ ~ \varepsilon v ̀ \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha ~ \phi \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha\llcorner ~ \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu ~ o ́ \mu o i ́ \omega v$,
 krasis (health) is kept by the similars, bad krasis is overcome by the opposites".



Some of the books are mentioned in the Future tense, providing a second terminus ante quem:
P. 162,10-11. In p. 162,7-11: "but it is not possible to tell the manner of its genesis (of the symptom) in the present book, before having shown of the natural faculties, how many and of what kind they are, and what each of them does according to nature, but in «The causes of the symptoms» everything will

[^65] In fact, the aforementioned rhîgos and its whereabouts are explained in De symptom. causis (VII 85-272 K.) Date: Second stay in Rome. ${ }^{392}$
 "And, of the disposition of these, it will also be told in another place". In De compos. med. per genera, Galen considers ulcers prone to putrefy and the drugs adequate to them. ${ }^{393}$ The treatise is a late book, as we have seen above. Could he be thinking toward it?


 тои́тоıऽ $\delta \iota \alpha ф о \varrho \alpha ̃ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ́ \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega \theta \iota=D e ~ m o r b . ~ d i f f . ~ V I ~ 836-880 ~ K . ~ I n ~ i b i d . ~ 11: ~ V I ~ 874,10 ~$ $-876,4$ K., he broadly deals with diseases accompanied by rheuma. Date: Second stay in Rome. ${ }^{395}$
3. Consequently, De inaequali is earlier than De comp. med. per genera, a late treatise, and was also written before De sympt. causis, De causis morb. and De morb. differ.: these three were written after 169. On the other hand, De inaequali is later than De temp., than Books 1-8 of De simplic. medic. temp. ac facult., and De sanit. tuenda, which belong to the Second Stay, beginning in 169. It must have been written after the earlier books of De anat. adm., I-II, dated 177-179, and after the first six books of Meth.Med., post quem 172 AD (172-175 Ilberg).

As De inaequali is mentioned in Meth.Med., and De inaequali mentions Meth.Med., the redaction of De inaequali has to be parallel to the first books of Meth.Med., post quem 172. Being Meth.Med. 1-6 an extensive and complicated work, he may have written the short De inaequali along with the composition of the former. This accords with the fact that, at the end of De inaequali, Galen refers the reader to Meth.Med. in a non specific way because he has it in mind, while he specifically mentions De inaequali three times in Meth.Med. 1-6, the small treatise being written in a short period of time.

If De inaequali was written post 172 , the books mentioned in it have to be dated post 172 at the least: De temp., De differ. febr., and the first books of De simpl. medic. The same happens with De sympt. causis, De caus. morb., and De morb. differ. (provided this last is rightly estimated), mentioned in De inaequali as future books: B. Gundert dates this group (and De sympt. diff.) to

[^66]176-180 (after De placitis VII-IX, in Marcus Aurelius' reign). ${ }^{396}$ The rest of the books in question are dated not earlier than Marcus Aurelius' reign.

The date of the earlier part of De anat. admin., post 176 and before 180, in Marcus Aurelius' reign, gives us a difficult point. All the treatises mentioned above would be dated post 176. However, Galen had written a first De anat. admin. in two books, dedicated to Flavius Boethus, during his first stay in Rome, ca. 161-166, ${ }^{397}$ as he himself writes at the beginning of De anat. admin. ${ }^{398}$ Would it be the book mentioned as De anat. admin. in De inaequali? If that is the case, the right reference for the date of De inaequali is the composition of the earlier books of Meth.Med., post 172.
4. For the title of the treatise, see Commentary to 1,1 .

## B 2. Contents

## 1. Element, quality.

As the wise person he is, Galen is not interested in disease unless he can explain in advance men and matter. In order to understand them, some clever Greeks reached the idea of system, a most necessary presupposition to the understanding of body, life or stars. When they conceived the world as a system, cosmos was born, that is, the whole world was said to be order and beauty. They could carve a man's body or conceive the proportion of the heavenly stars when they understood symmetry, that is, proportion. Micro- and macrocosm went hand in hand.

The depth of insight necessary to the abstraction of searching -outside Mythology -, for a first principle to everything, gives the Milesians a paramount rôle in the discovering of ourselves, and the foundations of science. The initial substance was conceived by the Milesians and the subsequent PreSocratic thinkers, as one, be it Fire, Water, Earth, Air, the Unbound, Number, Mind, or Atom.

As time went by, some of them imagined a multiple principle. Multiplicity was apt for taking into account a multiple world, such as it was, such as it is. As they explained the relations within the world, they started to muster the most useful and powerful device of Greek civilization: Greek language, a subtle language that permitted them to express complexity. Thus, it attained a level of accuracy that made possible subtle philosophy, medical definitions, political subtlety - no less than the delights of Comedy.

396 Cf. Gundert, CMG V 5,1, pp. 174-176.
397 See Garofalo, Procedimenti, vol. I, p. vii and notes.
398 Cf. De anat. admin. I 1; II 215,1-216,2 K. = I 1,1-7 Garofalo.

Let us look back at the V century B.C.E., whose beginning saw the first of Alcmaeon and Empedocles. Some Greek physicians tried to understand man's nature as a system, whose balance or unbalance made the human body healthy or sick. We do not know the time when dyskrasia was first applied to disease, but we do know that probably Alcmaeon was the first to use symmetros krasis of qualities, as balanced mixture in men: ${ }^{399} \tau \eta ̀ v \delta \varepsilon ̇ ~ v ́ \gamma \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \nu \tau \eta ̀ v \sigma u ́ \mu \mu \varepsilon \tau \varrho o v \tau \tilde{v} v$ $\pi o t \tilde{\omega} v$ к@ãoıv.

The notion of symmetria that Polycletus applied to his Doryphorus by the middle of the V c., was alive in Art as it was in the composition of Tragedy, or in the last of Pindar's poetry. The Parthenon represents a visual sample of larger dimensions, exhibiting different proportions of harmony on the basis of the Golden Section that even Sophocles applied to his Ajax. ${ }^{400}$

Alcmaeon ${ }^{401}$ explained the equilibrium of paired qualities: moist/dry, cold/hot, sour/sweet. He thought of health and disease in political terms, so that isonomy of the qualities meant health, while monarchia of any of them caused - or just was - disease. ${ }^{402}$ The words dynamis, krasis, symmetros, entered the field of medicine. Empedocles proposed a fourfold principle of the cosmos: Earth, Water, Air/Aether, Fire, in order to take into account the activity/passivity in it. He envisaged as well the balance of the four roots ${ }^{403}$ and the four qualities: hot/ cold, dry/wet. ${ }^{404}$

While Plato proposed an arithmetical model of the universe, on the basis of the Pythagorean concept, Aristotle professed a qualitative description. All the substances are combinations of the four elements, which in turn are combinations of the four qualities: earth is cold and dry, water cold and wet, air hot and wet, fire hot and dry. He proposed a fifth element, Aether, for the heavenly bodies, in order to account for their circular movements. ${ }^{405}$

The notion of mixture in the Stoics, as told to be by Chrysippus ${ }^{466}$ in his De mixtione, fr. 473 Arnim (Frag. logica et physica 473.101-107), is described

[^67]this way: "Through the whole matter there is a pneuma that contains everything and keeps it together, and he states that, of the bodies mixed ( $\mu \tau \gamma v \mu \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega v$ ) in the matter itself, the mixtures ( $\mu i \xi \varepsilon เ \varsigma$ ) of some of them, happen by juxtaposition, two or more matters being put together (in the same place) and side by side with one another; by junction, each of them keeping its own matter ..."

While Aristotle proposed four factors ("causes") for any object: matter, form, moving cause and final cause, for the Stoics "there is a highest notion ... the <something> followed by the four categories <substratum>, <quality>, <state> and <relative state>." ${ }^{407}$ The pneuma pervades it all: mixing with matter, pneuта gives it the qualities. The continuит of the Stoics is made possible by the рпеита that fills the cosmos, in a dynamic sense, so that pneuma is cohesive and makes cohesive. ${ }^{408}$

The notion of mixture is described by Aristotle as being uniform throughout, because the initial entities keep being potentially what they were before the mixture, and at the same time they actually are something different. ${ }^{409}$ On the other hand, the Stoics propose three kinds of mixture. The first is similar to Aristotle's "composition", where the substances keep being exactly as before. The third is fusion, where the result of the blending is a third substance, with new properties. The intermediate mixture produces a complete mixing ( $\propto \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota \varsigma \delta \iota^{\prime}$ ö $\lambda \omega v$ ) of the components, which keep their own properties: ${ }^{410}$ this is the fundamental conception for the Physics of the Stoics and their followers. It is not a mixture of the qualities, but of the substances, each of them keeping its properties.

Plato, Zeno, Aristotle and Theophrastus, Eudemus, Cleanthes and Chrysippus, with many philosophers, who called themselves "Stoics, Peripatetic, or Platonic, conceived the body as air, fire, water and earth, or humid, dry, hot and cold, symmetrically mixed with one another ( $\sigma v \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho \omega \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda o \iota s$ $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \varrho \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v)$ ", according to Galen in Adv. Iulianum. ${ }^{411}$ In the same treatise, ${ }^{412}$ he attributes to Zeno, Aristotle and Plato, and many philosophers after them, the statement that health is the eukrasia of hot, cold, humid and dry, while disease happens when one of them is in excess or (partially) missing. ${ }^{413}$

[^68]In Nat. Fac. I 2, Galen mentions Hippocrates as the first philosopher/ doctor who demonstrated that "there are in all four mutually interacting qualities ... and that all these qualities undergo an intimate mingling with one another." ${ }^{414}$ Earlier, Galen brings up Aristotle and Chrysippus as the pivots of the matter theory as far as qualities are concerned. ${ }^{415}$

Galen states at the beginning of De temperamentis: ${ }^{416}$ "That the animal body is a blending of the four qualities, is well known by the best old philosophers and doctors"; and adds that he himself has explained it in De elementis (ibid. 1, 4-7 Helmreich). For the notion of symmetry and asymmetry as health and disease, Galen ${ }^{417}$ credits the schools of Plato, Aristotle, and Zeno, but precisely thanks Chrysippus for the gift of such a conception. For a detailed exposition of elements and qualities in Galen, and the state of the art since XIX ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{c}$., cf. Kovačik, Der Begriff der Physis, pp. 89-98.

## 2. Humours.

Along with the principles of the world, the microcosm that is man, was provided with something similar to them. Sure, phlegm, bile and blood have been mentioned before - they appear throughout the Epic poetry. Four were the principles of the world according to Empedocles, ${ }^{418}$ and four the qualities;,419
brain. In the Hippocratic Corpus, it is applied to climate (Aer. 24,5-6: Hippoc. II 2; 247, 4-248,3 Jouanna = II 90,3-7 L. = I 69,15 - 70,1 Kühlewein = CMG I 1,2; 80,7-11 Diller [247,4-7 Jouanna:
 6 L. = CMG I 2,4; 198,11-12 Joly), wine (Acut. sp. 14 L./38 Kühlewein: II 474,24 L. = 166,18-19 Kühlewein), and remedies (Nat.Mul. 32: VII 350,21-352,1 L. $=89,21-90,1$ Trapp, and 51: VII 394,4 L. = 111,4 Trapp).

414 See Nat. Fac. I 2; II 5, 4-13 K.= 104, 2-6 Helmreich=8, 14-19 Brock.
415 Nat. Fac. I 2: II 4, $14-5,2$ K. $=103,18-104,2$ Helmreich $=8,4-14$ Brock.
416 De temper. I 1: I 509, 2-6 K = 1, 2-5 Helmreich. According to Ḥunayn, Galen wrote two other treatises concerning mixture as well: 166, Über die Mischungen nach der Ansicht des Hippokrates, one book, and 178, Über die Mischungen nach der Ansicht des Praxagoras, one book. Cf. Bergsträsser, Neue Materialen, pp. 97-98.

417 De placitis V 2: CMG V 4, 1, 2: (300) 31,1-35,1 Lacy= V 439, $17-440,15 \mathrm{~K}$.
418 The grounds for the four elements, four qualities and four humours, as developed in Galen, are the theories of one, two, three and four archai, the number theory of the Pythagoreans, the opposites and the harmony theory of the Pythagoreans, Alcmaeon and Heraclitus, according to Schöner (Das Viererschema, pp. 4-14.) Elements were related to gods, qualities, colours, organs, and feelings, while humours were coupled with organs, qualities or colours.

419 Plato in his Timaeus makes cosmos and men come from the four elements, and he includes the four qualities (Tim. 88c-d). He mentions several types of bile, as well as phlegm and blood. Aristotle definitely relates the four elements to the four qualities (vide supra). Praxagoras puts the pneuma and his nine humours plus blood, in the centre of his Physiology, not in the tradition of the four humours. In the period 300-50 B.C.E., the Stoics held that the cosmos and the body consisted of the four elements or the four qualities, in a different way than Aristotle. They divided the qualities into active (hot/cold) and passive (dry/humid). Earlier, the four elements and the four qualities were mentioned as well by Diocles of Caristus, fr. 2526, I pp. 46-47 Eijk, where $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa \varrho \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ has the sense of balance.
four would be in the long run the constituents of the human body, as a pendant to the elements.

The number of humours ${ }^{420}$ necessary to explain the physiology of men was not fixed in the V-IV c.: it could be either one or two, or three, or four. In the Hippocratic Corpus, both the author of Diseases IV, the so-called Cauthor, ${ }^{421}$ and the son-in-law of Hippocrates, Polybus (NatHom), conceived a system of four humours. Phlegm, yellow and black bile, and blood, as explained in NatHom. ${ }^{422}$ would be the lasting ones, being assumed by Galen, ${ }^{423}$ and passing thereby to (Arabian and) European medicine.

In NatHom 4: CMG I 1,3; 2,1-3,1 (172,15-174,2) Jouanna, health is said to be based upon the metriôs proportion in [krasis and] dynamis and quantity, of the humours, being in a perfect mixture ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \downarrow \gamma \mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$ ): ن́ $\gamma \iota \alpha$ ív $\varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon ̇ v$ oṽv

 there is too much or too little of any of the humours, and it becomes isolated in


As the four humours were associated with the four Pre-Socratic archai or elements, to the former and the later the four qualities applied. For Aristotle, each element represented a pair of qualities, or else it was a pair of qualities to the extent of qualities being in fact the four elements themselves. ${ }^{427}$ Changing the qualities, one element gives way to another.

In fact, a complete explanation of the correlation elements-qualities-humours is found in Galen's De elementis. ${ }^{428}$ Blood is hot and humid, yellow bile is hot and dry, black bile is cold and dry, and phlegm is cold and humid. Humours were associated with elements. Phlegm was coupled by Water, yellow bile by

[^69]Fire, and black bile by Earth. The association of blood with Air is posterior to Galen. ${ }^{429}$ In De placitis ${ }^{430}$ he states that the dominance of blood belonged to childhood and spring, yellow bile to youth and summer, black bile to adulthood and autumn, while phlegm is related to winter and old age.

When the natural or innate heat, cold, humidity, and dryness, increases/ decreases, the system loses balance and disease appears. Like Aristotle, Galen considers change in quality as "motion" (кívךбьऽ), "qualitative motion": $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \eta ᅱ v \pi o \iota o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha \kappa \iota v \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$. ${ }^{431}$ It includes heating and cooling, drying and moistening, that is, alteration, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ oí $\omega \sigma \iota{ }^{4}{ }^{432}$

And he continues (Nat. Fac. I 2): "composed from them [alteration and transference] we have growth and decay ( $\alpha u ̋ \xi \eta \sigma i ́ s \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ \phi$ '́бıऽ), as when a small thing becomes bigger, or a big thing smaller, each retaining at the same time its particular form."433

## 3. Dyskrasia.

The first mention of dyskrasia seems to be by Theophrastus, in De causis plantarum V 8,2,5-6 Wimmer, where he refers it to climate: ǒ $\tau \alpha v \eta \eta \quad \chi \varepsilon \iota \mu \tilde{\omega} v \varepsilon$,
 is in this sense that it appears now and then in the texts. ${ }^{434}$ On the other hand, "Aristotle and Theophrastus have precisely defined on what grounds it is possible to predicate eukraton or dyskraton of the physis", Galen writes in De temperamentis I 3: I 535, 9-12 K. = 17, 10-13 Helmreich.

For dyskrasia as disease, Galen points in the first place to Hippocrates in Meth.Med. II 5: X 111, 5-11 K.: "That there are many kinds of morbid dyskrasia and that therapy is different accordingly, is attested not just by Hippocrates and many other physicians, but by Plato, Aristotle, Theophrastus, <Zeno and Chrysippus>... ${ }^{435}$

In the II c. B.C., the Stoic Poseidonius ${ }^{436}$ relates disease to an excess of cold, heat, dryness or humidity, ${ }^{437}$ and explains that medicine is needed because of the dyskrasia of the qualities and the loosing of continuity (fr. 309a. 121 -125 Theiler). In fact, Galen states that the diseases of the homoeomers are ei-

[^70]ther dyskrasia or a loosening of continuity (see below, Commentary to section 5).

In the first century B.C., the Pneumatic school,,$^{438}$ under the influence of the Stoa, established the four qualities as the basis of Physiology and disease, and defined four simple dyskrasia and four composed. ${ }^{39}$ The qualities govern health, disease and death. Humours depend on them as well. On the qualities, they constructed a complex system dealing with elements, seasons, ages of men, humours, fevers. It is the largest system before Galen. ${ }^{40}$ Its shortcomings made it useless in many respects. ${ }^{441}$

Galen's dyskrasia is produced by an unbalance of qualities/humours. In the homogeneous dyskrasia, the whole body gets uniformly sick, while in the anomalous ${ }^{42}$ dyskrasia either a part, a humour in the whole body, or the body in a discontinuous way, gets sick in a heterogeneous way. The localised anomalous dyskrasia in a part, is produced by a displaced flux (humour) that invades the part and the hollows nearby, getting obstructed in it and producing dyskrasia, unless the body reacts by means of evaporation or deposits (apostasis). If not cleared, the local dyskrasia destroys the capacity of the part, as when inflammation is produced in a muscle, and the body cannot defeat it. Another result of local dyskrasia is general dyskrasia, as when inflammation produces fever.

The affected parts are the homoeomers and the hollows, be they inside the homoeomers, in between them, or in between the organs. Another form of disease of the parts, is an external injury that loosens the continuity, as the inner "injury" does from inside.

Being the best known anomalous dyskrasia of the body, fever is mainly produced from the body itself either by inflammation in a part, or by sepsis of the humours (other internal causes in Section 13). In both cases all the blood in the body gets heated. Fever may develop as well from external causes, such as a sun-stroke (vide infra). Galen emphasizes the fact of the solid parts not being heated in most fevers, the heat reaching just the pneuma and the humours: such dyskrasia is heterogeneous or anomalous, whereas during the hektikos fe-

[^71]ver, the solid parts get hot as well: that is the homogeneous dyskrasia (see Section 10, third block).

The treatise deals specially with the êpialos fever, produced by two dyskrasia, a hot and a cold one. Its peculiarity comes from the fact of rhîgos (cold) being present all the time as well as fever (hot). Galen insists on the fact of heat and cold being perceived at the same time by the patient: with his didactic bias, Galen compares the perception of cold and heat, in different body's parts, during some fevers, with that of somebody exposed to sun-heat, whom you throw cold water on.

The alteration of the qualities without the presence of a flux produces dyskrasia as well. The exterior causes of systemic anomalous dyskrasia, such as heat-stroke or ice-cold exposure, too much exercise or idleness, lack of food or drink, or an excess of such and such foods, produce a qualitative unbalance, i.e. an excess or default of hot, cold, dry, humid. Fever could be produced by extrinsic causes as well.

Galen does not define the anomalous dyskrasia. At the beginning of De inaequali, he presents it by means of oppositions: systemic/localised, with/ without flux, simple/composed. At the same time, the opposition anomalous/ homogeneous is underscored by the mention of the hektikos fever, due to the homogeneous dyskrasia, ${ }^{443}$ in front of the other fevers, caused by the anomalous one. Such mention is repeated in the treatise five times with the same words: "except for the so called hectic fevers".

He goes on to explain the process of local dyskrasia by means of inflammation of a muscle, that is, the most frequent local dyskrasia, as he will describe the "systemic" by means of fever, again the most familiar of them. The transformation of inflammation into fever exemplifies the process from local to "systemic" dyskrasia.

At the end of the book, the reader is able to distinguish anomalous from homogeneous dyskrasia, and to tell the differences between them. There is no definition, dyskrasia being at the same time a disease, a way of getting sick, and a syndrome.

## 4. Transformation and pain.

Transformation/pain ${ }^{444}$ is a subject second in importance to dyskrasia in the treatise, and closely related to it. In the homogeneous dyskrasia, once established, there is no ongoing change, while in the anomalous, change keeps occurring until the destruction of the capacity of the organ, unless the body

[^72]444 See sections $5,7,8,10$.
overcomes the dyskrasia by evaporation, or apostasis. ${ }^{455}$ The anomalous dyskrasia has a role in every local disease (unless trauma happens), and most of the systemic ones.

The so-called hektikos fever ${ }^{466}$ is a perfect example of homogeneous dyskrasia. All the organs (that is, the solid parts as well) are equally heated by it, i.e. by a homogeneous dyskrasia, so that there is no pain or perception of it by the patient. The cause of the non perception of pain is not the incapacity of the organs of perception. Galen attributes it to the absence of ongoing change. When the alteration is complete - and finished - , pain is excluded: no ongoing change, no pain. Galen uses an Aristotelian expression in our treatise: ${ }^{477}$ đò $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \pi 0 t \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$, $\tau$ ò $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \varepsilon \iota$ (p. 158,12-13). It is not that of the parts, "the one is active, the other, passive," for all of them have already become the same, and present a homogeneous krasis.

In the anomalous dyskrasia, change occurs during the process of disease, an alteration that only ends with the loss of (the capacity of) an organ, or with its recovery (diaphorêsis or apostasis in the phlegmonê). ${ }^{448}$ There is pain as long as there is alteration. In fact, he says that whenever a fever becomes chronic, a hektikos fever accompanies it, i.e., even the solid parts will be affected if fever keeps on without pause.

In Table II there is a synopsis of the relationship between change, disease, time and pain, and a second, relating change, disease, matter and pain.

In De elementis, Galen quotes twice ${ }^{49}$ the statement of NatHom 2,3: "I say that if man were one, he would never feel pain, for there would be nothing that would cause him pain if he were one" (trans. Lacy), introducing this way the relationship change-pain. He marvels at the cleverness and concision of the sentence - such reasoning in a minimum of words-, just as we do. The concision is similar to, say, the void for Aristotle: "in so far as it is void, admits no difference". ${ }^{450}$

We need in fact a matter apt to change, and time: a process apt to make matter change. The passages that Galen dedicates to pain in De inaequali intemperie are, in fact, dedicated to transformation.
"Pain will stop just when they (the parts) become identical to that which changes them, for not when they have been changed in their [krasis] nature, but in the process of changing do the parts feel pain (ovj $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha$ เ

[^73]
## TABLE II. TIME, MATTER AND PAIN IN THE HIPPOCRATIC CORPUS AND GALEN

Change needs time to happen
Disease needs change to happen
Pain needs disease to happen
Pain needs time
".... Pain will stop as soon as they (the parts) become identical to that which changes them, for not when they have been changed in their nature, but in the process of changing, do the parts feel pain, as the admirable Hippocrates said: «in those getting changed and destroyed in their nature, pain is present.» And each part is being changed and destroyed in their nature, either becoming hot, or cold, or dry, or humid, or else having the continuity loosened." Gal. De inaeq. intemp. 5: 150,22-152,6 Gª Novo = 3; VII 739,5-12 K. Hipp. De loc. hom. 42: VI 334, 7-8 L. $=$ Hipp. XIII: 71,21-72,1 Joly $=42,1 ; 78,18-19$ Craik cf. Gal. De tremore 6: VII 620,6-8 K. cf. Gal. In Hipp.Epid. VI, comm. VI 6: XVIIb,334,2-4 K.= CMG V10,2,2; 343,2-4 Wenkebach cf. Gal. De sympt. causis I 6: VII 115,14-15, and I 5: VII 176,9-10 K.

Change needs matter to happen
Disease needs change to happen
Pain needs disease to happen
Pain needs matter
"I say that if man were one, he would never feel pain, for there would be nothing that would cause him pain if he were one." Hipp. NatHom 2,3; VI 2, 1011 L. $=$ CMG I,1,3: 168, 4-5 Jouanna cf. Gal. De elem. 2, 3-4; I 415, 15-16 K.=CMG V 1,2: 58,14-15 Lacy et 3, 32; I 434, 7-9 K.= CMG V 1,2: 76,21-78,2 Lacy cf. Gal. In Hipp. NatHom. 1, 6; XV 35,16-36,2 K.=CMG V 9,1: 20, 25-27 Mewaldt
"In fact, this has been agreed upon by the philosophers of nature in their writings on perception: for neither without alteration, perception, nor in those completely altered, pain." Gal. De inaeq. intemp. 10: 158,8-10 Ga Novo = 5; VII 743,18-744,2 K.
$\tau \eta ̀ v<\phi \cup ́ \sigma เ v>[\kappa \varrho \tilde{\alpha} \sigma เ v], \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda ’ \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi \sigma v \varepsilon i ̃ \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu o ́ \varrho \iota \alpha)$, as the admirable Hippocrates said: «in those getting changed and destroyed in their nature, pain is present.» ( $\tau 0 \imath \imath \sigma \iota ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \varrho ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \iota v ~ \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \tau о \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}$
 and destroyed in their nature, either becoming hot, or cold, or dry, or humid, or else having the continuity loosened." (Section 5: 150,22-152,6). And "in fact, this has been agreed upon by the philosophers of nature in their reasonings on perception: for neither without alteration, perception; nor in that what have



A third aspect of the process of change is the alterability of humours and pneuma. In Section 7: 154,4-13, he ascertains the capacity for change in them, establishing a scale based upon other physical properties: not just the usual four, but the matter composed by thin/thick parts.

Having explained the qualities of the humours and pneuma in advance, his next subject is the question: "how hot is hot?" It had to be difficult to rely on heat and cold, since there were no thermometers available. Sambursky assured that all these qualitative theories have been demonstrated to be useless. Nevertheless, in the absence of reliable measures, the opposition hot/cold is still very important. Galen proposes to take boiling water as the peak of hot, and ice as the peak of cold, and he deems that the neutral state between them, would be the result of pulting the two together, taking into account the external conditions, bien sûre.

In our treatise he ascertains the radical importance of hot and cold (active for Aristotle: $\delta \varrho \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \omega \dot{\tau} \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha \iota \alpha i ́ \pi o \iota o ́ \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$, Section 5: 152,8), and tries to explain the relative heat of the humours during the presentation of a phlegmonê that becomes fever. His consideration is rather detailed (Section 6: 152,12-154,4). Let's take a look to it. He states four levels:
$\mathbf{a}=$ blood in the inflammation $\quad \mathbf{b}=$ blood in the whole body

| a <br> 1. if $\mathbf{a}$ has an adequate heat | and <br> 2. if $\mathbf{a}$ boils harder |
| :--- | :--- |
| OR has a temperate krasis <br> 3. if $\mathbf{a}$ is hot enough | OR not easily heats up <br> $\mathbf{b}$ is bilious <br> THEN: suddenly all of them heats up <br> $\mathbf{b}$ is bilious |
| THEN: it does happen much more |  |

4. if $\mathbf{a}$ is near the viscera with much blood

THEN: b gets hot quicker.

It is not accurate, but still he tries! Although thermometers were not available, observation was certainly at work.

## 5. The treatise De inaequali intemperie.

This small book focuses inflammation and fever, the most widely known affections produced by Anomalous Dyskrasia. Galen explains the one after the other, taking into account that fever can be produced by inflammation. As hot blood is the humour that causes both affections, the presentation of dyskrasia goes easily from local to general.

In order to reach his objective, the writer plans a continuous thread from beginning to end -AD - now and then inserting the necessary subjects that will help the reader understand dyskrasia: Anatomy (homoeomers and hollow places, vessels); Physiology: formation of deposits, alteration and pain, consequences of heat and cold, dryness and moisture on (a part of) the body; qualities (the four plus alterability and thinness or thickness of parts) of pneuma and humours; Moderate and immoderate differences of krasis; Compatibility and incompatibility between body's parts and humours: the concept (and use) of similars and opposites; Capability of perceiving heat and cold at the same time.

These subjects back the understanding of the physiology of dyskrasia, the local and the general, and remind the doctor-to-be of key notions in a more general spectrum. These advantages, coupled with a clear style and composition, substantiate the importance enjoyed by the treatise throughout the centuries: Oribasius and Paulus of Aegina; the ancient scholium in P; the translation by Ḥunayn, and the ca. 85 copies of Gerard of Cremona's version; the translations by Peter of Abano and Nicholas of Reggio in the beginning of the XIV c.; the early Commentaries on the Arabo-Latin translation; the different transliterations of the Greek text; the new Rennaisance versions, and the surprising amount of Rennaisance Commentaries: all bear witness to the appreciation of De inaequali intemperie.

## B 3. Composition

1. Galen composes the treatise on the grounds of rhetorical devices such as frames, ring composition, and parallelism, using at the same time certain stylistic features, all of which help order the contents so as to be understood by the reader.

## 2. Frames.

The treatise consists of three topics: Anomalous Dyskrasia in the body (systemic), AD in a part (local), and Transformation of systemic into local AD.

## TABLE III. COMPOSITION: SECTIONS, FRAMES AND RINGS

$$
A D=\text { anomalous dyskrasia } S=\text { section } F=\text { frame } R=\text { ring }
$$



Galen will first explain local AD, then the transformation from local to systemic, and finally systemic AD. As local dyskrasia he presents inflammation, as systemic, fever (that is, hot dyskrasia). The central part of the book explains the transformation of inflammation into fever.

In order to underline these three topics, Galen utilizes three frames ${ }^{451}$ in the book, which close at the end of the treatise. See Table III.

The first opens the book and embraces systemic AD (Frame 1: opening p. 142,2-5, closing p. 170,5-10), including the heterogeneous dyskrasia (HD). Immediately after, the second frame embraces local AD (Frame 2: opening p. 142,5-8, closing p. 170,11-172,5). The third frame, dealing with Transformation, opens at p. 150,20-152,11, and closes at p. 172,6-9, exactly after the two other frames.

The three frames keep to the order of presentation, at their close:
 tents. They are not just ornamental pieces.

FRAME-1, opening, 142,2-5. AD in the body: fever/êpialos fever/nearly all fe-
 òvo $\mu \alpha \zeta$ о $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v$ (HD)

FRAME-2, opening, 142,5-8. AD in a part: related diseases: oíठ七бкó $\mu \varepsilon v o v$,
 $\phi \alpha \varsigma, \phi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ́ \delta \alpha \iota v \alpha$, ย̌@лŋŋ.

FRAME-3, opening, 150,20-152,11 Transformation-1: $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma-$
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi$ о $\mu о \iota \omega \theta \tilde{\eta} \tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda о v \tau \iota$.
FRAME-1, close, 170,5-10. AD in the body: fever/ êpialos fever +rhîgos/nearly



FRAME-2, close, 170,11-172,5. AD in a part: related diseases: $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \circ v \eta /$
 FRAME-3, close, 172,6-9. Transformation-4: ongoing/complete $\varepsilon i$


## 3. The Units.

Galen has composed the treatise by means of Units. Several Units, stylistically marked, are grouped into larger ones that I call Sections. The Units are mostly defined by linguistic features.

I collect here some of the Units' marks. I shall refer to them throughout the commentary.

[^74]```
    Opening Marks
    STYLE:
groups of particles \kappa\alphaì \mu\varepsiloǹv \delta\età \kappa\alphaí, \kappa\alphaì \delta\etá, \mu\varepsiloṅv oṽv, \mu\varepsiloǹv \delta\etá ...
anaphoric oṽ\tauo\varsigma, \pi\tilde{\alpha}\varsigma
prolepsis
anteposed V}\mp@subsup{V}{f}{}\mathrm{ (verbum finitum)
anteposed subordinate sentence
chiasm AB B'A' (from B' on)
absolute genitive
participle
absolute infinitive
    structure:
ring composition (opening)
parallel openings
```


## Closing Marks

```
STYLE:
postposed (to end) grammatical subject
postposed main sentence (many a time)
absolute genitive
comparative subordinate sentence (postposed), with \(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}\) or Participle
consecutive subordinate sentence (postposed), with \(\dot{\omega} \varsigma, \omega^{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon+\) Infinitive
sentence introduced by \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}\)
structure:
ring composition (closing)
parallel closings
MENTION OF THE AUTHOR:
of himself/his books/the present book
Units, defined by:
same style throughout:
repetition (words, schemes, structure), all kinds
triads
group of no-verb sentences
accumulation: of (syntactically equivalent) nouns, or verbs
mention of the reader:
in between, not at the beginning, not at the end MENTION OF ANOTHER AUTHOR:
in between, not at the beginning, not at the end.
```


## 4. Units and Textual Criticism.

The election of variants is often backed by the features of the Units.
Example 1. At the end of an Unit, p. 146,9-10, the Greek mss. present the title of Anat. Adm.: кגì $\pi \varepsilon @ i ̀ ~ \tau o v ́ \tau \omega v ~ \alpha ́ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v ~ غ ̇ v ~ \tau \alpha i ̃ \varsigma ~ \alpha ̀ v \alpha \tau о \mu \iota \kappa \alpha i ̃ \varsigma ~$
 earlier, on p. 146,5. However, Galen often puts an end to Units by mentioning his books. Therefore, the text transmitted by the Greek mss. is correct.

Example 2. P. 164,20-21 к $\alpha \grave{~} \mu \dot{v} v ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \omega \varsigma ~ \alpha ̉ \lambda \gamma o v ̃ \sigma ı v ~ o v ̃ \tau o ı ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma, ~$ oủסغ̀ toṽ ${ }^{\prime} \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \delta \eta \lambda o v$. A typical opening, underlined by the initial group of particles and the anteposed declarative sentence with $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$. As subject of the verb, the $\Delta$-family and Ḥunayn present oũtol ("these" Hun.), while the $\Lambda$-family has ov́ $\tau \omega$. As Galen uses anaphoric oṽ̃ $\tau$ ( rrect, the emphasis being carried out by those who feel pain, not by the kind of pain. ${ }^{452}$


 $\alpha ँ \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ at the end of the sentence. However, the composition of the treatise backs the use of this pronoun as anaphoric, closing or opening blocks.
5. Sections (see Table III and IV) are marked by compositional devices such as ring composition, ${ }^{453}$ and parallelism: ${ }^{454}$ these devices bring forth similarity of words, but avoid exact repetition, making good the Greek technique that I have called "symmetry and variation." ${ }^{455}$ As against "chapters" that cut the texts into pieces, the limits of the sections are not clearly cut, having at times transitional sentences that lead from the one to the next, for the sake of clarity. Sections do not cut a text: they compose it. Sections are also character-

[^75]
## TABLE IV. SECTIONS (S) AND INDEPENDENT RINGS (R)

AD = Anomalous Dyskrasia
S. 1. AD: PRESENTATION 144,9-146,17
S. 2. ANATOMY 144,9-146,17
S. 3. INFLAMMATION 146,17-150,2
S. 4. DEPOSITS $150,2-20$
S. 5. TRANSFORMATION-1 150,20-152,11
S. 6. INFLAMMATION TO FEVER-I 152,12 -154,4
S. 7. TRANSFORMATION-2 154,4-13
S. 8. TRANSFORMATION AND INFLAMMATION 154,14-156,2
S. 9. INFLAMMATION TO FEVER-II 156,2-11
R. 7. SEPSIS TO FEVER $156,11-13$
S. 10. TRANSFORMATION-3 156,13-158,10
R. 8. HEKTIKÓS FEVER 158,11-14
S. 11. DIFFERENT IS NOXIOUS, SAME HELPS 158,14-162,2
R. 8'. HEKTIKÓS FEVER 162,3-4
S. 12. AD: HEAT+COLD = FEVER + RHÎGOS 162,4-14
R. 7'. SEPSIS TO FEVER 162,15-18
S. 13. AD: HEAT = FEVER $162,19-164,17$
S. 14. AD: HEAT+COLD $=$ FEVER + RHÎGOS $164,18-166,7$
S. 15. AD: COLD + HEAT = RHÎGOS + ÊPIALOS FEVER 166,7-168,10
S. 16. AD: COLD AD/NO HEAT = RHÎGOS/NO FEVER 168,10-170,4
S. 17. AD: HEAT + COLD = FEVER + RHÎGOS 170,5-10
S. 18. LOCAL AD + TRANSFORMATION-4 170,11-172,7

ENOUGH 172,8-9
ised by stylistic features of their own. At the same time, they show openingand closing-marks as Units do.
6. Ring composition presents several types. I divide it into inner and outer rings, inner being those that belong to the same subject as the passage in between. It is the usual form of ring composition elsewhere, but it is not very important in the treatise. Outer rings do not deal with the subject embraced.

The first three sections provide us with a series of rings that encircle each other. The author wants to separate the second Section, Anatomy, from the rest of the book, which deals with dyskrasia, so that the reader does not get confused. Therefore he draws three circles around it (R-1, R-2, R-3), a unique feature in the treatise, and a remarkable trait of composition.

Section 1 Presentation 142,2-144,9:
(142,2-144,5 ..)
Ring-1, outer: open., 144,5-7 Anomalous (AD) vs. homogeneous dyskrasia HD):



Ring-2, inner: open., 144,7-9 To explain genesis of AD: ó т@óтоऽ ... тच̃ऽ үعvéбéus

Ring-3, inner: open., 144,9-10 For the sake of clarity, some anatomic descriptions are needed: $\sigma \alpha \phi \eta ̀ s$ ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s, ~ \alpha ̀ v \alpha \mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha i ́<\sigma \varepsilon>\chi \varrho \eta$. It opens Section 2. Anatomy 144,9-146,17.

Ring'-3 inner: close, 146,16-17 For the sake of clarity, some anatomic descriptions were needed: $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \tilde{o} 0 v$ v́ $\tau о \mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \phi \eta v \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma$ ع̌vek $\alpha$. It closes Section 2.
Ring'-2 inner: close, 146,17-19 To explain genesis and kinds of AD ( $\tau$ Øó $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ о $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)$, beginning Section 3. Inflammation 146,17-150,2.
Ring'-1, outer: close, 146,19-20 Anomalous vs. homogeneous dyskrasia (HD)


The inner ring (R-3) presents and closes Section 2 (Anatomy, see above). At the opening of rings 2-3, we find two periods of anteposed hypotactic sentence: 144,7-10.





The iv $\alpha$-sentence (R-3) was associated with the former clause by Gerard of Cremona (translating from the correct Arabic passage), but the close
of ring 3 in p. 146,16-17 leaves no doubt of the dependence of iv $\alpha$ on the next $\alpha \nu \alpha \mu \nu \eta ̃ \sigma \alpha i ́<\sigma \varepsilon>\chi \varrho \eta ́$.

The medium inner ring (R-2) presents the intention of the book: "to explain the genesis of $\mathrm{AD}^{\prime}$, both before and after the Anatomical exposition, in order to pick up the thread of the book. At the same time, Section 3 begins precisely at the close of Ring 2: the genesis of inflammation.

The outer ring ( $\mathrm{R}-1$, see above), refers to the homogeneous dyskrasia, contrasting it with the anomalous. The author is very interested in the understanding of Anomalous versus Homogeneous dyskrasia, but he does not refer to the second in those three sections.
7. Section 8 (pp. 154,14-156,2), Transformation and Inflammation, is encircled by R-6, an inner ring: transformations upon inflammation are multifarious.

 alterations in the inflammations necessarily happen of many kinds, because also of many kinds are the humours".

L R'-6, pp. 154,23-156,2 $\omega^{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} v \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta ~ \pi о \lambda v \varepsilon เ \delta \varepsilon i ̃ \varsigma ~ \gamma i ́ \gamma v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha ı \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma$
 necessarily the alterations happen of many kinds, a humour compared with another, and compared as well with itself".

At the end of the section, there are pronouns in masculine/neutral form. ${ }^{456}$ The opening of the Ring shows that they refer to "body" ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ), meaning the humours, or more precisely, blood itself. The rings are an important ally for Textual criticism.
8. Some rings consist of a brief sentence that starts two (independent) sections of similar contents. That is the case of R-5 in sections 6 (pp. 152,12154,4 ) and 9 (p. 156,2-11), which refer to the process "inflammation to fever" ( 1 and 2), presenting at the beginning the heat of the blood in the inflamed part. It is an inner ring.
 $\mu$ óotov "the blood's heat in the inflamed part"
 "being too much heated the blood in the inflammation"

This way, Ring-5 defines as well the end of the preceding sections, 5 and 8.
9. Some outer rings present a new subject that is not treated in between. The importance of the theme is underlined in this way. The first sample is Ring 1 (see above).

After the end of Section 9, inflammation to fever-2 (p. 156,2-11), and the end of Section 12, heat+cold (fever+rhîgos, p. 162,4-14), sepsis as a cause of fever is presented ( $\mathrm{R}-7$ ):
 $\tau \eta ̀ v ~ غ ̇ \kappa ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \sigma \eta \pi \varepsilon \delta o ́ v o \varsigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \chi \nu \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ "(in all such fevers) ... starting from the putrefaction of the humours"
 "fever, just upon putrefaction of the humours".

Similarly after section 10, Transformation-3 (p. 156,13), and Section 11, "different is noxious, same helps" (pp. 158,14-162,2), a Ring is introduced to state that the hektikos fever is unperceivable to the patients:
 $\kappa \alpha ́ \mu v o v \sigma \iota v$


These two Rings, 7 and 8, are not included in the nearby Sections. ${ }^{457}$ In fact Sections 9, 10, 11 and 12 are defined at their end by the insertion of these rings, no less than sections 10, 11, and 12 are defined by them at the beginning.
10. Other rings present a new item that will be considered after the close of a Section. We have seen Ring 2, above. Section 4, Deposits, i.e. defeated rheuma, p. 150,2-20, is encircled by R-4, which mentions the victory of rheuma:



This ring ensures the reader an understanding of the opposition between hot blood in the inflammation acting upon the body's blood, and so passing into fever (rheuma wins), and inflammation cleared by the body, giving way to evaporation or deposits (defeated rheuma). At the same time, Galen wanted to isolate apostasis as a good outcome of inflammation, from systemic dyskrasia (fever), provoked by it.
11. Sections 12 to 17 deal either with fever or rhîgos:
$\Gamma$ S 12. AD heat + cold $=$ fever + rhîgos 162,4-14
S 13. AD: heat = other causes of fever 162,19-164,17
г S 14. AD: heat + cold = fever + rhîgos 164,18-166,7
$L_{\Gamma}$ S 15. AD: cold + heat = rhîgos + êpialos fever 166,7-168,10
S 16 cold $\mathrm{AD} /$ no heat = rhîgos/no fever 168,10-170,4
L S 17. AD: heat + cold $=$ fever + rhîgos 170,5-10

Sections 13 (heat) and 16 (cold) consist of one of them, while the others explain both. Their limits are defined by their contents. The items' order is inverted in S. 15.

See Section 12, Commentary, for the composition and correspondence of the whole passage.
12. Throughout the Commentary, I refer to Units, Sections and Rings. There are other rings as well as many other compositional features not mentioned here, which will be dealt with in the Commentary.

## B 4. Language And Style

1. A present-day book of Pathology consists of an objective description. However, this study of the heterogeneous dyskrasia presents the vivacity of oral speech, as it includes timeless description next to temporal narration.

The verb $\varepsilon i \mu i ́$ points to the existence or the quality of an entity, i.e., to description, while $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma v o \mu \alpha \iota$ points to a process, i.e., to narration. Description reflects space and is timeless, while narration implies time next to space. Whenever time and space meet, a flash of reality happens, reflecting a concrete fact, not a generic one. The process of a disease can be narrated, because process implies change, and change implies time.

At the beginning of the treatise, Galen refers four times to dyskrasia as an entity in process ( $\gamma$ ' $\gamma v \in \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$, "it happens"), so that the whole passage focalises the anomalous dyskrasia. At the same time, it is the grammatical subject of the sentences.


 ү' $\gamma$ vovidı

The references to past, future and present time, chain the book to other sequences of reality.

When the author includes his readers in the treatise, placing a scene in the future by means of Indicative Future in the second person, he is addressing the research to the time when a disciple will put his hand on the heart's left ventricle in order to perceive the extra heat (Section 9, p. 156,2-11):


 that, specially the blood in the left ventricle: where, if being the animal still healthy and not yet with fever, YOU would want to put your fingers, as it is written in the Anatomical Procedures, YOU will perceive a very strong heat".

The grammatical Future points to an individual fact, not to a general one, the author being an accomplice of the reader.

In a similar way (Section 2, p. 146,12-13), the doctor-to-be may find the bones' cavities. An Aorist Optative in the Second person aims at him:
ő $\sigma \alpha \delta^{\prime}<\varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i>\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \varrho \alpha ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \xi \eta \varrho \alpha ́, ~ \pi \alpha ́ v v ~ \phi \omega \varrho \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha เ \varsigma ~<\alpha ̈ v>\alpha u ̉ \tau \omega ̃ v ~ \alpha i ̉ \sigma-~$ $\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{́} \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. "... whereas in those that <are> hard and dry, YOU would fully discover the intervals of them by means of perception ..."

The Section on Anatomy, is addressed to a second person (p. 144,9-

 the explanation, it is necessary to remind you of all the parts of the body..."

The second person is again used in two potential periods:
"In this way, YOU would even kill a scorpion, spitting on it with an empty stomach" (p. 160,17-18). ${ }^{459}$ "For not even if, having placed a man under the hot sun, would YOU douse him with cold water, (it is) impossible for him not to perceive at the same time the heat from the sun and the cold from the water" (p. 166,12-14). ${ }^{600}$

With the use of the imperative mood in the third person, Galen switches to narration, going into the imagined world of professor and students. He creates the illusion of an oral class. Such verbal moods are alien to description. As opposed to the objective world, expressed by the referential function of language, the impressive message tries to influence the receptor or reader:
 $\pi \varrho о \sigma \varepsilon \chi \tilde{\eta} \kappa \alpha \lambda$ oú $\mu \varepsilon v \alpha \mu$ о́@ $\alpha \cdot$ "Let us in turn get anyone of them cut up into the so-called contiguous parts".

[^76] overcome first, for it is preferable to start from the better side".

The use of $\chi \varrho \eta$ + Infinitive is a way of expressing an order or exhortation. ${ }^{461}$ Galen addresses the exhortation to the readers and himself, and especially to the reader who asked for the treatise, by using $\chi \varrho \eta$ + Infinitive as well as a plural participle: $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha i ́<\sigma \varepsilon>\chi \varrho \eta ̀ ~ . . . ~ \dot{\alpha} \varrho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v \varsigma$ ("it is necessary to remind YOU of all the parts of the body, beginning with ...").
2. When the author mentions his ongoing treatise, he inserts the book in the chain of time. By means of the perfect tense, what has been indicated in a preceding section is present to the author as the result of a performed act:

 krasis in every part of the body so affected, has been told before".
 $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L}$. "Hence, how fever is produced upon inflammation ... has already been said by me".

The present tense places the book into the cosmic present of the author, looking forwards or backwards:

 "Now, of what kind is the genesis for all the anomalous dyskrasias, in this book is set before me to go through".
 $\delta \iota \alpha$ оеव́s ${ }^{\text {"I }}$ am returning to the differences in the anomalous dyskrasia."

An Imperfect linked to a Future shows the importance of clarity in Galen's exposition:
P. 146,16-17 $\tau \alpha v \tau i ̀ ~ \mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ o v ̃ v ~ \alpha ̉ v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha i ̃ o v ~ \tilde{\eta} v ~ v ́ \tau о \mu v \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \sigma \alpha ф \eta v \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̌ v \varepsilon-~$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \lambda \varepsilon \chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{t}$. "Of these, certainly, it was necessary to remind (you), for the sake of clarity of the things to be explained".462

The verbal adjective in - téov looks forward:
 anomalous dyskrasia it is time to explain..."

Indicative Present and Imperfect link an ongoing explanation ("the text already shows that ...") to a similar process presented before:
 $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha เ v o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \circ \cdot$ "And somehow the text already shows that, at times ... as it was told in the case of a part getting inflamed".

[^77]3. An important feature of Galen's books is his way of mentioning them in the ongoing treatise. As he readily uses many nominal sentences, the presence of a finite verb is worthy of consideration. Let us look into his use of the Perfect tense:
 @ $\emptyset \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ とそŋ $\eta \tau \alpha$ । ("and all of them have been explained in the Anatomical Procedures")
 krasis")
The author indicates with this tense the validity of his work. His books are present as a constant reference.

The Imperfect expresses the continuity of the author's work: he refers to it not as a punctual fact, but as a process in its duration or its iteration, and so continuous in its permanency:
 it was shown in the treatise On krasis".
 told in my Anatomical Procedures".

Validity and continuity: that is Galen's message. For the author, the ensemble of his books forms a standing system of continuous worth. Of course, his planned books also belong to a system that lives in past, present and future:




"... it is not possible to tell the way of its genesis (of the symptom) in the present book, before having shown of the natural faculties, how many and of what kind they are, and what each of them does according to nature, but in «The causes of the symptoms» everything will be told".


 $\pi \varrho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon i ́ \alpha, \alpha \alpha i ̀ \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha v ̉ \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau \eta ̃ ~ \tau \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ \theta \varepsilon \varrho \alpha \pi \varepsilon v \tau \iota \kappa \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon$ Өóסov.
4. Galen's use of tenses is very precise.

While referring to transformation, Galen makes an opposition of present vs. aorist and perfect tense:

 $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \iota$ ó $\mu \varepsilon v \alpha$. "Nevertheless, neither the coats of the arteries and veins ... have already been completely altered in their krasis, but are still changing and being altered, as they are becoming heated".

Perfect (result (not) reached): They have (not yet) been completely altered / Present (durative): The alteration is ongoing.


 longer while, even they would be overcome and changed completely, so as not to keep being heated, but to have already been unnaturally heated".
Aorist tense (punctual): they would be overcome and changed completely / Present tense (durative): so as not to keep being heated / Perfect tense (result): but to have already been unnaturally heated.
5. In order to establish a dependence among different notions, he makes a productive use of some Greek devices. ${ }^{463}$

Grammatical Subject of a verb in Nominative, against Accusative and oblique cases. In Section 2, the organs are introduced in Accusative:
 $\kappa \varepsilon \phi \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$
while the homoeomers are presented in Nominative. They are the focus:

 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta$.

Section 1: the presentation of AD, consists of coordinate sentences that have the key-words $\dot{\alpha} v \omega \mu \alpha \lambda$ оऽ $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i \alpha$, as subject in Nominative, placed in the first position of the sentence, while the names of diseases are introduced for the most part in Gen. or Dat., so that they are subordinated to the focus, i.e. AD.



Contrariwise, in Section 18, the names of diseases of local dyskrasia are nouns in Nominative - they are the focus, now-, while AD is coupled by a preposition:
Р. 170,12-14 катд̀ $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha v ~ \alpha ̀ v \omega ́ \mu \alpha \lambda о v ~ \alpha ̀ \pi о т \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon і ̃ \tau \alpha \cdot ~ к \alpha \varrho к i ́ v о ऽ, ~$

6. Verbs: verba finita in front of Infinitive and Participle

Section 4. P. 150,2-20 Galen explains in a chiasm the good or bad outcome of inflammation in a muscle, presenting it as a victory or defeat of the flux that provokes them. At the beginning, the agent, rheuma, is coupled by participle ( $\mathrm{AB}: ~ ข \iota \kappa \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \circ \varsigma, ~ v \iota \kappa \eta \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau \circ \varsigma)$.

[^78]However, as action approaches, the author turns to a finite verb (BA: $v \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega$, vıкŋ $\theta \varepsilon i ́ \eta)$. The flux is more and more important.
 "overcoming the flow, destruction of the overcome parts".
 being overcome, return of the muscle to its natural state".
$\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$ к $\alpha i ̀ ~ v \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega ~ \pi \varrho o ́ \tau \varepsilon \varrho о \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \varrho ́ \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \cdot$ "Now, hence, let the flow be overcome first"

 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \phi \theta \alpha \varrho \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \tau \tilde{\omega} \chi \varrho o ́ v \omega^{\text {" }}$ If the parts were overcome by the flow, they would certainly result in such an enormous dyskrasia as to their capacity perishing and being destroyed in time".
7. Galen's use of the Infinitive is remarkable. His $\pi \varrho i v-$ and $\omega \check{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon-$ sentences just present Infinitive. His declarative sentences more often than not present an Infinitive. He often uses Infinitive sentences introduced by an article, in any grammatical case.

The Infinitive sentence allows subject and object to be presented at the same level. Thucydides is a master of these sentences. The author does not want to show his preferences in his chronicle of the Peloponnesian War: he simply lets two enemies or cities or generals stay in Accusative. I should call Thucydides' style journalistic. Galen is rather good at this as well:

 $\omega \sigma \alpha v ́ \tau \omega \varsigma ~ v ̇ \tau \alpha ́ \rho \chi \varepsilon \iota v, \alpha \ddot{v \tau \iota} \varrho \cup \varsigma \delta \tilde{\eta} \lambda o v$. "That such dyskrasias differ from the homogeneous, <just> in the fact of all the parts of the body not being likewise affected by dyskrasia, is plainly evident".


 fípve $\sigma \theta \alpha$. "On the other hand, they differ from each other in the fact that some happen by the phlegmatic humour, some by the bilious or the melancholic humour, some by blood, being hot, thin and boiling, or cold and thick, or in any other state".
8. When Galen wants to stress a process, not an object, he introduces verbs everywhere.

In Section 3 (pp. 146,17-150,2), the physiology of inflammation is marked by groups of verbs (tri- or tetrasyllabic), in duos or trios, whose subjects are flux (blood), vessels, homoeomers and hollows. Some of them:
 $\alpha i ́ \mu \varepsilon i ́ \zeta o u s ~ ג ̉ \varrho \tau \eta \varrho i ́ \alpha ı ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \phi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \beta \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̇ \mu \pi i ́ \mu \pi \lambda \alpha v \tau \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \alpha \tau \varepsilon i ́ v o v \tau \alpha เ \cdot ~ " s u d-~$
denly a hot flux having fallen upon a muscle, first the larger arteries and veins get filled up and stretched out".


 "when the flow gets violently constricted and is no longer held ... runs to the exterior: this way ... get filled up with flow, so as to get hot and soaked all around from every place by the humidity, all of them".

 $\theta \lambda i ́ \beta o v \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \beta \alpha \varrho u ́ v o v \tau \alpha \iota \cdot$ "from inside, by the flux they get heated, stretched out and torn asunder, while from outside, they get heated, compressed and overloaded".
9. There are four sections on transformation: three out of the four (Sections 5, 10 and 18), include a display of verbs of change, PROCESS being reflected this way:

Section 5 (p. 150,20-152,11): $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda о v \tau \iota, \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha-$
 $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \theta \varepsilon$ ị́ $\varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ı.
 oṽ $\alpha \alpha \mathrm{l}, \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon i ́ \eta$.

Section 18 (172,6): $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda$ оt к $\alpha i ̀ ~ \alpha \lambda \lambda$ ot $\omega \theta \varepsilon i ́ \eta$.
10. Nominal sentences, where a noun is associated with qualifications, or an adjective with several nouns, stresses the quality, not the process. Such is the case in Section 7, on transformation, which resorts to the adjectives $\varepsilon \dot{v} \alpha \lambda \lambda o i ́ \omega \tau \sigma v / \delta v \sigma \alpha \lambda \lambda o i ́ \omega \tau o v$ "easily altered /difficult to alter", cold/hot, and $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau о \mu \varepsilon \varrho \eta ́ s / \pi \alpha \chi \cup \mu \varepsilon \varrho \eta ́ s$ "of thin/thick parts", predicated of substances (pneuma and humours):


P. 154,13 тò $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau о \mu \varepsilon \varrho \check{\varepsilon} \varsigma ~ \alpha ̛ \pi \alpha v, ~ \varepsilon v ̉ \alpha \lambda \lambda o i ́ \omega \tau o v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha \chi \nu \mu \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon ́ \varsigma$, $\delta \cup \sigma \alpha \lambda \lambda$ oí $\omega \tau$ тоv.
"most alterable the pneuma, because also thinnest;
hottest by nature the yellow bile, coldest the phlegm";
"everything formed by thin parts, easily alterable, everything formed by thick parts, difficult to alter".
11. Anteposed hypotactic sentences bring into focus their contents.

The transition to the second section (p. 144,5-10) is marked by three complex clauses with an anteposed hypotactic sentence (HS), a favourite of Galen.
 $\mu \eta ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu$ о́@ı $\alpha$ тои̃ $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha ́ \tau \omega \varsigma ~ \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \varepsilon ц \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \omega ́ \sigma \alpha v ́ \tau \omega \varsigma ~$

 $\dot{\alpha} v \omega \mu \alpha ́ \lambda$ оıऽ $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha ı \varsigma, ~ \varepsilon ̇ v ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} \gamma \varrho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \iota ~ \pi \varrho о ́ к \varepsilon เ \tau \alpha i ́ ~<\mu о ı>~ \delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath ̃ \nu . ~}$
 тоṽ $\sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \mu о$ о́ $\omega v$

He stresses in this way the importance of:

1. the difference between AD and Heterogeneous D , capital for the understanding of AD.
2. the intention of the book, that is, to explain the genesis of AD.
3. the necessity of including some Anatomy, for the sake of clarity.
4. Clarity is a must for Galen. He often uses parallel expressions in a row: he just changes the key words while keeping to the syntax. Many oppositions are included in this kind of presentation.

In Section 4, p. 150,2-20, there are only three finite Verbs: $\dot{\alpha} \phi$ í $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$,
 first, we find parallel expressions beginning $\varepsilon i \varsigma+A c$., 9 times (i.e. "the deposit goes to ..."), кат $\dot{\alpha}$ (3), and v́тó (3), coupled by Ac., where $\dot{\alpha} \phi$ í $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ ı has to be understood:
ג $\phi$ '́ $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon ́$









He often repeats schemes changing the contents. Some causes of fevers are introduced by means of 4 parallel Absolute Genitives in Section 13, p. 162, 20-164,3:




13. A good way to remember a notion is to repeat it in different forms: In Section 6 (p. 152,12-154,4) the process of inflammation into fever begins. HEAT is the word: $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu o ́ \tau \eta \varsigma, ~ \sigma v v \varepsilon \kappa \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$, ėк $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$, $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu$ нóv,

14. The stylistic marks of Units that I included in the previous chapter ("Composition"), are linguistic features.

## B 5. General Remarks

The Chapter dedicated to the Sources of the Text is rather long because the transmission is rather profuse and complicated.

As for orthography, I wrote $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \gamma \downarrow \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ and $\tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \tau \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma$. For the elisions I usually follow $\Delta$ or its mss., or L, but I am not happy with the remaining hiatus. I leave out of the Critical apparatus some minor misprints, accents, or evident errors.

Unless otherwise stated, translations from the Greek are mine. As for the translation of the treatise, I have tried to represent the very words of the original, including word order - as much as possible. ${ }^{464}$ Most of the names of diseases I have left in transliterated Greek and explained the Galenic notion in the Commentary, including the present-day definition in a note.

As the treatise is composed of units (sections, rings) and not divided into chapters, I present the Greek text and, consequently, the translation, undivided. I just introduce the siglum $S$ for Section, and its number, ${ }^{465}$ in the margin, with the sign II in the text. The traditional chapters are introduced between brackets in the margin, with the sign I in the text.

The Arabic version has been edited and translated into Spanish by Prof. Cristina Alvarez Millan. I use my English version of the Spanish text.

In the Commentary, I chose to explain Galen through Galen himself, introducing related passages of other treatises and translating them. Of course, I have included philosophers and physicians as well, but my focus is on Galen.

The Index verborum includes clues to a number of interesting subjects that are not indicated by the mere presence of a precise word. At the same time, I have classified the functions of some classes of words (article, adjective, pronoun, conjunction) so as to present a useful tool for language itself.

[^79]
# 3. TEXT AND TRANSLATION 

## Galeni De inaequali intemperie

## CONSPECTUS SIGLORUM ET COMPENDIORUM

L =Laurentianus gr. 74.5; s. $\mathrm{XII}^{\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{f}}$
P =Yale, Beinecke Library ms. 1121; s. XII ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$
T $=$ Trivultianus 685; s. XIII ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$-XIVin
U =Mutinensis graecus 109 ; s. XIV-XV c.
D =Laudianus graecus C 58; s. $\mathrm{XV}^{\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{f}}$
V =Vaticanus graecus 282; s. XV
M =Marcianus graecus 282; ca. 1468-1472
$\Sigma \quad=$ Scholia in P (fortasse s. IV)
$\Lambda$ = consensus codicum LTM
$\Delta \quad=$ consensus codicum PUDV
z = consensus codicum DV
Raro citatur
R =Parisinus gr. 2283; s. XVI (excerpta)
A = editio Aldina, Venetiis, a. 1525
B = editio Basileensis, a. 1538
Ch = editio Charterii, Lutetiae Parisiorum, a. 1649
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[^80][^81]
## GALEN <br> ON <THE> ANOMALOUS DYSKRASIA

 mal body, as in the dropsy known as anasarka, ${ }^{2}$ in the fevers named êpialoi, and in nearly all of them, except for the fevers called hektikoi. On the other hand, swelling, inflammation, gangraina, erysipelas or cancer, can sometimes happen in any part. Of this kind is so-called elephantiasis as well as phagedaena and herpes. Now, all of these, (happen) with flux. Without fluid substance, the parts being altered 734 just in their qualities, anomalous dyskrasia happens, I (the parts) either cooled down, or heated up (by the sun), or too much exercised, or having been completely inactive, or affected in another similar manner. Nevertheless, even from external (agents) falling upon our bodies, more anomalous dyskrasias happen in them, being heated or cooled or dried or moistened. These four dyskrasias are simple,[^82]
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[^83][^84]as it was shown in the treatise On krasis. Composed from them are four others, either being both heated and moistened, or both heated and dried, or both cooled and moistened, or both cooled and dried. That such dyskrasias differ from the homogeneous, <just> in the fact of all the parts of the body not being likewise affected by dyskrasia, is plainly evident. Now, of what kind is the genesis for all the anomalous
S 2 dyskrasias, in this book is set before me to go through. II In order to make clear the explanation, it is necessary to remind you of all the parts of the body, beginning with the largest, which in fact even to laymen are known. In fact, nobody is unaware of arms, legs, stomach, thorax, and head. I Let us in turn get anyone of them cut up into the so-called contiguous parts. Leg, for example, into thigh, calf and foot; arm, further into upper arm, forearm and hand. Now, then, parts peculiar to the hand: carpus, metacarpus and fingers. Fingers again in turn: bones,
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[^85][^86]cartilages, ligaments, nerves, arteries and veins; membranes, flesh and tendons; nails, skin and fat. Then those it is no longer possible to cut into another shaped part: they are homoeomer ${ }^{3}$ and primary, except for arteries and veins, for these are composed of fibres and membranes, as it was told in my Anatomical Procedures. Now then, as there are many hollow places among the so-called homoeomer and primary parts, <likewise> there are more of them and larger amidst the organic and composed parts; sometimes even inside any single homoeomer part, as in bone and skin. And of all of them, in the Anatomical Procedures, have been told. Now, the soft bodies, colliding into each other, make the <hollow> places in between, in736 conspicuous to perception, whereas in those that <are> hard and dry, I you would fully discover their intervals by means of perception, such as the (medullary) cavities in the bones. These (cavities) have by nature in themselves a thick and white fluid, for nutrition prepared by the bones. The pores in the skin, how they come to be, has been explained in On krasis. Of these it was necessary to remind (you), for
S 3 the sake of clarity of the things to be told. II Of the anomalous dyskrasia it is time to tell of what kind its nature is, and how many are the types of its genesis. The fact of not being just one krasis in every part of the body so affected, has been told before. Now, that is common to every anomalous dyskrasia, whereas the differences follow
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[^88]the nature of the affected parts. ${ }^{4}$ For in one way the simple flesh, in another way the [3] complete muscle, result in the anomalous dyskrasia. I Suddenly a hot flux ${ }^{5}$ having fallen upon a muscle, first the larger arteries and veins get filled up and stretched out; after them, the lesser ones, and that happens up to the minimal ones, in which, when the flow gets violently I constricted ${ }^{6}$ and is no longer held, a part of it runs to the exterior through the orifice, ${ }^{7}$ another through the coats that move it: ${ }^{8}$ this way, the hollow places in between the primary bodies get filled up with flow, so as to get hot and soaked all around from every place by the humidity, all of them. These are nerves, ligaments, membranes and flesh, and above all those arteries and veins, which, in fact, in the first place and especially, are affected by manifold suffering. For in fact, from inside, by the flux they get heated, stretched out and torn asunder, while from outside they get heated, compressed and overloaded. Of the other parts, some get affected just by getting hot or by being compressed, and some by both of these. And the disease is called inflammation, and it is an anomalous dyskrasia of the muscle. For the blood in it already boils, and heats with it, first and especially,
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the coats of the arteries and the veins, then as well their outside, and consequently S 4 everything gets drenched all around. II And one of these two (processes) necessarily 738 happens: overcoming the flow, destruction I of the overcome parts; the flow being overcome, return of the muscle to its natural state. Now, hence, let the flow be overcome first, for it is preferable to start from the better side. Double in this case will be the way of healing: either all the obstructed humidity is dissipated, or else it is being concocted. In fact, dissipation is the most adequate of the ways of healing. Concoction, on the other hand, is necessarily followed by these two events: pus formation and deposit. ${ }^{10}$ The deposit is formed sometimes into the biggest and less important of the cavities nearby: this is precisely as well the best of deposits. At times (it is formed) into a very big and important ${ }^{11}$ (cavity), or else into a non-important and not very big either. Now, for those (deposits) by the belly, the best is the deposit into the ample inner space, towards which most of them break away together; the one formed under the peritoneum is bad. In the same way those by the brain, for the one (that is formed) into the two front cavities is good, but the one under the meninges, and that into the back cavity are bad. And the deposits by the ribs break away together into the ample spaces of the thorax; (those) of the muscles, under the 739 skin; (those) in the viscera, either into I the arteries and veins in them, or else under S 5 the membrane that contains them ---as being a sort of skin for the viscera. II If the parts ${ }^{12}$ were overcome by the flow, they would certainly result in such an enormous dyskrasia as to their capacity perishing and being destroyed in time. Pain will stop
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[^91][^92]as soon as they (the parts) become identical to that which changes them, since not when they have been (completely) changed in their [krasis] nature, but in the process of changing, do the parts feel pain, as the admirable Hippocrates said: in those (parts) being changed and destroyed in their nature, pain is present. And each part is being changed and destroyed in their nature, either becoming hot, or cold, or dry, or humid, or else having the continuity loosened. In the anomalous dyskrasia, especially because of hot or cold, for those are the most active qualities. Then, because of getting dry or humid as well: when being hungry or thirsty, by missing in the 740 former the dry matter, and the humid in the latter; when getting wounded, erod[4] ed, stretched out, compressed, or torn asunder, by having its continuity loosened. I S 6 II Now, if the blood's heat in the inflamed part were adequate, and the blood contained in the whole animal's body had a temperate krasis, it (the blood) would ${ }^{13}$ not at all easily heat up along with the affected part. However, if it boiled harder or if the blood in the whole animal were bilious, suddenly all of it would ${ }^{14}$ heat up. (It would happen) much more if both occur at the same time: not just the blood in the inflamed part being hot enough, but that in the whole animal, being bilious as well. First to get hot
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[^94]is the blood in the arteries, because by nature it is hotter and more pneumatic; ${ }^{15}$ then, that in the veins as well. And, if the inflamed part were near the viscera with much
S 7 blood, the whole animal's blood would get hot with it even quicker. I I In short, in everything that gets hot, the more alterable it is, or hot by nature, it gets hot first; as (it) also (happens) in everything that gets cold: the more alterable it is, or cold by nature, it gets cold first. Thus, on the one hand, most alterable is the pneuma, because it
741 is also I thinnest. On the other hand, hottest by nature is the yellow bile, coldest the phlegm; of the other humours, blood is second hottest after the yellow bile, whereas the black is second coldest after phlegm. In fact, the yellow bile gets easily altered by everything that acts against it; the black, with difficulty. In a couple of words, everything formed by thin parts, is easily alterable, whereas everything formed by thick
S 8 parts, is difficult to alter. II So that alterations in the inflammations necessarily happen of many kinds, because also of many kinds are the humours. ${ }^{16}$ Firstly, in fact, the humour that provokes the inflammation is more or less hot. Then, the putrefaction of it (the humour) works according to its nature; not the least (insignificant) is the fact of <the humour> being more or less constricted ${ }^{17}$-for the entities without transpiration get putrid quicker, as it also happens in all the external things-. And when <the blood> is not just hot but humid as well in its krasis, then even much more so. In fact, the inflamed part is either near or far from the viscera with much blood, and the whole blood is either full of biter or black bile, or phlegm or pneuma, and all of that to a more or lesser extent, so that necessarily the alterations happen of many kindsl,
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742 | a humour ${ }^{18}$ compared with another, and compared as well with itself. [5]S 9|| All these anomalous dyskrasias of the body happen, especially the blood in the inflammation being too much heated, then that in the heart and in the rest of the viscera; and of that (the heart), especially (the blood) in the left ventricle: where, if the animal is still healthy and not yet with fever, you would want to place your fingers, as it has been written in the Anatomical Procedures, you will perceive a very strong heat. In fact, it is not unreasonable that whenever the whole body gets unnaturally hot, especially that one (ventricle) reaches the maximum of heat, for in fact it has a blood of
R-7 the thinnest parts and most pneumatic that is moving all the time. I I Now, in all such fevers, the whole blood, which has received that unnatural heat starting from the
S 10 putrefaction of the humours, gets already hot sometimes. II Nevertheless, neither the coats of the arteries and veins, nor any other of the adjacent bodies, have already
743 been completely altered in their krasis, but are still changing I and being altered, as they are becoming heated. But if they suffered that for a longer while, even they would be overcome and changed completely, so as not to keep being heated, but to have already been unnaturally heated. The limit of the alteration for each of the parts is the harm to its capacity. The whole range up to the limit is a process towards the unnatural condition (against nature), (the range) being like something mixed, common and mean arisen from two opposites: the one according precisely to nature, and

18 "Body" in Greek.
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[^98]the other already completely against nature. ${ }^{19}$ Now, during all this time, the body getting hot, feels a pain proportional to the quantity of the alteration. When the body's <own> solid parts are completely heated up, such fever is called hektikos, as if it were not just contained in the humidities (humours) and the pneuma, but also in <the solid> bodies that hold a permanent condition. It is painless, and the patients with such fever believe that they do not have a fever at all, for they do not perceive the heat, as all their parts have been heated up in a similar way. In fact, this has 744 been agreed upon by the natural philosophers in I their reasonings ${ }^{20}$ on perception: for neither without alteration, perception, nor in those completely altered, pain. R-8 || Hence, because of that, the hektikoi fevers, all of them, are painless and completely imperceptible to the patients. For it is no longer that one of their parts is active, and the other passive, all of them having already become the same ${ }^{21}$ to each other,
S 11 and presenting a single similar krasis. II Now if one (part) of them were rather hot and the other rather cold, but so hot or cold as to hurt the one nearby, [or] that way would be harmful to each other <all> the parts in their natural state, for they are in fact different in their krasis: flesh <is> a hot part, bone is a cold one. However, in these and all the others, the anomaly is painless, because of the moderation of the
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excess. Hence, in a similar way, the environment does not hurt us before changing into disproportionate cooling or heating. Its differences in between, although being too many and the excess being conspicuous, we perceive (them as) painless. In fact,
745 on those grounds, that reasoning is probably appropriate, as I Hippocrates himself states when saying: all diseases are but wounds. For, on the one hand, a wound is a loosening of continuity; on the other hand, the disproportionate heating and cooling come close to loosening the continuity. Intense heating loosens the continuity of the matter by separating and cutting through; strong cooling, by contracting and compressing inward, so that they squeeze out some matter, and crush some other. And somebody, considering this the limit of the disproportion of the hot and the cold, would seem not to err in his knowledge $-<b u t>$ be this or another the limit of the disproportion - [But] The fact of all disproportion being in relation to something, is already evident. Not in the same way does every individual body get affected by heat and cold; and, for that reason, some animals have their humours compatible with those of each other, while others have them not just incompatible but destructive as well, such as men and vipers. In fact their saliva is mortal to each other. In this way, you would even kill a scorpion, spitting on it with an empty stomach. However men would not kill men by biting them, nor vipers
746 kill vipers, nor asps ${ }^{22}$ kill asps. In fact, what is similar, is compatible and I friendly; what is opposite, is inimical and harmful. Hence, everything grows and is nurtured by similars, but is destroyed and perishes by dissimilars; and, in consequence,





















[^101][^102]the watchfulness of health by means of similars, the destruction of diseases by means of opposites, will be done. However, concerning this, would be another account. II $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}-8$ That hektikos fever, which has already seized the permanent condition of the animal, S 12 is imperceptible to the patient. II Of all the other fevers, none is imperceptible, but some are more, some are less painful to the patients. Some of them (the fevers) are accompanied by rhîgos as well. In fact, this symptom and some others are produced by the anomalous dyskrasia, but it is not possible to tell the manner of its genesis (of the symptom) in the present book, before having shown of the natural faculties, how many and of what kind they are, and what each of them does according to nature,
[7] but in The causes of the symptoms everything will be told. I I am returning to the differences in the anomalous dyskrasia. Hence, how fever is produced upon inflammation, and that every inflammation and every fever, except for the so-called hektikoi
747 fevers, belong to the diseases of anomalous krasis, I has already been said by me.
$\mathbf{R}^{\prime}-7$ || Fever would happen even without inflammation, just upon putrefaction of the humours. For, in fact, it is not only that what is being obstructed and not transpiring gets putrid, but that it gets so especially and very quickly. Very many other entities disposed to putrefaction also become putrefied. And, of the disposition of these, it will
S 13 also be told in another place. I I Now, there will happen in other ways anomalous dyskrasia in the whole body; sometimes a fuliginous perspiration is retained; sometimes,
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[^103][^104]the heat is increased because of too many gymnastic exercises or bodily exertion; sometimes, the blood immoderately boils because of anger, or is heated up from the exterior by heat-stroke. That in all these fevers, as upon inflammation it was told before, in relation to the strength of the cause that acts, and to the condition of the body, some will contract an intense fever, some a weak one, and some not at all, I think it is evident. For in fact, that the dyskrasia sometimes just attacks that pneumatic substance, at times the humours as well, is no less evident. And that, all such fevers, when they persist, the so-called hektikos fever will accompany them.
748 | And somehow the text already shows that, at times, when a hot or cold substance flowed to a certain part, this anomalous dyskrasia occurred, as it was told in the case of a part getting inflamed. Many times it happened not like that, but when the body's own krasis was altered in its quality. And that, of the (causes), which alter it, some start from the body itself, some from outside. When just upon putrefaction or certain inflammations a fever arises, (it starts) from the body itself; when just upon heatstrokes and gymnastic exercises, from outside. More about these will be told in turn,
S 14 in The Causes of Diseases. II As after heat-strokes a fever arises, because the body's krasis was altered, similarly in icy cold, many times people grew very cold in their whole body, and some among them died. And, in fact, that all those suffer pain, even that is evident. ${ }^{23}$ And those also suffered pain who, having grown rather cold

23 In Greek, "not even that is unevident".
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 oủdé L
because of hard icy cold, wished eagerly to heat themselves quickly, and many of them quickly placed their hands next to the fire, and perceived excruciating pain in 749 the roots I of their nails. Then, who, seeing so clearly that the anomalous dyskrasia was the cause of the pain, is still incredulous in the case of inner pains, or wonders how, without inflammation, there is often pain in the colon or the testicles or any S 15 other part? II For neither is any such condition amazing, nor (is it amazing) how some patients suffer at the same time rhîgos and fever. For, in fact, if the phlegmatic humour - being cold-, which Praxagoras calls vitreous, ${ }^{24}$ and the bitter, bilious and hot, would both abound in them and move through the sensitive bodies, it is not at all amazing that the patient similarly perceives both of them. For not even if, having placed a man under the hot sun, would you douse him with cold water, (it is) impossible for him not to perceive ${ }^{25}$ at the same time the heat from the sun and the cold from the water. However, in this case, both come from outside, and fall on large parts, while, in the êpialoi fevers, (both come) from inside and (fall) on small parts; for this reason the body seems to perceive both of them throughout. For, as what cools and what heats is scattered up to every minimal part throughout the body, it is not

24 Hyaloid.
25 I.e. he necessarily perceives.
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 $\tau \grave{v} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \in \varepsilon \sigma \iota v$ add., pace Hun., according with the state $\tau \alpha \tilde{\imath} \varsigma \mathrm{P} \quad \tau \iota \sigma \iota v] c f$. some Hun. 15 post
 coni. Lalam. $16 \pi \varrho о \sigma \varepsilon v \eta v \varepsilon ́ \chi \theta \alpha \mathrm{\iota}] \pi \varrho о \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \theta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota \mathrm{Uz}$ oṽ] $\tilde{\omega} v \mathrm{U}$ d̉@үòs om. Hun., sed

 $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}-\mathrm{Uz} \quad \dot{v} \alpha \lambda \omega \delta \eta \Delta: \dot{v} \alpha \lambda \operatorname{ocı} \delta \tilde{\eta} \Lambda \quad$ oủ $\delta \varepsilon i \varsigma]$ cf. nobody Hun ${ }^{\text {SEM }}$, om. Hun ${ }^{\text {ALP }}$

750 possible to take a small sensitive part of it (of what cools in the body), I in which the other (what heats) is not present as well. Nevertheless, in the attack of paroxysms, some of the feverish patients suffer rhîgos and fever, and they perceive both of them: disproportionate cold and heat at the same time, but not in the same place. In fact, they are able to keenly distinguish the heated parts from the cooled. For, inside and in the viscera themselves, they perceive heat, while in the outside parts, in all of them, they perceive cold. The so-called lipyriai fevers are of this kind throughout the process, and a mortal type of kausos as well. Consequently, as in these fevers heat and cold fell on the large parts, in the êpialoi fevers they fell on the small parts.
S 16 II In fact, the dyskrasia of the composed fevers is anomalous. That of all the other fevers is anomalous as well, except for the so-called hektikoi. That of the patients who present rhîgos, but do not have fever afterwards, is also anomalous. The symptom, in fact, is scarce. Nevertheless, it happens at times, according to their condition, ${ }^{26}$ in women and in some men. It is necessary that a completely idle life has gone before, or that the person ingested a lot of food for a long while, (circumstances) from which an inactive, cold, raw and phlegmatic humour is built up: such a humour was first introduced by
751 Praxagoras as I vitreous. In ancient days, asitseems, nobody suffered this way, because

26 Body's condition or state.










 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha v ̃ \tau \alpha ~ \sigma ט ́ \mu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ~ \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ \omega \varsigma ~ \tau \eta ̃ ~ \phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu о v \tilde{\eta}, \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha v$






 Hóvov, $\omega \varsigma$ ó $\pi$ oĩov ăv ท̃ tò @ $\varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha, \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \varepsilon ̌ \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau o v ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} v ~ 20$


[^107][^108] $\tau \alpha \iota \Delta$
no one happened to spend his ${ }^{27}$ life in such inactivity and satiety, and, for that reason, it has been written by the ancient doctors that fever necessarily accompanies rhîgos. However, we and many other present-day physicians have many times observed $r h \hat{\imath}-$ S 17 gos, which fever did not accompany. II Then, the êpialos is composed of this dyskrasia and that of patients with fever. I call this way that fever to which both (rhîgos and fever) happen throughout, but I do not call êpialos that in which the rhiggos comes first, and the fever follows, as in tertians and quartans. So that from two anomalous dyskrasia the êpi[9] alos has been formed, and nearly all the remaining fevers, except the so-called hektikoi. I
S 18 || And likewise the diseases with a tumour in a single part, all of these, in a way similar to inflammation will be produced in accordance with anomalous dyskrasia: cancer, erysipelas, anthrax, herpes, swelling, phagedaina, gangraina. For, on the one hand, common to all of them is that they result from a flux of humours; on the other hand, they differ from each other in the fact that some happen by the phlegmatic 752 humour, I some by the bilious or the melancholic humour, some by blood, being hot, thin and boiling, or cold and thick, or in any other state. In fact, the differences in them will be shown precisely according to the type, ${ }^{28}$ somewhere else. For the present study, it is enough just to be told that a flux, such as it happens to be, will produce each of the aforementioned affections, in the same way as it was shown before that inflammation happens by the hot and bloody


 $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \tau о \iota ~ Ө \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha เ v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \eta ̄ ~ \psi v \chi o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \eta ̀ ~ \xi \eta \varrho \alpha เ v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \eta ̀ ~ v ́ \gamma \varrho \alpha เ v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v, ~$




 $\tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda$ оऽ $\Gamma \alpha \lambda \eta$ voũ $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i$ < $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma>\alpha \dot{\alpha} v \omega \mu \alpha ́ \lambda$ ov $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha \varsigma . ~$

8-9 $\tau \tilde{\eta}-\pi \varrho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon i ́ \alpha, \chi]$. Gal. De simpl. med. temp. ac fac. (XI 379-892 K.) $9 \tau \tilde{\eta}-\mu \varepsilon$ Өóסov] cf. Gal. De meth. med. (X 1-1021 K.)

[^109]flux, ${ }^{29}$ and that, each of the homoeomer, simple and primary bodies, being affected by this flux, will contract the anomalous dyskrasia. On the one hand, from outside it will be heated or cooled or dried or moistened, whatever the flux happens to be; on the other hand, it will not yet be in an homogeneous state up to its depth. ${ }^{30}$ However, if the whole of it would wholly change and be altered, it will at once become painless, but that way it would be in a more difficult condition. That seems to me enough to be known in advance by those who are going to follow the thread of the study On Drugs, and, after this, On Therapeutic Method.

End of Galen's On <the> Anomalous Dyskrasia.

[^110]
## 4. COMMENTARY

P. 142,1. Г $\alpha \lambda \eta$ vov ... $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i \alpha \varsigma]$ J. Caius added $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ to the title. The treatise is mentioned without the article in De diff. febr. II 13: VII 380,18 K. and De ord. lib. suor. 2: XIX 56,11 K.= Boudon-Millot I 94, 8-9, while it has the article in 10 passages: ${ }^{1}$ Ars med. 37: I 408,2-3 K.= Boudon II, 388, 17; De diff. febr. II 15: VII 388,5 K.; De tot. morb. temp. 78.12; Med. Meth. II 2: X 15,4 K.; II 6: X 122,6 K.; III 9: X 216,7 K.; X 7: X 694,18; De simpl. med. temp. ac fac. V 6: XI 723,2 K., and In Hipp. Progn. Comment. I 42: XVIIIb,107,5 K. = CMG V 9,2; 256,23-24 Heeg.

In the treatise itself, the heterogeneous dyskrasia is mentioned six times with the article: p. 144,8; p. 152,7; p. 162,7, and 11-12; p. 164,11, and p. 170,9, when the author opposes it to the other dyskrasia, the homogeneous, or wants to mention it as a single entity, while all through the book he describes many different anomalous dyskrasia - without the article, that is. As for the title, the article is necessary if the author distinguishes the heterogeneous dyskrasia from the homogeneous (by means of an attributive adjective preceded by article). However, prepositions often let the article off. By the way, in this case the article avoids hiatus.

## SECTION 1. PRESENTATION

142,2-144,9. Av $\omega \mu \alpha \lambda$ оऽ ... $\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon i v]$ Section 1 contains the Presentation of the book. Some stylistic devices permit graduation of the importance of dyskrasia and related diseases. The presentation of the AD only contains coordinate sentences (verbs $\gamma$ ' $\gamma v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ or $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i ́)$, excepting two comparative clauses introduced by к $\alpha \theta \alpha ́ \pi \varepsilon \varrho$ in 1. 2, and к $\alpha \theta$ ótı in 1. 11. The linguistic focus is the anomalous dyskrasia, and as such it appears as grammatical subject of the sentences (in Nominative) at the beginning of them, in pp. 142, 2, 10, 12-13, and 144,1, while the related diseases are mentioned in dative with $\dot{\varepsilon} v$, for the dyskrasia of the body (142,2-5), and in participles agreeing with "part" for the local (142,5-7); only three of them appear as nouns in Nominative (142,7-8)

The blocks p. 142,2-5 and 5-7 contain the opening of the two frames that encircle the treatise, closing in p. 170,5-170,10 the first (body), and in p. 170,11 - 172,7 the second (part). Once dyskrasia has been explained throughout the treatise, the names of the particular diseases appear as grammatical subjects in Nominative at the end of the book, where they are the focus.

The dyskrasia is divided into body- and part- (p. 142,2-5, and 5-7), ${ }^{2}$ by means of two parallel and opposite clauses, highly anaphoric:

[^111]

Both kinds will be developed throughout the treatise: first the local, then, the body's dyskrasia, for the local is the origin of the systemic in some cases, such as the fevers being a consequence of inflammation. A quiastic composition introduces the notion "with flux/without flux": the qualitative alteration of the parts is again presented by means of participles agreeing with "part", this time in Genitive, letting dyskrasia in Nominative (ll. 9-12). The next series of external-produced dyskrasia appear in Dative, agreeing with "bodies" (=parts) and letting dyskrasia in Nominative. A new opposition provides the contrast: simple/composed dyskrasia.
P. 142,2-3 $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l} .$. tò $\left.\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha\right]$ The sentence may be found in other treatises. Cf. In Hipp. De vict. acut. Comment. IV 1: XV 737,6-7 K. = CMG V 9,1; 273,20 Helmreich. As for $\dot{\varepsilon} v i ́ o \tau \varepsilon$, the $\Lambda$-family has lost it in the series MENENIOTE (haplography); written afterwards in the margin, it has been misplaced. We have the first instance of an unusual $\kappa \alpha$ í (as an adverb): Galen favours $\kappa \alpha$ í in any position. This one is just a sample of its dominance throughout the book.
P. 142,3-5 к $\alpha \theta \alpha ́ \pi \varepsilon \varrho$... òvo $\mu \alpha \zeta$ о $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v$ ] The first body's dyskrasia to be named is the anasarka ${ }^{6}$ dropsy, ${ }^{7}$ and most fevers, êpialos among them. v́סcoos (and $v ँ \delta \varrho \omega \psi)$ appears for the first time in the Hippocratic Corpus.

In Nat. Fac. I 11:8 Galen explains nutrition and its three activities: presentation, adhesion, assimilation, and he brings forth the nature of anasarka dropsy, produced not by the lack of humid flux, for in fact the flesh appears humid and soaked and the same happens to every solid part of the body.
"While however, the nutriment conveyed to the part does undergo presentation, it is still too watery, and is not properly transformed into a juice,

[^112]8 Fac. nat. I 11: SM III 118, 21 -119,4 Helmreich= II 24,14-25,11 K.=40, 1-19 Brock.
nor has it acquired that viscous and agglutinative quality that results from the operation of innate heat; therefore, adhesion cannot come about, since, owing to this abundance of thin, crude liquid, the pabulum runs off and easily slips away from the solid parts of the body." (tr. Brock).

Anasarka is cold and humid, as is phlegm. ${ }^{10}$ The liquid is spread through in the flesh. In De loc. aff. V 7, he adds: ${ }^{11}$ "from tip to toe the whole body seems to contain phlegmatic blood in the dropsies called anasarka and leukophlegmatia ... The dropsical (watery) liquid fills up the space between the peritoneum and the organs under it." Good (as a therapy) for it, is that which dries and avoids the collection of humidity, such as the theriaka. ${ }^{12}$ In modern terms, dropsy is not a disease but a syndrome (see Grmek, Diseases, p. 41).

Galen explains fever in the treatise as a result of anomalous dyskrasia in the body. For the êpialos fever, see below, Commentary to p. 166,16 ff.: the treatise offers a precise description of it. The reference to the hektikos fever, ${ }^{13}$ due to the homogeneous dyskrasia, appears throughout the treatise as a counterpoint to the other fevers, produced by the anomalous dyskrasia. Its genesis is explained in p. 158,3-14: see Commentary ibid.
 fections expressed by means of participles: oiठıбкó $\mu \varepsilon v o v \eta \eta \quad \phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha i ̃ v o v$ ŋ̄ $\gamma \alpha \gamma \gamma \varrho \alpha \iota v o u ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$, i.e. oíß $\eta \mu \alpha, \phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \circ v \eta$, $\gamma \alpha ́ \gamma \gamma \varrho \alpha \iota v \alpha$, and a second ex-
 The series of local affections is repeated as nouns in p. 170,13-14, closing a second frame. It includes as well $\alpha \not v \theta \varrho \alpha \xi$.

As for the diseases included, Galen refers in De morb. differ. 5: VI $849,1-6 \mathrm{~K}$. to the local affections presenting tumors, adding that they are evident (visible) to anybody: $\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \cup \sigma \iota \tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \grave{\alpha} \varrho, \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu o v \alpha i ́, ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o i ̀ \delta \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$,

 $\delta \nu v \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$. Next to erysipelas, phlegmonê, oidêma, and elephas, the series includes as well phymata, phygethla, choirades, psôrai, leprai, alphoi and skirroi.

[^113] start to appear in the Hippocratic Corpus (as nouns), ${ }^{16}$ while $\phi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon \delta \alpha \iota v \alpha$ is already used by Aeschylus ${ }^{17}$ (and Euripides ${ }^{18}$ ). On the other hand, $\pi v \varrho \varepsilon \tau o ́ s$, oí $\eta \mu \alpha$, and $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu$ ov $\eta$ are the names of diseases/symptoms/syndromes more often mentioned in the HC. ${ }^{19}$ All of them affect the skin (the flesh underneath being affected or not). Their names are still in use, but the related diseases are not exactly the same as those explained by Galen (see following notes): anthrax, ${ }^{20}$ gangraina, ${ }^{21}$ phagedena, ${ }^{22}$ herpes, ${ }^{23}$ elephas, ${ }^{24}$ erysipelas, ${ }^{25}$


#### Abstract

15 Nowadays, inflammation is a localised protective response elicited by injury or destruction of tissues, which serves to destroy, dilute or wall off (sequester) both the injurious agent and the injured tissue (http://cancerweb.ncl.ac.uk/cgi-bin/omd?inflammation, 18/02/2006). For the present-day meaning of phlegmon, see below.


16 Cf. S. Byl, Néologismes, pp. 86-90.
17 Frag. 253,1 Radt.
18 Frag. 792,1 Nauck= Kannicht.
19 See Byl, Néologismes, p. 78.
20 Currently, Anthrax is an infectious disease caused by bacteria called Bacillus anthracis. This infection in humans most often involves the skin, the gastrointestinal tract, or the lungs (http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/000858.htm, 18/02/2006).

21 Gangrene is the death of tissue in a part of the body. (http://www.nlm.nih.gov/ medlineplus/ency/article/000858.htm, 18/02/2006).

22 "Phagedena is an old term for serious deep, necrotic and gangrenous skinulcers. In the past these have been regarded as severe infections. A review of 31 cases revealed that except in cases of Streptococcus pyogenes or Clostridium welchii infection, a bacterial of fungal infection was only one of several factors that led to the development of phagedenic ulcers. Initiating factors may be a bacterial infection, a debilitated state as a result of immunosuppressive therapy or of such conditions as alcoholism, severe diabetes, inflammatory bowel disease or severe arteriosclerosis, and various types of injury or trauma. Continuing factors include enzymatic mechanisms, the release of toxins from large areas of dead tissue and vascular disorders. In general, antibiotics are of limited value. Systemic corticosteroid therapy may be useful in the subacute or chronic case. In acute, spreading, gangrenous phagedena with surrounding erythema, fever and systemic toxic effects, immediate excision of dead tissue may be lifesaving." See R. Jackson and M. Bell, "Phagedena: gangrenous and necrotic ulcerations of skin and subcutaneous tissue", Canadian Medical Association Journal, 126, 1982, 363-368.

23 Herpes is any inflammatory skin disease caused by a herpes virus and characterised by the formation of clusters of small vesicles. When used alone, the term may refer to herpes simplex or to herpes zoster (http://cancerweb.ncl.ac.uk/cgi-bin/omd?query=herpes\&action=Search+O MD, 18/02/2006). The Herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) is usually associated with infections of the lips, mouth, and face, while the Herpes simplex virus 2 (HSV-2) is sexually transmitted: symptoms include genital ulcers or sores. Herpes zoster (or Shingles) is an acute, localized infection with varicella-zoster virus, which causes a painful, blistering rash. (http://www.nlm. nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/000858.htm, 18/02/2006).

24 As for elephantiasis, it is a disease of the skin, in which it become enormously thickened, and is rough, hard, and fissured, like an elephant's hide. (http://cancerweb.ncl.ac.uk/ cgi-bin/omd?elephantiasis, 18/02/2006).

25 Erysipelas is a type of cellulitis (skin infection) generally caused by group A Streptococcus bacteria. It typically presents painful, very red, swollen, and warm skin underneath the lesion. (http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/000618.htm, 18/02/2006).
oidêma, ${ }^{26}$ karkinos, ${ }^{27}$ phlegmôn. ${ }^{28}$ The author explains them as against-nature, i.e. pathologic, tumours ( $\pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota v$ ő $\gamma \kappa о \iota$ ),,$^{29}$ produced by the flux of a humour. The sick humours (kakochymia, blood excepted) are the cause of those dyskrasia. ${ }^{30}$ He indicates in several treatises the humour that, imbalanced and displaced, produces the disease (he refers to such humour as an excess or superfluity): yellow bile for erysipelas and herpes, black bile for anthrax, gangraina, elephas, phagedena and cancer, blood for phlegmonê, and phlegm for oidêma. ${ }^{31}$ Most of them are ulcers or could present them: anthrax, ${ }^{32}$ gangraina, herpes, phlegmonê, phagedena, erysipelas, ${ }^{33}$ karkinos, all very different to one another. ${ }^{34}$
"Gangrainae and anthraxes ${ }^{35}$ - as when blood, boiling near the inflammation, burns the skin - happen with scabs, and blisters appear before the ulcers do, as if burnt by fire; they bring as well high fever and are life-threatening. ... The scabs of the ulcers in anthrax are black and look like ashes ... the blackness of the tumour has a kind of gloss, like asphalt or tar" ${ }^{\prime \prime}$. It may be the result of a wound. ${ }^{37}$

Gangraina ${ }^{38}$ follows the important inflammations, and is a necrosis of the affected part. If it is not treated quickly, the part easily necroses, spreads as well to the contiguous parts, and kills the patient. ${ }^{39}$

[^114]"... herpes does not always present ulcer, but when it happens, it does not remain in the same place. As its name indicates, it invades that which is nearby, the way a serpent does: it leaves the first location and goes to a second." ${ }^{40}$ This eating ulcer just reaches the skin but not the flesh underneath. ${ }^{41}$
"phagedaina is an eating or corroding ulcer, or whatever name it is known by", writes Galen in Med. Meth. II 2:2 ${ }^{42}$ it is composed of the ulcer itself and the tumour around it. ${ }^{43}$ It affects the skin and the flesh underneath. ${ }^{44}$ I. Johnston translates it as "a cancerous sore". ${ }^{45}$
elephas (or elephantiasis), according to Galen, is produced by the black bile, but firstly its origin is the melancholic blood, and only afterwards it becomes blacker than blood, when at the same time it smells bad and is terrible to see. Some of them present with ulcer... Sometimes the patients get bone prominences in the temples, some of them in other places. Sometimes it is called satyriasmon, because the affected face is alike to a satyrs' face. ${ }^{46}$ In a fragment by Rufus of Ephesus transmitted by Oribasius, ${ }^{47}$ Rufus mentions Straton, disciple of Erasistratus the physician (III B.C.E.), describing elephantiasis, which agrees "with the profile of low immune resistance leprosy" (Grmek, pp. 168-169). It belongs to the large group of leprosy identified in Ancient times in several forms. The pathogen agent is the Mycobacterium leprae. See discussion and evidence in Grmek, Diseases, ch. 6: "Leprosy", pp. 152-176. The prominences and the tubercula may appear in any part of the body, and disfigure it. In time the embossments become ulcerated.
"The term erysipelas, in Ancient Greek medical parlance ${ }^{48}$ designates various diseases that <redden the skin>, and also diffuse, purulent inflammations of internal organs, but in its commonest meaning it designates a group of skin diseases with hot, painful, reddish swelling, now thought to be strep-

[^115]tococcic dermatitis. ... It did cover gas gangrene, a particularly serious disease caused by infection of wounds with certain species of Clostridium, Aerogenes, and Bacteroides" ( $c f$. Grmek, Diseases, 129 and n. 56, with passages in the HC).
oidêma is rather common. Galen (In Hipp. lib. VI epidem. comment. I 2; XVIIa,801,5-802,2 K.= CMG V 10,2,2: 7,23-8,4 Wenkebach) writes that Hippocrates calls "oidêma" all the tumours contra naturam: those painless and hard, as skirroi, those painful, usually called phlegmonai, those painless and soft, usually called oidêma, while the younger physicians, such as himself, ascribe the name to just the third group. See as well De sympt. causis III 3; VII 224,3-9 K., where he adds that oidêma is produced by phlegm if it is just in a single place (otherwise leukophlegmatia), and In Hipp. de vict. acut. comment. IV 21: XV 769,11-771,5 K. = CMG V 9,1: 289,22-290,17 Helmreich. It is usually translated by "swelling". ${ }^{49}$
karkinos (Lat. cancer): "Besides boiling, the black bile provokes cancers, and in case it be pungent, ulcers appear that are blacker than those produced by inflammations. The veins get filled and become more tense than those in inflammations. The humour goes out of the vessels to the flesh around them, in a lesser extent than in the other, because of its thickness. The veins do not get red either." ${ }^{50}$ While cancer happens in a part of the body affected by black bile, elephas affects in due time the whole body (cf. De sympt. caus. III 4: VII 224,1-2
 каекívos:)
phlegmonê: "at the points at which these [gram-positive or gram-negative] bacteriae enter into contact with the host organism, a local inflammatory reaction can be observed, which Ancient physicians described very precisely in terms of its four cardinal symptoms: heat, redness, swelling and pain." (Grmek, Diseases, p. 123). For the Ancient evidence of purulent inflammation, see Grmek, Diseases, pp. 119-132. It happened as a result of wounds, or was provoked by internal reservoirs of bacteriae. There is a superb description of phlegmonê in our treatise, section 3. I have translated it as "inflammation".

In most of these tumours, the colour of the skin is altered. ${ }^{51}$ On the other hand, in Med. Meth. II 2: X,82,17-83,17, Galen explains the origin of the names of those diseases, which refer to the colour (erysipelas, anthrax), to the main symptom (phagedena), or to the alikeness of an animal (elephas, karkinos, herpes).




[^116]de vict. acut. comment. IV 1: XV 737,6-7 K., CMG V 9,1; 273,19-20 Helmreich, while $\gamma$ í $\nu \varepsilon \tau \tau \iota \varepsilon ̇ v i ́ o \tau \varepsilon$, with $\mu \varepsilon ́ v$ or $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, can be found in Med. Meth. X 2: X 670,17 K., and In Hipp. Aph. comment. IV 50: XVIIb,730,8 K. $\dot{\varepsilon} v i ́ o \tau \varepsilon-\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$, as in 142,2-3, also occurs in Gal. De plenit. 7: VII 548,4-5 K. = 52,7 Otte, while غ̇víote - $\mu$ ópıov (142,5) appears in Gal. De temper. I 9: 32,7 Helm.= I 559,12-13

 cism, $c f$. Introduction, Relationships between the Greek manuscripts. Moreover, it does not seem necessary to add $\pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \chi$ ov after к $\varrho \kappa i ́ v \varphi$, as P does. As Galen mentions the next diseases with article (ll. 7-8), I added it in 1l. 6-7.
 litative alterations, i.e. without flux, ${ }^{52}$ as opposed to the preceding one. ${ }^{53}$ In fact, the krasis alluded to in dyskrasia, often is just a bad proportion of the qualities, ${ }^{54}$ not directly related to the humours. It is the quality itself that alters a humour: such is the case in the external causes, that is, when intense cold or heat, cools down or heats up the body. As external is understood as well the lack of food or drink - which dries or moistens the body - and the excess of exercises, which dries it. Galen will return to it below, in Section 13.

In a first row of participles, $\psi v \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \tau \omega \nu \ldots \pi \alpha \theta$ óv $\tau \omega v$, as causes of qualitative dyskrasia are mentioned intense cold and heat of external origin, as well as too much exercise or inactivity, which dries or moistens. These will be mentioned again in pp. 162,20-164,3, and 164,16-18. For inactivity making the body moist and weak, and intense activity producing dryness and making the body strong, cf. Hipp. Victu II 60,2:55 CMG I 2,4; 182,26-28 Joly-Byl = VI 574, 4-6 L. Galen will mention them again below, in p. 162,20-164,1 and 164,16: $\pi$ тот $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ غ̇к $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma i ́ \omega v \pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota o ́ v \omega v, \eta \geqslant \pi o ́ v \omega v$. Although here they are just mentioned as causes of qualitative dyskrasia, while in the next line the qualitative dyskrasia is said to be produced by exterior agents, both statements are correct.

[^117]On the other hand, probably both $\check{\varepsilon} \gamma \kappa \alpha v \sigma \iota \varsigma$ and $\check{\varepsilon} \kappa \kappa \alpha v \sigma \iota \varsigma$ could be understood as heat-stroke or sun-stroke. I have written $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa$ - everywhere, verb or noun. ${ }^{56}$
P. 142,11 $\eta$ < $<\alpha \dot{\alpha} v \tau \omega \varsigma>\dot{\alpha} \varrho \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v]$ I have added $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \varsigma$ to $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v ; c f$. "or a calm or inactivity that surpasses the convenient", Hun., and p. 168,14-16,
 du même au même: ПANTSCAPГНCANTQN. A second choice would be $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu<\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \varrho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \varsigma>$, as in Galen's In Hipp. Aph. Comment. 28:
 ט́ $ү \varrho o ́ t \eta \varsigma ~ s u p r a ~ l i n e a m . ~ G a l e n ~ a g a i n ~ m e n t i o n s ~ t h e ~ i n a c t i v i t y ~ i n ~ 168,14-16, ~ b e l o w, ~$ as a cause of the rhîgos, with many precisions. Post $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \gamma \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ add. Hun. ${ }^{\text {Ms: }}$ "or the cold takes them because of the proximity of something cold as ice, or anything frozen, or an air very cold". It does not seem to be original.
P. 142,11-12 $\eta$ そ́ $\tau \iota . . . \pi \alpha \theta$ óv $\tau \omega \nu$ ] The syntagm is a common expression in Galen and others, usually with the Present participle (Aorist here).
 probably the $\Delta$-family has lost $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{i} o v$ because of phonetic haplography in the series TOICC $\Omega$ MACINHM $\Omega$ NП $К$ EIONЕГГІГNONTAI, while $\varepsilon ่ \gamma$-gave place to $\pi \lambda$ é́oves by means of wrong word-division.
P. 142,13-14 Өк@u ities in Galen's lists, see Lacy CMG V 1,2, 49-50. In fact, Galen usually chooses the (Hippocratic) hot-cold, dry-wet order, wet-dry being an alternative. Cf. the beginning of De temper. (I 1: I 509,1-2 K.=Helmreich 1,2-3): "O $\tau \iota \mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \varepsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \theta \varepsilon @ \mu о \tilde{v}$
 tise mentioned by Galen immediately after. In De temper. I 2: I 510,1-518,17 K.=Helmreich 2,4-7,2, he discusses which pairs of qualities are possible, one of each pair of opposites coupled with one of the other pair. A complete description of the eight dyskrasia is found in De temper. II 1: I 572,3-573,1 K.=Helmreich 40,1-10. See as well Nutton, V 3,2 (De propriis ), p. 66, 20-21.
P. 142,14-144,1 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \alpha i ̃ ~ . . . ~ \delta v \sigma \kappa @ \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha ı] ~ B o t h ~ n u m b e r ~(~(~) ~ a n d ~ v e r b ~ w e r e ~ p r o b-~$ ably lost in uncial letters, both by visual haplography in the series AI $\Delta$, and by phonetic haplography [disidi] in A〒TAI $\triangle E I C I \Delta \Upsilon C$. For the omission of the article with definite numbers, see Smyth \& Messing, p. 297. For the word order, cf. Gal. De plac. Hipp. et Plat. VIII 1: CMG 5.4.1.2,1; 44.1 (488, 34) Lacy: $\alpha \tilde{̃} \tau \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \tau \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau о \delta \varepsilon i ́ \xi \varepsilon ı \varsigma$. The word $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma \dot{\alpha} \alpha \iota, ~ e x t a n t ~ i n ~ z, ~ a n d ~ H u n ., ~$

disappeared in the others because of homoeoteleuton in - $\alpha \mathrm{L}(\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \alpha \tilde{\imath} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ $\alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \mathrm{l})$.
P. 144,1-2 к $\alpha$ Өótı ... ̇̇ठع́́кvขто] For the singular of krasis in the title of De temper., as in LP and Hun., cf. as well De simpl. med. temper. ac fac. II 3: XI 468,5-6 and In Hipp. Aph. Comment. III 31: XVIIb,650,11. For the titles of Hippocratic treatises mentioned by Galen, cf. A. Roselli, Un corpo che prende forma: l'ordine di successione dei trattati ippocratici dall'età ellenistica fino all'età bizantina. ${ }^{57}$ As I explain in the Introduction, any time that Galen mentions his books, he uses Verbum finitum. For the importance given by Galen to his treatises, see V. Boudon, Galien par lui-même, in: Manetti, Studi ..., pp. 119-133.
P. 144,2-5 бט́vӨعтоь ... گŋ@ $\alpha \iota v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ bis] Doing a chiasm, Galen turns to the composed dyskrasia. As for the conjunctions of the four pairs, I have chosen the same group in all of them, although the mss. are not consistent. I find very interesting the use of disjunctive predicates in nominal sentences. As a substance cannot be at the same time, say, good and bad, Greeks usually place the disjunctive particle before the first predicate as well, not just between them. Such is the case in this sentence: "Composed from them are four others, ( $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ) either both heated and moistened, ( $\eta$ ) or both heated and dried ... " Aristotle in GC II 3: 330a-b. 1 mentions the six theoretical pairs, and then discharges the pairs of opposites, letting the usual four pairs stand.
P. 144,5-11 ő ót $\left.\delta^{\prime} \alpha \mathrm{i} . . . \gamma \nu \omega \varrho i ́ \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota\right]$ The treatise introduces three periods of anteposed hypotactic sentences followed by main sentence (see Introduction, Composition). Galen often anteposes the hypotactic sentence in order to focus on its elements. These three periods open three rings, which will close below, p. 146,16-20. The first, ö $\tau \iota . . \delta \tilde{\eta} \lambda o v$, introduces the differences between heterogeneous and homogeneous dyskrasia, a fundamental notion for the understanding of the treatise. The second, őбтıร ... סıє $\lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$, explains the intention of the book: to present the ways of the AD. The third, ǐv $\alpha \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \ldots \gamma \nu \omega$ @íદ $\ldots \tau \alpha$ introduces the necessity of some anatomical precisions, opening the anatomical section.

RING 1, outer. P. 144,6-11 ő $\tau ~ . . . ~ \delta \tilde{\eta} \lambda o v]$ In $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \varepsilon ́ \varrho o v \sigma \iota \tau \tilde{\tau} v$ ó $\mu \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} v<\mu o ́ v o v>$, I have added "only", lost by phonetic homoeoteleuton, but preserved by Hun. In l. $7 \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha u ́ \tau \omega \varsigma$ was saved in MP ${ }^{\text {s.l. }} \mathrm{z}$, while the others and Hun. have lost it by phonetic homoeoteleuton [tos], and palaeographical alikness in $\mathrm{C} \Omega \mathrm{MATOC} \Omega \mathrm{CA} T \Omega \mathrm{C}$. The expression $\dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha v ́ \tau \omega \varsigma \dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \varepsilon เ v$ appears 8 times in Galen (TLG).

[^118]The homogeneous dyskrasia has to be understood for the sake of the anomalous. Galen introduces a clear example of it: the hektikos fever, because throughout the treatise all fevers are explained as a result of the anomalous dyskrasia, and that one is an exception. It helps the author to explain transformation and pain. According to Galen, a pathologic ongoing alteration of the body is always accompanied by pain, while at the end of the process, the body is painless, ${ }^{58}$ even if it has lost the capacity of a part (vide infra, Sections 5 and 10).

He exemplifies such process with the hektikos fever. In other fevers, only the humours and the pneuma are heated, but, in the hektikos, the solid parts are heated as well, so that the patient does not perceive pain, his entire body being equally heated (cf. p. 158,3-10, below, in Section 10). Transformation is painful: when it stops, pain stops. Galen takes this hektikos fever as a recurrent subject in the treatise. Four times its mention closes a reference to other fevers: $\pi \lambda \eta \nu / \chi \omega \varrho i \varsigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \omega \tilde{\nu}$ óvo $\mu \alpha \zeta$ о $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu$, including its presence in the first Frame (p. 142,4-5 and p. 170,9). In Section 10 (pp. 156,13 - 158,10), and Ring 8 (p. 158,11-14 and 162,3-4), near the middle of the treatise, the author explains its genesis and the limit of transformation. The patient may even have suffered a previous fever ( AD , with transformation), which has turned into hektikos (p. 164,8-9).
 appears several times in Galen. ${ }^{59}$ I have added $\mu \varepsilon ́ v$ : an exact sentence ő $\tau \tau \iota \varsigma \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$
 VII 169,10 K. (кıレŋ́бє $\omega \varsigma$ ). On the other hand, the sentence $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon$... $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$, is alike to De antidotis II 1: XIV 106,5-6: $\alpha \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̇ v ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} \gamma \varrho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \iota ~ \pi \varrho o ́ к \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha i ́ ~$ $\mu o t \delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v .{ }^{60}$ The loss of $\mu$ oi in our treatise is caused by the phonetic sequence of [i] in [(gramma)tiprokitemidielthin]. The sentence is a favourite with Galen, see Loc. Sim. The use of several Galenic sentences (see above) that reappear in other treatises, points to a valid scheme of presentation, partially formular.

## SECTION 2. ANATOMY

P. 144,9-146,17. For the sake of clarity, Galen introduces an anatomical description in order to explain dyskrasia. In De morb. differ. 3: VI,841,1-9, he states

[^119]that there are three types of "combination and conformation": firstly of the homoeomer parts, secondly, of the organic parts, thirdly, of the whole body. ${ }^{61} \mathrm{We}$ will find dyskrasia in the homoeomers, organs, and body: a quick anatomical review would allow the reader a thorough understanding of AD.

It is a rather clear explanation. Starting with the "big parts": arms, legs, stomach, thorax and head, he goes on "cutting" arms/legs into their smaller parts until he arrives at the fingers, whose simple parts are the homoeomers, excluding the vessels. He specially remarks the homoeomers, which have a paramount role in dyskrasia. The intervals inside and between them, as well as the intervals between composed parts, are precisely presented for the understanding of the flux that fills and presses them. Hard and soft parts are considered, the former, but not the latter, letting the intervals be perceivable. Galen mentions his Anat. adm. (II 215-731 K.=Garofalo I-II, + Proced. Anatom. III).

This second Section presents a different style. It introduces the reader ( $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha$ í $\langle\sigma \varepsilon>)$ ), side by side with the writer ( $\dot{\alpha} \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v \varsigma)$ ). It mentions
 means of a double turn of phrase, positive expression and litotes: $\gamma \nu \omega \varrho i \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l} /$
 the description, focuses on the intended clarity. On the other hand, just using the verb $\tau \varepsilon ́ \mu \nu \omega$ at the beginning and the end (p. 144,12 $\tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \varepsilon$ 白 $\sigma \omega$; p. 146,3 $\tau \varepsilon ́ \mu v \varepsilon เ v)$, Galen introduces the body's parts in seven triads of nouns, easy to learn by heart. Two of them are preceded by preposition ( $\varepsilon i \varsigma)$, and the remaining appear in Nominative (enumerative). The last four groups are homoeomers (immediately after, he writes that arteries and veins are composed by $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi$ ìvãv ... $\tau \varepsilon$ к $\alpha i ̀ ~ v ́ \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v): ~$

```
\varepsilonís \mu\eta\varrhoóv <\tau\varepsilon> к\alphaì кv\etá\mu\etav к\alphaì \pió\delta\alpha.
    \varepsiloni\varsigma \beta\varrho\alpha\chiíov\alphá \tau\varepsilon к\alphaì \pi\tilde{\eta}\chiuv к\alphaì \alphǎк\varrho\alphav \chi\varepsiloni\varrho\alpha.
        \kappa\alpha\varrho\piós \tau\varepsilon к\alphaì \mu\varepsilon\tau\alphaк\alphá\varrho\pitov к\alphaì \delta\alpháк\tauv\lambdaо\iota
            ò\sigma\tau\alpha\tilde{\alpha}<<ì \chióv\delta\varrhoot к\alphaì \sigmaúv\delta\varepsilon\sigma\muOו,
                \kappa\alphaì v\varepsilonṽ@\alpha к\alphaì d̉\varrho\tau\eta\varrhoí\alpha\iota к\alphaì ф\lambda\varepsiloń\beta\varepsilon\varsigma,
                        v́\mu\varepsilońv\varepsilon\varsigma \tau\varepsilon к\alphaì \sigma\alphá@к\varepsilon\varsigma к\alphaì \tau\varepsilońvov\tau\varepsilon\varsigma,
                    ővv\chi\varepsiloń\varsigma \tau\varepsilon к\alphaì \delta\varepsiloń\varrho\mu\alpha к\alphaì }\pi\iota\mu\varepsilon\lambda\etá
```

The (second) mention of a Galenic book (p. 146,4-5 к $\alpha$ Ó́tı кג̉v $\tau \alpha i ̃ \varsigma$
 "places in between", where $\chi \tilde{\omega} \varrho \alpha \iota \ldots \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \dot{v}+$ G., and $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega+$ G. are repeated.

The author again quotes Anat. Adm. between "intervals" and the "hard/


 $\alpha \grave{\sigma} \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\iota} \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, are characterised by finite verbal forms that emphasize a second reference to the reader ( $\phi \omega \varrho \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \varsigma\langle\not \partial v>$ ).

The Section ends with a new reference, this time to De temperam. (p. $146,16$ ह̇v $\tau 0 i ̃ \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon \varrho i ̀ ~ \kappa \varrho \alpha ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \omega \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \ell ้ \emptyset \tau \alpha \iota)$. At the close of the three rings (p. 146,1620), AD is mentioned three times, but no longer in Nominative: the coming focus is the muscle.

RING 3, inner. P. 144,9-11 ǐv $\alpha \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \ldots \dot{\alpha} \gamma v o \varepsilon i ̃] ~ T h e ~ t h i r d ~ r i n g ~ i n t r o d u c e s ~ a n d ~ b e-~$ gins the subject of Anatomy. The ivv $\alpha$-sentence was associated with the former clause by Gerard of Cremona, but the ring with p. 146,16-17 leaves no doubt of the dependence of ǐv $\alpha$ on the next $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha i ́<\sigma \varepsilon>\chi \varrho \eta{ }^{62}$ In $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \mu v \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha i ́$ $<\sigma \varepsilon>$ the pronoun was lost because of phonetic haplography [sese]. Hun. (om. Hun ${ }^{\mathrm{MP}}$ ) and Nic. keep it. Similar expressions in MedMeth. II 7: X 149,9-10 K., In Hipp. Progn. Comment. III 15: XVIIIb,251,6-9 K.=CMG V 9,2; 340,14-16 Heeg, and Med.Meth. X 6: X 695,1-4. In Ad Glauc. de med. meth. II 6: XI 105,13-14, ${ }^{63}$ the text includes Galen's desire for clarity as well.

The $\Delta$-family rightly keeps $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v \varsigma$ in the plural (U has $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v$ as a consequence of homoeoteleuton with $\mu$ ooí $\omega v$ ). The expression is rather common in Galen, at the beginning of an exposition; cf. De ossibus, proem.: II 739,11-13 K. ${ }^{64}$ In ll. 144,11-12, the author introduces laymen twice ( $\alpha$ $\delta \dot{\eta}$ к $\alpha$ ì тoĩs
 attention of the reader for the description to come.

In l. 11, ГN $\Omega$ PIZETAI in Pz, gave way to ГIГN $\Omega$ CKETAI, lectio facilior in
 fied in ô $\varsigma$ in LM. For the double negative, $c f$. De simpl. med. temper. ac fac. I 24: XI 423,5-6 K. and VI 3: XI 847,16-18 K.
P. 144,12-146,5 $\tau \varepsilon \mu v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega$... غ̇̀ $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau о]$ By "cutting" arm and leg -organs-in their own partes, Galen at last reaches the similares or homoeomers. The de-

[^120]scription begins and ends with the same verb: $\tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega$, $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \nu \varepsilon \iota v$, forming a ring.
P. 144,12-13 $\tau \varepsilon \mu \nu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega$... $\mu$ ó@t $\alpha$ ] Galen does not usually employ $\pi \varrho o \sigma \varepsilon \chi \tilde{\eta}$ with $\mu$ ó@ı $\alpha$ or $\mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \eta$, but in De temper. III 1: 87,6 Helmreich=I 648,5-7 K., we find $\pi \varrho о \sigma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \varsigma \tilde{v} \lambda \alpha \mathrm{~L} .{ }^{66}$ For the meaning, the quotation is interesting: in reference to "matter", Galen says that $\pi \varrho 0 \sigma \varepsilon \chi \tilde{\eta}$, oikcí́ $\alpha v$ and îoí $\alpha v$ are equivalents, "for they refer to that which is nearby". Thus, "contiguous" is right. The usual word for "continuous" is $\sigma v v \varepsilon \chi \eta$ ŋ́s. Cf. my commentary to p. 160,6-10, and p. 152, 6 and 11. In De elem. II 1: CMG V 1,2: 140,11-14 Lacy=I 493,14-15 K., Galen explains $\pi \varrho 0 \sigma \varepsilon \chi \eta$ 's as "the term customarily applied to the matter from which a thing first comes into being, when it has no need of any other intermediate alteration" (Lacy).

In p. 144, 15, $\mu \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\rho} \eta$ in $\Delta$, against $\mu$ ógt $\alpha$ in $\Lambda$, is an error both of perseveration and anticipation (in $\kappa \alpha \lambda$ oú $\mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha \mu$ ó@t $\alpha \cdot \sigma \kappa \varepsilon ́ \lambda o \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon ̀ v) . ~$
 the simpler $\varepsilon i$ tú $u$ ot is enough: Galen uses it very often. On the other hand, the optative is frequently changed into indicative in the mss. In this case, the subjunctive appeared (iotacism in all of them).

The reading $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon ́ \lambda o u s, \mathrm{LM}$ (and $\sigma \kappa \varepsilon ́ \lambda \eta, \mathrm{~T}$ ), is due to the loss of $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ in $\Lambda$, which produced intents of concordance. oik $\tilde{\tau} \alpha \mu$ ó $\iota \alpha$ is alike to $\pi \varrho о \sigma \varepsilon \chi \tilde{\eta} . .$. $\mu o ́ \rho t \alpha$ in l. 13. See above, Commentary. For the progressive use of $\kappa \alpha i \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ in 1.15 , see Denniston 396-397.
P. 144,13-16 бкé入os ... ס́́ктv入ot] Galen describes the anatomy of muscles and ligaments of the arm, in Anat. Adm. I, of the leg in Anat. Adm. II. The same order in De usu partium: arm in books I-II, leg in book III. 67 For a description of the bones in arms and legs, see De ossibus 16-24; Garofalo-Debru, Galien VII 73,6-83,21 = II 732-778 K.
"Now let me go through the account as it applies to the human being: he is made of the primary and simplest visible elements, those called homoeomers, fibre, membrane, flesh, fat, bone and cartilage, ligament, nerve, marrow, and all the other (structures) whose parts all have the same form". The simple parts are generated from the humours, which proceed from that which we eat and drink, and these last from air, fire, water and earth, produced by matter and qualities. Such is the doctrine of Galen in De element. I 8: I 479,10-480,6
K.= CMG V 1,2: 126,1-9 De Lacy. The homoeomers ${ }^{68}$ are alike to present-day tissues.

The series of homoeomers is presented by him in several places: see especially De const. art. med. 3: I 234,17-235.4 K. = CMG V 1,3; 62,19-21 Fortuna; De elementis I 6,29: I 465,12-466,4 K.= CMG V,1,2: 110, 16-21 De Lacy; De nat. fac. I 7: II 16,11-15 K.=SM III: 112, 17-21 Helmreich.

In our treatise, he includes at first the vessels, which will be left out in the next sentence, for in fact they are not simple, but composed of fibres and membranes (for the vessels, see Commentary to Section 3 below). In fact, Aristotle in PA 647b.10-20 (see below), includes them among the homoeomers, as Galen does. Just in order to mention the separate structures that can be found in a finger, Galen includes the vessels, and shortly after he improves the detailed description by indicating the similares or homoeomers that form them.

In De differ. morb 3: VI 841,1-8 K., he also mentions arteries and veins among the homoeomers: it has to be understood that he is considering homoeomers (first), organs (second), and body (the third). A similar passage in NatFac I 6.

The anatomy of the vessels is explained in De usu part. XVI, and Anat. Adm. II, III, IV, and XV (just Arabic). They are presented as well in De ven. art. dissect. 1-8: veins (Galien VIII: L'Anatomie des veines et des artères, pp. 76-114), and 9: arteries (ibid. pp. 115-122). More references (LocAff, and several Commm. in Hipp.) in Debru and Garofalo, Galien VIII, pp. 57-58.

In NatFac I 6: II 12,12-13,4 K.= SM III: 109,21-110,5 Helmreich, Galen explains that bones, cartilages, nerves, membranes, ligaments, veins and the like, in the first formation of the animal, were made by Nature using completely its creative and transformative capacity, and partially the (capacity of) heating, cooling, drying and moistening, and the result of their mixing. For the Platonic genesis of these parts see Pl. Tim. 82b6-82e1.
P. 146,4-5 бט́ $\gamma \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu \tau \alpha \dot{1} . . . \dot{v} \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu]$ The word order is preserved by P, the other mss . having lost $\tau \varepsilon$ because of phonetic homoeoteleuton, and sending the verb to the end of the sentence. On the other hand, the omission of $\tau \varepsilon$ in Pz misplaced the verb in z , but not in P.
P. 146,5-17 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \mu \varepsilon \grave{v}$... $\lambda \varepsilon \chi Ө \eta$ ๆ́ $\sigma \sigma \theta \alpha \iota]$ The description of the interstices includes the differences between hard and soft bodies: the former let the hollows be clearly perceived, such as cavities in the bone, while the latter prevent perception - such as of the pores in the skin-. As for o̊ $\sigma \alpha \delta^{\prime}<\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i>\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \varrho \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \dot{i}$

[^121]$\xi \eta \varrho \alpha ́$, a reference to the hard and dry parts appears in Med.Meth. III 4: X 189,6 -8, where we learn that they do not have the capacity of growing together ( $\sigma u \mu \phi \tilde{v} v \alpha \iota$ ). Vide infra, commentary to 146,15-16. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

In PA 647b. 10 -20, Aristotle divides the animals' homoeomers into "soft and fluid", and "hard and solid". Among the first: blood, fat, semen, bile, milk, flesh, and those alike; as hard and solid: bones, nerves, veins.
P. 146,7-9. <ov́ $\tau \omega>\ldots \delta \varepsilon ́ \varrho \mu \alpha \tau \iota]$ ov́ $\tau \omega$ has been lost $^{70}$ in the row:

OYCINO〒TQKAITOYTQN
In the comparative clause with the hypotactic sentence in anteposition, Galen uses oṽ $\tau \omega$ к $\alpha$ ć in the main sentence. ${ }^{71}$ Cf. inter alia De usu part. I 1: III 1,2-6 = 1,3 Helmreich. On the other hand, $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \tilde{\varepsilon} v$ ótıoũv is a common syntagm in Galen.
P. 146,9-10. к $\alpha \mathrm{i} \pi \varepsilon \varrho i$... $\varepsilon$ č@ $\eta \tau$ ı] A second mention of Anatomical Procedures (first in p. 146,5) does not appear in Hun. However, the composition of the text backs the mention of a Galenic book at the end of a block (see Introduction, Composition). Furthermore, the author twice quotes De temper., in p. 144,1-2 and p. 146,16: why not Anat.Adm. that in fact is mentioned a third time (p. $156,74)$ ?
 lost in the homoeoteleuton $\underline{\tau} \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \dot{v} \chi \omega \rho \underline{\alpha \varsigma} \kappa \varepsilon v \underline{\alpha} \varsigma$, and kept by Hun. Cf. the similar passage above, p. 146,6 $\chi \tilde{\omega} \varrho \alpha \iota ~ \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \iota v \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \grave{v} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu . . . ~ I n$
 oped in relation to transformation and pain. Vide infra, Comment. to Section 5.
 graphy in the sequence [e-sti-skli], cf. Meth.Med. III 4: X 189,6-8 K. The word order $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \varrho \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i$ そ $\eta \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ is the right one, as in Meth.Med., ibidem. On the other hand, $\alpha ้ v$ disappeared because of haplography in uncial letters: ANAYTRN. $\pi \alpha ́ v v$ of the $\Lambda$-family was misunderstood as the facilior $\kappa \tilde{\alpha} v$ by the $\Delta$-family. Once lost $\alpha ้ v, ~ \kappa \alpha ̛ ้ v ~ c o u l d ~ b e ~ k e p t ~ i n ~ o r d e r ~ t o ~ r e i n t r o d u c e ~ t h e ~ p a r t i c l e . ~ H u n . ~ r e a d s ~$ $\kappa \alpha ้ v$. The next $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ is better than $\tau o \dot{\tau} \tau \omega v$ in $\Delta$, and is backed by "in them" of Hun., and by the loss of $\alpha$ रेv.

[^122]In 1. 13, $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, "intervals", can be used for space or time, and Galen usually uses it for time, while $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ (in U), is basically used for space, as in Aristotle, who often uses $\delta \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha$ for "extension" (cf. Sorajbi, Matter, esp. pp. 9-14). For the hollows in the bones, $\delta$ t $\alpha \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha$ is the convenient word. Nevertheless, as the former is difficilior, I guess that $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ is no more than a gloss. For perceivable intervals, using $\alpha i \sigma \theta \eta \tau o ̀ v \delta \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha$, see Galen's Anat.Adm. VIII 10: II 547, 3-5 Garofalo = II 703,12-14 K., inter alia.
P. 146,14-15. $\check{\text { é } \chi \text { оטбı ... } \pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v] ~ \tau o i ̃ s ~ o ̀ \sigma \tau o i ̃ s ~ i s ~ D a t i v u s ~ a u c t o r i s ~ o f ~ t h e ~}$
 bone marrow is explained in De Nat. Fac. III 15: SM III 255,6-11 Helmreich = II $212,6-11 \mathrm{~K}$. As blood is responsible for the nutrition of the flesh in all muscles and viscera, marrow is responsible for bones. "In the small bones, which have not hollows, the marrow is in the (medullar) cavities, while in those big and having hollows, it is collected in those last."
P. 146,15-16. oí $\delta^{\prime}$... $\varepsilon$ と̌叩 $\eta \tau \alpha$ ৷] Galen explains in De temper. II 5, the formation of the pores in the skin (64,14-70,27 Helmreich=I 611,7-621,21 K.) Galen compares the skin with a cheese. In the humid and soft skin, the pores do not remain but get closed, as in a tender cheese, while in the dry and hard they remain, as the hollows in the dry cheese. In the dry and hot skin the heat drags the inner humidity to it, and the channels cannot close, so that the pores remain and become syringes.

The title of De temper. is written in singular just in Hun. and U (к@ $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, complexione Pet. ), while in p. 144,1, above, к@д́ $\sigma \varepsilon \omega$ s is in Hun., and LP. In so short an interval, Galen probably mentioned the treatise with the same words.

RING 3', inner. P. 146,16-17. $\tau \alpha v \tau i . . . . ~ \lambda \varepsilon \chi Ө \eta \quad \sigma \varepsilon \sigma Ө \alpha \iota]$ At the close of the third ring (opening in 144,p. 9-11), $\sigma \alpha \phi \dot{\eta} \varsigma$ becomes $\sigma \alpha \phi \eta \nu \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma$, and the anatomical description ends. It is an inner ring, dealing with the same subject as the encircled section.

PU have $\dot{v} \pi о \mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$, while the other mss. have $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ because of the next $\underline{\alpha} v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \tilde{\mathrm{~L}}$ v: $\underline{\alpha} v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \tilde{i} о v \tilde{\eta} v \underline{\alpha} v \alpha \mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha$. Galen sometimes uses $\dot{v} \pi \sigma \mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha$ เ for reminding the reader of something written or discussed in advance by himself or by somebody else, as in De diff. resp. I 25: VII 823,15-17 K., De caus. puls. I 7: IX 22,15-18 K., De cris. I 14: IX 612,15-17 K.=108,15-18 Alexanderson, and II 1: IX 640,2-4 K.= 126, 1-3 Alexanderson. Of course, v́ $\pi o ́ \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ is one of the names for book or treatise. हैveкยv of L is mainly used before vowel in Galen, while $\varepsilon$ ह́v $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha(\mathrm{Pz})$ is universal.

## SECTION 3. ANOMALOUS DYSKRASIA IN A PART: INFLAMMATION

P. 146,17-150,1. At the beginning, the third Section includes the close of rings $2^{\prime}(146,17-19)$ and $1^{\prime}(146,19-20)$, and a couple of sentences dealing with the differences among AD: the aim is to come back to AD after the anatomical excursus. The author reassures himself of the reader leaving aside anatomy. The sentences in the two parts of the rings are alike:



RING 2, opening: őஎтıऽ < $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v>$ oũv ó đ@óтos દ̇бтì tŋ̃ऽ $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ . . . ~$





Variatio and clarity go hand in hand. ${ }^{72}$
As for style, there are two foci: flux/blood, and vessels. While the vessels appear and reappear as passive subjects of finite verbs, the flux is the agent that fills up the vessels. ${ }^{73}$

| Flux/blood | Vessels |
| :---: | :---: |
| тó @́cũ $\mu \alpha$ |  |
|  |  |
| ő $\alpha \alpha v \sigma \phi \eta \nu \omega \theta \tilde{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon ์ \gamma \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ <br>  | ( $ั o ̀ ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ v \tau \tau) ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ đ o ̀ ~ \sigma \tau o ́ ~ \mu \alpha, ~$ ( $\tau$ ò $\delta \varepsilon ́ \tau \tau) ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \chi \iota \tau \omega ́ v \omega v$ |
|  |  ò $\partial v v \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha$. |
| кגi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ év |  к $\alpha$ ì $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ |
|  | $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v o v \tau \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \not \approx \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha i ̀ \theta \lambda i ́ \beta o v \tau \alpha \iota$ к $\alpha$ i $\beta \alpha$ @ |
|  <br>  |  $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \beta \tilde{\omega} v$. |

The verbs related to the remaining parts ${ }^{74}$ are mostly Infinitives (148,9


[^123] section is opened and closed by an Absolute Genitive formed by the flux coupled by a participle Aorist (punctual aspect):


The vessels are the subject of two triads: ( $\varepsilon v\rangle \delta o \theta \varepsilon v$ ) v́ $\pi$ ò $\tau 0 \tilde{v}$ @ $\varepsilon v ́ \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma$ $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v o v \tau \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \alpha \tau \varepsilon i ́ v o v \tau \alpha ı ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \omega ̃ \nu \tau \tau \alpha$ ı, and ( $\varepsilon \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ ) $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́-$ $v o v \tau \alpha \iota \tau \varepsilon \not{ }_{\alpha} \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \theta \lambda i ́ \beta o v \tau \alpha \iota ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ \beta \alpha \varrho u ́ v o v \tau \alpha \iota$. The verbs are tetrasyllabic, excepting $\theta \lambda i ́ \beta o v \tau \alpha$ t: the author inserted $\tau \varepsilon \alpha \alpha^{\mu} \mu \alpha \alpha$ í instead of just $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ í, in order to keep the syllabic volume.

We immediately understand the fundamental rôle of the vessels in the genesis of inflammation, no less because they are the grammatical subjects of finite forms of the verbs.

RING 2', inner. P. 146,17-19. $\pi \varepsilon @ i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma]$ The genesis of AD will begin by explaining inflammation. Galen likes the series óтоĩos ... о́то́боৎ, using the composed pronouns in the order -otos -ooos, or the other way round, more often in plural ("how many") than in singular. ${ }^{75}$ He uses these pronouns for introducing indirect questions, as they offer an easy and clear way of presenting an explanation, be it temperaments, as in De temper. I 1: I 509,8-510,2 K., or tumours, as in Med. Meth. XIV 1: X 945,4-6 K, or anything else. The mss. often present divergences between the simple and the composed forms.
 for the homogeneous dyskrasia. On the other hand, ${ }^{76} \mathrm{z}$ presents the interesting @ $\varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau \circ \varsigma$, instead of $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma 0 \vee \theta$ ó $\tau \circ \varsigma$ of the others. As Galen is going to explain inflammation, which is a local anomalous dyskrasia, he could refer to a body attacked by flux. However this (unusual) participle is always coupled by "a part", not by the whole body. On the other hand, the opening of the ring (1) points at " the (whole) body affected by dyskrasia": тoṽ סvбкюát $\omega \varsigma$
 the next line: $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma v \theta$ ó $\tau \omega v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega v$, where it refers to "parts"; in both lines the use of this participle is correct. Consequently, the posssibility of an error due to "anticipation" must be rejected. For a description of inflammation including @́ $\varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau і ً$ о $\mu \alpha \iota$, cf. De cris. III 12: IX 693,12-13 K.= 159,19-20 Alexanderson.
P. 146,21-148,2. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} . . . \delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha v] ~ B y ~ d i f f e r e n t i a t i n g ~ d y s k r a s i a ~ i n ~ t h e ~ m u s-~$ cle, which is a composed part, from dyskrasia in the flesh, which is a similar

[^124]part, the reader is prepared to understand the genesis of the former, which implies dyskrasia in its similares parts as well, but not in the same way. For the use of $\alpha \not \partial \lambda \omega \varsigma$... $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma$ in Galen, $c f$. De usu part. XIV 7: IV 166,10-12 K. = 332,2 Helmreich. The word $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i \alpha v$ is attested in the passage by U and $\mathrm{P}^{\gamma e}$ $(\delta v \sigma)$, and by Hun. as well, against к@ $\tilde{\sigma} \omega v$ in the other mss. For the synonyms of $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \alpha$ in Galen, there is an interesting passage in In Hipp. Nat. Hom. comment. I 21: XV 62,4-6 K. = CMG V 9,1; 33,28-30 Helmreich, where he mentions $\dot{\alpha} v \omega \mu \alpha \lambda i ́ \alpha \kappa \varrho \alpha ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$. Galen sometimes uses $\dot{\alpha} v \omega \mu \alpha \lambda$ os $\kappa \varrho \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota \varsigma$, e.g. In Hipp. Epid. VI comment. I 14: XVIIa, 858,14-16 K.=CMG V 10,2,2; 37,5-6, referring to air, or to the precise disease, as in De caus. puls. II 13: IX 93,8-12 K.
P. 148,2-150,20. The genesis of inflammation ${ }^{77}$ is now explained. The phlegmonê is produced by hot blood when it falls upon a muscle. At the beginning, it fills up and stretches the vessels themselves, and afterwards the many and small hollow places nearby. The precision and brevity of the description attracted Oribasius, who included it in his Collectiones Medicae. ${ }^{78}$ See below.

Some precise words are always associated with the process. The hot flux is $\varrho \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu o ́ v$, which attacks (к $\kappa \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \eta \dot{\psi} \alpha \nu \tau \circ \varsigma)$ the muscle. Suddenly
 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon i ́ \omega \nu$ ) are filled up and stretched ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi i ́ \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha i ́, \delta \iota \alpha \tau \varepsilon i ́ v o v \tau \alpha \iota, \dot{\xi} \xi \alpha$ íp $\varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{L})$, up to the point of the flux getting "wedged" ( $\sigma \phi \eta v \omega \theta \tilde{\eta}$ ). As they cannot re-

 up the cavities and the hollow places ( $\tau \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon i ́ \omega \nu \varepsilon \dot{v} \varrho v \chi \omega @ i ́ \alpha \varsigma$, $\alpha i ́ \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \grave{v} \chi \tilde{\omega} \varrho \alpha \iota ~ \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha i)$. See especially Med. Meth. XI 6: X 695,1-8 K., and In Hipp. Progn. comment. I 42: XVIIIb, 107,2-14 K.= CMG V 9,2; 256,19-24 Heeg, which describe inflammation and quote the treatise. For the formation of the phlegmonê, cf. as well Med. Meth. XIV 3: X 877,15-17 K., and De tumor. praeter nat. 2: VII 707,3-714,18 K. (see below).

In De tumor. praeter nat. 2, quoted above, Galen explains many details of inflammation. I include some of them (summarized):

The name phlegmonê is usually applied to a large tumour in the fleshy parts, accompanied by tension, hardiness, throbbing pain and heat, with erythêma. However the cause is not known by all the doctors. The way to discover it is this one. (VII 707,2-11 K.)

The body's substance is affected by one of these two: either the body's substance boils because of too much heating flux, or new matter coming from outside is aggregated to the former ... Pneuma is not seen in the inflammation

[^125]as it is in many other tumours; and when getting cooled, it comes back easily to the former state. That there is not pneuma is evident when cutting the inflamed part, for a lot of blood floods and all the place gets full of it, but pneита does not go out. The colour is important as well (for the diagnosis), for there are no other red parts or humours, except flesh and blood. (VII 707,12-708,11 K.) The tumour is bigger than one according to nature, and the colour in the healthy borders does not remain at all, as it changes from the former nature (VII 708,11-709,4 K.)

Sometimes, very large inflammations happen with ulcers, and a subtle waterish ichôr ${ }^{79}$ appears flowing out of them. The place around it appears bloody ... In fact, the ichôr is more subtle than blood, and more thick than pneu$m a$. If the tumour gets empty once, it would quickly be restored (VII 709,5-18 K.).

The genesis of inflammation produced by injury proves that fact [that the humour is just blood]. At the beginning, the moment the injury is produced, a lot of blood goes out. But immediately it is withheld because we get cold, or the injury does, because of the surrounding air. This also happens when we put a bandage on: the blood cannot go out. In the orifices of the cut vessels the blood gets thick and is retained in a way similar to a thrombos ${ }^{80}$... but in a thrombos the adhesion of much blood is perceived. When blood is retained, because it gets thicker and the orifices get narrower, the ichôr still goes out. All these facts show that there is a lot of blood in the inflammation's places (VII 709,19-710,13 K.).

If you cool the inflammation, it does not disappear, but becomes livid and cold, and changes into a skirros (a sort of induration) ... It is necessary to cool and empty it ... Although being full of blood, the inflammation is not very hot at the beginning, but it will be within time, as transpiration is avoided ... In time the blood putrefies, as happens to something wet and hot collected in a wet place, not being able to get empty and cool. That way, the putrefaction's heat increases the heat of the inflammation (VII 712,1-713,2 K.).

That there is more blood (than before it happens) in the inflammation, is evident, because the veins that were unperceivable become visible when they get swollen by a larger amount of blood ... Within time the skin rises, as happens to the tunics of the vessels, the membranes, the nerves and the tendons ... (VII 713,3-714,3 K.) At times, the inflammation reaches the bones as well (VII 714,4-8 K.)

[^126]P. 148,2-5 $\alpha \dot{v} \tau i ́ \kappa \alpha ~ . . . ~ \mu ı к \varrho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega v] ~ F o r ~ a n ~ i n t e r p r e t a t i o n ~ o f ~ \alpha u ̀ \tau i ́ k \alpha ~ a s ~ " f o r ~ e x-~$ ample, to begin with", see L-S, s.v., and Lacy, CMG V 1,2: Element. 13,12; 152,4. However, the parallel texts that explain inflammation have the same adverb, and the meaning is "suddenly". On the other hand, Galen has both $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \varsigma \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \ldots$ and $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \varsigma$; much alike In Hipp. Progn. Comment. I 42: XVIIIb,107,2-14 K. = CMG V 9,2; 256,19-24 Heeg. See Plato, Polit. 276c 3-4.
P. 148,5-7 غ̇v גĩs ... тоúктóऽ] In $\sigma \phi \eta \nu \omega \theta \tilde{\eta}$ Hun. reads "when it is too much in excess." Maybe he read or understood $\sigma \phi \varrho \downarrow \gamma \theta \hat{\eta}$ (but $\sigma \phi \varrho \downarrow \gamma \omega \tilde{\eta}$ would be difficilior), because of iotacism, and under the phonetic influence of i$\sigma \chi \cup \varrho \tilde{\omega} \varsigma .{ }^{81}$ The reading $\sigma \phi \eta \nu \omega \theta \tilde{\eta}$ is correct, being associated to the process of inflammation everywhere (see above). For the pair í $\chi \chi \nu \varrho \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \sigma \phi \eta \nu \omega \theta \tilde{\eta}, c f$. Gal. De usu part. VIII 7: III 655,12-14 K. = 475,20 Helmreich. For tò $\mu$ év $\tau \iota \ldots$ đò $\delta \varepsilon ́ ~ \tau \iota$, see De fac. nat. III 10: 2.178,13-19 K.=SM III 230,17-20 Helmreich.
P. 148,7 $\delta \iota \alpha ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} v ~ \kappa เ v o v ́ v \tau \omega v ~ \alpha u ̀ \tau o ̀ ~ \chi ı \tau \omega ́ v \omega \nu v] ~ I n ~ f a c t, ~ t h e ~ t u n i c s ~ m o v e ~ t h e ~ v e s s e l s, ~$ and consequently the blood in them ( $\alpha$ v̇tó ); cf. De praesag. ex puls. II 9: IX $311,16-312,2$ K., and De propriis, CMG V 3,2: 3,4; 60,19-62,2 Nutton, and (Commentary) pp. 146-147. Arteries have two coats, while veins have just one. Cf. De fac. nat. III 11: II 181,10-15 K.= SM III 232,16-19 Helmreich. The arteries' outer coat is alike to the veins' coat, but the inner coat is much thicker and harder. ${ }^{82}$ The arteries' coats are full of porous ${ }^{83}$ openings, ${ }^{84}$ for they take air in the diastole, and expel sooty matter in the systole. ${ }^{85}$ See Harris, 281-282. Galen refers to the coats below, pp. 148,17-150,1. The pores in arteries and veins are explained by Aristotle in HA 510ª 14 . In Galen, cf. inter alia, De usu puls. 5: V,164,14-165,8 K. The arteries contain blood in smaller quantities than the veins.
 texts identical with this one, and is a probable synonym written in the margin. See Med. Meth. X 6: X 695,1-8 K. On the other hand, Galen often uses the crasis тoủkтós when coupled by a preposition. See Anat.Adm. I 11: II 277,13 K.= I
 and II 4: II 300,13 K.= I 91,25 Garofalo (п@òऽ тоủктóऽ).

[^127]P．148，7－8 к $\dot{\alpha} v \tau \tau \cup ́ \tau \omega]$ Dyskrasia is the only disease of the homoeomer parts，${ }^{86}$ injury excepted．In the composed parts，disease may be due to dyskrasia or to a loss of continuity in any of their homoeomers ．．．it may also result from a defect of structure（diaplasis）arising when the formation of the body ．．．or from trauma．See P．Brain，Bloodletting，p． 8.

P．148，9－10 $\left.\mathscr{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \ldots \alpha^{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\right]$ Hun．translates $\omega^{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ as＂up to happening ．．．＂，and Nic．writes＂ut（calefiant）＂．However，it is a normal consecutive sentence with Infinitive（virtual）：＂so as to get hot and soaked all around from every place by the moisture．＂On the other hand，Hun．does not have $\alpha \pi \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha$ at the end of the sentence．However，the composition of the treatise backs the use of this pronoun as anaphoric closing blocks or opening them．See Introduction，Com－ position．
 $\sigma v ́ v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \mathrm{ot}$ in three similar series of homoeomers：De fac．nat．I 7：II 16，11－15 K．＝SM III 112，19．Med．Meth．III 9：X 216，15 K．And（in Ac．sing．）De placitis CMG V 4，1，2：VI 8，24，6（412，16）Lacy．The demonstrative（ $\alpha \tilde{̃} \tau \alpha$ í PU）at the beginning of a sentence is a favourite of Galen，and is preferred to $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \alpha$ í in the other mss．that couple it with the preceding бג́＠кєऽ．Arteries and veins play a most important role in the treatise（see above），as Galen focuses the local dys－ krasia in the inflammation，which is the more frequent local dyskrasia with flux．

In $\pi \varrho \tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \mu \alpha ́ \lambda เ \sigma \tau \alpha, \pi \varrho \omega \tau \omega \varsigma$ of $\Lambda U$ has been produced by the next $\pi о \iota \kappa ⿱ 亠 䒑 \lambda \omega \varsigma$ ．Now，$\pi \varrho \tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha \iota$（or $\pi \varrho \omega \dot{\tau} \omega \varsigma$ or $\pi \varrho \tilde{\omega} \tau \sigma v$ ）к $\alpha \dot{\imath} \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ is the right word order．Compare 1．17，below，and De usu part．VI 12：III 465，5 K．＝339，13 Helmreich：$\pi \varrho \tilde{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu \mu \varepsilon ̀ v \kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \alpha ́ \lambda ı \sigma \tau \alpha$ ．

In l．12，ó $\delta v v \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha \iota$ is the first mention of pain（see infra，S．5，p．152，1 ó $\delta v v \omega \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$, S．14，p．166，6 ò ovvv $\omega v \tau \alpha \iota$ ）．Coupled with transformation，pain is a theme－excursus of the treatise．See Table II in Introduction，and ibid．B 1. Contents．4．Transformation／pain，for the Commentary of this passage．Pain interests Galen，as we would guess．On the one hand，it reflects disease，be it in the painful part，reflecting a general affection，or being sympathetic．See De loc．aff．I 4：VIII 35，1－44，6 K．and Siegel，Galen on sense，184－85．Pain，on the other hand，is capital as a symptom；cf．Diff．symp．3，3－6：CMG V 5，1；218，18－ 222,2 Gundert＝VII 56，18－58，11 K．，and Johnston 189．For the diagnosis of pain， cf．De loc．aff．II 5－10：VIII 79，11－135，4 K．

As for pain in the vessels，Galen，in De usu part．XVI 12：IV 336，11－14 K．＝II 430，19－21 Helmreich，writes that arteries and veins do not perceive at all（ $\alpha \vee \alpha i ́ \sigma \theta \eta \tau о \iota ~ \gamma i ́ \gamma v o v \tau \alpha \iota ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \sigma \iota v)$ ．F．Vallés ${ }^{87}$ explains that，probably，

[^128]ó ovv $\tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$, in our passage, means they "undergo or suffer", not they "feel pain". So I have translated: "(they) are affected by manifold suffering".
P. 148,14-15 $\left.\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} . . . \kappa \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \iota\right]$ While $\sigma v ́ v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \mathrm{ot}$ get heated ( $\left.\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{t}\right)$, $\nu \varepsilon \tilde{v} \rho \alpha$ and $\dot{u} \mu \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \varsigma$ get compressed ( $\theta \lambda i ́ \beta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota)$, and $\sigma \alpha ́ \varrho \kappa \varepsilon \varsigma ~ s u f f e r ~ b o t h . ~ " ~ A l-~$ though very scarce, the singular $\sigma v v \alpha \mu \phi$ ót $\varepsilon$ @ov is attested in this meaning in Plato and Demosthenes; see Pl. Soph. 250c3- 4. In Galen, the singular Dative
 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma v v \alpha \mu \phi о \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho \omega)$ and De comp. med. per gen. IV 5: XIII 688,67 K. For $\pi \varrho \omega \dot{\tau}$ оиऽ $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ in 1. 17, cf. 1. 11, supra.
P. 148,15-16 к $\alpha \grave{\text { ì } \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i ̃ \tau \alpha ı ~ . . . ~ \mu v o ́ \varsigma] ~ T h e ~ a u t h o r ~ e n c i r c l e s ~ t h e ~ e x p l a n a t i o n ~ o f ~ i n-~}$ flammation with a ring, remarking that it is a dyskrasia of the muscle, so that the reader does not forget or get confused:


 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \omega ́ \mu \alpha \lambda$ оऽ тоṽ $\mu v$ о́s.
 gether with it the vessels, and in turn the tunics and the outside. I corrected $\alpha v ́ \tau \tilde{\omega}(\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega}$ codd.)

## SECTION 4. DEPOSITS

P. 150,2-20. ${ }^{89} \kappa \alpha i{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{vvoĩ}^{90} . . . \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi$ voıऽ] After his precise description of inflammation, Galen considers the processes involved in the local dyskrasia. In fact, the effects of inflammation are of two kinds: healing, as dissipation or apostasis, and disease, that is, general dyskrasia in the body. As the dyskrasia with flux is rather frequent, and more so the inflammation, Galen treats the matter completely, including not just the related body's dyskrasia, but the different kinds of healing as well.

The process could be reversed in two ways, the easiest and healthiest being dissipation. The second is concoction of the obstructed blood, that is, the formation of pus and deposits (see below).

[^129]The author encircles the explanation of the deposits with a precise ring (R-4): "rheuma wins (A) / body defeated by rheuma (A')". This device permits the isolation of the apostasis' subject, which does not get mixed with dyskrasia, and to return at the end to the local and becoming-general dyskrasia. There is a quiastic disposition:

 $\mu v i ̈$.




One of these two processes necessarily happens:
A. overcoming the flow, destruction of the overcome bodies (parts);
B. the flow being overcome, the return of the muscle to its natural state.

B'. let the flow be overcome, for it is preferable to start from the better side.
A'. if the bodies were overcome by the flow ...

Using a metaphor, Galen states the two eventual results of the attack, in terms of war: "overcoming the flow, destruction of the overcome bodies; the flow being overcome, the return of the muscle to its natural state", and begins by the second: "because it is preferable to start with the better side", that is, healing. At the end of the section, he closes the ring ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ). The author inserts into the treatise such sorts of calls to attention in order to make the text easier and more dynamic.

The style of the section on deposits is splendid for being understood and learnt by heart. There are just three verba finita: $\dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\imath} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota, \sigma v \varrho \varrho \eta \dot{\gamma} \gamma v \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L}$ /غ̇к@ウ́ $\gamma \nu v \nu \tau \alpha \iota$; the exposition is founded on parallel expressions without a verb. The deposit "is formed into" is constructed by means of $\varepsilon i \varsigma(9$ times), or $\dot{v} \pi o ́$ (4), and Acc. On the other hand, the article is enough to refer to the "place by (the brain, etc.)": тoĩऽ к $\alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ đòv $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \kappa \varepsilon ́ \phi \alpha \lambda o v$, or to the "deposits": $\mathfrak{\eta}$ alone or $\alpha i \delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} .$. The relative pronoun ( $\eta \pi \pi \varrho \varrho, \eta \geqslant)$ contributes to the nominal style. There are many superlatives: $\mu \varepsilon \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu, \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \varrho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta v, \beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau i ́ \sigma \tau \eta, \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda$ í $\sigma \tau \eta$. Opposed adjectives $\mu \circ \chi \theta \eta \varrho \alpha ́ / \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \theta \eta \dot{\eta}$ and к $\alpha \lambda \lambda i ́ \sigma \tau \eta / \mu о \chi \theta \eta \varrho \alpha ́$.

There are three blocks (every repeated word is underlined), with one fi-
 (MS=Main Sentence; HS=Hypotactic Sentence; rel=relative; partic=participle).

```
1. MS \alphà\phií\sigma\tau\alpha\tau\alpha\ı}\delta\varepsilon
```



```
HSrel \eta}\pi\varepsilon\varepsilon\varrho\delta\età к\alphaì<\etá>\beta\varepsilon\lambda\tauí\sigma\tau\eta \tau\tilde{\omega}v\alpha\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma\sigma\tau\alphá\sigma\varepsilon\omega'\omegav \varepsiloǹ\sigma\tau\iotav
```



```
    \eta`\varepsilonic \alphäкvoov \mu\varepsilońv, ov̉ \mu\varepsilon\gammaí\sigma\tau\etav \delta\varepsiloń.
```

2. MS $\underline{\tau 0 i ̃ c ~} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ oũ̃ $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}<\tau \eta ̀ v>\gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha$,










$\tau \omega ̃ \nu \delta \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi \nu \omega \nu$,



 I 435,16-436,2 K=CMG V 1,2: 3,40,1-2 (78, 19-20) Lacy.
P. 150,5-9 $\delta^{\prime} \tau \tau$ òs ... $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ óб $\left.\tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma\right]$ The group $\delta^{\prime}$ oṽv is frequent in Galen. Inter plura, cf. Ars medica 7: I 324,8 K. = Galien II: 292,12 Boudon. The syntagm $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi$ $\dot{\alpha} \vee \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̌ \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha\llcorner$ (in this word order) is a favourite of Galen, appearing over 40 times.
P. 150,5-20 $\delta \iota \tau \tau o ̀ \varsigma ~-~ \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi \chi o \iota \varsigma] ~ D i s s i p a t i o n ~(\delta \iota \alpha ф o ́ \varrho \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma) ~ i s, ~ i n ~ f a c t, ~ a ~ c u r e ~ f o r ~$ the inflammation, while the apostasis of pus is just a good outcome. In Adversus Lycum 7: XVIIIa,239,3-13 K. = CMG V 10,3; 7,9,1-10,1 Wenkebach, Galen indicates that hot water or oil, or any (hot) humid substance, are the swiftest to be completely dissipated, while earth, stone, or any other dry and cold entity are not. The two qualities apt to dissipation are heat and dryness. Consequently, a child's body, being hot and humid, is very prone to sweat, while that of an elderly person is not. Galen opposes $\delta \iota \alpha \phi o ́ \varrho \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (dissipation, sweating off) to $\delta \iota \alpha \mu о v \eta ́($ abiding).

In the Hippocratic Corpus, putrefaction was conceived as a kind of pepsis: "In the Hippocratic Corpus, sêpô and their derivatives designated decomposition through putrefaction, the deterioration of the humours, the formation of pus, and at the same time the digestion of foodstuffs, especially its final stage".... On the other hand, pepainô and their derivatives are used .... "to designate the maturation that corrects disturbances, a kind of cooking that allows an organism to overcome and eliminate harmful substances. This process can also produce pus" (Grmek 124).

Pus may come from phlegm (Morb I 15: VI 164, 1-6 L. = Wittern 36, 4-10). In De alimento 52 (IX 118,12-13 L.= CMG I 1; 84, 18 Heiberg = Hippocrate VI,2: 147,12-14 Joly), we read: "pus comes from flesh ... and the purulent humour comes from blood and liquid", and "... in the Hippocratic Corpus, pus is formed either from decaying battered flesh or extravasated blood or, more rarely, from the aqueous humour, or even from phlegm." (Grmek 124-125). As Galen asserts in De tumor. praeter nat. 4: VII $717,17-718,2 \mathrm{~K}$., in the origin of those apostêmata is not just inflammation, but other humours as well.

In De tumor. praet. nat. 3: VII 715,1-10 K., Galen explains the concoction of a tumour and the pus' elimination (I summarize). As nature prevails in due time, all the flux gets concocted, pus is produced and goes out of the flesh, thanks to the (flesh's) capacity for secreting alien matter ( $\delta v v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \varsigma$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \varrho \iota \tau \iota \kappa \tilde{\eta} \varsigma)$. At times, there is either a natural and important passage, a sort of channel, which is prepared for the expulsion of the excess, or, as the place itself gets loose, it eliminates by transpiration a part of the pus, and makes flow the other in a perceptible way. At times, the surrounding skin gets compact and hard, retains the pus and separates itself from the flesh underneath, and after that, it erodes by the acridness and goes out, unless avoided.

In Ancient Greece, the sites of purulent inflammation most often mentioned are the internal organs: tonsils, the ear and the cranial sinuses, the meninges, the lungs and pleura, joints, the kidneys and the perirenal region, the biliary organs, the peritoneum, the rectum, and the uterus and its annexes (Grmek, p. 130). ${ }^{91}$

However, the good outcome of the apostasis is dependent on the place where it happens, and on the cavity that receives it. The unimportant cavity is, in general, preferable, as is the bigger one. In the Hippocratic Corpus, "suppuration towards the exterior, and the subsequent evacuation of pus, were means by which an organism's physis eliminated harmful substances and prevented relapse" (Grmek, pp. 126 and 388, n. 42).
 body cavity, кol

[^130]have turned коı $\lambda \iota \tilde{\omega} \nu$ into коь $\lambda о \tau \eta \tau \tau \omega v .{ }^{92}$ For the superlative $\mu \varepsilon \gamma$ '́ $\sigma \tau \eta \nu$ without article in l. 11, cf. De comp. medicam. sec. locos VIII 2: XIII 132,3-7 K. and In Hipp. aphoris. comment. V 58: XVIIb, $856,11-16$ K., where it means "very big", and not "the biggest". In line 12, $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha<\tau \eta ̀ v>\gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha,<\dot{\eta}>\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda$ í $\tau \tau \eta$, both articles were lost in the series THNГАСТЕРАНКАААICTH. The syntagm $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ $\mu \varepsilon \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon ́ v$, ov̉ $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$ ö́кv@ov (litotes) is an elegant way of opposing the two adjectives: "(at times) to a very big, but not unimportant one"; see De meth. med. VI 41: X 422,6-8 K. ${ }^{93}$ The use of $\alpha$ र́кv@оऽ with cavity is present as well in Aristotle GA 778a.

On the other hand, $\mathfrak{\eta}$ ह̇vuòs عủ@u $\chi \omega \varrho i ́ \alpha$ is a frequent designation for an ample cavity. In De temper. II 6: I 623,13-15 K., it contains lungs and heart, in De usu part. XIV 10: IV 186,11-13 K. = 318,14 Helmreich, it refers to the uterus, in De morb. differ. 7: VI 859,18-860,3 K., to the intestines ( $\varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varrho \alpha)$ ); in De locis affectis I 6: VIII 36,12-19 K., to the stomach ( $\gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \varrho)$.
P. 150,9-20 $\dot{\alpha} \phi$ í $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$... $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \chi$ voıऽ] Of good or bad prognosis is the formation of deposits into a cavity, these last being differentiated by size and importance. After an initial and general statement (the formation of deposits into a very big and unimportant cavity is the best; the cavity could also be very big and important, or not important and not big), the author refers to the deposits in the stomach, the thorax and the brain. Those in the muscle and in the viscera are mentioned afterwards.
P. 150,12-14 toĩऽ $\mu \grave{\varepsilon} v . . . \pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \tau o ́ v \alpha \iota o v]$ The nutritional organs are described in Anat. Admin. book VI, and De usu part. books IV-V. The peritoneum, in Anat. Admin. book IV, ch. 4-5.
P. 150,14-16 oú $\tau \omega$ - кoı入í $\alpha v$ ] Let us review the cavities in the brain, so as to understand the accuracy of Galen's Anatomy.

While Aristotle described the two meningeal layers and noted the cerebellum, he did not ascribe to it an important function, for he considered it bloodless, although he deemed significant its function as a thermoregulator (Rocca, Galen, 29-31). On the other hand, Diocles of Carystus and Praxagoras of Cos were cardiocentric. Praxagoras identified the heart as source of the arteries, and considered that the arteries become nerves at their distal ramifications (Rocca 32-33).

Rocca (Galen, 146) remarks the ability of Galen "in constructing a coherent account of a set of cavities that are not immediately discernible on first

[^131]inspection of a fresh brain". It is necessary to continue cutting in succession, in order to see membrane after membrane, duct after duct. Galen advises finding a thin and dry ox, and proceeding to cut, keeping the bones intact as far as possible - or the brain would be just a mass.

Although the Hellenistic anatomists Herophilus and Erasistratus had described the brain with its four ventricles and the membranes, the dissections performed (mostly in oxen) by Galen, provided him with more precise observations, even if it is not possible to ascertain their exact nature (Rocca, Galen, 33-42). Herophilus considered for the first time the importance of the ventricles of the brain, ${ }^{94}$ and Erasistratus, at least when he was old, described the four ventricles and the membranes, and saw that the brain is the source of the nerves (Galen, De placitis in Hipp. Plato VII 3: CMG V 4,1,2: 3,4-36 (440,20-448,3) Lacy: V 602-604 K. = Erasistratus, fr. 289 Garofalo).

Galen explained the brain in Anat.Adm. IX (vide infra). In UP VIII-IX he follows the same order (cf. Garofalo in: Vegetti, Garofalo ... 302 ff .). Galen (cf. J. Rocca, Galen, esp. ch. 4, 113-167) describes the four ventricles: two front cavities (called lateral now), a middle, and a posterior, which is the ventricle of the cerebellum. The cerebellum ends where the seventh cranial nerve (the twelfth presently) arises, a precision that corrects the description of other anatomists (unnamed by him). The anterior ventricles come together at the middle ventricle, via the interventricular foramina for the sake of the creation of the canal (the cerebral aqueduct), which joins the anterior ventricles with the fourth ventricle. This connection is essential in enabling psychic pпеита to be sent from the anterior ventricles to the spinal cord (Rocca, 137-138). For Galen, the anterior ventricles elaborate psychic pпеита, are the instruments of olfaction, 95 discharge residues, and ensure the passage of psychic pneuma to the eye via the optic tract (Rocca, 135).

The middle ventricle permits the removal of the heavier waste-products of nutrition. There is communication among the ventricles, and between the fourth and the spinal marrow. Psychic рпеита in the ventricles passes into the spinal marrow, and hence, to the nerves. The spinal marrow is the source of all the hard nerves of the body.

The meninges are the membranes or covering layers of the brain. Galen described the thick or outer meninx, and the thin or inner one. Cf. J. Rocca, sp. 91-105. Although they had been described earlier, Galen provides much substantial data (see Anat.Adm. IX 12 [Arab.]; pp. 17-18 Duckworth=Proced. Anatomici, III: 845-847 Garofalo), and UsuPart. 20,24-21,24 Helmreich= III 711-12 K.

[^132]P. 150,16-20 $\alpha$ í $\delta \grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}-\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi v o \iota \varsigma]$ The cavities in the thorax, and the organs of respiration in general, are found in Anat.Adm. VII-VIII. Muscles are described in Anat.Adm. IV-V. In fact, the inflammation in the muscle has been reviewed above.

For apostasis in the viscera, there are two paths: the vessels, or the membrane that covers them. Throughout the treatise, the vessels often appear, because blood has a paramount rôle in dyskrasia, be it local as in inflammation, or general as in fever. Consequently the homoeomers that compose them (fibres and membranes), the anatomical dissection that permits differentiating the tunics, the effects of inflammation, the kind of blood in the one and the other, and the viscera's deposits breaking into them, are thoroughly explained.

## SECTION 5. TRANSFORMATION-1

P. 150,20-152,11. Galen now stresses the (bad) consequences of inflammation, i.e. the flux destroys the capacity of the part if not prevented by the body. He will come back to this subject in Sections 7, 8, 10 and 18.

Before describing the next steps of the physiological process (from inflammation to fever), Galen starts to consider transformation. The sequence is the following: (Tr.= transformation. Inf.= Inflammation)
S. 5
S. 6
S. 7
Tr.-1
Inf. $\rightarrow$ fever 1 Tr.-2
S. 8
S. 9
S. 10
Tr.+Inf. Inf. $\rightarrow$ fever 2 Tr.-3

He encircles the fundamental process inflammation $\rightarrow$ fever, by stating the theory of transformation in three steps, so that he does not advance in the process inflammation-fever without explaining before how and why and when the heat reaches the whole body.

 $\chi$ Øóv $\Leftarrow$.
L Section 10 (156,18-19). Transformation-3: ő@os $\delta^{\prime}$ ह̇ $\sigma \tau i ̀ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda o t \omega ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$


A Hippocratic sentence summarises the relationship change-pain, and Galen profits from it by composing a sort of table, which includes in its first half "change and pain", and in the second "change and quality". Both parts are divided by the Hippocratic sentence, which closes the first (quotation), and introduces the second (Galen repeats the sentence, now in his own words).

In the first part (150,20-152,4), the focus of the Section is change and pain: ongoing transformation vs. complete transformation. The former is painful, the latter, painless. The subject is introduced by a series of verbal forms meaning alteration: $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda$ ov $\tau \iota, \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \tau о \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \iota \sigma \iota, \delta \iota \alpha-$ $\phi \theta \varepsilon$ юо $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ votoıv, all of them in Present tense, durative aspect, while others refer to the end of it: $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi$ ouot $\omega \theta \tilde{\eta}$ (punctual Aorist), $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{L}$ (Perfect of result).

In the second half (152,5-11), the qualitative changes spoil the affected part or body, hot and cold being the most aggressive qualities, dry or moist being second to them. The other situation is the loosening of continuity. The style now is very different, parallel passages guiding the reader to opposed contents. (MS=Main Sentence. HS=Hypotactic Sentence)

1. Change and Pain (150,20-152,4)
*Painless when change is no more:
MS $\tau \alpha v ́ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta^{\prime}$ ò $\delta u v \omega ́ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ то́ $\tau \varepsilon \pi \varrho \tilde{\tau} \tau о v^{96}$
HS ő $\alpha \alpha v \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \circ \mu o \iota \omega \theta \tilde{\eta} \tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda о v \tau \iota$.
MS ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \eta ̀ \nu<\phi \cup ́ \sigma \iota v>$,
*Painful while change is ongoing:


 $\alpha i ́$ ỏdúv $\alpha$ เ $\gamma i ́ v o v \tau \alpha$ ı».
2. Change and Quality (152,5-11)
*A part gets destroyed:
MS $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \alpha \phi \theta \varepsilon i ́ \varrho \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \iota v ~ \varepsilon ̌ \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau o v, ~$ by means of:
*changes in quality (all four): Pres. Part.



by means of:
*changes in quality I, hot or cold ( $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ tò + Infinitive)
 ठ@ $\alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \varrho ~ \alpha u ̃ ̃ \alpha \iota ~ \alpha i ~ \pi о เ o ́ \tau \eta \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
*changes in quality II, dry or moist ( $\delta \dot{\alpha}$ tò+Infinitive):


96 P. 152,1 тó $\tau \varepsilon \pi \varrho \tilde{\omega} \tau o v$ is often found in Galen, several times followed by ǒ ó $\alpha v$.

```
*Situation of the body I, sick, hungry or thirsty ( \(\dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega}+\) Infinitive):
    \(\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \varepsilon \iota \nu \tilde{\eta} \nu \eta \eta \delta \iota \psi \tilde{\eta} \nu\),
    *cause I: missing dry or moist substance (AbG pres.)
    غ̇ாı入
```



```
*Situation of the body II: diversely injured ( \(\dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega}+\) Infinitive):
    \(\dot{\varepsilon} v ~ \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega} \tau \iota \tau \varrho \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \alpha ̀ v \alpha \beta \iota \beta \varrho \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{\iota}\) к \(\alpha \grave{~}\)
    \(\tau \varepsilon i ́ v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \theta \lambda i ́ \beta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota\),
    *cause II: loosening of continuity (AbG pres.)
```


P. 152,1-3 ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho ~ . . . ~ \varepsilon ̌ \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon v] ~ I ~ w r o t e ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \iota v ~ i n s t e a d ~ o f ~ \kappa @ \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota v$ of the mss., as below in line 5, and of course in the sentence of Hippocrates. Throughout the process of changing -as the krasis is altered - the inflamed part loses its natural disposition, i.e., its physis is altered, because the change is $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma v$.

As usual, Galen refers to Hippocrates as admirable. Both adjectives, $\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \circ \varsigma$ or $\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau o ́ s$, are used by him in connection with his hero, but $\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \circ \varsigma$ is by far the more frequent: maybe it is the adequate formula. The variant $\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau o ́ s, ~ a s ~ i n ~ D e ~ d i e b u s ~ d e c r . ~ I ~ 11: ~ I X ~ 823,3 ~ K . ~ o ́ ~ \pi \tilde{\alpha} v \theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau$ òs I $\pi \pi$ ок@ $\alpha ́ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̌ \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$, presents a minimal difference in uncial letters: I/T.
P. 152,3-4 тoĩ $\sigma$ - $\gamma^{\prime}$ ívov $\left.\tau \alpha \mathrm{l}\right]$ The Hippocratic sentence is found in De loc. in hom. 42; VI 334, 7-8 L.= Hippocrate XIII; 71,21-72,1 Joly = 78,18-19 Craik. Cf. E. Craik, De loc. in hom., comment. p. 204, and the comparison with Galen's text in A. Anastassiou, D. Irmer, "Zur Schmerzentstehungstheorie der Hippokratischen Schrift de Locis in Homine (Kap. 42)", RhM 134, 1991, 39-49. Galen quotes the sentence as well in : De tremore 6: VII 620,6-8 K., In Hipp. Epid. VI comment. VI 6: XVIIb, 334,2-4, K. = CMG V 10,2,2; 343,2-4 Wenkebach, and De sympt. causis I 6: VII 115,14-15 K. and I 5: VII 176,9-10 K. ${ }^{97}$ While in the former two, the participles are transmitted with - $\sigma \sigma-$, the Attic $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \circ \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \iota \sigma \iota$ is unanimously transmitted in De inaequali, as it is in the loci similes in De sympt. causis, and the end in -otot in the participles is unanimous as well. I have corrected it to $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \iota \sigma$. The scribe may have been influenced by the next $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \varepsilon \tau \tau \alpha .{ }^{98}$

[^133]P. 152,5-7 $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{L}-\mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \mathrm{ov}]$ For the notion of "loosening of continuity", cf. comm. to Section 11, p. 160,6-11, below. The syntagm also appears below in 152,11.
 $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$, EPIMEN $\rightarrow E N M E N$. Galen often uses $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ov (in P) after the mention of a preferred item of a pair or series, be it a cause or not. Cf. Quod animi mores 7: IV 792,14-16 K. In our passage, $\mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ (cett.) could have appeared because of anticipation of the next $\delta \varrho \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \iota \alpha i \operatorname{\tau o\iota }$ о́тŋ $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
P. 152,7-9 סı̀̀ đò $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha ı ~ . . . ~ ن ́ \gamma \varrho \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \sigma Ө \alpha ı] ~ O r i b a s i u s ~ 1, ~ 1-4 ~(C M G ~ V I ~ 2,2 ; ~ ;$ 4, 75, 2-21 Raeder) includes Hippocratic references to the activity of the qualities. ${ }^{99}$ Hot and cold are deemed by Aristotle the active ( $\tau$ опп $\tau \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ ) qualities, while dry and moist are passive $(\pi \alpha \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́) .{ }^{100}$ As for Galen, the first pair is the hardest, as in Med. Meth. VII 5: X 470, 6-10 K. However, the four qualities can transform a substance or a body's part. Cf. De placitis VIII 4,17: CMG V 4,1,2; 502, 5-9 Lacy, and Lacy, CMG V 1,2, comment., p. 187-188, with many references.

In De const. art. med. 8, 4,1-5,1: I 252,10-15 K. =CMG V 1,3; 80, 2-9 Fortuna, Galen asserts that "just heat and cold are able to modify the substance nearby. Humidity and dryness are able to as well, but not so quickly ... It is necessary to call and consider them active, specially the first (pair), and, in it, mostly heat: for it is the most active ( $\delta \varrho \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta)$ among the qualities, and after it, cold, after it, humidity, and then dryness."
P. 152,10-11 $\dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \dot{\varepsilon}-\lambda v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \zeta]$ Splendid sentence, a jet of infinitives in $-\sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ that stresses the tragedy of being attacked by dyskrasia.
غ̀v ठغ̀ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \tau \iota \tau \varrho \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
$\kappa \alpha \grave{\alpha} \alpha v \alpha \beta \iota \beta \varrho \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ к $\alpha$ і̀ т $\varepsilon$ íve $\sigma \theta \alpha ı$ к $\alpha i$ ө $\lambda i ́ \beta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha ı$ $\kappa \alpha i \delta_{\iota} \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha ̃ \sigma Ө \alpha \iota, \tau \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ \sigma v \nu \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~ \lambda v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \varsigma$.
Some of the verbs have been earlier mentioned, when explaining the effects of hot blood on the vessels (section 3, p. 148,12-14):
к $\alpha$ ì $\gamma$ @̀@ हैv
 $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \varepsilon \not ้ \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon \nu$


[^134]All of them are related to external and／or deep wounds，as we have seen in the exposition of dyskrasia with flux，section 1 ．There are some other similar lists of verbs or nouns related to loosening of continuity：see Ars medica 20：I 357，6－9 K．，＝Galien II，336，8－11 Boudon，De const．ars med．5，4，1－6，4：CMG V 1，3；66，7－17 Fortuna＝I 238，11－239，6 K．，and In Hipp．de fract．comm．III［34］： XVIIIb 586，5－15 K．There are specific names as well according to the injured part／organ．

## SECTION 6．INFLAMMATION TO FEVER－1

P．152，12－154，4．The two Sections dedicated to the process of inflammation to fever， 6 and 9，mention at the beginning the heat of the inflammation＇s blood：it is an internal Ring（5）that marks the limits of the preceding Sections：

LR－5＇．Sec． 9 ．．．غ̇к $\pi \varepsilon \pi v \varrho \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v ~ \tau о \tilde{v} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu о v \eta ̀ v \alpha$ $̆ \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ . . . ~$
There are four periods of conditional clauses，whose hypotactic sen－ tence refers to the blood in the inflammation（A），while the main is related to the whole body＇s blood（B）．Hypotactic sentences（HS）are anteposed while Main sentences（MS）close the periods．The scheme of the first period is re－ peated throughout．See English scheme，in Introduction，Contents，4．Galen precisely qualifies the blood＇s heat both in the inflamed part and in the whole body，considering four degrees．He adds that the arteries＇blood gets heated before the veins＇，because the former is hotter and more pneumatic．Cf．Com－ mentary to 156，9－11，Section 9，below．

| A（HS） | B（HS） | B（MS） | A＋B（HS） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 عi $\mu \varepsilon ̇ \nu$ oűv ท̋ <br>  тоṽ $\alpha$ í $\mu \alpha \tau о$ ， ŋ́ $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тò $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha i ̃ v o v$ $\mu$ о́рıо⿱ غ̇ாเદıкŋ̀ऽ útáozo | каіто̀ тєрเєұо́ $\mu \varepsilon$ ขоง $\alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ő̉ov toṽ らผ́ov 七ò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ <br>  к叩б́бє $\omega$ ， |  $\sigma \nu \nu \varepsilon \kappa \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \varepsilon \pi \circ$ ӨӨóтı |  |
| 2 हiठخ ［тоı］弓éoı бфоठ＠ótع＠ov | そ̀ к $\alpha$ ì то̀ $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ó $\lambda o v$ tò $\zeta \tilde{\omega} o v$ $\alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha \chi 0 \lambda \tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ v́táoxol | $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \chi \varrho \eta ̃ \mu \alpha$ $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \alpha$ <br> غ̇к $\theta \varepsilon \rho \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \tau \alpha เ$ |  |


| 3 <br> к $\alpha$ ì tò кат $\alpha$ т $\dagger$ v $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu o v \eta{ }^{\prime}$ $\alpha i ̃ \mu \alpha$ Өع＠$\mu$ òv iк $\alpha v \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ عĩv $\alpha$ เ， | к $\alpha$ ì тò $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ő őov tò $\zeta \tilde{\omega} o v<\alpha \tilde{j} \mu \alpha>$ хол $\omega \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$. | $\pi \mathrm{O}\langle\dot{u} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \mathrm{O}$ （ $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \alpha v$ غ̇кӨع＠$\mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota)$ | غ̇ா $\alpha \ddot{\mu} \mu \phi \omega$ бuvठ＠́́ $\mu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  عi้ๆ $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ $\pi о \lambda v \alpha i ́ \mu \omega v$ $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi \nu \omega v$ тò $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha i ̃ v o v$ بógьov |  | ह̈́t $\kappa \alpha$ i $\theta \tilde{\alpha} \tau \tau 0 \vee \alpha$ v̀t $\tilde{\omega}$ <br>  тò к $\alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ö ${ }^{\prime}$ ov тò $\zeta \tilde{\omega}$ ov $\alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$ |  |

P．152，12－14 $\varepsilon i . .$. к＠́́ $\sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma]$ For $̇ \pi \iota \varepsilon \iota \kappa \eta ́ \varsigma ~ i n ~ t h e ~ u s u a l ~ m e a n i n g ~ o f ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau Q i ́ \omega \varsigma, ~ c f . ~$ A．Roselli，pp．104－108，in：Il commento di Galeno a Sulle Fratture．The syntagm $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\lambda$ ov to $\tilde{v} \zeta \omega$ ov tò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$（as in p．142，2－3）appears some seven times in Galen．It has two faciliores counterparts，$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\lambda$ ov tò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$（ca． 96 times），and $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ő $\lambda$ ov 七ò $\zeta \tilde{\mu} \mathrm{ov}$ ，as in 152,15 and 18，below．As for $\check{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$ with Genitive and adverb，as in $\mu \varepsilon \tau$＠í $\omega \varsigma$ é $\chi$ оь к＠$\alpha ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ，cf．Lasso de la Vega，pp．522－523．

P．152，14－15 ov̉ ．．．$\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma 0 \vee \theta$ ó $\tau$ 〕］The litotes ov̉ $\pi \alpha ́ v v \tau \iota$＠$\alpha \delta i ́ \omega \varsigma, ~ " n o t ~ e a s y ~ a t ~ a l l ", ~$ is rather frequent in Galen．We find $\tau$ o instead of $\tau \iota$ ，in $z$ ，and two other Ga－ lenic passages that belong to quotations of Hipp．${ }^{101}$ most probably it is due to iotacism．It is scarcely attested in other authors，where it is probably due to iotacism as well．
 （common in Plato，$c f$ ．Denniston 553），but a second $\varepsilon i$ is transmitted by LMP
 I guess that the second $\varepsilon i$ is right，but $\eta$ そot after $\varepsilon i$ at the beginning，is not．At times，Galen uses $\varepsilon i \delta \delta \eta^{102}$ And toı may have appeared because of anticipation of the next $\zeta \dot{\varepsilon} \circ \mathrm{l}$ ，and phonetic perseveration of the immediate $\pi \varepsilon \pi \sigma 0 \vee$ ó $\tau$. For
 in Marquardt，not in Kühn）．

[^135]The group $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\lambda$ ov тov $\zeta \omega$ ov tò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ in P is difficilior against $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ő őov tò 弓 $\tilde{\mu} \mathrm{ov}$ (Uz Orib.), but variatio is a favourite of Galen. The form $\chi 0 \lambda \tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ is better than $\chi 0 \lambda \omega \delta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \circ$, because it is mentioned in the same way in the next sentence. The comparative form is a contamination from the former бфобৎо́тєৎоv.

For bilious blood and heat, cf. as well De comp. med. sec. loc. X 2: XIII $333,7-9$ K.: "For the bilious blood produces in the patient an intense sensation of heat, and, when other heating (substances) are added, paroxysm is reached".

On the other hand, Galen often uses the composed adjective $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \alpha v$ when referring to the whole body, and the reference here is to the whole blood.
P. 152,16-18 $\pi о \lambda \hat{v}-\chi o \lambda \tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \varsigma]$ The second $\alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$ disappeared because of saut du même au même in the series of -O- and - $\Omega$-, in uncial letters: $O \Lambda O N T O Z \Omega O N A I M A X O \Lambda \Omega \Delta E C$.
 arteries, because by nature it is hotter and more pneumatic" In fact, the pneuma is told to have the thinnest parts (below, 154,8: $\varepsilon \dot{v} \alpha \lambda \lambda$ ot $\omega \tau$ ót $\alpha \tau 0 \nu \mu \varepsilon ̀ v$ oṽv đò $\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$, ठıó $\tau \iota \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau о \mu \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o v)$. See Commentary to 154,8-13, below. The blood in the arteries is hotter than that in the veins, and it moves much faster (Harris, The Heart, pp. 363-364). Their pneuma is hot as well. The arteries draw blood from the veins at the diastole through the capillaries in order to feed their coats. They take just the lighter constituents (cf. in general De usu part. VI 10: III 444,14-457,7 K. = 324,17-333,16 Helmreich). At the systole, the arteries press pneuma through the capillaries into the veins. Their coats just need the blood, but they use pneuma as well (cf. Harris, The Heart, p. 364). ${ }^{103}$
P. 152,2-4 $\left.\varepsilon i \delta^{\prime} . . . \alpha i \pi \mu \alpha\right]$ Galen again refers to the viscera with much blood in 154,21 below. The fact of inflammation becoming fever, is an example of the susceptibility of alteration of the four humours and the pneuma, which Galen immediately explains (Section 7).

## SECTION 7.TRANSFORMATION-2

P. 154,4-13. This Section is composed by means of parallel sentences where nouns, adjectives, verbs and even sentences themselves (or their opposites), are repeated. Such a style, which we have seen before, is the best for under-

[^136]standing and memory. At the same time, he uses finite verbs for alteration, but
 $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$ at beginning and end embraces the passage.

There are five blocks (B):
B 1. Alterability and hot/cold quality. Finite verbs.
In short,
in everything that gets hot, the more alterable it is, or hot by nature, it gets hot firstly
as (it) certainly (happens) as well
in <everything> that
gets cold:
the more alterable it is, or cold by nature, it gets cold firstly

B 2. Alterability and thin/thick parts (рпеита). No verbs.
most alterable, рпеита,
because as well, thinnest.

B 3. Hot/cold (humours); follows block 1. No verbs.
hottest by nature the yellow bile,
coldest the phlegm;
of the other humours, blood second hot after the yellow bile, the black bile second cold after phlegm.

B 4. Alterability and hot/cold (humours), picks up 1. Finite verbs.
In fact, gets altered by everything that acts against it:
the yellow bile the black with difficulty.

B 5. Alterability and thin/thick parts, follows block 2. No verbs
In a couple of words,
everything of thin parts
of thick parts easily alterable difficult to alter.

Galen introduces the notion of leptomerês/pachymerês, (of thin/thick parts; see below), along with eualloiôtos/dusalloiôtos (easy/difficult to alter).
P. 154,4-7 $\sigma v v \varepsilon \lambda o ́ v \tau \iota ~ . . . ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha \psi ט ́ \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \alpha] ~ T h e ~ s y n t a g m ~ \sigma u v \varepsilon \lambda o ́ v \tau ı ~ \delta ’ ~ \varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon i ̃ v ~$ at the beginning of the section has its counterpart at the end: $\dot{\varepsilon} v i ̀ \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$
 avoids the hiatus $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon$ - when it appears in the middle of a sentence, but keeps it at the beginning. Cf. De anat. admin. VI 1: II $535,11 \mathrm{~K}$.= Garofalo II 341, 2; and II 536,12= Garofalo, II 341, 21. The initial غ̇ $\pi i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha v \tau o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v o v \tau o \varsigma ~$ has its precise counterpart in $\kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi i<\pi \alpha v \tau o ̀ s>~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \psi v ́ \chi o v \tau o s, ~ w h e r e ~ \pi \alpha v \tau o ̀ s ~$ disappeared in the row КАПIПАNTOCTOऽЧソХONTOC. On the other
 (OCONANE $\Upsilon A \wedge$ MOISTONH).

 found in De usu part. VIII 6: III 636,18-637,2 K. = 461,9-12 Helmreich, where he adds: "... and what is softer is more easily altered than what is harder". ${ }^{104}$

The notion of $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \circ \mu \varepsilon \varrho \eta$ 's is precisely explained by Armelle Debru, in: Philosophie et pharmacologie: la dynamique des substances leptomères chez Galien. ${ }^{105}$ Galen considers it essential to distinguish a substance as being composed of thin/thick layers, and he makes use of it when referring to pharmacology. In the field of physiology that we are considering, he applies the quality to pneuma, ${ }^{106}$ arterial blood, and to humours to a certain extent. See Commentary to 12-13, below.

For the nature and function of pneита in Greek Physics, see below. ${ }^{107}$
P. 154,9-11 $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta ~ . . . ~ ф \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \mu \alpha]$ Of the humours' quality, Galen just mentions here hot/cold, for he is dealing with inflammation and fever. The complete set of humours' qualities, appears several times; cf. De temper. II 3: 59,20-60,5

[^137]Helmreich=I 603,7-604,2 K. In NatFac II 11 (SM III 194,2-196,15 Helmreich= 200, 5-202, 26 Brock), Galen describes the humours and their qualities, whilst attacking Erasistratus.

It is expedient to remind the reader of the meaning of hot and cold in Greek Science. For Galen, yellow bile is the hottest, blood is second to it, as phlegm is the coldest, and black bile is second to it. ${ }^{108}$ Thus, blood is not said to be colder than yellow bile, and black bile is not said to be hotter than phlegm in the humours' system. Yellow bile and blood are just hot, phlegm and black bile are just cold. The qualitative theory works in oppositions hot/cold, dry/wet.
P. 154,11-12 к $\alpha \grave{\mu} \mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ . . . ~ \delta v \sigma \kappa o ́ \lambda \omega \varsigma . ~ F o r ~ t h e ~ e m p h a t i c ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i ́$, see Denniston 396-7, "progressive". סvбкó $\lambda \omega$ s is difficilior and well transmitted in $\Lambda \mathrm{P}$, while $\mu o ́ \lambda ı s$ and $\mu o ́ \gamma ı s$ could be glosses that entered the text. The reverse is more improbable. Hun. translates "with effort and intensity"; however, Hun ${ }^{\text {L }}$ has "scarcely", i.e. $\mu$ ó $\lambda \iota s$, the reading of $z$ and Uim. The readings with $/ \mathrm{m} /$ and $/ 1 /$ may be due to the preceding word $\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \iota v \alpha$.
P. 154,12-13 $\varepsilon$ vì ... $\delta v \sigma \alpha \lambda \lambda$ oí $\omega \tau$ tov] In De diff. febr. I 2: VII 278,3-7 K., Galen states that "every substance of thin particles is altered more easily than one of thick particles". ${ }^{109}$ And he adds: "The substance of thinnest particles is the substance of air, that of thickest particles is the substance of the solid bodies; in the middle between them is that of the humid bodies."

The notion of $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \circ \mu \varepsilon \varrho \eta$ § is usually accompanied by $\varepsilon \dot{v} \alpha \lambda \lambda o i ́ \omega \tau o s$, as it happens here. It is often coupled by $\pi \alpha \chi u \mu \varepsilon \propto \eta ́ s$. The word appears throughout Galen's books, as A. Debru (ibid. Philosophie et pharmacologie: la dynamique des substances leptomères chez Galien) underlines. The medicaments are predicated of thin/thick parts, those qualities being very important in pharmacology (see Debru, Philosophie, passim).

He uses it as well for distinguishing the capacity of the senses, in a scale from sight (the most leptomerês) to touch. Cf. De loc. aff. IV 3: VIII 229,13-17 K., and Debru, Philosophie, p. 89.

In the two sections dedicated to the process of inflammation to fever, 6 and 9, first the arteries' blood and then that of the heart's left cavity, are said to be pneumatôdes, the latter being the more so. At the same time, that cavity's blood is formed by the thinnest particles: in fact, pneuma has the subtlest particles. This same capacity is said of the arteries' blood.

In De plac. Hipp. et Plat. VI 8,38,1-39,4 (CMG V 4,1,2; 416,4 -9 Lacy=V 573,17-574,2 K.), Galen states that the arteries' blood is thinnest and hottest.

[^138]And he goes on: "In fact, the beginning and origin of the arteries as well of the pneumatic and boiling blood, is for animals the heart, and because of that, the spirited part ( $\tau o ̀ ~ \theta u \mu o \varepsilon \iota \delta \varepsilon ́ \varsigma, ~ t r . ~ L a c y) ~ o f ~ t h e ~ s o u l ~ i s ~ s h o w n ~ t o ~ r e s i d e ~ i n ~ i t . " ~ " ~$

## SECTION 8. TRANSFORMATION AND INFLAMMATION

P. 154,14-156,2. After the exposition of the susceptibility of alteration in pneu$m a$ and humours, the text goes back to the factors involved in the process from inflammation to fever, which were presented in Section 6 (inflammation to FEVER-I ). Galen encircles the section with a rather precise Ring (6).
$\Gamma$ R-6 (154,14-15) "so that necessarily multiple are the alterations upon inflammation, because the bodies (parts) are as well of many kinds" INFLAMMATION'S BLOOD:

1. more/less hot
2. sepsis according to its nature
3. more/less obstructed
4. hot and humid
5. near/far the polyhematic viscera BODY'S BLOOD:
6. bilious or melancholic or phlegmatic or pneumatic
7. more/less
$L^{\prime}$ - $6(154,23-156,2)$ "so that necessarily multiple are the alterations ..."

The author stresses the fact of the multiplicity of conditions in the one and the other blood. Two factors of the inflammation's blood have been described in Section 6: heat, and proximity to the polyhematic viscera, but not in the same way. Galen insists on the subject of more or less (and near/far). It is not a theoretical explanation of A becoming B, but an appreciation of the complex ways of nature.

We find here the first mention of humours' sepsis at the start of fever. He will speak of it again, in $R 7$ (p. 156,11-13 and 162,15-18). It is correlated with the obstruction of the humour: "for that which does not breath through, gets putrid quicker, as (it happens as well) in all the external things".
P. 154,14-23] The ring composition in 14/23, $\omega^{\prime} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \alpha \downarrow \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta \pi 0 \lambda v \varepsilon เ \delta \varepsilon \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \varepsilon$ -
 $\sigma Ө \alpha \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ o七 $\omega$ $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, backs the word order in $\Lambda$ P for l. 14. However, Uz offer
good readings from time to time, and their $\tau \alpha \tilde{\imath} \varsigma \phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu$ оv $\alpha \tilde{\imath} \varsigma(\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma-) \gamma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ о七 $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, is simple and could be correct. Galen uses $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota(z)$ with $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ oí $\omega \sigma \omega v$ in De plac. Hipp. et Plat. VI 8, 10: CMG V 4,1,2; 410, 3-4 Lacy:
 $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\omega}$, but the Dative agrees with the preverb. In $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i ̀ \tau \alpha i ̃ s ~ \phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu o v \alpha i ̃ \varsigma ~ t h e ~$ meaning of the preposition is temporal.
P. 154,15-16 $\pi \varrho \omega \dot{\tau} \omega \varsigma$... $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu o ́ \varsigma] \pi \varrho \omega \dot{\tau} \omega \varsigma$ of Tz is difficilior, although the ending of $\pi \sigma \lambda v \varepsilon เ \delta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ could have provoked it. $\pi \varrho \tilde{\omega} \tau \circ \varsigma$ is the second difficilior, but Hип. "as for the beginning of the subject" favours an adverb. п@ $\tilde{\omega} \tau 0 v$ is facilior and could have appeared because of the following endings in [-n]. Charterius ( $\pi 0 \tilde{\omega} \tau 0 v$ ) does not read P; he just writes an adverb. On the other hand, "the humour that provokes the inflammation" (ó тŋ̀v $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \circ v \eta ̀ v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \gamma \zeta \zeta^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ $\chi v \mu o ́ s)$ appears three more times in Gal.: De loc. aff. V 3: VIII 309,2-3 K., De simp. med. temp. ac fac. V 8: XI 729, 6-8 K., and De tumor. praeter nat. VII 712,15-713,2 K.
P. 154,16-19 $\dot{\varepsilon} \phi \varepsilon \xi \tilde{\eta} \zeta$... $\alpha \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu]$ Cf. Comment. to R-7, below.
P. 154,17 к $\alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{v} v$ oỉkعĩ $\alpha v$ фט́бıv appears 9 times in Galen-TLG.
 comparative, "not unimportant as well in relation to the humour being more or less wedged". Hun. translates it as $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́$ in the line before, $c f$. Ger. secundum; so he probably read $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́$, as U reads. Nic. writes penes, which would agree with $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ́$. In Section 13, p. 164,4-5, below, we find $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ́+A c$. with a similar meaning.
 $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \nu \varepsilon o ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha, \theta \tilde{\alpha} \tau \tau о v$, the subject tòv $\chi \nu \mu$ óv is necessary, and its loss is an easy saut du même au même: $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ óv ... $\eta$ ท̃ $\tau \tau v$... $\chi u \mu o ́ v ~ . . . ~ \theta \tilde{\alpha} \tau \tau o v$.
P. 154,19-20 ó $\delta \alpha v \ldots \mu \alpha ́ \lambda ı \sigma \tau \alpha]$ The omitted noun would be either $\chi u \mu$ òs or $\alpha i \mu \alpha$, but only the latter could agree with the adjectives. In my opinion, the se-
 duced the plausible omission of $\alpha i \mu \alpha$. As for the group tó $\tau \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$, it is used by Galen four other times. $\delta \eta$ is emphatic with temporal adverbs, $c f$. Denniston 206-7. For $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}$ with superlative, cf. Denniston 319.
P. 154,21 $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \sigma \lambda v \alpha i ́ \mu \omega v \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i ̀ ~ \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi \nu \omega v]$ The word order in Uz avoids the sequence $\pi \circ \lambda v \alpha \dot{́} \mu \omega v \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi v \omega v$, and is more elegant as well. For the polyhematic viscera, cf. 154,2-3.
P. 154,21-22. кגì $\tau$ ò ... $\pi v \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \varsigma]$ The series of adjectives, applied to $\chi v \mu$ ós this time, follows the same order in De loc. aff. V 3: VIII 309,3-4 K. For the diseases related to flux, cf. Commentary to p. 142,5-8, above, and to Section 18, below.
 the subject of $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda$ o $\mu$ ह́vov ( $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho o v ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ \pi \varrho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̌ \tau \varepsilon \varrho o v ~ ~ \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v ~$
 is transmitted as $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau o ́ v(L M U z)$ or $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ (TP). In fact, the opening of the Ring shows that it has to be "body" ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). In this passage, the humours, or more precisely blood itself, are referred to as bodies: the ring composition ensures it. I inserted $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \sigma$, which was lost by homoeoteleuton in CWMAТОСТЕПРОС, and I corrected the last pronoun to a neuter form. In the meaning of comparing, $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ is accompanied by $\pi \varrho o ́ \varsigma ~(o r ~ \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ́ \alpha) ~ a n d ~$ Accusative, or is just followed by Dative (there are variants in the text for the endings of the pronouns).

## SECTION 9. LOCAL TO GENERAL AD = INFLAMMATION TO FEVER-2

P. 156,2-11. After commenting the multiplicity of factors involved in the processes from inflammation to fever, Galen moves to the resulting anomalous hot dyskrasia of the whole body. He recalls the intense heat of the inflammation's blood as the main factor, and after that, the heat of the viscera's and left ventricle's blood. The beginning of Section 9 brings forth the heat in the inflammation's blood, as happened in Section 6, forming Ring-5:
 "the blood's heat in the inflamed part"
 "being too much heated the blood in the inflammation"

That way, it marks the limits of Section $5 / 6$, and Section $8 / 9$. The focus of the Section is the heart's left cavity, whose blood is the hottest.

There are two blocks in this Section 9. The first (156,2-8 $\alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \iota \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ . .$.
 HS hypotactic sentence, AbG Absolute Genitive):

MS-AbG ${ }^{1}-\mathrm{AbG}^{2}-\mathrm{HS}^{1}-\mathrm{HS}^{2}-\mathrm{AbG}^{3}-\mathrm{HS}^{2}-\mathrm{HS}^{3}-\mathrm{HS}^{1}$-MS
A Main Sentence is placed at the beginning, while two Absolute Genitives, and three Hypotactic Sentences, appear and reappear, in order to stress
the heat in the left heart's blood. In fact, the first HS, a relative clause ( $\varepsilon i \varsigma \eta \eta v$ ), continues until the end, receiving on the way a conditional and a comparative. In it the author again introduces the reader, emphasizing the possibility of actually perceiving the heat in the left cavity. Galen brings forth the reader's rôle by means of Verba finita of the second person $(\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \stackrel{\varsigma}{ }, \alpha \grave{\jmath} \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta) .{ }^{110}$

| Anoma- <br> lous <br> Dyskrasia <br> MS ${ }^{1}$ | inflam- <br> mation's <br> heat <br> $\mathrm{AbG}^{1}$ | left cavity's heat AbG ${ }^{2}$ | whom $\mathrm{HS}^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } \\ & \mathrm{HS}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | not feverish $\mathrm{AbG}^{2}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { as I } \\ & \text { told } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \mathrm{HS}^{3} \end{aligned}$ | will <br> feel <br> the <br> inten- <br> se <br> heat <br> MS ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The second block, oưkovv ... ס $\iota \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau o ́ s ~(156,8-11), ~ j u s t ~ e m p h a s i s-~$ es the extreme features of the left cavity's blood: maximum heat (construc-
 ( $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau о \mu \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \circ \nu)$, pneumatic at its most ( $\pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \omega \delta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \circ \vee)$.
P. 156,2-3 $\left.\alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \iota \quad . . \gamma^{\prime} \gamma v o v \tau \alpha \iota\right]$ The reference of $\alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \iota \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota$ to the next $\delta \cup \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \alpha$ เ is simple and correct (lectio brevior, potior). However, the composition of Galen's blocks, backs the reference of the anaphoric pronouns to "alterations". Hun. translates: "all of them, the alterations of many kinds, are the cause of the heterogeneous dyskrasia". The homoeoteleuton may have provoked the loss of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ о七 $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$ between $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota$ and $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i \alpha \iota$, but even without the noun $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ оt $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, the meaning of the sentence can be that one. However, in the treatise, $\gamma \dot{\gamma} \gamma v o \mu \alpha \iota$ in Present tense is not used as $\varepsilon i \mu i ́:$ that only happens when the verb is in Aorist and Perfect tense (see Index verborum). Its meaning is intransitive: "All these dyskrasias of the body occur."
 been lost in the others because of haplography at the beginning and end: KАТАТА $\Lambda \Lambda A C П \Lambda A-$, but is preserved in (some) Nic.
 cial letters, because of saut du même au même: EICHNEI؟ГIAINONTOCETI. Hun. has "yet" (ětı; cf. adhuc Ger.) It is a ү@व́ф $\quad \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ ı in P. The other mss. have $\kappa \alpha \grave{i}$ $\zeta \tilde{\omega} v \tau 0 \varsigma$ (and $P^{\text {il. }}$ ): the scribe goes from $\varepsilon i$ to $\varepsilon$ étı, and "reads" -ovtos with the


[^139]same). The insertion of $\kappa \alpha \dot{i}$ before $\zeta \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau 0 \varsigma$ appears in the row $\Upsilon \Gamma I A I N O N T O C$ (dittography). For the (posterior) vanishing of $\varepsilon$ étı, there was saut du même au même in TOCETITO؟. It is an error in two steps. For the expression, compare


In $156,6 \mu \eta \pi \omega$ in UD is difficilior than $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ in $\Lambda \mathrm{P}$. As for the verb, the Main Sentence presents a future form. In the protasis, Uz have $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \iota$ against $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ in the others. At times, Galen uses the Future tense in protasis and apodosis (the so-called "emotional Future conditions", cf. Smyth p. 525), as in De meth. med. X 166,14-16 K., ${ }^{111}$ and in An in art. 8: IV 733,3 K.=19, 14 Albrecht: $\varepsilon i \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \quad \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$. However the Aorist Optative is difficilior than the Future, and it is easier to let the Future enter because of iotacism in $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \quad \sigma \alpha \iota \varsigma$ [ethelises >ethelisis], than the other way round. In a rather parallel passage, Present Optative is used in the protasis, and Future in the Apodosis: De usu puls. 2: V 158,16-159,2 K.
P. 156,8-9 ov̂́кovv ... $\left.\mu \alpha \alpha^{\lambda} \iota \sigma \tau \alpha\right]$ In the second block, the meaning as a reinforced negative of oűkouv ... ov́ $\delta^{\prime}$ ', is emphatic (cf. Smyth-Messing, 661). On the other



P. 156,2-11 $\alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha \iota$... $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau o ́ \varsigma]$ In the left ventricle resides the innate heat, ${ }^{112}$ in the arterial blood. "The function of respiration is to moderate the intensity of the innate heat in the left heart: pneuma or breath derived from inspired air is mixed with the arterial blood in the left heart, and distributed with it." (Brain, Bloodletting, p. 9). For respiration, see De resp. usu 1-2: IV 471,2-484,9 K. = Furley, Wilkie 80,1-98,2. For the innate heat, cf. De trem. 6: VII 616,6-618,10 K.

For the blood in the left ventricle hotter than in the right, see De plac. Hipp. et Plat. VI 8: V 573,17-574,2 K.: the blood that goes out of the left ventricle is hotter and rather pneumatic. Cf. as well De foet. form. 3, 23: IV 670,5-12 K. $=$ CMG V 3,3: 74, 8-11 Nickel, and Commentary, ibid. p. 129. And De propr. plac. CMG V 3,2: 60,19-62,2, and Comment. 146-147 (Nutton). Similar to our passage is De temper. II 3: 58, 13-18 Helmreich=I $601,9-13 \mathrm{~K}$., where Galen remarks that the left cavity is the hottest part of the body, and invites the reader to touch it with his fingers in order to perceive it.

In Section $6(152,18-154,2)^{113}$ above, the quality of the arterial blood is said to be hottter and more pneumatic (than that of the veins). The present passage goes back as well to Section 7 (154,4-13), where the four qualities as

[^140]well as leptomerês/pachymerês are predicated of humours and pneuma. Now $(156,9-11)$ the qualities of the blood in the heart's left ventricle are mentioned: it has the thinnest parts and is most pneumatic ( $\kappa \alpha i j \gamma \alpha \varrho \varrho ~ \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau о \mu \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \sigma \nu<\tau o ̀>$ $\alpha i ̃ \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \nu \varepsilon \cup \mu \alpha \tau \omega \delta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau о \nu$ है $\chi \varepsilon \iota, \kappa \alpha \grave{~ \kappa เ \nu \varepsilon i ̃ \tau \alpha ı ~ \delta \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ́ \varsigma) . ~}$

## RING 7, outer. SEPSIS TO FEVER

P. 156,11-13 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} v . .$. ó $\left.\varrho \eta \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \sigma \alpha v\right]$ Sepsis as cause of fever is first introduced in Section $8 ; 154,14-156,2$, above, among the factors that modify the process of fever upon inflammation. But fever is not just provoked by inflammation (Ring 7, opening). The subject will again appear after section 12 (Ring 7, close; p. 162,15-18), among the causes of fever. And Galen reminds the reader that a substance left with no transpiration, becomes putrid, if it is prone to. It specially happens when the substance is hot and humid. ${ }^{114}$ The sepsis' process comes along the "wedging" of the humours. Fever upon humours' sepsis is explained as well, inter alia, in De diff. febr. I 5: VII 287,5-9 K., and I 9: VII 304,11-305,9 K., and De cris. II 12: IX 692 K. ${ }^{115}$
 unusual, but correct: ${ }^{117} c f$. De sympt. causis II 5: VII 177,11-13 K.

## SECTION 10. TRANSFORMATION-3

P. 156,13-158,10. This third passage dealing with transformation (Sections 5, 8 , and 10) goes back to the first, Section $5(150,20-152,11)$. There are four parts:

1. The ongoing alteration ( $156,13-18$ ), is again expressed by verbs
 ... $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma ~ \grave{\eta} \lambda \lambda o i ́ \omega \tau \alpha \iota$ (negation plus Perfect tense), and the verb of heating ( $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \iota$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon v \alpha$, $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$-Present tense as well-); the end of the alteration is indicated by the Perfect $\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \dot{\nu} \theta \alpha \mathrm{l}$, after the aorist $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon$ ín.
2. The limit of the alteration $(156,18-19)$ ő@os ... $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ оt $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$, is the harm to the part's capacity ( $\dot{\eta} \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \dot{\varepsilon v} v \varrho \prec \varepsilon$ í́ $\varsigma ~ \alpha u ̀ \tau o \tilde{v} \beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \eta$ ). In 150,21-22 (Sec-

 of the alteration.

[^141]3. Once finished the process of alteration (158,3-8), pain is no more. The paradigm is the hektikos fever.
4. Such (158,8-10) is the opinion of the physicists (philosophers of nature).
P. 156,13-16 ov̉ $\mu \eta ̀ v \ldots \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \iota v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha]$ For the correspondence ov̉ $\mu \eta ̀ v$ oú

 ov̌ $\theta^{\prime}$... ov̌ $\tau^{\prime}$, excepting $U$ that presents ov̀ $\delta^{\prime}$ in the first.

As for the participle, PU have $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \iota \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v$, while the others present $\pi \varepsilon \varrho t-$. In the row $A \Lambda \Lambda O T I T \Omega N \Pi A P A K E I M E N \Omega N$, ПAPA- can easily change into ПEPI- because of the following KEIME. $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \kappa \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v$ is twice as common as $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha$ - in Galen, although the participle of the verb $\pi \varepsilon \varrho t-$ is less frequent than that of $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha-$. The "adjacent bodies" are the homoeomers. For the bodies adjacent to the arteries, cf. An in art. 8: IV 733, 1-5 K=19, 13-16 Albrecht: "Would you like to undress anyone of the visible and large arteries, first the skin, then the beneath and next bodies, so as to be able to go round it ... ?" The dissection of the large and visible arteries goes on ibid. (8: IV 733, 1-19 K.) The coats of arteries and veins have already been mentioned (see S. 3: p. 148,7).

In Section 5, p. 152,1-2, supra, Galen, referring to pain, uses $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha-$ $\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ and $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ (Perfect tense versus Present), in the meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ o七oṽ $\alpha \mathrm{L} / \mathfrak{\eta} \lambda \lambda$ oí $\omega \tau \alpha \iota$ here. For the last contraposition, cf. inter alia De meth. med. I 3: X 88,1-2 K.: "is changing that which is getting black, is changed that which has got black".

Change and alteration (see Section 5, above) are treated in extenso in NatFac, along with the different faculties of the body. The pair $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ $\kappa \alpha \grave{\alpha} \alpha \lambda \lambda$ o七o $\tilde{\tau} \alpha \iota$ is usual in Aristotle, in active or middle voice, and is frequent afterwards. Cf. as well (Pseudo?) Aristotle, Spir. 481b.10=Roselli 2, 10: $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda$ ov $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \alpha ̀ \lambda \lambda o \iota o v ̃ v . ~ S e e ~ a s ~ w e l l ~ T h e o p h r a s t u s, ~ D e ~ l a p i d i b u s ~(=~ f r . ~ 2, ~$ Wimmer) 45,5, D. E. Eichholz. In our treatise it is related in both cases (vide infra, p. 172,6) with the alteration provoked by heat. Cf . as well Gal. De temper. III 3: I 661,17-662.2 K. = 96,2-5 Helmreich; NatFac I 10: II 22,8-10 K.=Brock 36, 1-4; and De symp. diff. 1,4: CMG V 5,1; 202,2-5 Gundert =VII 44,17-45,1 K.
 $c f$. De differ. febr. I 1: VII 276,4-8. It follows the former, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ oıoṽ $\tau \alpha \mathrm{L} /\lceil\lambda \lambda$ oí $\omega \tau \alpha \mathrm{L}$.
P. 156,18-19 ő@os ... $\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \eta$ ] The harm of the capacity as the end or limit of the transformation was presented in Section 5, p. 150,20-22, above. In De sympt. diff. 4,20: CMG V 5,3; 244, 8-9 Gundert: VII 74,2-3 K., Galen calls "disease" the cause of the harm of the capacity, and "health" the cause of the capacity, while in Med. Meth. he calls "disease", the harm of the capacity, and cause of
the disease, the disposition (diathesis) that provokes it (De meth. med. II 6: X 116,8-12 K.)

On the other hand, the limit (ógos ) would be again invoked in Sect. 11, p. 160,10-12, below, where limit of the ametria in heat or cold, is the loosening of continuity. Between the two passages, Galen explains pain as transformation, and the disappearance of pain when the alteration is complete. ő@os is used elsewhere by Galen in the meaning of limit. Cf. De nat. fac. III 13: II 198,17-199,4 K.=Brock 306.
 i.e., the return to the natural state or the good situation, clearly inadequate in this passage. For $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o v$ as the medium between two opposites in Aristotle, see S. Byl, Recherches, pp. 238-251. Galen uses غ̇пífıкто弓 for something mixed from two different things, such as the rhîgos in quartan fevers (De sympt. caus. II 5: VII 190, 11-17 K.), which is mixed from hot and cold (cold from the black bile, and hot from the putrefaction); in De diff. febr. II 7: VII 359,19-360,9 K. we find a paroxysm of a mixed kind, from bilious and phlegmatic. In De simp. med. temp. ac fac. VI 4: XI 884,12-13 K., we find a plant having a mixed capacity: the groundsel, which cools and is slightly dissipating.

The expression "mixed, common and mean arisen from two opposites":
 pears as well in De simp. med. temp. ac fac. V 6: XI,723,14-18 K., غ̇тípıкто与 $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi$ oiv $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \eta$, and it is referred to the transformations suffered by the animals: the first is concoction, according to nature; the second is putrefaction, against nature; and the third is mixed from the two of them. In our passage, the transformation or process begins in a healthy part (according to nature) and ends in the same part when it has lost its capacity (against nature), because of dyskrasia. When the process is ongoing, the body's part is sick, but not yet useless. "Mixed, common and mean" is the range between step 1: healthy, and step 3: useless, i.e. the process of sickness itself. Let us take a look at a simple illustration:

| STEP 1: | STEP 2: | STEP 3: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| healthy | sick | useless |



For the relevant opposition "according to nature/against nature", $c f$. state of the art and thoroughly study in Kovačik, Der Begriff der Physis ...
P. 158,1 $\dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \grave{\eta}$ тov́ $\tau \omega \tau \tilde{\omega}$ đ@óv $\omega \pi \alpha v \tau i ́]$ For emphatic $\delta \dot{\eta}$ after preposition, as in $\dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \grave{\eta} \tau 0 \cup ́ \tau \omega, c f$. Denniston, 229. The syntagm тov́ $\tau \omega \tau \tilde{\omega} \chi \varrho o ́ v \omega \tau \alpha \nu \tau i ́$ appears as well in De meth. med. IX 4: X 609,18 K.
P. 158,3-6 ót $\alpha v . . . \pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \varepsilon \chi$ ó $\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma]$ I write $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \alpha ́$ where the mss. (and Hun., "all") have $\alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \tau \alpha$. The emphasis of the clause stresses the solid parts: the solid parts themselves. It is not necessary to add "all of them". Galen uses this same expression several times, as in De diff. febr. I 2: VII 278,11-13, De praesag. ex puls. II 9: IX 310,12-14, or De meth. med. VII 12: X 518,9-11, and he never adds "all of them". ${ }^{118}$ It is an uncial error by dittography, A؟TATA becoming AПАNTATA under the influx of the repetitive presence of "all/whole" from 1.11 on. In some of the passages, as in De nat. fac. I7: II 16,11-15, Galen enumerates the so-called solid parts: arteries, veins, nerves, bones, cartilages, membranes, ligaments and tunics, that is, the elementary, homoeomer and simple parts, plus arteries and veins, as opposed to humours and "pneuma".

For the text transmitted by Oribasius, and Paulus Aeg., see The Greek Indirect Tradition, 2.

The hexis was a fundamental notion in the physics of the Stoics, cf. p. 160,6-11, below, commentary. For a comparison of the words $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \eta$, $\delta \iota \alpha \dot{\theta} \sigma \sigma \iota, \varepsilon \not ้ \xi \iota \varsigma, \sigma \chi \varepsilon ́ \sigma \iota \varsigma$, in Galen, cf. A. Bertini Malgarini, Costituzione del corpo nel De optima corporis nostri constitutione e nel De bono habitu di Galeno, in: A. Garzya, ed., Tradizione ..., 1992, pp. 41-50.

Galen uses the Perfect tense of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega \omega$ and Accusative to indicate the action of the so-called hektikos fever on the solid parts, as in P. 162,3-4,
 See as well In Hipp. Prorrhet. I comment. I 33: XVI 580,12-16 K. = CMG V 9,2; 47,13-14 Diels. In the parallel passage in Oribasius, Synopsis ad Eustathium filium 6.21.1. - 3, we find $\sigma v v^{\prime} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \mathrm{L} .{ }^{119}$ Galen himself uses this verb ( $\sigma v v^{\prime} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{L}$ ) with the hektikos fever in De marcore 7: VII 694.2-5 K. However, the author only uses the participle $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \varepsilon \chi$ ó $\mu \varepsilon v o s$ in all the sentence in
 participle and $\alpha ้ v$ express an unreal or potential process/state. ${ }^{120}$ The syntagm $\omega \varsigma \alpha ̆ \nu \mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon ́ \tau \iota ~ a p p e a r s ~ f i v e ~ t i m e s ~ i n ~ G a l . ~ a c c o m p a n i e d ~ b y ~ a ~ p a r t i c i p l e, ~ a n d ~ i t s ~$ value is causal and conditional ( $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon ́ \tau \iota$ ) : "as if not just". On the other hand, the reference to the solid parts is unavoidable. Consequently it happens in the locus similis of Orib. (and Paulus Egin.) I guess toĩs $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon$ oís became lost in the

[^142]sentence: KAITOICCTEPEOICEEINEXOYCICQMACI, because a scribe went from OIC OIC to CIC CI.
 $\pi \varepsilon \varrho เ \varepsilon \chi$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$, so that the etymological relationship between them could not be forgotten, letting us understand that the so-called hektikos fever becomes one with the body. Galen plays an untranslatable etymological trick with éктıкós,
 constitutional fever, so that a pseudo-similar effect could be achieved: "being already constituted in the constitution itself of the solid bodies (parts) that are constituted with a constitution." Hun. just translates all the sentence with a concise: "but it is as well in the solid parts". I assume that $\varepsilon$ है $\check{\iota}$ है $\chi о v \sigma \iota \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$ indicates that "the solid bodies hold a permanent condition", as opposed to the humours and pneuma.

All the parts (the solid parts as well) are equally heated by the so-called hektikos fever, that is, by a homogeneous dyskrasia, so that there is no pain or perception of it by the patient. ${ }^{121}$ In the Suda (nu. 495.1-14 Adler), the cause of being painless is said to be the incapacity of the organs of perception (the homoeomers). However, Galen puts it in the absence of ongoing change, as he has repeated before. There is no alteration on the way but a complete -and finished - transformation: as such, pain is excluded: no ongoing change, no pain. ${ }^{122}$

Galen uses an Aristotelian expression: đò $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \pi o t \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \varepsilon \iota(p$. 158,12-13: see loc. sim.). It is not that of the parts, "the one is active, the other, passive," all of them having already become the same to each other, and having an homogeneous krasis. Of the differences, say, in heat, between different parts, he will speak at once.

Let's compare two fevers, the hektikos and the êpialos:

| FEVER | HEKTIKOS | EPIALOS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| AD | NO | YES |
| HD | YES | NO |
| HEAT | EVERYWHERE | PARTIAL |
| COLD | NO | PARTIAL |
| PAIN | NO | YES |
| ONGOING CHANGE | NO | YES |
| HEAT PERCEPTION | NO | YES |
| COLD PERCEPTION | NO | YES |
| IF IT KEEPS GOING ON | IT DOES NOT CHANGE | IT ARRIVES TO HEK- | TIKOS

122 A peculiar description of the hektikos fever in Paulus of Nicaea, 3; 55,1-14 Ieracci.
P. 158,6-8 $\dot{\alpha} v \omega \dot{\delta} v v o s . . . \mu$ ооí $\omega v$ ] The mss., U excepted, add. $\omega$ s post ov́ $\tau \omega(\varsigma)$ in 1. 6. It is a dittography in uncial letters: OケT $\mathcal{C} \Omega$. The construction is a correct infinitive sentence, dependent on vouíלovoıv.

The question of perception is introduced here in reference to heat in the hektikos fever. Pain in fact is perceived along the transformation, but not in the transformed body.
 and Dative (Dativus auctoris) for introducing the unanimous opinion of philosophers or physicians, the Perfect tense adding stress to it. Cf. inter alia De diebus decr. III 11: IX $936,12-14 \mathrm{~K}$. The reading ó $\mu \mathrm{o} \lambda \mathrm{o} \gamma \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha \mathrm{L}^{123}$ is phonetically identical with the Perfect form so that a confusion is rather suspected. The Present tense is used by Galen in this meaning, with the prepositions $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha$ + Dat., v́ úo and $\pi$ @ós + Gen., and sometimes just with Dative. However the Present tense should not have Dativus auctoris. ${ }^{124}$ It would be worthwhile to check the mss. of the concerned passages to see if the Perfect is transmitted as well.

With $\delta \mu \mathrm{o} \lambda$ о $\gamma \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha\llcorner$ in Present tense, Galen often points to an agreement in a subject that is being discussed for the time being, and there is at least another different opinion in the context. The physician or philosopher mentioned usually belongs to an existing group or the reference is rather general, as in De simp. med. temp. ac fac. V 2: XI 709,2-10 K., specially 709, 5-6: such and such is agreed on not just by all the doctors, but by laymen as well. In my opinion the Present tense is suspected.

On the other hand, $\dot{\alpha} v \delta \varrho \alpha ́ \sigma \iota v$ of $\Lambda$, is necessary, being toĩs фuбוкоís a generic denomination that may refer to books, criteria, bodies, organs, and should be coupled by a substantive. Cf. inter alia De diebus decr. III 11: IX 936,12-14 K., quoted above. The mention of the $\phi$ vøぃкоi $\alpha ้ v \delta \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma$ appears in Galen from time to time. Cf. De simp. med. temp. ac fac. I 26: XI 427,7-9 K.; II 1: XI 460, 2-5 K.; and III 16 : XI 585,10-12 K.

Plato's "Theaetetus" and "Timaeus", the opposite views in Aristotle's "On the soul" II 5 -III 2 and "On perception and Perceptibles", ${ }^{125}$ and Theo-

[^143]phrastus' "On the senses", ${ }^{126}$ are fundamental sources for the study of perception in the IV c. B.C.E. and afterwards. The back stage of the reasoning on perception and the senses is change: if admitted, we have an initial knowledge of nature as perceived by the senses. While Parmenides and Plato rejected the reality of the physical world, Heraclitus or Aristotle took into account perception by the senses and change. Experience as opposed to reasoning, an a posteriori knowledge.
P. 158,9-10 oưt $\gamma$ үà@ ... ódúvŋ] Cf. p. 150,20-22, above.

## RING 8, outer. HEKTIKÓS FEVER

P. 158,11-14. By means of Ring 8, placed at the end of Section 10, Galen connects that passage, dealing with Transformation, to the end of the following (Section 11, Different is noxious, same helps), so that he will come back to the perception of fever. In fact, Ring 8 encircles Section 11, which does not explain Anomalous dyskrasia.

Let us take a look at the composition of Sections 9 to 13:
S. 9. local AD to general $\mathrm{AD}=$ inflammation to fever-2 [156,2-11]
$\lceil R-7$. outer: sepsis to fever [156,11-13]
S. 10. transformation-3 [156,13-158,10] change painful, no change painless ${ }^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{R}-8$ outer: hektikos fever, no change painless $\left.[158,11-14]\right\rceil$
S. 11. different is noxious, same helps [158,14-162,2]

LR'-8 outer: hektikos fever, no change painless [162,3-4] 」
S. 12. hot+cold AD $=$ fever, painful + rhîgos $[162,4-14]$
$L^{R^{\prime}-7 . ~ o u t e r: ~ s e p s i s ~ t o ~ f e v e r ~[162,15-18] ~}$
S. 13. hot AD. other causes of fever [162,19-164,17]
P. 158,11-12 < $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}>\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau{ }^{\prime} \ldots$... ка́ $\left.\mu v o v \sigma \iota v\right]$ The demonstrative pronoun $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$ by itself (without $\delta \grave{\alpha}$ ) does not have a meaning here. Hun. understands "because of that". On the other hand, $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau$ ' $\alpha$ 人́ $\alpha$ appears twice in Galen, in De san. tuenda IV 4: VI 260,18 K. = CMG V 4,2; 115,6 Koch ( $\delta$ ı $\alpha$ тoṽ $\tau$ ' $\alpha \varrho \alpha$ ), and De cris. I 12: IX 597,17 K. = 99,9-10 Alexanderson. In the series $\eta$ $\mathfrak{o j} \delta u ́ v \eta ~ \delta ı \grave{\alpha}$ $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau$ ', the preposition disappeared because of phonetic haplography (iodinidiata), coupled by haplography in uncial letters: HO $\triangle$ §NH $\triangle I A T A \Upsilon T A$. For the previous $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma(158,10)$, LM present $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{i} \omega \varsigma$ that is difficilior, but could have been written through influence of the next word: $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \omega \varsigma ~ \grave{\eta} \lambda \lambda o \iota \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v o ı s$.

[^144]Moreover, it is written twice in the same line, and a different spelling does not seem probable to me.

5,23-24 ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \ldots \kappa \varrho \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota v]$ For the Aristotelian $\tau$ ò $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v \pi o \iota \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}, \tau$ ò $\delta \varepsilon ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \varepsilon \iota, ~ i . e . ~$ to act/to be acted upon, see Introduction, Contents.

5,24 ó $\mu$ oí $\omega \varsigma$ U: ó $\mu$ oí $\omega v$ cett. $\Sigma$. In three Galenic passages we find series of $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu \dot{o} \mu o i ́ \omega \varsigma$ followed by a participle in $-\omega v$, as in De caus. puls. I 7: IX 17,14-15 K., De comp. med. per gen. V 14: XIII 845,11-13 K., and De ossibus ad tirones 3: II $746,15-17 \mathrm{~K}$. The ó $\mu \mathrm{o}$ í $\omega v$ is due to homoeoteleuton.

## SECTION 11. DIFFERENT IS NOXIOUS, SAME HELPS

P. 158,14-162,2. Section 11 presents three aspects of the compatibility of parts and humours in the same animal, and in different animals, and the application of such doctrine to the more general fields of maintaining health or attacking disease.

There are three blocks:
1 Block. 158,14-160,4. Adjacent parts with different but moderate krasis
2 Block. 160,4-13. All diseases are but wounds
3 Block. 160,13-162,2. Different is noxious, same helps.
First block. 158,14-160,4 $\varepsilon \grave{i} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \grave{\eta} . . . \alpha i \sigma \theta \alpha v o ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha]$ The question of neighbouring parts with different krasis is now explained in order to understand the qualitative anomalous dyskrasia as the result of an intense opposition hot/cold, as he will show when referring to êpialos fevers.

Galen states that adjacent parts could be hot vs. cold - such us flesh and bone - not being harmful to each other, because the excess of, say, heat, or cold, is not immoderate. The natural differences of the parts to each other are called by him "anomaly", meaning that they have different krasis. However, such anomaly does not bring dyskrasia with it, because the difference among them (flesh and bone, in the example) is moderate ( $\mu$ と́t@เoऽ). That indication will be important when dealing with dyskrasia, in the fevers where chills are present (cold/hot, see Sections 15-18, below). He goes on introducing hot/cold air, in the same situation as neighbouring parts: it is not inconvenient until its quality is immoderate ( $\alpha ้ \mu \varepsilon \tau \emptyset \circ \varsigma)$. The moderate differences in between ( $\varepsilon v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega)$ do not bring harm to us.

Galen is rather didactic. He finds everyday situations that can help the explanations. As he introduces air here, we will be told below of a man under a hot sun upon whom we throw cold water, in order to understand the per-
ception of fever and chills at the same time (p. 166,12-168,1). The pain in the nails when somebody, having very cold hands, comes near a source of heat ( $p$. 164,21-166,3), is very illustrative as well.

In De temper. I 9: I 568,16-571,3 K. = 37, $14 \ldots 39,12$ Helmreich, at the end of the first book, Galen explains the quality of the homoeomers and of some composed parts. After the hair that is coldest and driest, bone is second to it, cartilage is not as dry as bone, ligament follows cartilage, and tendon follows ligament. After those, membrane, artery and vein. Flesh is drier, but more humid than skin, and hotter. For a complete presentation of this subject, see the thorough account of Rameis, Die Krasislehre, pp. 58-60.
P. 158,14-17 $\varepsilon$ í $\delta \grave{\varepsilon} . .$. к@ $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \iota]$ At the beginning of the Section, we have two conditional clauses ${ }^{127}$ with Optative (A, B), with a common apodosis (C), a consecutive sentence dependent on $B$ (D), and a causal sentence dependent on $C$ (E). Let us take a look:

1. A if one (part) of them were hotter and the other colder
2. B but (if they were) so much hotter <or colder>
3. D as [not] to hurt the one nearby,
4. C [or] that way would be harmful to each other <all> the parts in their natural state,
5. E for they are in fact different in their krasis.

The text is not well transmitted. The apodosis needs Optative more than Imperfect (potential instead of unreal), and $U$ has it: cín against $\eta v v$ in the others. The whole period may be understood with a minimum of change. The second $\psi v \chi \varrho o ́ \tau \varepsilon \varrho o v ~ d i s a p p e a r e d ~ b e c a u s e ~ o f ~ h o m o e o t e l e u t o n ~ i n ~-\tau \varepsilon \varrho o v, ~ b u t ~$ Hип. and Nic. have it, and Chartrier inserted it. A negative adverb $\mu \eta$ was wrongly introduced in the consecutive sentence, but Nic. does not have it. And a disjunctive particle $\eta$ g appeared at the beginning of the apodosis. I have eliminated the two of them. In the main sentence I inserted $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$, according to Nic.'s universe, easily lost in the sequence $[\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha]^{128}$. All the mss., except M , add a superfluous $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ before $\mu$ ó $\varrho \alpha$, and most probably M has omitted it because of error ( M reads $\check{c} \chi o v \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu$ ó $\llcorner\alpha$ ). It is to be eliminated in any case.

After $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \zeta o v$, Nic. added neque ita dolebunt, translated into Greek by
 have pain", does not have a place here, in my opinion.

[^145]P. 158,18-160,1 $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \xi$... v́t $\mu$ ógıov, cf. inter alia De elem. ex Hipp. I 1: I 419,13=CMG V 1,2, Lacy: 62,15-
 ©EPMONECTIMOPION, saut du même au même. For the place of the next

 has been lost by homoeoarcton in the row $A N \Omega \Delta \Upsilon N O C E C T I N H A N \Omega M A M I A$.
P. 160,1-2 ov́ $\tau \omega$... غ̇ктৎ $\alpha \pi \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota]$ L's reading $\theta \dot{\varrho} \varrho \mu \alpha v \sigma \iota v$, is an error by homoeoteleuton with the previous $\psi \tilde{v} \xi \iota v$. Usually the counterpoint of $\psi \tilde{v} \xi ı s$ (cooling vs. heating) is not $\theta \varepsilon ́ \varrho \mu \alpha v \sigma ı \varsigma$, but $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \sigma$ í $\alpha$ or $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu$ о́тŋऽ, as in line 9 , below; for $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \alpha, c f$. inter alia, Hipp. Nat. Puer. 15,3; Hippocrate XI: 57,20-24 Joly = VII 494,11-15 L.; Aristotle GA 764b.7-8, and Cat 8b. $35-37$. For $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu o ́ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ s e e ~$ Aristotle, Cat 8b. 35 -37, Meteor 351a. 30 -33, and Gal. Adv. Lyc. XVIIIa,204,3
 $\mu \varepsilon \mu \phi о ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$. Galen uses $\theta \varepsilon ́ \rho \mu \alpha \nu \sigma \iota s$ for healing heating, as opposed to $\psi \tilde{v} \xi \iota \varsigma$, cooling: cf. De sanit. tuenda III 10: VI 219, 16-17 K. = CMG V 4,2; 97,1 Koch.

Second Block: ${ }^{129} 160,4-13$ кıvסuvev́eı ... $\pi \varrho o ́ \delta \eta \lambda$ lov] "All diseases are but wounds." Galen brings forward the Hippocratic dictum (De fract. 31, 526, 9-10 L. = II 94,10-11 Kühlewein), ${ }^{130}$ as he does in his Commentary to Hipp. De fract. (III, 33: XVIIIb, 585, 16-17 K.) including the sentence "probably that reasoning is adequate", ${ }^{131}$ in order to explain the effects of local cold/hot dyskrasia, comparing them with wounds, as they loosen the continuity. See Commentary, below.
P. 160,6-11 $\sigma v v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~ . . . ~ \delta o ́ \xi ́ \varepsilon เ \varepsilon v] ~ F o r ~ \sigma u v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~ \lambda u ́ \sigma ı \varsigma ~ c f . ~ S e c t i o n ~ 5, ~ p . ~ 152,6, ~$ and 11, above. On the grounds of the loosening of continuity that is not just produced by exterior injury, but by dyskrasia as well, Galen goes on to describe the types of action exerted by local dyskrasia. The $\sigma v v \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon เ \alpha$ or coherence ${ }^{132}$ is a fundamental notion in the Physics of the Stoics: ${ }^{133}$ "The term coherence ( $\sigma \cup v \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \alpha$ ) was originally used by Aristotle to express continuity in an essentially geometrical and topological sense, but the Stoics gave it the physical and

[^146]dynamic significance of cohesion within the physical world." In their doctrine, the pneuma and its tension ( t vos), produce the cohesion of matter. ${ }^{134}$ For the Stoics, the pneuma generates the physical qualities, and cohesion becomes hexis, the physical state of the body.

The pneuma and its tension give form, a precise size, and so on, to the parts of matter. ${ }^{135}$ In the Stoic theory of matter:
"hexis denotes the structure of matter in a similar way to which physis expresses organic structure, and psyche, the structure of the living being." ${ }^{136}$
"... the living body was regarded similarly as a united structure, as was shown ... in the passage where he [Galen] describes the faculties of the human body as structural elements of its physio-logy, extending throughout the whole body." ${ }^{137}$

As synonyms of $\sigma v v \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~ \lambda u ́ \sigma ı \varsigma, ~ G a l e n ~ m e n t i o n s ~ \varepsilon ́ v \omega ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \lambda u ́ \sigma ı s ~$ "loosing of unity" and $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \theta$ oŋ $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\varepsilon} v \omega \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ "destruction of unity", in De const. art. med. 5: I 238,7-239,2 K.= CMG V 1,3; 66, 3-6 Fortuna; De causis morborum 11: VII 37,10-13 K., and Med. Meth. VII 2: X 459,6-8. In De constitutione, ibidem (66,7-10 Fortuna), he mentions the actions that provoke it: "of bone, nerve, vein, artery and flesh, the continuity is destroyed $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ vú $\tau \tau \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ í $\tau \varepsilon$
 piercing, eroding, cutting, stretching out, and breaking)."

In our Section 5, p. 152,10-11, he writes: "getting wounded, eroded, stretched out, compressed, or torn asunder" (غ̀v $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega} \tau \iota \tau \varrho \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \dot{1}$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta \iota \beta \varrho \omega \prime \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ к $\alpha i ̀ \tau \varepsilon i ́ v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \theta \lambda i ́ \beta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota)$.

The name of the injury is different according to the harmed part, as he explains in De const. art. med. 5: I 238,16-239,7 K.= CMG V 1,3, 66,10-17 Fortuna. Daniela Manetti and Amneris Roselli (pp. 86-87 in: Note per una nuova edizione dei commenti di Galeno ai trattati chirurgici di Ippocrate, in: M. Vegetti, Studi ... in onore Paola Manuli) comment on some of the names applied to the actions that provoke wounds/ulcers.

In the present text, both the strong heating and the top cooling loosen the continuity: the former by separating and cutting through, the latter by contracting and compressing in; consequently they squeeze out some matter, ${ }^{138}$ and crush some other. The sentences are rather parallel (9-11):

[^147]```
\eta}\mu\varepsiloǹv \gamma\grave{\alpha\varrho \piо\lambda\lambda\età Ө\varepsilon\varrho\mu\alpha\sigmaí\alpha,
```



```
\eta \delta' \alphä\kappa\varrho\alpha \psi\tilde{v}\xi\iota\varsigma,
    \tau\tilde{\omega}\pi\iota\lambda\varepsilon\tilde{\imath}\nu \tau\varepsilon \kappa\alphaì \sigmauv\omegaӨ\varepsilon\tilde{\imath}v \varepsilon\imath゙\sigma\omega,
\tau\grave{\alpha}\mu\dot{\varepsilon}v \varepsiloṅ\kappa\pi\iota\varepsiloń\zeta\varepsilon\iota, \tau\dot{\alpha \delta文 0\lambda\tilde{\alpha}}.
```

Galen enumerates at the beginning and end of this book (p. 142,5-8, and 170,13-14) the names of those local dyskrasia. On the other hand, to the "loosening of unity" is especially dedicated De causis morb. 11: VII 37,10-41,3 K., tr. Johnston 178-179.

In Hipp. de vict. acut. comment. II 1: XV 515,11-516,3 K. = CMG V 9,1; 163,19-164,3 Helmreich, Galen explains that pain in the homoeomers happens along with an intense change against nature, which is double, at times caused by a hard alteration in the quality, at times by loosening the continuity. The parts are intensely altered by the active qualities (vide supra, p. 152,5-11) when being heated up, cooled down, dried or moistened, and the continuity of them is destroyed.
R. Rey (Histoire, 42) remarks: «Pour comprendre la signification de la douleur comme variation d'intensité il faut rappeler que pour Galien toute sensation suppose un changement interne qui est la condition de la mise en éveil du pneuma psychique: sans cette altération ou transformation, il n'y pas de sensation possible.» In fact, from the homoeomers that are the perceiving parts, the nerves carry the sensation to the brain.

Amneris Roselli, ${ }^{139}$ underlines that Galen, in his Commentary to De fracturis (see above), ${ }^{140}$ states that every disease can be understood as a loosening of continuity, because all diseases provoke pain. ${ }^{141}$ Palladius remarked that every pain is caused by loosening of the continuity. ${ }^{142}$ As Galen explains the notion of all diseases as wounds in his In Hipp. Fract. comment. III 34 : XVIIIb 586, $1-587,12 \mathrm{~K}$, let us take a look at it:

[^148]1. Obviously the loosening of continuity is a wound.
2. Moreover, the alteration in a dyskrasia taking place all at once, loosens the continuity.
3. Heat corrodes the continuity.
4. All pain happens at the genesis of wounds.
5. All diseases are but wounds.
 105,1-5; Plut. Bruta animalia ratione uti 989.B.3-5, and Gal. In Hipp. de victu acut. comment. II 10: XV 534,12-14 K. = CMG V 9,1 Helmreich. The verb appears in Participle Aorist in those texts, as in P's reading, $\theta \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon v o s$, but the subject in them is the writer himself, and so the reference is to a previous (punctual Aorist) action ("made"), while a third person is the subject here, and the action is placed at the time of the statement ("making"; durative, Present tense).

Technically, ő@os is understood as definition. See J. Kollesch, Untersuchungen, 66-74, where she does not adscribe definitions to a single school: treatises consisting of collections of medical definitions are known since the Hellenistic period, and the Pneumatists are not the first to use them.

In $\langle\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma>\dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho i ́ \alpha \varsigma$ the article is necessary because the noun is determined by the Genitive. It disappeared because of iotacism and/or visual haplography in the homoeoarcton TICOPONTIEEMENOCTHC.

In 160,1 and 10, Galen uses $\ddot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \circ v$ and $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho i ́ \alpha ~ t o ~ d e n o t e ~ t h e ~ e x c e s s, ~$ as opposed to $\tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \tau Q i ́ \varphi$ of 158,19 . Dealing with pulse (De dign. puls. II 3: VIII 860,15-861,8 K.), he remarks that big, small, quick, slow, hard and mild, refer to ametria, the way that hot, cold, dry or moist krasis, is not the best of krasis. Of course dyskrasia is an ametria. As far as fevers are concerned, ametria is said of heat, which becomes as high as to produce pain and to harm the activity. As fever is an excess of heat, it has to be treated by cooling (Meth. med. VIII 1: X 532, 5 - 535,2 K.) In De sanit. tuenda V 2: VI 318, 8-9, he states that, in order to counteract any ametria, it is necessary to go to the opposite ametria.

From p. 158,14 to p. 160,14, the usual order hot-cold is kept (cf. De Lacy, V 1,2, De elementis, passim), but when treating of air (160,2, above), Galen puts cold first. Could it be because the impact of ice-cold air is more obvious than that of hot air in a country so used to heat? Maybe he is preparing the presentation of êpialos fever and of rhîgos as well (see below).

I guess the scribe displaced $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ from its line to the next: some 40 letters to the line in the archetypus. I moved it to the beginning of the clause, $c f$. De const. art.

 the same as that coupled by Imperative, that is, a strong adversative. It is an independent sentence. The composition argues for the preferred position in as much as Galen uses $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ as resumptive, and so at the end or beginning of a block/section concerning the same subject.

As for $\pi \varrho o ́ \varsigma ~ \tau u: ~ i n ~ S t o i c ~ p h i l o s o p h y, ~ t h e r e ~ a r e ~ " t w o ~ k i n d s ~ o f ~ r e l a t i o n s, ~$ the relative state and the relative: The first [ $\pi$ @ó $\tau$ í $\pi \omega \varsigma$ č̌ $\chi \circ v$ ] denoted a state defined by that of another thing outside it ... The relative [ $\pi$ @ó $\tau \iota$ ] referred to things capable of change ... whereby the relation is given through comparison of two states of this change (e.g. two degrees of sweetness)." The second applies here.
 following:

1. bodies are not constituted in the same way in relation to heat and cold. (160,13-14)
2. some animals have the humours compatible to each other, and some, destructive to each other. (160,14-19)
example: men /vipers, scorpions
both of them kill the other with their saliva
3. (160,19-162,2):
similar $=$ friend $\quad$ opposite $=$ enemy and harmful
similars make to grow opposites make to die
health, kept by similars disease, attacked by opposites
P. 160,13-14 ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$... $\delta \iota \alpha \tau i ́ \theta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}]$ Different bodies (=animals) have different qualities, or have them in a different intensity. Each body has its own qualities. In De temper. I 6: I 538,11-539,3 K. Galen explains that the four qualities precisely apply to elements, while other entities are not just "hot and humid", or the like, but they are "hot or humid in relation to": thus men are hot and humid as compared to plants, men are rather humid as compared to dogs, and those are rather dry as compared to men.
P. 160,16-19 đò $\gamma$ oũv ... $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i ́ \delta \alpha$ ] Galen uses both $\sigma i ́ \alpha \lambda o v$ and $\sigma i ́ \varepsilon \lambda o v$ for saliva. In De simpl. med. temp. ac fac. X 16: XII 288,7-8 K., he declares that the two of them have the same meaning, and adds $\pi \tau$ v́c $\lambda$ ov (sputum) as well.
 sentence and the next. I have corrected the Future form $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha$ เ@ $\emptyset \sigma \varepsilon \iota s$ of the codd., into the Optative form $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \iota \emptyset \quad \sigma \varepsilon \iota \alpha$, taking into account the next sentence, where P reads $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha$ юŋ́бєıs. The first $\ddot{\alpha} v$ disappeared in the homoeoarcton OケT $\Omega \Gamma$ AN $\rightarrow O \Upsilon T \Omega \Gamma O \Upsilon N$. Besides that, $\gamma$ oṽv appears in the former sentence, and could have been repeated by the scribe. For ov́t $\omega \gamma^{\prime} \not \partial v c f$. De



 haplography in MHNANANӨР $\Omega$ ПОС. ह̌ $\chi\llcorner\delta \vee \alpha$ ( P 's reading).

Galen describes, in De simpl. med. temp. ac fac. X 16: XII 288, 15-18 K., a therapeutic use of human saliva, in case of leichên (lichen), precisely a baby's saliva. Aristotle mentions in HA the effect of human saliva on scorpions and vipers (HA 607a.27-607a.30), when referring to their mortal bites: "Among all of them, the bite of animals with poison is rather difficult (i.e. dangerous), in case they bite one another, such as scorpions and vipers. For most of them, men's saliva is enemy". In De simpl. med. temp. ac fac. X 16: XII 288,12-290,3 K. Galen goes on describing the effects of it on those animals who kill men by it. He says how he firstly had attended a scene: somebody salivated on a scorpion, ${ }^{143}$ and, repeating it twice, it died. After that, Galen could check the effect of saliva on scorpions, which is quick if the man has neither eaten nor drunk, and slow after having eaten and drunk too much. He makes a summary of it in NatFac III 7: II 163,4-7 K. = 218,25-219,3 Helmreich. In De loc. aff. III 11: VIII 195,4198,5 K., he mentions the paradoxical effect of the bite of scorpions, which kill so swiftly, the bite being so tiny, and the sting remaining unspoilt ... and the similar effects of vipers and asps. In Diocles fr. 177 Eijk (145 Wellmann), 16-26, the Pseudo-Dioscorides ${ }^{144}$ includes a similar passage on scorpions and vipers

Block 3.3.: 160,19-162,2 đò $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho ~ . . . ~ \dot{~ e ̀ v \alpha v \tau i ́ \omega v] ~ T h e ~ s t y l e ~ a n d ~ c o m p o s i t i o n ~}$ of the passage is rather brilliant. There are three periods of opposed groups. Observe that the first and last contain no verb, being nominal sentences in a description, whereas the central introduces verbs, being a narration:

[^149]what is similar, is related and friendly, what is opposite, is inimical and harmful.
everything grows and is nurtured by similars, but is destroyed and perishes by dissimilars. the watchfulness of health by means of similars, the destruction of diseases by means of opposites.
P. 160,19-20 tò $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \ldots \dot{\alpha} v \iota \alpha \varrho o ́ v] ~ T h e ~ n o t i o n ~ o f ~ o p p o s i t e s ~ b e i n g ~ d e s t r u c-~$ tive to each other is brought forth by Aristotle, Ph. 192a.21-22 фӨ人@т兀к $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$
 $\dot{v} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega v$, к $\alpha \grave{i} \phi \theta \alpha \varrho \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\lambda} \omega \omega v \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i ́ v: ~ " t h e ~ o p p o s i t e s ~ a r e ~ p a s s i v e ~ a n d ~$ active to each other, and are destructive to each other." For the group oikeióv $\tau \varepsilon$ к $\alpha$ ì фídıov, see De usu part. VII 8: III 541,1-4 K. = 393,11-13 Helmreich, where
 better than фídov in LPU.

On the other hand, oikeios is an important notion in Stoic Philosophy, as "endeared by nature to all animals", including man. Galen takes the primary ability of physis to distinguish oikeion from allotrion, from the Hippocratic Corpus and Plato (Kovačik, Der Begriff der Physis, p. 144).
P. 160,20-21 $\alpha u ้ \xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ . . . ~ \dot{\alpha} v o \mu o i ́ \omega v$ ] That growth and nutrition is common to animals and plants, while feeling/perceiving and (voluntary) motion ( $\alpha \mathfrak{i} \sigma \theta \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa ı v \varepsilon i ̃ \sigma \theta \alpha ı ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \varrho о \alpha i ́ \varrho \varepsilon \sigma ı v) ~ o n l y ~ b e l o n g ~ t o ~ a n i m a l s, ~ i s ~$ an Aristotelian doctrine. Galen, at the beginning of De nat. facult. I 1: II 1,2-2,5 K. = SM III 101,1-15 Helmreich, attaches physis to the former, and psychê to the latter. On the other hand, discussing the functions of $p s y c h e ̂$ and physis, Galen comments that Plato and Aristotle considered psychê not just the instrument of reasoning and memory, but also that of plants as well: "they grow, are nurtured and preserved by psychê, until they dry." $\alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ غ ̇ v ~ \tau o i ̃ s ~ \phi \nu \tau о i ̃ s, ~ \tilde{\eta}$
 (In Hipp. Epid. VI comment. V 5: XVIIb, 250, 12-17 K. = CMG V 10,2,2; 272,25 - 273,2 Wenkebach).

That everything grows and is nurtured by similars, and destroyed by opposites, is present at the Hippocratic Corpus: Cf. Hipp. Morb. IV 34: Hip-


 "Car l'humeur tire de la terre l'humeur semblable et, grace à elle, la plante se développe et se nourrit; aucun (espèce) de plant n'est semblable à une autre, puisqu'elle ne tire de la terre une humeur ni identique ni semblable." (tr. Joly).
P. 162,20 $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$... $\dot{\varepsilon} v \alpha v \tau i ́ \omega v]$ The verb disappeared in uncial letters: ANAIPECICECTAIDIATQN, by homoeoteleuton and homoeoarcton.
"... the system of treatment, which Galen says is Hippocratic, by which opposites are the cures for their opposites." ${ }^{145}$ In fact, in the Hippocratic NatHom 9, ${ }^{146}$ the subject is introduced: "Voici ce qu-il faut savoir en outre: les maladies causées par la réplétion sont guéries par la vacuité; celles qui proviennent de la vacuité sont guéries par la réplétion ... Pour résumer toutes ces notions, le médecin doit s' opposer au caractère établi des maladies ... $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ est la meilleure méthode, en effet, pour apporter du soulagement à la partie malade." (tr. Jouanna). Galen, in his Commentary to NatHom, explains the Hippocratic passage quoted above. ${ }^{147}$

The same subject appears as well in Hipp. Aphorisms II 22: VI 476,6-8 L. = Hippocrates IV 21,23 Jones, and in Flat. 1: VI 92,5-15 L. $=6,10-12$ Nelson=
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i v$ í $\dagger \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. "In a single word, opposites heal opposites".

Galen remarks in De sanit. tuenda V 2: VI 318, 8-9 K. = CMG V 4,2; 140,27-28 Koch: "Every ametria is healed by the opposite ametria". In Meth. med. VIII 1: X 532,5-535,2 K., quoted earlier, we find: "as in the fevers the dyskrasia is ametria of heat, its treatment, to reach eukrasia, is the contrary ametria, that is, intense cooling ( $\delta \grave{\alpha} \psi \dot{v} \xi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma)^{\prime}$. See Commentary to $160,10-13$ above. There is a similar statement in Meth. med. IX 1: X 634,9-10 K.: $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega^{\prime} \sigma \pi \varepsilon \varrho$
 it is preserved by means of similars, likewise it is destroyed by means of opposites".
P. 162,2 $\pi \varepsilon @ i \not \mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \varepsilon ̌ \tau \varepsilon @ o \varsigma ~ \alpha ̈ \nu ~ \varepsilon i ̉ \eta ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \varsigma] ~ P z ~ h a v e ~ w r i t t e n ~ t w i c e ~ \pi \varepsilon @ i ~ . . . ~$. $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o \varsigma$, but the first time they present a sentence without a verb as the other mss. do, and the second they rightly have $\check{\alpha} v \varepsilon$ 舀, that PD eliminated when correcting. $\grave{\alpha} v \varepsilon$ そ̌ך was easily lost because of homoeoteleuton ЕТЕРОСАNЕІН $Л О Г О С . ~$

As for the book alluded to, see B 1. Author and Date, 2, above. As it often happens, block and section are closed by a reference to the writings of Galen.

## RING-8', outer. THE HEKTIKOS FEVER.

P. 162,3-4 ó $\delta^{\prime}$ غ́ктькòs ... ка́ $\left.\mu v o v \tau \iota\right]$ Section 11 is enclosed in a ring that deals with hektikos fever ( $\mathrm{R}-8 \mathrm{R}-8^{\prime}$ ), the only fever that does not represent an anoma-
lous, but a homogeneous dyskrasia. It gives way to the specific analysis of heat and cold, that is, fever and rhîgos, expression of anomalous dyskrasia.

## SECTION 12. HOT + COLD AD = FEVER + RHÎGOS

P. 162,4-14. The rather interesting structure of Sections 12 to 17 , is projected into the opposition heat/cold, representing fever/rhîgos: S. 12, 14, 15 and 17 deal with both heat and cold, while 16 deals with cold, rejecting heat, and 13 considers heat:

```
\Gamma12 heat+cold/
    13 heat/
    \Gamma14 heat + cold
L r15 cold+heat/
    16 cold/
L17 heat+cold/
```

The contrast of the qualities hot/cold, as fever and rhîgos, is clearly presented, in order to understand the nature of the êpialos fever - the book's ve-dette-, which is defined by the continuous presence of $r h \hat{y} g o s$ (see below).

Section 12, R' 7, Section 13, and their subdivisions, are closed by a reference to Galen's writings, be it future treatises-to-be, or past and present to the ongoing. All of them, as everywhere else in his treatises, has a Verbum finitum:

Section 12,
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ عịŋ́бєт $\alpha$ ı.//
part 2, ending: $\eta$ خ́ $\eta \mu$ оı $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \mathrm{\iota} . / /$


## Section 13,

part 1, ending: $\varepsilon u ̋ \delta \eta \lambda o v ~ \varepsilon i ̃ v \alpha ı ~ v o \mu i ́ \zeta \omega . / / ~$



R'-8 and Section 12 bring us back to the beginning of the treatise, Frame 1. The anomalous dyskrasia produces all the fevers, excepting the hektikós. But there is a new mark, already introduced in Section 10 and R-8: all of them are painful, but the hektikós is not. And another subject is added: some fevers are accompanied by rhîgos. Fevers will be treated up to the end of the book, while the description of rhîgos will be explained side by side with fever in Sections 13 to 17 .

Section 12 is composed by two parts, P. 162,4-11: $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu . .$.

P. 162,4-11 $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu . . . \varepsilon$ £ŋŋ́ $\left.\sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota\right]$ At the beginning, fevers are qualified as perceivable (litotes: oû $\delta \varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha i ́ \sigma Ө \eta \tau o \varsigma), ~ i n ~ o p p o s i t i o n ~ t o ~ t h e ~ h e k t i k o ́ s . ~ T h e ~ o p-~$ position painful/painless in dyskrasia was presented when referring to hektikós fever in Section 10 and R8-R'8. It is painless, being the expression of homogeneous dyskrasia, where change does not happen.

We learn that rhîgos is provoked by anomalous dyskrasia, as are most fevers. The author refers us to his De symptom. caus., for a thorough presentation of rhîgos. In fact, he defines it in De sympt. caus. I 8: VII 145, 10 -12 K.: "I give now that name not to the perception of strong cooling, but to the anomalous agitation ( $\sigma \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu o ́ v$ ) and trembling ( $\kappa \lambda$ óvov)". There is a thorough presentation of rhîgos in De sympt. causis II 5: VII 175, 12-196,7 K. See Commentary to S. 14-16, below.

In present-day English, "rigor" is defined as "a sudden feeling of cold shivering accompanied by a rise in temperature, preceding a fever" (OD). As the Galenic rhîgos does not precisely agree with "rigor", I just transcribe the Greek word.
 have been changed into $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma 0 \cup \sigma \iota$ in the series РІГОСЕПІФЕРОҮСІ $\rightarrow$ РІГОСЕПАГОҮСІ,
but èrá $\gamma o v \sigma \iota$ is difficilior (in Galen) and shorter than the other.
 times in Galen. $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ oṽv $\delta \dot{\eta}$ is Platonic: see Denniston 447. Most probably KAIA $\Lambda \Lambda A T I N A(i n L)$ was changed into KAIA $\Lambda \Lambda А П О \Lambda \Lambda A(\pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} c e t t ., c f$. "many" Hun): TI was read $\Pi$, NA was read $\Lambda \Lambda A$, and not the other way round.
P. $162,8 \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega} \ldots \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega]$ This reference to a present treatise appears ca. 14 times in Galen: ${ }^{18} c f$. among them, De tumor. praet. nat. 1: VII 706, 8-9 K.: $\pi$ @óкعıт $\alpha$ เ
 The insertion of $v \tilde{v} v$ in $\Delta$ is right, and was lost in $\Lambda$ because of saut du même au même: ENTQNయNENECTRTI.

[^150]P. 162,9-10 $\pi$ @ìv ... $\pi \varepsilon ́ \phi \cup \kappa \varepsilon v]$ Galen states the same task in Nat.Fac. I 2: II 6,1416 K. = SM III, 105, 7-13 Helmreich: "We will do the next reasoning by searching all the subjects that we have presented at the beginning: how many and which are the faculties of nature, and what is the task endeared to each of them". The subject will be explained throughout the treatise.

When referring to quantity and quality in hypotactic interrogative sentences, Galen uses either:

1. the direct and simple interrogative pronouns, as in Nat.Fac. above: I


2. or the indirect and composed interrogative pronouns, as in De tem-





Galen uses simple relative pronouns freely as interrogative (specially ő oot), but just one at a time. He does not mix composed and simple pronouns. I have therefore corrected ő $\sigma \alpha$ ı into ó óó $\sigma \alpha$ ı.
P. 162,11-14 غ̇ $\pi \alpha \dot{v} v \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{~L} . . . \lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L}$.$] From those remarks about fevers, the au-$ thor comes back to AD. He reminds the reader of inflammation as the (main) cause of fever, and states that all inflammations and all fevers are expressions of AD, hektikós fever excepted. In this way, he draws a bridge between the beginning of the treatise, Frame 1, and the ongoing Section, as he will do at the end of the book, Frame 1'. Moreover, Section 6 and 9, "Inflammation to fever, 1 and 2 " are brought forth as well:
S. 1, F. 1
S. 6
S. 9
S. 12
S. 18, F. $1^{\prime}$
L
$\perp$
$\perp$
$\perp$
」

So that he refers to the treatise's beginning and end (Frame 1-1': systemic AD = fevers, hektikós fever excepted), and to Sections 6 and 9: local AD to systemic $\mathrm{AD}=$ inflammation to fever, 1 and 2 . That way, he keeps the reasoning in order.

## RING 7', outer. SEPSIS TO FEVER

P. 162,15-18 $\gamma$ ह́voıto ... غ́ $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \omega \theta$ t] Cf. Commentary to R 7, in 156,11-13. In 162,15 $\gamma \varepsilon ́ v o \iota \tau o . . . \pi v \varrho \varepsilon \tau o ́ s$, both $\gamma$ í $\gamma \vee \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ and $\kappa \alpha i$ in $\Lambda$, are lectiones faciliores, the former arising from the preceding $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L}$, and $\triangle E K A I$ from $\triangle A N$. On the other hand, iotacism made $\mu$ óv $\eta$ to disappear in $\Lambda$.
$\Lambda$ has divided $\gamma \varepsilon \sigma \phi \eta \nu o v ́ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha ́$ into $\gamma^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \phi-$, producing a Perfect tense. The participle is coordinated with a second one in Present tense, both referring to a timeless and durative condition, so that the Perfect tense is not adequate. $\sigma \phi \eta \nu o u ́ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ is difficilior as well. An alike expression in De diff. febr. II 17: VII 399, 6-7 K. (бфךvoú $\mu \varepsilon v o v ~ d ̀ \delta \iota \alpha ́ \pi v \varepsilon v \sigma \tau o v) . ~$
P. 162,18 عi@ŋ́ $\sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ . . . ~ غ ́ \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho \omega \theta \iota] ~ H e ~ c o u l d ~ b e ~ r e f e r r i n g ~ t o ~ D e ~ d i f f . ~ f e b r ., ~ w h e r e ~$ putrefaction is often commented (see loc. sim. to R 7, in 156,11-13). Nevertheless, most probably he points to Med. Meth. ${ }^{149}$ See, inter alia, VIII 7: X 583,3 $586,11 \mathrm{~K}$.

## SECTION 13. HOT AD: OTHER CAUSES OF FEVER

P. 162,19-164,17. Galen puts together several features of fever:

1. He enumerates four causes of (ephemeral) fever: 162,19-164,3
2. Its intensity depends on the importance of the cause, and the diathesis of the body: 164,3-6
3. Fever affects just pneuma, or humours as well: 164,6-8
4. Process giving way to fever (AD): a flux, or an alteration of quality. The immediate cause could be external or internal: 164,8-17

This Section is delimited at the beginning by R-7' that is closed by a men-
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho \omega \theta \iota)$, and, in a similar way, we find a second mention at Section end:
 גitíoıs.

While the first point is presented in four Absolute Genitives, the three remaining appear as anteposed Hypotactic sentences (and some postposed), having at their centre the Main sentences (MS). We could call it a $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$-style. The Hypotactic sentences (HS) are introduced this way:




Point 1. Other causes of fever. 162,19-164,3. See the easy way to grasp presentation by means of Absolute Genitives:





Other body's dyskrasias (systemic) are presented here: fevers not produced by inflammation or sepsis. ${ }^{150}$ The related fevers are of the ephemeral kind, where just the pneuma is affected and heated.

Galen enumerates such causes as the retention of a fuliginous perspiration, a heat-stroke, gymnastic exercises or body exertion, and an immoderate boiling of the blood because of anger.

In De diff. febr. I 7: VII 295,11-297, 12 K., Galen, referring to the ephemeral fevers, distinguishes them from the fever that follows sepsis, and enumerates such causes for them as heat-stroke, violent anger, exercises in excess, or fuliginous matter being held. He also remarks that, in the ephemeral fevers caused by boubones, ${ }^{151}$ the heat reaches the heart, but in those caused by the putrid sooty ( $\left.\sigma \eta \pi \varepsilon \delta o v \omega \delta \eta \varsigma \lambda_{\imath} \gamma v v \varsigma\right)$ does not, while in the ephemeral fevers upon putrefaction in a viscera or in the large vessels, the putrid matter oiov $\kappa \alpha \pi v o ́ s$ (as smoke) reaches the heart. Nevertheless the humours in the boubones become putrid.
P. 162,20 $\pi 0 \tau \dot{\varepsilon} . . . \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \chi \varepsilon \theta \in \varepsilon ́ \sigma \eta \zeta]$ In his Commentary to Plato's Timaeus 17,2426 Schröder, Galen defines $\delta \iota \alpha \pi v o \eta$ as opposed to $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \pi v o \eta$. The latter name is given to the combination of breathing in and out, when they are produced through the mouth, while the former is produced in the whole body. ${ }^{152} \mathrm{~A}$ real transpiration of pneuma was already present in Empedocles, and is found in Plato and Theophrastus (Cf. De Lacy, De elementis, CMG V 1, 2; 194-195). ${ }^{153}$

The fuliginous waste products are eliminated through transpiration: $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \pi v o \eta ̀ v \tau \tilde{\omega} v \lambda \iota \gamma v \omega \omega \delta \tilde{\omega} v \pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \tau \tau \omega \mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ (De usu part. IX 17: III 750,1314 K .); $\lambda \downarrow \gamma \nu v \omega \dot{\delta} \eta \varsigma$ (fuliginous) is usually coupled by $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i ́ \tau \tau \omega \mu \alpha$, "waste" ( $\tau$ ò $\lambda \downarrow \gamma \nu \omega \tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \varrho i ́ \tau \tau \omega \mu \alpha)$. When the fuliginous matter is held, a fever breaks. Cf. A. Debru, Le corps ..., 190-193.
P. 162,20-164,1 потغ̀ $\delta^{\prime}$... Өع@uоṽ] Fever could be provoked by strenuous gymnastic exercises or body exertion, as we know. We may remember the temperature's increase after running a marathon. In De caus. morb. 2: VII 4-13 K., Galen explains that in hard gymnastic exercises an ametron heat reaches the articulations and the muscles. If it lasts and reaches the whole body, a fever rises.
$\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma$ í $\omega v \pi \lambda \varepsilon$ เóv $\omega v$ ท̀ $\pi o ́ v \omega \nu$ takes back S. 1; 142,11 $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ غ̇ $\pi i ̀ \pi \lambda \varepsilon ́ o v$, mentioning causes of quality's AD . For $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma i ́ \omega v$ and $\pi o ́ v \omega v, c f$.

[^151]De sanit. tuend. II 2: VI 86, 11-14 K. = CMG V 4,2; 40,11-12 Koch. On the other hand, Hun. omits $\pi o ́ v \omega v$, while PU omit $\pi \lambda \varepsilon$ tóv $\omega v$, their exemplars having lost the former or the latter because of homoeoteleuton. ${ }^{154}$

As the $\Lambda$ family and the Aldine omit $\tau 0 \tilde{v} \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu o \tilde{v}$, and consequently read $\alpha \dot{v} \xi \eta \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ (with $\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma i ́ \omega v$...), instead of $\alpha \dot{v} \xi \eta \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau o s$ with $\tau 0 \tilde{v}$
 (post $\alpha \dot{v} \xi \eta \forall \varepsilon ́ v \tau \omega v$ ), and Kühn post him.
P. 164,1-3 тотغ̀ ... غ̇к $\theta \varepsilon @ \mu \alpha v \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau о \varsigma] ~ I n ~ D e ~ c a u s . ~ m o r b . ~ 2: ~ V I I ~ 4, ~ 13-15 ~ K ., ~ w e ~ a r e ~$ told that anger, which is a boiling of the heat of the heart, sometimes provokes fever. Anger is located in the heart by Galen, following Plato. Cf. De Lacy, De placitis, CMG V 4,1,2;360, 4-366,30. For $\check{\varepsilon ้ \gamma \kappa \alpha v \sigma ı \varsigma ~ a n d ~ \varepsilon ̌ к к \alpha v \sigma ı \varsigma, ~ c f . ~ P . ~ 164,16 ~}$ and 18, below. Galen also explains the fever produced by exposition to sun in De caus. morb. 2: VII 5, 3-17.

Point 2. P. 164,3-6 Intensity of fever according with the importance of the cause and the diathesis of the body.
ő $\tau \iota . . . v o \mu i \zeta \omega] \omega ้ \sigma \pi \varepsilon Q$ gave way to $\omega \varsigma$ (in P) or got lost (Hun.), in
 phonetic series [phlegmontsemprosthenelegeto]: many an [e], the uncial series of round EӨOC, the alike clusters ETE ЕГЕ, and a sort of homoeoteleuton NH THN.

As for кd̉v тoĩs $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i$ of TMz ("that in all these fevers, as in those (fevers) upon the inflammation it was told before"), it would be more logical than just $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \pi i($ ("as upon the inflammation"), but it is unnecessary, for the context makes the meaning clear, by repeating "fever" and "inflammation" from the previous lines. $\pi v \varrho \varepsilon ́ \xi ́ \xi o v \sigma \iota v(164,5)$ in U is difficilior than $\pi v \varrho \varepsilon ́ \tau \tau \tau о \sigma \iota v(c e t t$.$) , and Galen$ uses it somewhere else. See Med. Meth. X 4: X 683, 8 K., and X 10: X 715, 3 K.

Point 3. P. 164,6-9 Fever affects just pneuma, or humours as well. к $\alpha \grave{\iota} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$... $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o \lambda o v \theta \eta \quad \sigma \varepsilon \iota]$ As fever upon putrefaction affects the humours, i.e., they get putrid, the ephemeral fevers just affects the pneuma. ${ }^{155}$ We were told in Section 10 that the hektikós fever affects the solid parts as well, that is, homoeomers and organs. For a division of fevers into ephemeral, septic and hektikós, see De propriis, V. Nutton, CMG V 3,2; 60, 19-62,2, and Commentary 146-147.

As it seems, lasting fevers give way to the hektikós, in as much as they become continuous, the whole body being subject to a high and unalterable diathesis of fever everywhere. The organs are then affected, not just pneuma and humours.

154 Galen (or the mss) uses both $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \iota 0-$ and $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o^{-}$.


Point 4. P. 164,8-17. AD and fever. к $\alpha i \omega \varsigma$... $\alpha i \tau i ́ \alpha ı \varsigma]$ Galen resorts to AD, relating the former remarks to it. We are reminded of the two kinds of AD , the one with flux, the other without it, just the humours altered in their quality. Outer or inner causes provoke the AD in question. We were told this doctrine at the beginning of the treatise (Section 1, Presentation). The synthesis presented here is preparing the exposition of fevers accompanied by cold, specially the êpialos coupled by rhîgos. This doctrine will be treated in the remaining sections, reaching the end of the book.
 adequate to the context.
P. 164,17 غ̇v ... $\alpha i \not t i ́ \alpha ı \varsigma] ~ A b o v e ~ w e ~ h a v e ~ q u o t e d ~ s e v e r a l ~ p a s s a g e s ~ o f ~ D e ~ c a u s . ~$ morb. that comment on causes of fever. Indeed, that book is the one mentioned here by the author.

## INTRODUCTION TO SECTIONS 14-17

1. Êpialos fever. The rather clever empirical explanation of exterior cold and heat affecting the patient at the same time, helps the theoretical question. From the matter of pain being perceived by people who, having their hands rather cold as of a very low temperature, tried to warm them by approaching a fire, Galen goes forth to the question of chills and fever. There are two causes at work inside the body, a cold and a hot humour, as there were two causes outside. The rather cold vitreous phlegm, and the hot yellow bile, both being in excess at the same time, as cold and hot are perceived. The simile of watering somebody with cold water after having him exposed to the hot sun is very clear: it is only necessary to substitute inside for outside, and small for large parts, and we have the êpialos fever, provided heat and cold are so widespread that no part of the body would perceive the former without perceiving the latter.
2. However in the paroxysm of some fevers ... lipyria and a kind of kausos, chills and fever are felt in different parts of the body: heat inside the viscera and cold outside. It is dyskrasia.
3. Chills also happen unaccompanied by fever. The cause is the vitreous humour as well, produced throughout a rather inactive life or by the ingestion of a lot of certain kinds of meals over a long period of time. In ancient times, it seems, nobody lived such a life, i.e., there were no references to it, but Galen and his coetaneous physicians have often found such a condition. It is a dyskrasia.
"Vitreous" (v́ $\left.\alpha \lambda o \varepsilon \iota \delta \eta \varsigma_{\varsigma} \dot{v} \alpha \lambda \omega \delta \eta \varsigma\right)$ is twice mentioned in the Hippocratic Corpus, in the Coan Prognoses 146 and 352 (V 614,10-15 L. y 658,14-18 L.), referring to urine in the crisis of acute diseases. ${ }^{156}$ Other entities are also designated "hyaloid" in Greek medicine. Referring to the ocular membranes, the crystalline, ${ }^{157}$ and the hyaline or vitreous, Galen in De placitis VII 5,23,1-24,5 Lacy (CMG V 4,1,2), states that their names are coined by their similarity with those materials. ${ }^{158}$ We deal here with a humour designated "vitreous" by Praxagoras.

Praxagoras, magister of Herophilus, a humoralist of the dogmatic school who was born on the island of Cos ca. 340 B.C., held that the humours' system was responsible for disease and health (Prax. fr. 46 Steckerl = PseudoGalen, Introductio sive med. 9; XIV 698, 18-699,3 K., and Steckerl, p. 10). On the other hand, food produced blood and the other humours, by means of the innate heat: if this heat is well balanced, blood is produced; if unbalanced, the other humours appear (Prax. fr. 18 Steckerl = Galen, de nat. fac. II 8; II 117,8 16 K. = 182, 13-21 Brock =186,10-18 SM III Helmreich).

Galen asserts that Praxagoras, not including blood, preconised a system of ten humours, having divided the "Hippocratic" humours (cf. Prax. fr. 21 Steckerl =Galen de nat. facult II 9; II 140,15-141,14 K. = 216,15- 218,5 Brock = 208,5-22 SM III Helmreich): taking blood into account, there were 11 humours in all. Rufus of Ephesus (Prax. fr. 22 Steckerl = Rufus, De corp. humani 226,1 227,2 Daremberg), in the late I c. A.D., referred to him the division of phlegm
 humours ( $\gamma \lambda$ ขкv̀v к $\alpha$ ì đбо́к@ $\alpha \tau о v$ к $\alpha i ̀ ~ v ́ \alpha \lambda о \varepsilon เ \delta \tilde{\eta})$. The vitreous humour is said to be the coldest, and difficult to digest.

Galen explains in De differ. febr. (II 6: VII 347,4-348,1 K.), êpialos and rhîgos, and he states that the name "êpialos" is given to a fever accompanied all the time through by rhîgos, both of them being perceived in every body's part at the same time. It seems that some Attic doctors applied the name êpialos to the rhîgos that precedes fever. To the humour that produces rhîgos, Praxagoras applied the name of vitreous, while the so-called young doctors, Philippus among them, call it just "raw". It belongs to the phlegmatic kind, being as it is cold and viscous. Of course, being phlegmatic, it is humid and cold.

There are four kinds of phlegm. The vitreous is rather cold and produces hard pains of the so-called colic type. A second is sweet, as perceived when some patients cough up, while others have sputum of the acid kind (being the

[^152]158 кœú $\sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda$ os is the Greek name for ice.
third, ó $\xi \dot{v}$ ): this one is colder than the sweet, but not as cold as the vitreous. There is a fourth phlegm, the salty ( $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \mu v$ @óv), upon which the patients do not have rhîgos, but just phrikê (minor chills), in the paroxysm (De differ. febr. II 6:


Let us review the genesis of rhîgos as explained by Galen. In Hipp. Epid. VI comment. I 9: XVIIa,847,18 - 848,6 K. = CMG V 10,2,2; 31,19-25 Wenkebach, he states that both the vitreous humour and black bile may provoke rhîgos. The former provokes the rhîgos $\delta v \sigma \varepsilon \kappa \theta \varepsilon ́ \varrho \mu \alpha v \tau o v(r h i ̂ g o s ~ t h a t ~ c a n n o t ~ b e ~ h e a t e d), ~$ while the latter provokes either that one or the oфoठoóv, which presents the most violent chills. The paroxysm of the black bile's rhîgos, happens on the fourth day, that of the vitreous', is quotidian.

In Diff febr. II 6: VII 349, 6 - 350, 10 K., he writes:
when the acid humour, and the vitreous, get in motion through the perceptive parts, ${ }^{159}$ they make appear the rhîgos without fever.

If a moderate putrefaction occurs, it produces the êpialos fever.
If the putrefaction were more severe, rhîgos firstly appears, and fever follows, as being overcome the cold by the heat, so that the rhigos does not happen either in the febrile peak or in the paroxysm.

If putrefaction does not occur, no rhîgos at all.
... In the tertian fevers, the yellow bile provokes rhîgos and fever.

## SECTION 14. AD: HEAT + COLD = FEVER + RHÎGOS

164,18-166,7. There are four points in the reasoning.
1st. (Section 14) From the simile of external heat and cold perceived at the same time in the same body's part, the nails in this case, and provoking pain (i.e. AD), Galen deduces that internal pain is provoked by AD. He mentions consequently such internal pain as could be felt in the colon, the testicles, or anywhere else.

2nd. (Section 14-15) The nails suffer an excess of cold and an excess of heat at the same time, that is, a cold dyskrasia and a hot dyskrasia. If that happens in an external part of the body, why would it not happen in an internal part? This way he introduces the effects of two humours moving at the same time through the body: one of them is cold, the vitreous, and the other is hot, the yellow bile. The patient perceives both the cold and the heat at the same time in the same part (two dyskrasia, cold and hot).

3d. (Section 15) A man heated by the sun, and then being doused in the front with cold water, should perceive the heat everywhere, but the cold just in the front, that is, he should not perceive the excess of heat and the excess of
cold in the same parts of the body. Likewise, Galen shows that, internally, immoderate heat and cold could be perceived in different parts at the same time.

4th. (Section 15) The medical view:
A. Inside, the patient perceives both the excess of cold and heat at the same time in the same parts. Such is the êpialos. Besides, in the êpialos, rhîgos and fever happen together all the time.
B. Inside, the patient perceives the excess of cold and heat at the same time, but in different (big) parts. So too, lipyria and some kausos, and some fevers in the paroxysm.
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \psi v \gamma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \iota(\Delta)$ immediately after. On the other hand, $\tau$ ò $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \pi \alpha v \sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ means "the whole body" and is rather frequent in Galen. The other mss. present $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \alpha v$ тò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$, where $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \alpha v$ is in predicative position and should mean "all the body". See Smyth, pp. 295-296.
P. 164,20-21 к $\alpha \grave{i} \mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ . . . ~ \alpha ̋ \delta \eta \lambda o v] ~ A t ~ t h e ~ b e g i n n i n g ~ o f ~ a ~ b l o c k, ~ G a l e n ~ f a n c i e s ~$ anteposed hypotactic sentences, verbs in the first position, and oṽ̃ot and/or $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ with anaphoric function (see Introduction, B 3. Composition). So that oṽ̃ot ( $\Delta$; Hun, "these") is better than ov́ $\omega$ ("that way", $\Lambda$ ). The $\Lambda$-family reads ТОІП as Т $\Omega$.
P. 164,21-166,3 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma o \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \iota ~ . . . ~ o ̉ v u ́ \chi \omega v]$ As external immoderate heat or cold produces dyskrasia and pain in a body, internal pain is a symptom of dyskrasia as well. In De locis aff. II 5: VIII 81,5-11 K., and De meth. med. XII 7: X 853, 12-14 K., there are similar passages.

In Hipp. VetMed 16 (I 606, 17-612,6 L. = I 18,8 - 20,6 Kühlewein = Hippocrate II, 1; 139,4-141,11 Jouanna), the author considers the effects of being exposed to external heat, and then to cold, or the other way round, being either healthy or sick. Specially in De meth. med., Galen poses and answers the question of pain without an exterior cause. For the pain produced by intense heat or cold, cf. De meth. med. XII 7: X 853, 14 -856, 10 K.

The text of the Scholium to our treatise (see App. Crit.), "because an AD gets the nerves' ends", explains the nails' pain using the unusual noun $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (the verb is common): pain is felt (transmitted) by the nerves' end. In relation to nails, I have just found it in Meletius, De natura hominis 123,



P．166，6－7 $\eta$ خò ．．．$\mu$ o＠í $\omega v$ ］Etymologically，the Greek name for the colon is кó $\lambda o v,{ }^{160}$ but it is frequently written $\kappa \tilde{\omega} \lambda o v$ ．I corrected it．As for the second part，toùs ő＠xeıs，the mss．A and P of Hun．read＂testicles＂，while the Greek mss．present＂teeth＂（ódóv $\tau \alpha \varsigma$ ）．Were it not an easy error in the Greek codd．，I should not have corrected it．However，the previous $\mathrm{O} \Delta \Upsilon N \Omega N T A I$ could have easily turned OPXEIC into O $\triangle$ ONTAC，maybe at the end of a line（ 27 letters）：

## OUTNQNTAI

ПО $\Lambda А$ AKICHTOKO $\Lambda$ ONHTOエCOPXEIC
O $\triangle$ ONTAC
In De diff．sympt．3，5：CMG V 5，3；220，14－17 Gundert＝VII 57，17－58，1 K．， Galen mentions eyes，colon and teeth as organs suffering pain related to the sense of touch．${ }^{161}$ Gundert does not mention lectiones variantes．She quotes De plenit． $8,8,{ }^{162}$ where just pain in the colon is mentioned．

As the error that turns OPXEIC into O $\triangle$ ONTAC is rather plausible， Hun．＇s reading＂testicles＂might be right．If this is the case，＂teeth＂in De diff． sympt．3，5 could be wrong：somebody may have＂corrected＂the passage upon the text of De inaequali．In Introduction，A 3．Relationships between the Greek manuscripts．The Greek manuscripts and Ḥunayn，I hinted at the possibility of the right text in an exemplar independent from the archetype，because the error is common to all the mss．

For the diagnosis of enteric pain，cf．De loc．aff．I 2：VIII 20，3－30，6 K．and VI 2：VIII 381，3－389， $18 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{163}$ For the diagnosis of the diseases of male genitals， cf．De loc．aff．VI 6：VIII 437，16－452， 12 K．The name őoxeıs could refer as well to the female＇s ovaries．Cf．D．Nickel，Galeni De uteri dissectione，Berlin 1971 （CMG V 2，1），p． 76 （Commentary to 4，6：p．42，6）．

The syntagm $\tau i ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \mu$ ooí $\omega v$ appears some 90 times in Galen． The remarkable word order in $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ 敞 $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \iota \mu$ oQí $\omega \nu$（the reading of $\Lambda$ ），is at－ tested in Galen，as in De usu part．XI 19：III 931，9－10 K．＝176，27 Helmreich，${ }^{164}$ or De praesag．ex puls．II 4：IX 287，6－7 K．${ }^{165}$ As this one is difficilior，it is not necessary to credit Hun．＇s＂in any other organ＂with the correct text．Neverthe－ less its rendering in Greek，ótıoṽv，would have easily lost OケN by quasi－ho－
 $\mu$ оQí $\omega v$ is found at the end of a series in De constit．art．med．12：I 267，5－7 K．＝ CMG V I，3；12，7－12：92，20－21 Fortuna．

[^153]
## SECTION15AD:COLD+HEAT=RHÎGOS+ÊPIALOSFEVER

P. 166,7-168,10. In 166,7-8, ov̌ $\tau \varepsilon . .$. vo oov́v $\tau \omega v$, Gal. favours oủ $\delta \varepsilon ̇ v ~ \theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau o ́ v$, as in line 11 below, followed or not by $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau i ́ v .{ }^{166}$ On the other hand, in "for neither any of such conditions is amazing", "conditions" is feminine ( $\delta$ " $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma$ ), and "(not) any" is neutral (ov̉סと́v). Galen often uses neutral pronouns "agreeing" so to say with animate nouns, or being anaphoric of them. The insertion of $\delta \iota \alpha \theta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \nu$ in $\Lambda$, is correct, and may have disappeared because of homoeo-
 phasises the negative idea conveyed in the point "both to have chills and fever at the same time is not surprising at all". Family $\Delta$ reads the weaker oú $\tau \varepsilon$ after $\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau o ̀ v$.

For the irregular $\varrho \prec \gamma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota, c f$. Chantraine, Morphologie, p. 242, note 2, and Smyth, pp. 127, 190. The same form in p. 8, 16 (Indicative), and 22 (Participle), below.
P. 166,8-12 к $\alpha \mathrm{i} ~ \gamma \alpha \grave{\alpha}$... $\left.\alpha{ }^{\circ} \varrho \varrho \omega \sigma \tau 0 v\right]$ The so-called vitreous humour is the protagonist of the Section. See Introduction to Sections 14-17. In Loc aff. II 5: VIII $81,11-82,10 \mathrm{~K}$. , Galen tells a tale of his own experience with the vitreous. He suffered a rather intense pain in the low abdomen, and diagnosed a stone in the ureter. In the end the agent was the vitreous humour, which was not in the kidneys/ureters, but in the intestine, maybe in the thick one. The expulsion was painful and long, but at the end the vitreous appeared, so like glass! It is the coldest of humours, he could tell.
 open blocks. For the syntagm $\psi v \chi \varrho o ̀ s ~ \omega ̈ v ~ i n ~ t h e ~ d e s c r i p t i o n ~ o f ~ t h i s ~ s a m e ~ h u-~$ mour, $c f$. De placitis Hipp. et Plat. 8.6.42.3-42.6, $\varepsilon \pi i \grave{\mu} \mu \dot{v} v \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \mu \varepsilon \varrho เ v \tilde{\omega} v$
 remarks the opposition between this vitreous humour, cold, and the bilious humour that is hot, beginning with the uncommon one.
P. 166,10-11 к $\alpha$ ì ó ... $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega v$ ] As for $\pi \iota \kappa \varrho o ́ \chi o \lambda o \varsigma, c f$. Schöner, Vierer, p. 88: in Galen, the yellow bile is bitter, blood is sweet, phlegm is salty, while black bile
 readings. $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v \alpha ́ \zeta o t \varepsilon v$ of Pz seems to be the right text, but it is necessary to add the preposition $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ after it, because Galen constructs $\pi \lambda \varepsilon o v \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$

[^154]with $\dot{\varepsilon} v .{ }^{168}$ Maybe the preposition has complicated the transmission. As for the second verb, kivoivto is sound, both Optative and plural. Optative is difficult for scribes, and, in the treatise, variant readings appear everywhere because of its misunderstanding.
$\alpha v \dot{\tau} o \tilde{\iota} \varsigma(\mathrm{P})$ is referred to patients ( $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ voooov́v $\tau \omega v$ ), of the preceding line. In the next line $12, \alpha{ }^{\circ} \varrho \varrho \omega \sigma \tau \sigma v$ is difficilior vs. $\kappa \alpha ́ \mu \nu 0 v \tau \alpha$ (in P), specially when coupled by the article.
P. $166,11 \delta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} . . . \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v$ ] The sensitive parts are the homoeomers, which would be called tissues nowadays. Remember that the organs are composed of them.
P. 166,11-12 oúठèv ... á@@ $\omega \sigma \tau \operatorname{tov}]$ As we will learn below, ${ }^{169}$ two dyskrasia are produced, the one cold, and the other hot. Both are perceived, ${ }^{170}$ either in different parts, as in lipyria \& kausos, tertian \& quartan, or in the same ones, as in the êpialos.
P. 166,12-14 oưסغ̀ ... $\psi v ́ \xi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma]$ While $\Lambda$ has two Optative ( $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\sigma \alpha \iota \varsigma, ~ \pi \varrho о \sigma \varrho \alpha i ́ v o ı \varsigma) ~}$ coupled by к $\alpha$ í in the protasis, $\Delta$ presents the participle $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ and makes it appositive of $\pi$ @oo@aívoss (it has no кגí). The former construction is simple and obvious, while the latter focuses on the action of watering, and is $100 \%$ Greek. п@oo@ $\alpha$ ívoıs was phonetically altered in the $\Delta$-family, and disappeared in the other group, giving way to the gloss $\pi \varrho \circ \sigma \beta \varrho \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon เ \varsigma ~ o r ~-o t s . ~$

In $\dot{\alpha} \delta u ́ v \alpha \tau o v \alpha \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\omega}$ tò $\mu \eta$ ๆ̀ oú $\chi$ ' $\alpha \mu \alpha$, the article tó was lost by haplography of $\alpha \dot{u} \tau o ́ v$ vel $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\omega}$, and only appears in U. Similar construction of ג̀ $\delta$ v́vatov in De diebus decr. I 11: IX 822, 6-7.

Some mss. read oú $\chi$ ' in 166,13 and all of them in 168,1. In both cases rough breathing follows, making oú $\chi^{\prime}=$ oủxí suspicious, instead of the simple oű (oủ $\chi$ ).
P. 166,13-14 זף̃ऽ ... $\psi \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma]$ The reading $\theta \varepsilon ́ \varrho \mu \eta \varsigma$ (in z) is difficilior than $\theta \varepsilon \varrho-$ $\mu o ́ \tau \eta \tau 0 \varsigma$. Although I have accepted it, $\theta \varepsilon ́ \varrho \mu \eta \varsigma$ may have been originated from $\dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ \omega \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \tilde{\omega}$ in the preceding line.
P. 166,14-17 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$... бокعĩ] Both $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha$ and к $\alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \kappa \varrho \alpha ́$, present an article, the reference being determinate, as body's parts (big as opposed to small). Although the syntagm usually appears indeterminate in Galen, even referring to the body's parts, it presents the article at least four times. And the

[^155]ability of the syntagm to lose the article is clear, being an easy haplography of [KATATA].
 Grmek identifies it with a bout of malaria (Diseases, 277-283). It is not a single disease, but a group of diseases produced by Plasmodia. "There can be no doubt as to the presence, at the time of Hippocrates, of vivax, quartan, and falciparum malaria (the bilious remittent fever as well as the invasive, pernicious form)". See as well J. Jouanna, Hippocrate II, 2, Airs, pp. 260-261, and note 2.

As Galen here describes êpialos as a fever accompanied all the time by chills, tertian and quartan malaria have to be discarded, because he says that in tertian and quartan, chills come first, and fever only after (i.e., when fever rises, chills disappear). The name "ague" is defined this way (OD): "A malarial fever, marked by successive fits or paroxysms, consisting of a cold, hot, and sweating stage. The name ague was apparently at first given to the burning or feverish stage, but afterwards more usually to the cold or shivering stage, as being the most striking external character of the disease". Observe that the êpialos cannot be a typical malaria that is characterised by cycles of chills, fever and sweating. See above, Grmek, for the severe type.
 be a development of the next sentence $\tau \tilde{\omega} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \ldots$... oú $\chi$ ' $\dot{\tau} \tau \dot{\varrho} \varrho \chi \varepsilon เ$.
P. 166,17-168,1 $\tau \tilde{\omega} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \ldots$... v́ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \varepsilon \iota]$ The two humours are so widespread in the body that even the smallest part has both. The consequence is that the patient perceives the two of them in the same places, although the fact is that the two humours (or whatever else), cannot be in the same place at the same time.
P. 166,17-18 т $\tilde{\omega}$... $\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \varrho \theta \alpha \iota]$ While $\Delta$ reads $\delta \iota$ ı $̇ \lambda \alpha \chi$ íб $\tau o v, ~ \Lambda$ has $\delta \dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \chi i ́ \sigma \tau \omega$. Once $\delta \dot{\eta}$ was wrongly read, the adjective is accommodated to the preceding article $\tau \tilde{\omega}$. In fact, the article introduces a Dative of cause. The uncommon $\delta \iota$ ' $̇ \lambda \alpha \chi$ र́бтov is used for time, coupled by đœóvov or by itself, ${ }^{172}$ and it appears in late authors, except for Thucydides. He applies it to time in two
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \chi$ रíбтоv $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varrho о \sigma \delta o ́ к \eta \tau о \varsigma$. The meaning in our passage is local: the adjective being frequent, it does not seem necessary to add a substantive.

[^156]P．166，18 тó ．．．Өع＠$\mu \alpha$ ĩvov］This is the reading of PU，which Hun．rightly trans－ lates＂that what cools and that what heats up＂，i．e．the two humours produce everywhere cold and heat．The other mss．just have＂the cold and the hot＂，or the other way round．The order cold－hot is unusual，but Galen here follows the sequence chills－fever that he is stressing．
 while $\Delta$ transformed A؟ into O§ through the influence of the former oú and of the word $\alpha \dot{v} \tau o \tilde{v}$ itself forwards（OrAENECTINAケTOケ $\Lambda A B E I N$ ），or else jumped to TO؟ because of saut du même au même，and afterwards the word was completed．

P．168，1－10 $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon ́ v \tau o l ~ . . . ~ \sigma ט \mu \pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \tau \omega \kappa \varepsilon v]$ When the two humours are per－ ceived at the same time in different（big）places，there is cold outside and heat inside，as the patients themselves describe．That does not happen in the êpialos， but in the lipyria and a mortal kind of kausos，and in the paroxysms of some other fevers．
 $\pi v \varrho \varepsilon ́ \tau \tau 0 \cup \sigma \iota v$ ，as it was at the beginning of the Section．The $\Lambda$－family（with $P^{\text {i．l．}}$ ），two mss．of Hun．，S（i．m．）and L，and Nic．（sitiunt），have $\delta \iota \psi \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota$ ，＂（they） are thirsty＂，instead of $\pi v \varrho \varepsilon ́ \tau \tau о v \sigma \iota$, ＂（they）have fever＂．Maybe the sequence $\pi \nu \varrho \varepsilon \tau \tau о ́ v \tau \omega \nu$－$\pi v \varrho \varepsilon ́ \tau \tau \tau \cup \sigma \iota v$ was misunderstood as a tautology．It is just a way of stressing the fact of chills beside fever．

To be thirsty is often added as a symptom，in fevers and rhîgos in Ga－ len．See，among others，De sympt．caus．II 5：VII 194， 15 －195， 3 K．The Pseudo－ Aristot．Problem．libr I quae．27，（II）947b．15－22 Bekker，contains the question of rhîgos coupled with thirst．

The locus similis to кגì＠́ $\gamma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota . .$. тó $т о v$ ，in De diff．febr．II 6：VII 347， 5－9，and its copy in Aetius V 87，5，are referred to the êpialos：they add that the perception of both fever and chills happens in the same places．
$\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi$ от $\varepsilon$ 人 $\omega v(168,3)$ ：the mss．other than $U$ have lost $\alpha^{\alpha} \mu \alpha$ before $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi$ от $\varepsilon \varrho \omega \nu$ by haplography in AMAAM．It is a remarkable way of stressing the fact of the double perception of cold／hot．The same repetition is present in Galen ca．four times，and the group $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \omega$ appears four times as well．
 AMETPOY ．．．OMOYAム＾Or．
 row OケCIOケTOI，and phonetic［ousi］［outi］．The reading of $\mathrm{P} \delta \iota \varrho$ ı́ $\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{\iota}$ ，is a dittography of the right $\delta$ เopí $\sigma \alpha \iota(\mathrm{CI} \Delta \mathrm{IOPICAI} \rightarrow \mathrm{CI} \Delta I O P I C A C \Theta A I)$.
 Нип.), lost in the others in $-\mu \alpha \sigma$ í $\alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha i \sigma \theta \alpha ́ v o v \tau \alpha$ ı.
 ò $\lambda \varepsilon Ө$ @í $\omega v$ ( $\kappa \alpha v ́ \sigma \omega v$ ). Phonetical homoeoteleuton could have acted backwards and produced ò $\lambda \varepsilon \theta$ @ícuv. In fact, Galen puts "kausos" and "mortal" together several times. He quotes references to kausos by Hipp., in his Commentary to Prorrh. I, ${ }^{173}$ and to Epidemics I. ${ }^{174}$ In the former, Galen asserts that, in the mortal kausos, there is extreme heat inside, and no heat at all outside. Strong cold in the extremities coupled with perspiration leads to fatal kausos (Epid. I.) He quotes Hipp. Aphor. IV 48, 1-2 L. = Hipp. IV 148,3-5 Jones: 'Ev $\tau 0 i ̃ \sigma \iota \mu \eta$
 ס́́ $\psi \alpha v$ है $\not \backslash \eta, \theta \alpha v \alpha ́ \sigma \mu \rho v$. "When in a non-intermittent fever, the patient is cold outside, burns inside, and is thirsty: mortal." It is a composed fever, cold dyskrasia plus hot dyskrasia.

Oribasius, in Coll. med. XLV 30, ${ }^{175}$ defines two kinds of kausos, the one as the most lethal, which burns inside and produces terrible thirst that cannot be quenched, black urine, with many other signs such as black and rough tongue, insomnia: cold outside, mainly arms and legs. The other kausos is milder, and resolves the bad kind if it occurs.

Kausos does not correspond to a present-day disease; in fact, it is not a disease sui generis, but a non-specific syndrome. In the HC, "it is a clinical entity defined by a kernel of obligatory symptoms and a surrounding haze of optional ones. Its fundamental elements are acute fever that appears abruptly, intense thirst, coated tongue, insomnia, transient states of confusion, abdominal distress, and «bilious» excrements. Fever is continuous or remittent" (Grmek, Diseases, p. 290). Grmek brings forward the case of Philiscus ${ }^{176}$ in Epid. I 13: II, 682,5-684,9 L.=Kühlewein I 202,12-203,10 (Grmek, Diseases, pp. 284-304), whose history suggests kausos. See as well De judicat. 8-9: IX 278,14-19 L. = 3,12-19 Preiser, and 11: IX 280, 2-9 L. = 11a, b, c: 4,9-21 Preiser.

The references to the lipyria are scarce. ${ }^{177}$ Its name indicates a remittent (fever), and in the texts is written leip- or lip- as in $\lambda \varepsilon i ́ \pi \omega / \varepsilon \ddot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \pi \frac{\nu}{}$. It is men-

[^157]tioned in the Hippocratic Corpus: Coan Prognoses 117, ${ }^{178}$ Morb II 16, ${ }^{179}$ De judicat. 11, ${ }^{180}$ and Ep. 21. ${ }^{181}$ In Ep. 21, Smith (ibid.) translates "(chronic) malignant intermittent fevers" ( $\varepsilon v \lambda \iota \tau v \varrho \iota \omega ́ \delta \varepsilon \iota \pi v \varrho \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega})$.

In De differ. febr. I 9: VII 309, 12-17, Galen mentions the lipyria along with the êpialos and some others, as fevers raised by putrid humours, as he does in In Hipp. Aph. comment. VII [42]: XVIIIa 145, 17 - 146, 6 K. He explains the diagnosis of putrid fevers in De differ. febr. I 9 (VII 304,3-313, 10 K.) In De simpl. med. temp. ac fac. III 16: XI 586, 5-9 K., he adds that in both lipyria and êpialos, the body suffers being heated and cooled, a condition born in the body itself, as he explains in our treatise.

## SECTION 16. COLD AD/NO HEAT = RHÎGOS/NO FEVER

P. 168,10-170,4. The composition of the Section stresses the occurrence of rhigos unaccompanied by fever (the symbols $\Gamma^{\mathrm{L}}$ enlighten the correspondence in the Rings, while $\underset{\boxed{ } \Vdash}{\Perp}$ mark opposition):


$\chi v \mu$ òs ả@үòs $\psi v \chi \varrho o ̀ s ~ \omega ̀ \mu o ̀ s ~ \phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \omega ́ \delta \eta \varsigma ~ . . . ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ v ́ \alpha \lambda \omega ́ \delta \eta . ~$

〒 $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha$ тоїऽ $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha เ$ เĩs ì $\alpha$ т@оїऽ



First, a double ring:
$\Gamma$ rhîgos, no fever
「 idle life, plenty of meals
the vitreous humour
L idle life, plenty of meals
L rhîgos, no fever
Second, an opposition:
$\mp$ the old doctors fever follows rhîgos
$\underline{\mathrm{HL}}$ the new doctors rhîgos, no fever

[^158]At times, rhîgos occurs by itself, independent of fever. Galen has mentioned in the previous section that chills are consequent to the cold vitreous humour. We now learn that the vitreous is provoked both by inactivity and excess of meals of the kind that makes it appear. In other passages, he adds the excess of bathing as well. And it occurs in women, more prone to inactivity and baths! ${ }^{182}$ Remarkably, such rhîgos was not described by previous fellow doctors. Living in the centre of the Roman Empire, and having stayed in Alexandria, Galen supposes that such a kind of idle (and wealthy) life had not been possible in ancient times. But in his own days, he and other colleagues have found these special kinds of violent chills.

Nowadays, chills are described without fever as well. The symptom could be related to scores of diseases/syndromes: infection, hypoglycaemia, anaemia, thyroid disorder and anorexia, among them.
P. 168,10-11 $\dot{\alpha} v \omega \dot{\mu} \alpha \lambda$ os ... $\tau v \varrho \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ The text is corrupt. PU include $\sigma v ́ v \theta \varepsilon \tau o v$,
 disease or symptom that could end in fevers, where cis toṽtov ... would be correct. We just have some details concerning anomalous dyskrasia and fevers, in that order. Galen explains below (p. 170,5-6) that the êpialos is composed ( $\sigma$ v́v $\theta \varepsilon \tau 0 \varsigma$ ) of two dyskrasia, that of rhîgos and that of fever. And he remarks that other fevers present rhîgos as well, but not throughout the process.

As far as fever and dyskrasia are concerned, the word "composed" is applied by Galen to:

1- a dyskrasia composed of two qualities at the same time, as hot and dry, hot and humid, cold and dry ... These present two compatible qualities, and were presented at the beginning of the treatise, Section 1, and elsewhere (specially in De temperam. and De elementis).

2- a fever composed of two dyskrasia, such as a hot dyskrasia and a cold one, as in êpialos, kausos, lipyria and many others. Observe that a dyskrasia "hot and cold" cannot exist, being two opposed qualities. In fact, sometimes shivering and even chills disappear when the hot dyskrasia raises a high fever that eliminates the cold, as when chills and fever occur one after the other (tertian and quartan, see below, section 17). However, in the êpialos the two dyskrasia occur at the same time, but it is not a composed dyskrasia: there are two dyskrasia. Galen specially insists on the special features of the êpialos.

3- a fever composed of two fevers, as a remittent tertian and a continuous amphêmerinos, that is, the êmitritaion; ${ }^{183}$ cf. Differ. febr. II 8: VII 363, 3-17 K., and many examples in Diff febr. and De crisibus.
P. 168,11-12 $\dot{\alpha} v \omega \mu \alpha \lambda$ os ... ojvo $\mu \alpha \zeta$ o $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ ] The sentence is omitted by Ḥunayn. Hun ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ has a non-syntactical clause that includes "continuous". The syntagm $\pi \lambda \eta \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \tilde{\omega} \nu$ òvo $\mu \alpha \zeta$ ou $\dot{\varepsilon} v \omega \nu$ appears 6 times in the treatise, in an effort to remind the reader of the homogeneous dyskrasia so as to better understand the anomalous, which is the opposite.
P. 168,12-13 $\dot{\alpha} v \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \lambda$ о $\ldots . . \sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha]$ Instead of "but they do not have fever

 spectus 1,15,1-4 Ermerins): "when they just have rhîgos, but do not have yet
 text oủкદ́t is wrong, as far as the point is the rhîgos just by itself in the absence of fever. The palaeographic error is obvious in P. Interestingly, the syntagm


In p. 170,4 below, "rhîgos which fever did not follow" is explicitly invoked. It is only afterwards (p. 170,5 ff.) that Galen will relate rhîgos and fever. He explains this kind of rhîgos in De trem. 7: VII 636,18-637,8 K., and calls it
 warmed»", and includes the reference to the "scarce symptom."185
 later being adversative. In our text, the meaning is adversative as well. The group $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \delta^{\circ} \mu \omega \varsigma$ is found once in John Philoponus, APo 13,3: 187,31 Wallies: ${ }^{187}$ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \eta ̀ v o ̋ \mu \omega \varsigma$; it is not placed after a noun but after $\kappa \alpha$ í. However, in Aristophanes grammaticus, it is placed after the initial verb: ठ $\varepsilon \delta o \iota \kappa \varepsilon ์ \gamma \varepsilon \mu \eta\rangle \nu$ ő $\mu \omega \varsigma$, and is adversative. ${ }^{188}$ On the other hand, ő $\mu \omega \varsigma$ was changed into ő $\lambda \omega \varsigma$ by Ald., and remained so: Kühn has it. A group $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$ ö $\lambda \omega \varsigma$ is attested in Aristides, ${ }^{189}$ Libanius ${ }^{190}$ and Eustratius phil., ${ }^{191}$ but the introduction of the sentence as opposed to the preceding is rather emphatic, and not adversative at all. And a

[^159]form $\mu \eta \delta o ́ \lambda \omega \varsigma$, which Galen does use, is out of account, its meaning being an absolute negative, sort of "not at all".

I have included in the text "according with the condition" that Hun. has. The phonetical error that made it disappear, is simple: [katatindiathesin] is even followed by several others [ti] and [si].

As for the reference to women and men, Hun. writes "some women and some men". The indefinite Pronoun is unanimously transmitted before $\dot{\alpha} v \delta \varrho \alpha ́ \sigma \iota v$, while P is the only ms. to read $\tau \alpha \tilde{\iota} \varsigma \gamma v v \alpha \iota \xi i$. The loss of $\tau \alpha i \check{s}$ would be easily explained in $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \alpha i ̃ ~ \gamma v v \alpha ı \xi i \not \kappa \alpha i ́, ~ s o ~ t h a t ~ o n e ~ m a y ~ c r e d i t ~ P ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~$ right text. In fact, in the passage dedicated to rhîgos in De tremor. 7: VII 635,5-7 K. he mentions explicitly that the women suffer from it much more than men (see above, introduction to this Section).
 in Aorist, indicates a punctual action: "an idle life preceded", while the second, $\pi \varrho o \sigma \varepsilon \nu \eta v \varepsilon ́ \chi \theta \alpha$, is a Perfect, indicating the result of the ingestion. In fact, $\pi \varrho o \eta \gamma \varepsilon \tau \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ (cett.) is a facilior. For the meaning of this Aorist, cf. the usual

 тои̃ $\delta \varepsilon ́ o v \tau o s ~[\pi \varrho о \sigma \varepsilon \nu \eta v \varepsilon ́ \chi \theta \alpha \iota ~ A M, ~ O t t e: ~ \pi \varrho o \sigma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \chi \theta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota ~ E] ~ 192 . ~ T h e ~ v e r b ~ i s ~ r e-~$ lated with meal in the Hippocratic Corpus, cf. Vet. Med. 8: I 586,13-588,2 L. $=$ I 8,19-9,3 Kühlewein = Hippocrate II, 1; 127,6-12 Jouanna, among others.
 qualities of phlegm, is mentioned in De differ. febr. II 8: VII 366, 5-8 K., where the cold phlegma is opposed to the hot yellow bile, both moving at the same time through the body, as is the case in the commented passage. When one or the other prevails, heat or cold overcome the person.

In fact, "inactive" is often applied to the way of life that produces this kind of phlegm, the agent of rhîgos. The inactivity coupled with the unruly ingestion of food and an excess of baths, is found in Galen some twenty times. Cf. De sympt. caus. I 5: VII 109, 4-7 K.; De differ. febr. I 6: VII 291, 8-9 K.; De loc. aff. I 1: VIII 9-11 K.; De tremore VII, 636,18-637,8 K., and ps-Gal. Quom. morb. sim. sint depreh. I 1: XIX 5, 6-8 K. Heunayn omits the adjective, but there is an illegible word in Hun ${ }^{\text {P }}$. Uncommon as it is to apply this feature to the cold humour, the parallel to the kind of life that favours its presence, may have influenced the writer to call it "inactive" (it is called as well slow-moving, ३е $\alpha$ б́́то@оऽ).

[^160] Hippocrates in De meth. med. I 2: X 16, 2-3 K., and De element. ex Hipp. I 1: I $415,12-14$ K. = CMG V 1,2; 58, 12-14 Lacy. The preverb was lost in LM P ${ }^{\text {i.l. }}$ by phonetic haplography [-sisigi-].

For the vitreous humour and Praxagoras, cf. Commentary to Section 15, and Introduction to Sections 14-17.
P. 168,18-170,1 $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha ı ~ . . . ~ \delta ı \eta \tau \alpha ̃ \tau o] ~ G a l e n ~ c h a n g e s ~ t h e ~ s e c o n d ~ w o u l d-b e-o u ́ \delta \varepsilon i ́ s ~$ into $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon i ́ s: ~ i n ~ o r d e r ~ t o ~ a v o i d ~ h i a t u s ? ~ I n ~ a n y ~ c a s e, ~ \mu \eta ́ ~ k e e p s ~ o v e r c o m i n g ~ o u ̋, ~$ century after century.

The rather awkward $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \sigma \omega \omega \delta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ lies below $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \omega \delta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ of P. It is just attested in ps-Galen, Quomodo morb. sim. sint depr. I 1: XIX 5, 6-8, where it is coupled by $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \gamma \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ in a locus similis. The adjective is present in Hipp. De vict. acut. 15 L.; II 346,7-8 = 56: I 137,21-138,3 Kühlewein ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu 0 v \tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ ), and quoted in the Commentary of Galen. ${ }^{193} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu$ í $\omega \varsigma$, of TU, is rare as well: it is attested in late authors.
$\Sigma$ quotes De differ. febr. II 5: VII 346, 11-12 K. (see Loci Similes).
 as such (Dative); at times the sentence also includes philosophers, as in De usu
 фıोобóфоıs. Hun. has "the wise men". On the other hand, $\dot{\xi} \xi \dot{\alpha} v \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta \varsigma ~ d i s-~$ appeared in $\Lambda$ when the scribe wrote the next word beginning with $\mathrm{E}(\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{t})$.

The opposition old-time doctors / present-day doctors, is often found. The order of the sentences:
old doctors: fever follows rhîgos
new doctors: rhîgos not followed by fever
enlightens the point of the recently diagnosed symptom, as the composition of the Section does (see above), placing the vitreous (the agent) in the centre, encircled by the idle kind of life, and framed by the leitmotiv: RHÎGOS/NOT FEVER.

On the other hand, a second opposition, between "written" and "seen", ${ }^{194}$ emphasises the observed symptom: $\gamma \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varrho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ̀$ тоĩs $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$ เoís


193 Gal. In Hipp. vict. acut. comment. III 22: XV 674, 6 K. = CMG V 9,1; 242,4 Helmreich. 194 For $\omega^{\tilde{\omega}} \pi \tau \alpha \iota, c f$. Chantraine, Morphologie, p. 153-154.

## SECTION17.AD:HEAT+COLD=ÊPIALOSFEVER+RHÎGOS

P. 170,5-170,10. Galen closes the fever-rhîgos subject, enlightening the special feature of the êpialos: fever and rhîgos occur at the same time, being composed of two dyskrasia. The Section is framed by an exterior ring: "the êpialos is com-
 inner ring presents an opposition in chiastic order (X):
$\Gamma$ the êpialos, composed by rhîgos = cold dyskrasia, and fever $=$ hot dyskrasia
$\Gamma \Gamma$ I call êpialos to the fever which presents rhîgos all the time X
L L to that in which rhîgos is first and fever second, I do not call êpialos
L so that the êpialos is composed by two dyskrasia


``` \(\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \varrho о \sigma \varepsilon ́ \tau \iota ~ \tau \eta ̃ ऽ ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu ~ \pi v \varrho \varepsilon \tau \tau o ́ v \tau \omega \nu\),
```



``` \(\tilde{\omega} \delta \iota \alpha \alpha \pi \alpha v \tau o ̀ s ~ \alpha ̆ \mu \phi \omega \sigma \nu \mu \beta \varepsilon ́ \beta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v\).
```





```
The author revisits the beginning of the treatise: "Anomalous dyskrasia happens sometimes ... as in the fevers named êpialoi, and in nearly all of them, except for the fevers called hektikoi." We have certainly learned that all the fevers are provoked by dyskrasia, anomalous for most of them: the only fever caused by an homogeneous dyskrasia is the hektikos. It is Frame 1.
```

 cett) is rather frequent in Galen. Probably oṽv disappeared in:

CケNOETOC $\triangle$ OrNECTINEK
in the two families, being saved in the specific branch of $P$.
P. 170,7-8 $\left.\tilde{\omega} \ldots \eta{ }^{2} \pi i \alpha \lambda o v\right]$ As $\Lambda$ P have an article before $\pi v \varrho \varepsilon \tau o ́ s$, it is necessary to write to before @í $\gamma o \varsigma$ as well, both of them bringing emphasis to the somehow opposite notions. In the parallel clause in Aetius V 87 there are no articles. ${ }^{195}$ In De diff. febr. II 2: VII 336, 8-12 K., we read: "The intermittent fevers are of three types: quotidian, tertian and quartan. The quotidian is produced by putrefied phlegm, the tertian and the quartan by bile: the former by the yellow and the latter by the black".

P. 170,9 $\left.\omega^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \tau^{\prime} . . . \sigma \nu \mu \pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}\right]$ In verbs beginning $\sigma v \nu-$, the components are usually introduced by $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa$. ${ }^{196}$ The reading $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$ in $\Lambda \mathrm{P}$ is an error of iotacism from סúo, having disappeared beforehand $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa$ after $\omega \not \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ( $\Omega$ CTEK). With plurals the form $\delta$ voĩv is unusual, and Galen uses $\delta$ v́o more often than not. ${ }^{197}$ The series $-\omega v-\omega \nu$ makes $\delta v o i ̃ v ~ i m p r o b a b l e: ~ I ~ a c c e p t ~ e ̇ k ~ \delta u ́ o ~ f r o m ~ z . ~$.

In the beginning of the treatise, Galen enumerated four composed dyskrasia, those produced by the unbalance of a pair of qualities (the compatible ones). Here we have two dyskrasia conforming the êpialos fever, that of the rhîgos and that of the fever, that is, a cold and a hot. As the possibility of rhîgos is present in the course of other fevers as well, they would also be composed by two dyskrasia. A different concept is a composed fever, such as when a continuous and an intermittent (tertian) fever, produce the êmitritaios. ${ }^{198}$ The composition backs the meaning of the sentences. Cf. Commentary to 168,10-11, above.
P. 170,9-10 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o i ~ . . . ~ \alpha ́ \pi ~ \pi \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma] ~ \kappa \alpha \grave{i}$ is the right connective, for the sentence continues to include a second subject to the verb $\sigma v \mu \pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L}$. $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ot $(\Delta)$ is a facilior in uncial letters: TAIKAIOI $\Lambda O I \Pi O I ~ \rightarrow$ TAIKAIOIA $\Lambda$ OIOI $\triangle \mathrm{E}$. For the sentence with $\lambda$ oı $\pi$ oí, cf. De ven. art. dissect. 3: II 789, 7-9 K. The same sentence with $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ot is present in seven passages, as in the beginning of our treatise (in Dative: p. 142,4).

## SECTION 18. LOCALAD, AND TRANSFORMATION-4

P. 170,11-172,9. The section closes Frame 2: Local AD, Frame 3: Transformation, and the treatise.

Having closed the theme of the body' s dyskrasia (Frame 1, Sections 1 and 17) in the preceding section, the book looks back to the local one (Frame 2, Sections 1 and 17). The list of AD with flux is slightly longer here. This final ring is rather clear and expressive, the diseases being introduced by juxtaposed nouns. The intensity of the first three participles in Section 1, p. 142,6,
 or gangrained", underlined with vividness the body's part that suffered. Once the genesis and kinds of AD are explained, it is just necessary to pick up the disiecta membra as a series in Nominative, the Ruhelage of an enumeration:


[^161]( $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu$ ov $\eta$ is mentioned before). One name is new: $\alpha \nsim \theta \varrho \alpha \xi,{ }^{199}$ another is not repeated here: $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon ́ \phi \alpha \varsigma$.

Let us look at the scheme of the Section:

1. all local affections with tumour belong to AD
have in common: + flux (as in inflammation)
differ: by the kind of flux
it will be explained somewhere else
2. by now it is enough to know:
the homoeomers, each one by the humours, each one,
will be altered [ongoing alteration] by being heated, cooled ...
when alteration complete: painless, but condition not the best
3. by now it is enough: you are on the way to the Simples, and then to Meth. Med.
P. 170,11-14 $\omega \sigma \alpha \dot{\prime} \tau \omega \varsigma ~ . . . ~ \gamma \alpha ́ \gamma \gamma \varrho \alpha เ v \alpha]$ As Galen has thoroughly explained the genesis of inflammation (Section 3), he draws a bridge from the beginning of the treatise (local dyskrasia, in Section 1) to the end (Section 18), and grabs on the way the section dedicated to inflammation (Section 3). Similar processes by each one of the humours and their varieties are implicated in the genesis of cancer, erysipelas, anthrax, herpes, swelling, phagedaina, gangraina. Find Commentary to the local dyskrasia in Section 1, pp. 142,5-8.

In ő ó $\alpha$... o้ $\gamma \kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa}$, Galen emphasizes "of a single part" when dealing with the local dyskrasia, the group tıvòs $\dot{\varepsilon} v o{ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{PU})$ being unusual. Ḥunayn has it: "of a single part".
P. 170,14-18 кoıvòv ... $\left.\gamma^{\prime} \gamma v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\right]$ Composition and style stress the contents. See English scheme above, point 2. Let us look at it in Greek:

$\delta \iota \alpha \phi \varepsilon ́ \rho \varepsilon \iota \iota \dot{\varepsilon}, \tau \tilde{\omega}(\gamma \dot{\prime} \gamma \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota)$
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon ́ v, \quad \dot{\tau} \pi$ ò $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa о \tilde{v} \chi \cup \mu \circ \tilde{v}$,



ŋ̀ $\psi \cup \chi \varrho о \tilde{~} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \chi \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$,


199 First attestation in the HC; cf. S. Byl, Néologismes, pp. 86-87.

Galen uses syntagms of identical structure to underline the similarities： we have seen this device many times throughout the book．Once the exposi－ tion has been written this way，the author reassures himself of the reader＇s understanding．

As flux is common（kowvòv $\mu \varepsilon ́ v$ ）to every local dyskrasia，the humours and their capabilities mark the differences to them（ $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \varepsilon \iota ~ \delta \dot{\varepsilon})$ ．But it is not just the humour itself：a cold humour may be bilious or phlegmatic，raw or concocted，vitreous or deep dark；blood can appear bilious，thin or thick，cold， hot or boiling，pneumatic，red，dark，and so forth，and so on．${ }^{200}$

As Galen has explained the features of the humours throughout the book－the four qualities as well as other characteristics－，he makes a sum－ mary at the end，insisting on blood，${ }^{201}$ which is the most important of them as far as disease is concerned．He emphasises its alterability．

P．170，18－20 $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ ．．．$\mu$ óvov ］As often happens，the mention of a Ga－ lenic work（with title or untitled）closes a block．The reference is always cou－ pled with a verbum finitum．The books in question could be De morb．diff．12： VI 874，10－876， 4 K．，De morb．caus．6：VII 21， 15 －23， 6 K．，De tumor．praeter nat．（passim），and De meth．med．：in IX 17 （X 660，6－11K．）Galen himself states that in book VII he explained the dyskrasias of the parts when only quality is altered，while in books VIII－IX he dealt with the body＇s dyskrasias，beginning with fevers．${ }^{202}$

The opposition：＂In fact it will be shown precisely about the differences in them ．．．somewhere else＂＞＜＂For the present study，it is enough just to be told that ．．．＂，is very Galenic，and as clear as he wants it to be．Two points are introduced（as two coordinated declarative sentences）：
that（ $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ ）each one of the affections will be produced by a flux，as by the sanguineous and hot，the inflammation（170，20－172，1）
and that（ $\kappa \alpha i \dot{\omega} \omega)$ ）each one of the homoeomers，affected by the flux，will reach anomalous dyskrasia（172，1－3）．

As for عi＠ŋ̃ $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota \mu o ́ v o v, \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ ónoĩov，Hun．omits $\mu$ óvov $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ because of homoeoteleuton in hóvov $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ ó $\pi$ oĩov，so that he could not grasp the meaning of the next passage．

170，20－172，1 $\omega \varsigma$ ．．．$\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu$ оvŋ́v］As in the description of inflammation（Section 3 ），the so－called rheuma or flux is the agent of the affections．The writer draws

[^162]a precise cycle. The logical order would be this one ( $\tau$ ò $\varrho \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ is the syntactical subject):

```
\tauò @\varepsilon\tilde{v}\mu\alpha (sc. ôv \tauotoṽ\tauov)
oтo兀̃ov \(\alpha\) ท ที
```





In $1.21 \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha\llcorner$ of $\Lambda$ is difficilior than $\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \gamma \alpha \bar{\alpha} \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ in $\Delta$, and the Future tense is adequate to the next $\dot{\alpha} \phi i ́ \xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota(172,3)$. The Present Infinitive $\gamma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ı agrees with the Imperfect $\varepsilon$ غ́ $\varepsilon$ íкvv $\quad$ (durative aspect), and is adequate to the genesis of the inflammation as durative.
P. 172,1-3 к $\alpha \mathrm{i}$... $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma \sigma^{\prime} \alpha v$ ] The subject changes to the homoeomers (they are the syntactical subject as well). He is reminding his readers of the first and simple parts, presented in Section 2, and often mentioned. They are somewhat similar to the present-day tissues. The organs are composed by them. They are the sensitive parts, and the dyskrasia happens to them, and produces pain.
$\pi \varrho \omega \tau \omega \nu$ is granted by Hun. "primary", and it was easily lost in $\Lambda$ (homoeoteleuton).
P. 172,3-5 $\varepsilon \check{\xi} \omega \theta \varepsilon v$... ó $\mu$ oí $\omega \varsigma$ ] The local anomalous dyskrasia will be of the same quality as the displaced humour ("such as the flux be"). The body's part will receive from $\check{\varepsilon} \xi \omega \theta \varepsilon v$, outside (of it), the flux. It is a last hint at the qualitative dyskrasia. The explanation of the local AD is rather synthetic and clear.
 treatise, a theme that received a minute treatment throughout the book: transformation. Galen often remarked on the importance of the ongoing alteration, which is painful, against the end of the process: once matter is changed, pain is no more. He started the subject (it is Frame 3) in Section 5, and came back to it in Sections 7 and 10. As he finishes the book, he returns to it, so that we do not forget that the anomalous dyskrasia is painful, while the process' end is painless: but the patient may have lost the capacity of the part (the limit of the alteration is the harm of its capacity; cf. Section 10, p. 156, 18-19.203

[^163]P. 172,7 ع $\dot{v} \theta \dot{v} \varsigma]$ Probably Galen wrote $\varepsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} \varsigma$ (as in $\Delta$ ); $\varepsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma$ ( $\Lambda$ ) is the lateGreek usual form.
P. 172,8-9 $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau{ }^{\prime} . . . \mu \varepsilon Ө$ ódov] Galen points at the reading of De simpl. med. temp. ac fac. (XI 379-892 K.), and De meth. med. (X 1-1021 K.) afterwards. Both have been mentioned, or hinted at, in the book. See above, commentary to 170,18-19, and Introduction, B 1. Author and Date.
 plicit of the preceding De temper.

## 5. INDICES

* = exempla


## A. Index nominum






 170,8 vb. pl. 1 pers.: $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{́} \pi \omega \varsigma ~ \alpha i ̄ \sigma \theta \alpha v o ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha 160,4$
















 غ́téo $\omega$ Oし 170,18-19
 $7-8$ L. $=$ Hipp. XIII: 71,21-72,1 Joly = 42,1; 78,18-19 Craik) 152,3-4 I. фךбiv ó ф $\alpha \sigma \kappa \omega v . ~$
 Kühlewein) 160,5-6






 лоүьбиоїц 158,8-9

## B. Index verborum

$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ ós (ג̇ $\pi$ о́ $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma) ~ 150,15 ~$
גјүvocĩ 144,12

$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta u ́ v \alpha \tau O \varsigma$ neut. c. тò $\mu \eta$ et infin. 166,13






 $\kappa \alpha \varrho \delta i ́ \alpha \varsigma) ~ \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \tau \mu \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau о \nu<\tau o ̀>\alpha$. каі̀ $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \omega \delta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau 0 \nu$ हैं $\chi \varepsilon \iota 156,10$
$\alpha \grave{\sigma} \sigma \alpha ́ v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ calor aut/et frigor 158,7 fut. 156,7; 166,12. 14. 17 168,3. 6. $7 \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau 0 \varsigma 166,3$ (七ò $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \varepsilon ́ \chi o v) \delta \iota \alpha \phi о \varrho \omega ̃ \nu$... $\dot{\alpha} \lambda u ́ \pi \omega \varsigma \alpha$. 160,4

$\alpha \grave{\sigma} \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ \varsigma ~(\sigma \omega \prime \mu \alpha \tau \alpha) 166,11$
$\alpha$ īбӨŋто́s ( $\mu$ о́рьоv) 168,1
$\alpha i ̉ \tau i ́ \alpha ~ \sigma v \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega v$ 162,10 vơquát $\omega v$ 164,17 ỏ óvvŋ 166,4




äкv@OS omn. c. коו入ía 150,11 bis superl. 150,10
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \varepsilon i v 164,20$ 164,21

 142,12 ov̉ $\mu$ óvov ... ג̀. кגì 160,15 ג̀. кגì ... кגì 170,3
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda \omega v$ 146,11 158,13. 16; 160,15
 $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ к $\alpha$ ì $\alpha$. 156,16 opt. aor. pass. 172,6 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda . \eta$ ฑ $\kappa \varrho \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ 164,13.14 .18$ perf. 156,15 158,10


$\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \mathrm{O} \varsigma$ с. art.: 142,4 148,14 154,10 156,4 158,19 162,2 166,6 168,11; sine art.: c. $\tau \iota \varsigma / \tau \iota 156,14160,12$


ג̀ $\lambda$ úт
 $\tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu 168,3$

 164,2
ӓ $\mu \phi \omega 156,21$ 170,7
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu$ о́тє@ $\alpha \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha}$. 166,11. 15. 17
$\alpha \not ้ v$ c. subi. 170,20 172,5 add.: 154,5 et 7; c. opt. add.: 146,13 160,17. 18; 158,16 162,2. 15 172,7 (кöv) 156,16; $\tau \alpha ́ \chi ’$ àv 160,11 c. part. 158,4
$\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \beta \iota \beta \varrho \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu$ о́@เ $\alpha$ (v.l. ठь $\alpha-$ - 152,10
$\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha$ ĨOऽ neut. c. $̇$ é $\tau$ í et inf. 146,16 150,2


$\dot{\alpha} v \alpha i ́ \varrho \varepsilon \sigma ı \varsigma ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ vooŋnú́t $\omega v$ 162,1
 162,5


$\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathrm{~L}$ cf. $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \mu \mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma}_{\sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ \nu}$
ג̀vaбó@к $\alpha$ (v́dégoıs) 142,3



$\alpha \nsim \theta \varrho \alpha \xi$ morb. 170,13
$\alpha ้ v Ө \varrho \omega \pi$ оऽ $160,16.18$ bis 166,12 168,16



äv

$\dot{\alpha} v \omega \mu \alpha \lambda i ́ \alpha \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \omega \dot{\sigma} \nu v o s$ 158,19
 168,10. 11.12 170,9. 13 172,3. 10


 148,10 162,11 168,11

$\alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tilde{i} \mathrm{c}$ c. $\pi$ عoi et gen. 166,5
 172,2; c. art. $\sigma \alpha ́ \mathfrak{Q} \xi$ 148,1
ג̀ đó* 144,10 150,5 ойк $\dot{\alpha}$. тео́тоv 160,11; 166,13. 14



$\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ cf. $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda u ́ v \alpha \iota$


 $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \cup o \grave{c}$ 150,17 (cf. когरía)


$\dot{\alpha} \varrho \gamma \varepsilon \tilde{v} \nu$ aor. $<\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \varsigma>\dot{\alpha}$. ( $\mu$ о@í $\omega v$ ) 142,11



ג̀@เбт६@ós (ко৯入ía) 156,5


á@@ $\omega \sigma$ тоऽ 166,12

 $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} .150,1$ et 156,14

$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i ́ s 160,19$ bis
$\alpha$ ט̃̃ 144,14. 16
$\alpha \tilde{̃} \theta$ เऽ 164,17

$\alpha u ̄ \xi \eta \theta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota$ cf. $\alpha u ̋ \xi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha\llcorner$




 164,20 то入入оі́ үع $\alpha$ ùtढ̃v 166,2
$\alpha u ́ \tau o v ̃ ~ c f . ~ غ ̇ \alpha u \tau-~$


ö́x९t c. gen. 156,19
ßর́Өos 172,5

$\beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau i ́ \omega v 150,5$ superl. $\beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \nu$ 150,10




үá $\gamma \gamma \varrho \alpha$ เv $\alpha$ 168,14
$\gamma \alpha \gamma \gamma \varrho \alpha$ เvoṽ $\sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{L} 142,6$




 тoũtóv $\gamma$. 160,10 tó $\gamma$. + inf. 160,12 Cf. $\gamma$ रoũv
$\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varrho \alpha ́ \phi \theta \alpha \iota$ cf. $ү \varrho \alpha ́ \phi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$

$\gamma \varepsilon \nu \nu \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{L}$ (хขцо́s) 168,17







$\gamma \nu \omega \varrho$ í̧ $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{L} 144,11$

$\gamma \varrho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha$ ह̀v $\tau \tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} \gamma .144,8$
 ìגтоoís 170,2
$\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha ́ \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ aor. ( $\mu$ óoьov) 142,11



## סג́кvelv 160,18







 $\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \alpha \varsigma \delta^{\prime} 148,5$ ह̀v $\chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\rho} \alpha \delta^{\prime} 172,7$
$\delta \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon ́ \chi \theta \alpha \iota ~ c f . ~ \delta \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
 ... غ̇ठ. 172,1




 ס. кגì 154,20 عì סغ̇ ઠŋે кגì 158,14


$\delta \eta \lambda о \tilde{v} \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{\iota}$ fut. pass. $\delta$. $\alpha \kappa \varrho \prec \tilde{\omega} \varsigma 170,18$
$\delta \eta \lambda \omega \theta \eta ́ \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ cf. $\delta \eta \lambda$ о $\tilde{\sigma} \theta \alpha \iota$


 170,$7 ;$ c. gen. caus. 162,1 et 2

ठı $\alpha \iota \tau \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varrho \gamma \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu о \nu \omega \delta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma 170,1$




ঠı $\alpha \pi \alpha \nu$ тós temp. 156,11 168,8
 ठ. 162,16
ঠı $\alpha \pi \nu$ оŋ́ $\lambda \iota \gamma \nu v \omega ́ \delta \eta \varsigma 162,20$

 152,11
 ס. к $\alpha \grave{\iota}$ ठı $\alpha \sigma \pi \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota 148,13$


סıафと́@عıv 144,5 158,17 170,15




ঠıафо́@ŋоıऽ 150,7



סıótı caus. 154,8
סıт七ós ó т@óтоऽ 150,5
$\delta \iota \psi \tilde{\eta} v \pi \varepsilon \iota v \tilde{\eta} v \eta$ ŋ̀ $\delta$. 152,9
סокદน̃V 166,17 172,8 aor. opt. 160,11


ठúv $\alpha \mu \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon @ i ̀ ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu ~ ф v \sigma \iota \kappa \tilde{\omega} v ~ \delta . ~ 162,9 ~$
סúo 150,2. 8. 15 170,9

$\delta v \sigma \kappa o ́ \lambda \omega \varsigma ~(\alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda о ь o v ̃ \tau \alpha \iota) ~ v . l . ~ \mu o ́ \gamma ı \varsigma ~ v e l ~ \mu o ́ \lambda ı \varsigma ~ 154,12 ~$


סvбк@д́ $\tau \omega \varsigma$ бьккєí $\mu \varepsilon v o v ~ \sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ 145,6
 148,17 גútoĩs ( $\alpha$ ú- codd.) 150,19
غ̀ $\gamma \gamma \mathbf{\imath} \gamma \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ı 142,13




$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \chi \omega \varrho \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \nu$ c. oố et inf.: 146,3 et 164,8

そ̌ $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \alpha$ pl. тı $\pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta$ os $̇ .168,15$
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon เ v$ aor. c. inf. 156,6
$\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ c f . ~ غ ̇ \theta \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon ı v ~$



 166,18; fut. ( $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \iota) 150,6 ;$ add. 162,2; 162,19; inf. 152,18 (Hipp.), 160,6 164,6; subiunc. 154,5. 7. 20 170,20 172,5; opt. ( $\varepsilon$ '̌ $\eta$ ) 154,3. 14. 16 162,2; partic. 160,3 166,9
$\varepsilon \grave{i} \pi \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} V$ cf. $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon เ \nu$

$\varepsilon \tilde{L} \zeta$ fem. $\mu i ́ \alpha$ (к@ $\tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota)$ 146,19 et 158,13; masc. $\dot{\varepsilon} v i ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega 154,12$; neut. 142,5






عĩt $\alpha$ 166,3


ह́к $\alpha \sigma \tau 0 \varsigma$ neut. ( $\mu$ ó@เov) 152,5 et 172,2; ( $\pi \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta$ оऽ) 168,20
 160,4
غ̇к $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha$ ív $\sigma \theta \alpha$ ı pres.: 152,16. 18 154,6; $\sigma ט ́ \mu \pi \alpha v$ тò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha 156,8$ $\sigma ט ́ \mu \pi \alpha v$ тò $\alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$ 156,11;


غ̇к $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota$ cf. $̇ \kappa \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, in fine

غ̇кк $\alpha v \theta \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ cf. غ̇кк $\alpha \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$

غ̇к $\pi \varepsilon \pi v \varrho \tilde{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ cf. غ̇к

غ̇ктข@Oṽ $Ө \alpha$ เ perf. ( $\alpha \tilde{\tilde{u}} \mu \alpha$ ) 156,3



غ̀кто́s 154,19 тג̉кто́s 150,1 тои̉ктós 148,7
غ̇кт@ $\alpha \pi \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \mathrm{\iota}$ cf. غ̇кт@ $\dot{\pi} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$


غ̇ $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \phi \alpha \varsigma$ morb. 142,8

$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \nu$ cf. $\varepsilon \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota \nu$


$\varepsilon ँ \mu \pi \varrho о \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu$ temp．146，20 164，4 170，21

 $146,9 \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{v} v<\phi u ́ \sigma เ v>, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \pi о v \varepsilon i ̃ \tau \alpha ̀$

 148，7 164，3
 $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ह̀．162，2 v．l．（àvouoí $\omega v$ ）160，21

どvסoӨ
Ěveka 146，17

غ̀ve

ĚvLOL 162，6 164，19 166，8 168，2
 тодла́кıя ঠ’ 164，10

غ̇v $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \theta \alpha 166,15$

 ф́́s 162， 3


 164，16 と̌．．．．．غ̌v



غ̇ $\pi \alpha \nu \iota$ ı́v $\alpha$ เ 162，11

غ̇ாモเठ́́v 152，17

 ó тvótós 170，7





غ̇тเєเкŋ́s（ $\theta$ воцо́тŋऽ）152，13













 170，21
ह́Q $\quad$ そう 142,18 170，13
غ̇＠vó́ $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \varsigma ~ 142,6 ~ 170,13$
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \phi \eta \nu \tilde{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ cf．$\sigma \phi \eta v o \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \iota$
 160，17；cf．Өа́тع＠os
غ́ $\varepsilon$ モ́＠$\omega \theta_{\mathrm{l}}$（＝in altero tractatu suo）162，18 170，19
है́t c．comparat．тov́ $\tau \omega v$ है．$\pi \lambda$ ह́́ovऽ 146，7 154，3；temp．156，5． 15 158，12
 154，13；superl．（七ò $\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ ）154，8
عű $\delta \eta \lambda$ оऽ 164，16
عủӨús 172，7
عỦк兀 $\alpha$ ĨOऽ superl．（î $\alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma) 150,8$

 dat．＜$\grave{\partial}>\alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha \ldots$ ．．．.$\tau \tilde{\eta} \xi \alpha v \theta \tilde{\eta} \chi$ о $\lambda \tilde{\eta}, \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu o ́ v 154,10$
हैं $\chi \varepsilon$ IV 144，14 156，10 160，4． 15158,14 160，3；c．adv．mod．et gen．，opt．$\mu \varepsilon \tau \varrho i ́ \omega \varsigma \varsigma$ है．к＠$\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ 152,14 ;$



है $\chi$ เסv $\alpha$ 160，16 cf．है $\chi เ \varsigma$

$\zeta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \nu$ omn．c．$\alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$ ：148，17 opt．152，15；164，2 170，11． 17


$\eta^{*}$ ante omn．membr．（c．particip．）：144，2－4 150，6－7 152，5－6；id．c．subst．166，6；（id．c．adv．）154，21 ทॅтOL ．．．ぞ ．．．そॅ 170，16－17



グкเбт $\alpha$ 154，17

$\eta \lambda \operatorname{los} 166,12.14$




ทัน $\tau 0 v$ adv．comp．opp．$\mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ov 154，16．18． 23 162，5 164，5；164，8

Ө人́ $\tau \tau \omega$（cf．т $\alpha \chi$ и́s ）$\theta \tilde{\alpha} \tau \tau 0 v$ ut adv．154，3． 18
Ө $\alpha v \mu \alpha ́ \zeta \varepsilon เ v$ Ө．$\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma ~ 166,5$

$\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau o ́ s ~ \theta . \pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$（cf．$\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha ́ \zeta \varepsilon เ v) ~ 166,7 ~ c . ~ i n f . ~ 166,11 ~$
Өع＠$\alpha \pi \varepsilon v \tau$ ткós $\theta$ ．$\mu \varepsilon ́ \theta$ обоц 172，9
 168，5；148，12－13；148，14；$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \kappa \alpha \grave{\alpha} \alpha \lambda \lambda$ оьoṽ $\alpha \iota$ เ $\theta$ ．156，16；aor．pass．158，3；inf．
 148，9． 14 158，2 166，18
$\theta \varepsilon ́ \varrho \mu \alpha v \sigma ı \varsigma ~ v . l ., ~ c f . ~ Ө \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha ~ 160,2$




 <тои̃> $\theta$. к $\alpha$ ì $\psi$ uð@oṽ 160,10. 14 158,16 164,1; тıкœóходоs к кì $\theta$. 166,10; 166.12 170,16. 21




Ө@́́ $\psi$ ц тоїऽ òбтоĩs 146,14

 150,17




ǐv $\alpha$ final. c. subiunc. 144,9

¡б $\chi \cup \varrho \tilde{\omega} \varsigma ~ 148,6164,9$
í $\sigma \chi$ ús subs. ì. тoũ dœãvtos aitiov 164,4
$\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha ́ \pi \varepsilon \varrho$ sine verb.: $142,3146,3$ 154,19 162,7 c. partic. 150,19
$\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ v \alpha \iota$ cf. к $\alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$
к $\alpha$ Өótı c. Vf 144,1 146,5 152,3
$\kappa \alpha 1^{*} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ 142,7-8 ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~$





 $\dot{u} \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu 146,4$ к $\alpha$ ítot c. part. 160,3


$\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \theta$ เ omn. c. morbis: partic.: 142,4 ó к. ह̀ $\overline{\varepsilon ́ \phi} \phi \varsigma ~ 142,7144,13164,9168,8$ c. Vf: act. 170,8;

$\kappa \alpha ́ \mu \nu \varepsilon เ v$ c. part. ( $\mu$ óoıov) $142,7158,12162,4 ;$ c. Vf 148,15

кג̀ $\pi i ́ c$ cf. $̇ \pi i ́$

каœкívos 142,7 170,13

ка@тє@óऽ к@úos 166,1
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha^{*}$ loc. 144,6 148,6 150,12 ... ; temp. 168,1; mod. 170,12; к. фv́бıv (opp. $\left.\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ̀ ~ \phi.\right) ~ 144,14 ~$ 150,4 ...


$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ opt. 172,7

$\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \iota \lambda \eta \phi \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$ ı cf. к $\alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\nu \varepsilon เ \nu}$

кعvós omn. с. $\chi \tilde{\omega} \varrho \alpha \iota 146,6$; add. 146,11; 148,8
$\kappa \varepsilon \varrho \alpha ́ v v v \sigma \theta \alpha$ เ pf. 162,14
$\kappa \varepsilon \phi \alpha \lambda \eta$ 144,12

Kıvסטvev́عıv c．nomin．et infin．160，4
 $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu 166,10$



кó入ov（к $\tilde{\lambda} \lambda$ ov codd．）166，6

 158，17
к＠عı́тt $\omega$ v comp．pl．150，5
К＠úos 164，19 166，1
$\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ v \varepsilon \iota v$ aor．168，1
$\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota v$ Vf 152，3 pass．146，5． 17 162，14 164，4． 12 part．142，3；عíreĩv：ovve入óvtı ס’ $\varepsilon$ ．154，5；
 146，18；inf．170，19；part．146，6 170，21
$\lambda \varepsilon \iota \pi v$＠í $\alpha$（vel $\lambda \iota \pi$－codd．）168，7
 154，8（ $\alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$ ）156，10
$\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau$ ós（ $\alpha \tilde{\mathrm{i}} \mu \alpha)$ Өع＠$\mu$ òv к $\alpha \mathrm{i} \lambda .170,17$
入єvкós 146，14

$\lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma \mu$ о́ऽ тє＠ì т $\tilde{\omega} \nu \alpha i \sigma \theta \eta ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \nu 158,9$

入oıтós 170，10
$\lambda$ úعıv（ $\sigma$ vvé $\chi \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ）160，7 152，6 et 11；cf．$\lambda$ úoıs
$\lambda v \pi \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \nu$ тò $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \zeta$ ov 158，16
$\lambda v \pi \eta \varrho о ́ \varsigma ~ 158,16 ~$
$\lambda u ́ \sigma \iota \varsigma ~(\sigma v \vee \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon \iota \alpha) ~ 160,6 ; ~ c f . ~ \lambda u ́ \varepsilon \iota v ~$



$\mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ गov 152，7． 16 opp．$\tilde{\eta} \tau \tau о v: 154,16.18 .23162,5164,5$

 150，11
$\mu \varepsilon ́ \theta$ обоऽ $\grave{\eta}$ Өє＠$\alpha \pi \varepsilon v \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \mu$ ．172，9
$\mu \varepsilon i ́ \zeta \omega \nu$ cf．$\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \varsigma$
$\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi$ о เко́ऽ（ $\alpha$ ĩ $\mu \alpha$ ）154，22（ $\chi \cup \mu$ о́ऽ）170，16
$\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \varsigma ~(\chi о \lambda \eta ́) ~(\alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda о ь o v ̃ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta v \sigma \kappa o ́ \lambda \omega \varsigma) ~ 154,12 ~$




$\mu$ évтOı 168，2 $\mu$ ．．．．סغ́ 172，4
нヒ́＠os 146，20
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu .156,20$
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ́ \alpha$ c．gen．opp．ǎvยvv 142，8；170，11；с．acc．148，4 154，11
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon เ v(\mu o ́ \varrho เ ๐ v)$ act．152，1 med－pass：156，17 opp．pres．／perf．152，2；c．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ oıo $\tilde{v} v 156,15$ 172，6

$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi$ v́ 146，6． 11
ни́т＠เos 158，19
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \varrho i ́ \omega \varsigma ~(\alpha i ̃ \mu \alpha) \mu$ ．है $\chi \varepsilon \iota v$ к＠á $\sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ 152,14$

 $\sigma \grave{\pi} \pi \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ı 154，18 et 162，16
$\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ́ ~ 158,6$
$\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon i ́ \varsigma ~ o v ̉ \delta \varepsilon i \varsigma ~ . . . ~ o ̋ \tau \iota ~ \mu . ~ . . . ~(s e n t . ~ c a u s) ~ 170,.1 ~$
$\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon ́ \tau \iota \omega \varrho \mu$ ．et inf．consec．156，17 $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \not \approx \nu \mu$ ．et．part．caus．－cond．158，4
 $\gamma^{\prime} \not \gamma v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \mu$ ．о̋ $\mu \omega \varsigma$ 168，14
$\mu \tilde{\eta} \nu \iota \gamma \xi$（ $\alpha \pi o ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota$ ）v́ $\pi$ ò $\tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \mu$ ．150，16
$\mu \eta \pi \omega 156,6$ v．l．$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega 172,5$
$\mu \eta \varrho O ́ \varsigma ~ \sigma \kappa \varepsilon ́ \lambda о \varsigma ~ . . . ~ \varepsilon i ́ \varsigma ~ \mu . ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa v \eta ́ \mu \eta v ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \delta \alpha ~ 144,14 ~$


$\mu$ óvos adi．142，9 162，15 164，7．15；adv．addidi 144，6；148，14 156，18 158，5 160，15 162，16 170，20
 $\mu .144,15 ; 142,5144,6.10148,14156,9$ ह̀v $\tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \pi o v \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu .152,3$ тò

 $\alpha$ à $\sigma$ ŋтóv 168，1；168，5 168，7 170，11




véute＠os ìatท́＠170，3
vŋ̃бтıऽ غ̀兀ıт七ú $\omega v$ v．160，18
vเк $\tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ v \iota \kappa \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota / \nu \iota \kappa \eta \theta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha$ ı：act．150，3；med．－pass．150，3－4 et 20 （iv）；156，17
vouí̧ عıv 158，6 164，6
voбعĩv part．c．art．162，5 166，8


v $v$ v 162，8
 154，11 ג入入入оเoṽт $\alpha \iota \mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \eta ~ \xi . ~ \chi . ~ \varrho ́ \alpha \delta i ́ \omega \varsigma ~ 154,11 ~$












 ó ф $\alpha \sigma \kappa \omega \nu 160,5$ oí $\tau v \varrho \varepsilon ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ o v ́ \tau \omega ~ 158,6 ~ n e u t r .: ~ s u b s t a n t i v a t i o ~ a d i .: ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \tau \varrho i ́ ~ \varphi ~ ب ~$ 158，19 substant．inf．（dat．）$\tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \eta \dot{\jmath} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu o ́ \varrho \iota \alpha$ тои̃ $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha ́ \tau \omega \varsigma ~ \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \varepsilon є \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v ~$





O้ $\gamma \kappa 0$ К vơŋ́ $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau$＇o้．170，11
о̋ठ́ 144,8 156，19 160，4 170，2




 $\lambda$ оı $\omega \mu$ ќvoıs ŋ̀ ỏ．158，10（ $\alpha$ ìtí $\alpha$ ）166，4

oíס́́伙 $<\tau \tilde{\omega}>$ каœкív $\omega$（localis dyscrasia）142，6

 àvıa＠óv 160，19
oĩov adv．comp．156，20 160，16


 $\pi \tilde{\alpha} v$ ő ơ ov סı＇ő ólov 172，6
ő $\lambda \omega \varsigma$ adv．c．negat．$\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}$ ．．．ő． 158,7 oủ ${ }^{\prime}$ ő ő $\omega \varsigma$ 164，6
о́ $\mu \alpha \lambda$ ós орр．$\alpha v \omega ́ \mu \alpha \lambda$ оऽ б бок＠$\alpha \sigma$ б́ $\alpha$ 144，5
 $(\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha) 172,1$




ó $\mu$ oí $\omega \varsigma$ adv．ó．．．．$\tau \varepsilon Ө \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \mu$ о＠í $\omega v$ 158，7；158，13 166，11 172，5


ó $\mu \mathrm{O}$ ṽ adv．168，4
Ö $\mu \omega \varsigma$ conj．$\mu \eta \geqslant \nu$ ő．168，13
 168,12 170，10；id．c．$\chi \omega$＠ís 162，13
O้vv
ő $\pi เ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v$ 150，16


 о́tóte conj．temp．156，8
Ő $\pi \omega \varsigma$ conj．int．ind．ő $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ．．．ő $\tau \iota \tau \varepsilon 162,12$
Ó＠$\tilde{\alpha} v \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \alpha \varrho \gamma \tilde{\omega} \varsigma ~ 166,4$ perf．pass．$\omega$ む̃ $\pi \tau \alpha \iota 170,4$

ÓQ $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 164,14$ aor．156，13
Ő＠Oऽ ő．．．．тŋ̃ऽ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ оt $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ 156,18$ ő．．．．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \tau$ ¢í $\alpha \varsigma ~ 160,10$ et 12

ŐS rel．postpos． 144,11 148，5 $\alpha i ̂$ ín 148，11 150，2． 13 156，5． 12 166，9 168，1． 16 170，4．6；antepos．：170，7； cf．et ő orte＠
Ő $\sigma 0 \varsigma$ rel．magnitud．（antepos．）154，5 et 7；rel．general．pl．：antepos．146，12 170，11；ő $\sigma \alpha$ ı codd． 146,19 et 162，9，ó 九ó $\sigma$ ı scripsi；postpos．164，21
ő $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \varrho$ rel．：postp． 150,10 antep． 168,9
 кגi ő тı סৎãv ．．．162，10；cf．óбтıooṽv
ó $\sigma \tau \iota \sigma o u ̃ v$ indef．$\varepsilon$ èv ótıoũv（ $\mu$ ópıov）142，5 144，13 et 146，8

 $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho ~ Ө \varepsilon \varrho \mu o ́ v ~ . . . ~ o ̉ . ~ ס \grave{~ đ ̀ ~ \psi u \chi \varrho o ́ v ~ 158,18 ~}$
 ．．．ő． ＇$^{\prime} 164,15-16$
őtı coni．decl．antep．：144，5 146，19 162，12 164，3；caus．post．：154，1． 15 168，18





 166，7；166，9． 18
oủкદ́тเ 146，2
oűkouv 156，8

 $\psi v \chi \varrho \alpha ́ 164,10$




$\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \nu$ cf．$\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \varepsilon เ v$

$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \mathrm{L} 168,18$
$\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$ เó pl ．ì $\alpha$ т＠ó 170,2
$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda ı v 144,12$ §’ $\alpha v ̃ \pi$ ．144，16；162，11
$\pi \alpha ́ \mu \pi о \lambda \nu \varsigma$ pl．160，3 162，17
$\pi \alpha \vee \tau \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \sigma \iota$ adv．156，17
$\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi o ́ \theta \varepsilon v$ adv．148，9
$\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \varsigma$ add．142，11；168，15
$\pi \alpha ́ v v$ 146，12 où $\pi \alpha ́ v v$ тı 152，14
 $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha\llcorner 156,1$

$\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \sigma ⿱ ㇒ ⿻ 二 乚 力 \omega \varsigma ~ 170,12$
$\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \alpha ́ \zeta\ulcorner\sigma \theta \alpha$ เ perf．$\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \cup \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \alpha ь ~ 146,15$

$\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \chi \varrho \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$ 152，16

$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma^{*}$ sing．s．art．$\pi$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \tau \varrho i ́ \alpha ~ 160,13 \quad \phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu о v \eta ̀ ~ \pi$ ．кגі̀ $\pi v \varrho \varepsilon \tau o ̀ s ~ \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \varsigma ~ 162,13 \quad$ c．art．antepos． （atribut．）тò $\pi \tilde{\alpha} v \alpha i ̃ \mu \alpha 154,21$ c．art．postp．（praedicat．）：غ̀ $\pi i \pi$ ．тoṽ $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha$ ívovtos 154,5 $\pi$ ．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu o ́ \rho \iota \alpha 144,6$ ；cf．et $\alpha \pi \pi \alpha \varsigma$ ，$\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \alpha \varsigma$
 vat（ $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha)$ ：148，1 152，15
$\pi \alpha v ́ \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{t}$ fut．$\pi$ ．ò $\delta u v \omega \overline{\mu \varepsilon v \alpha} 150,22$

$\pi \alpha \chi$ ט́s（ט́үоós）146，14（ $\alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$ ）170，17
$\pi \varepsilon \iota \nu \tilde{\eta} v \pi$ ． $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \iota \psi \tilde{\eta} \vee 152,9$
$\pi \varepsilon \pi o v \theta \dot{v} v \alpha ı$ cf．$\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \varepsilon เ \nu$

 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu 166,5$

 158,6 tò $\pi \varepsilon \varrho เ \varepsilon \chi$ о́ $\mu \varepsilon$ vov $\alpha$ í $\mu$ 160,1


$\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \chi \varepsilon \tilde{\iota} \sigma \theta \alpha$ เ perf. 150,2

$\pi \varepsilon \phi \theta \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \mathrm{\iota}$ cf. $\pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \tau \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
$\pi \varepsilon \phi \cup \kappa \varepsilon ́ v \alpha \iota ~ c f . ~ \phi u ́ \varepsilon เ v$






$\pi i ́ \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha ı 148,8$
$\pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau о \varsigma ~ 156,19 ~$
 $\pi \lambda \varepsilon$ iov 142,13
$\pi \lambda$ عová $\zeta$ єıv 166,10

$\pi \lambda \tilde{\eta}$ Өоऽ 168,15
$\pi \lambda \eta$ ข 142,4 146,4 168,12 170,10
$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \zeta \varepsilon \iota v$ part. 七ò $\pi$. ( $\mu$ ó@ıov) 158,16
$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ óov adv. c. gen. 160,7



 ठغ̀ $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \varepsilon \iota 158,13$
$\pi$ оıкí $\lambda \omega \varsigma$ adv. $\pi$. ò $\delta v v \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha \iota 148,12$


$\pi \bigcirc \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota \varsigma ~$ èvíote $\mu \dot{v} v . . . \pi$. ठ’ 164,12; 164,19 166,6 170,4
$\pi$ о入v́ $\alpha$ циоऽ pl. ( $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi \vee \alpha)$ 154,3 et 21
$\pi о \lambda v \varepsilon เ \delta \eta ́ \varsigma ~ p l . ~(\alpha \lambda \lambda о \iota \omega \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma) ~ 154,14 ~ e t ~ 23 ~$

 adv. $\pi$ o $\lambda u$ ù $\delta \varepsilon ̀ ~ \mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ov 152,16; cf. $\pi \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \omega v$


то́@OS ( $\varepsilon v \tau \tilde{\omega}$ ठ́́@ $\mu \alpha \tau \iota$ ) 146,15





$\pi \varrho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ í $\alpha$ ŋ $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i ̀ ~ \phi \alpha \varrho \mu \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu \pi .172,9$
T@ív negat. et inf. 160,1 162,9
т@ó 148,11
$\pi \varrho о \gamma \iota \gamma \vee \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \varepsilon เ v$ pass. perf. $\pi \varrho о \varepsilon \gamma \nu \tilde{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 172,8$
$\pi \varrho o ́ \delta \eta \lambda$ оऽ neut.: 160,13 с. ف́s 164,8

$\pi \varrho O \eta \gamma \varepsilon \check{\iota} \sigma Ө \alpha$ เ aor. ג̉@үòv $\pi$. $\beta$ íov 168,15
$\pi \varrho о \theta v \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\iota} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ aor．pass．166，1
$\pi \varrho о \theta v \mu \eta \theta \tilde{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ сf．$\pi \varrho о \theta v \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\iota} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
т＠окєıَ̈Ө 144,9

 о́ $\mu$ оí $\omega v$ 160，20
т＠ơ $\alpha$ о＠عúعเv 166，9

т＠обと́ть 170，5

$\pi \varrho O \sigma \pi i ́ \pi \tau \varepsilon เ v ~ p a r t . ~ 142,12 ~ 166,15 ~$
т＠об＠גíveเv 166，13

T＠ótعQOV adv．150，5 $\pi$ ．$\mu \dot{v} \nu . . . \dot{\varepsilon} \phi \varepsilon \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \delta \varepsilon ́ ~ 154,1 ~$


 148，17；cf．$\pi \varrho \tilde{\sim} \tau 0 v$ adv．
$\pi \varrho \omega ́ \tau \omega \varsigma$ adv．$\pi . \mu \varepsilon ́ v . . . ~ غ ̇ \phi \varepsilon \xi ̄ \eta \eta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} 154,15$

$\pi \tilde{\cup} \varrho 166,2$







 166，8 168，3；168，2；（ $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha) \tau \tilde{\omega} \vee \pi .170,6$
$\pi \omega$ 156，15
$\pi \omega \varsigma ~ 164,9 \pi$ ．$\alpha \partial \lambda \omega \varsigma 170,17$
$\pi \tilde{\omega} \zeta$ ut interr．ind．$\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha ́ \zeta \varepsilon \iota \pi .166,5$ Ө $\quad$ v $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau$ òv $\pi$ ．166，8
＠́qסíwऽ 152，14 154，11





 ठıатı日غ́ $\mu \varepsilon$ vov 172，2
＠$\varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau i ́ \zeta \varepsilon \iota v$ v．l．146，20
＠ŋォદ́ov cf．入દ́үعıレ




 158，18
$\sigma \alpha \phi \eta ́ \varsigma$ ò 入óүos 144，9 v́тع＠oхŋ́ 160，3



$\sigma \eta \pi \varepsilon \delta \omega \dot{\omega} v \sigma . \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \chi v \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu 158,13$


б́́ع $\lambda$ ov ò $\lambda$ é $Ө$ @ıov 160,16

$\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \varrho o ́ \varsigma ~ о р р . ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \ldots \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu . .$. ő $\quad \mu \alpha \delta^{\prime}<\varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i>\sigma . \kappa \alpha i ̀ \xi \eta \varrho \alpha ́ 146,12$

б $\mu$ เк@ós cf. $\mu$ เк@ós
$\sigma \pi \alpha ́ v$ LOS тò $\sigma u ́ \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha 168,13$

$\sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \sigma Ө \alpha$ เ 148,6
$\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon$ ós т̀̀ $\sigma$. $\mu$ ó@t $\alpha$ тоṽ $\sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau$ тоऽ 158,3 et 158,5
$\sigma \tau \tilde{v} \alpha \iota 166,12$
$\sigma \tau O ́ \mu \alpha$ ( $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varrho \tau \eta \varrho เ \tilde{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \phi \lambda \varepsilon \beta \tilde{\omega} v$ ) 148,6
$\sigma v ́$ acc. add. 154,7

ovuß $\alpha$ íveıv 164,11 perf. 170,7
$\sigma u ́ \mu \pi \alpha \varsigma$ atribut.: ó $\sigma v ́ \mu \pi \alpha \varsigma \mu \tilde{v} \varsigma 148,2$ tò $\sigma v ́ \mu \pi \alpha v \sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ 164,20; predicat.: $\sigma v ́ \mu \pi \alpha v$... đò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha 156,8$ 162,20 et 166,18; $\sigma ט ́ \mu \pi \alpha \nu$... тò $\alpha \tilde{i} \mu \alpha$ 152,16 et 156,12; 154,23 170,12
$\sigma v \mu \pi i ́ \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota v$ perf. 168,10
$\sigma \cup \mu \pi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \kappa \varepsilon \sigma Ө \alpha$ เ perf. 170,9



$\sigma u ́ v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \varsigma$ (о́ноьоцє@ŋ́ऽ) 146,1
$\sigma v v \delta \varrho \alpha \mu \varepsilon i ̃ v$ cf. $\sigma u v \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon เ v$
 $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \beta \tilde{\omega} v 148,17$ med.-pass.: $\sigma . \tau \tilde{\omega} \pi \varepsilon \pi$ оขӨótı 152,14; 154,4


бUVعХף̆ऽ тท̃ऽ ov̉𧰨íaऽ tò $\sigma$. 160,8
 б. о́ ŋ̀ $\pi$ í $\alpha$ оऽ 170,5



 148,6; perf. ( $\chi \cup \mu o ́ \varsigma) ~ 154,18$
 бфоб○ஸ̃ऽ 164,21
$\sigma \chi \varepsilon \delta$ óv adv. c. á $\pi \alpha \varsigma$ pl.: 142,4 170,10






 $\sigma .154,15$ et 156,1
$\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau O ́ \varsigma ~ c f . ~ غ ̇ \kappa \tau о ́ \varsigma ~$
т $\alpha ́ \chi \alpha$ т $\alpha ́ \chi$ ’ $\alpha v$ 160,11
$\tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$ adv. 166,1; $\tau \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ut adv. $\tau . \ldots$ к $\kappa \grave{\iota} \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha .162,16$
$\tau \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ cf. $\tau \alpha \chi \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma$
$\tau \varepsilon^{*} \tau \varepsilon$... $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ 142,3 ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ 144,15 ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . \tau \varepsilon ~$

$\tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha ́ v \theta \alpha$ ı cf. $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ı
 152,11
$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$ c. perf. $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$ ŋ̀ $\lambda \lambda$ oí $\omega \tau \alpha \iota 156,15$ et 158,10; 158,1.3; 158,11
тと́入os 172,10



т ́́тт
tís int. dir. 166,3


 ס' $160,14-15$



тот $\gamma \alpha \varrho о$ ṽv 160,4

то́тоऽ 168,4



тойкто́s cf. غ̇ктós





 $\pi \varrho о \sigma \alpha \gamma$ о○єט́єь 166,9 et 168,18
ט́ $\gamma$ цaíveıv 156,5




 @oō̃s v.. 170,15
ט́ү○о́тŋऽ 148,9

v̌ठ 0 @ 166,13. 14

v́ $\mu \eta v$ (óноьонгеи́s) 146,1. 5 148,10




 150,14 150,16. 18.19


v́ $\pi о \mu \nu \eta ̄ \sigma \alpha \iota$ cf. v́то $\mu \mu v \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon เ v$
$\phi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ́ \delta \alpha ı v \alpha$ (dyscrasia loc.) 142,8 170,14
фávaı 160,5

фó́бкеเv 160，5
$\phi \theta \alpha \varrho \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota$ cf．$\phi \theta \varepsilon$ í＠$\varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
фӨ人＠тเко́s ұvนós 160，16


фӨо＠व́ т $\tilde{\omega} v \sigma \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ 150，3


 154，3 et 154，20 164，12
 170，15
 v́ $\alpha \lambda \omega \delta \eta \varsigma ~ \chi \cup \mu o ́ \varsigma): ~ 166,9$ et 168，17


 тv＠єтós 162，12． 13 164，4．15；febris sine ph．：162，15 dolor sine ph．： 166.5 vơŋ́ $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \tau$＇ о้ $ү$ кои 170，12
 $\phi .148,4$ 148，11；（oí $\chi \iota \tau \tilde{\omega} v \varepsilon \varsigma) ~ 150,1$ et 156，14；150，19
 ó $\mu$ oí $\omega v$ 162，1

 $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ̀ ~ \phi . ~ 156,9 . ~ 12 ~ 156,18 ; ~ o ́ ס o ́ s ~ \varepsilon i ́ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ̀ ~ \phi . ~ 156,20 ; ~ Ө \varepsilon \varrho \mu o ̀ s ~ \phi v ́ \sigma \varepsilon ı ~ 154,1 . ~ 5 . ~ 9 ; ~ \phi . ~ \psi v-~$


$\phi \omega \varrho \tilde{\alpha} v$ aor．opt．$\phi .<\alpha ̂ v>\alpha i \not \sigma \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha 146,12$
$\chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi$ ós compar．（（ঠı́́ $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma) 172,7$
 166，2
$\chi \iota \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$ pl．（ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varrho \tau \eta \varrho \iota \tilde{\omega} v \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \phi \lambda \varepsilon \beta \tilde{\omega} v) 148,7$ 150，1 156，14



Х＠ク́ c．infin．144，10 168，14
Х＠ovíלعוV（тv＠とтós）164，9
Х＠óvos 150，22 156，16 158，1 168，15





$\chi \omega ́ \varrho \alpha$ отn．« $\chi$ ．кєv $\alpha$ í»：146，6． 11 148，8

$\psi v \gamma \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota$ cf．$\psi v ́ \chi \varepsilon \iota v$


 ह̇v к＠úعเ 164，19；cf．et к $\alpha \tau \alpha \psi v ́ \chi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$


 $\phi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \mu \alpha$ 154,9. 11; (ó v́ $\alpha \lambda \omega ́ \delta \eta \varsigma ~ \chi v \mu o ́ \varsigma) ~ 166,9 ~ e t ~ 168,16 ; ~ v ́ \phi ' ~ \alpha i ̂ \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ . . . ~ \psi . ~ к \alpha \grave{̀ ~} \pi \alpha \chi$ ќoऽ 170,17


$\omega^{\tilde{\omega}} \pi \tau \alpha \mathrm{\iota}$ cf. ó@ $\tilde{v} v$
$\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ sent. compar. antepos. et Vf $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \ldots$... <ov́t $\omega>$ к $\alpha$ ì ... 146,6 compar. postpos. sine V.: 146,9 156,7 et 170,8; modal. 160,5 $\omega$ s čotкev 168,18; consec. c. inf.: 150,22 156,17 et 158,16; caus.cond. c. $\alpha$ 人̈v 158,4 ; caus. c. particip. 158,17 ; compl. antep.: $164,6.20$; compl. postpos.: 164,8. 10. 13 170,20 172,1
ஸ́ण $\sigma$ v́t $\omega$ S adv. 144,7 160,13 170,11
$\omega^{\prime} \sigma \pi \varepsilon \varrho$ sent. compar. postp. $154,6164,3164,12$ antep. $\omega_{1}$.... ov́t $\omega \varsigma 164,18$
$\omega \mathscr{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$ consec. c. inf. 148,9 post pausam: 154,14 et 154,23 id c. Vf 170,9


[^0]:    1 Books or papers quoted only once are not included here: their references just appear in the notes.

[^1]:    1 Vide infra, ms. P.
    2 N. Wilson: A mysterious Byzantine scriptorium..., and Aspects of the transmission of Galen..., dated them to the last quarter of the XII c., but see Ch. Brockmann, below, note 40 . The catalogue of Bandini (A. M. Bandini, Catalogus, vol. II, cols. 51-53), dated the ms. in the XIVth c.

    3 Cf. p. 449, in: N. G. Wilson, Ioannikios and Burgundio.
    4 Description in V. Nutton, Gal. De praecognitione, CMG V 8, 1, p. 17, and bibliography in Gal. De propriis placitis, CMG V 3,2, p. 18, note 1.

    5 According to Bandini (Catalogus,vol. II, cols. 51-52): De element., De temp., De nat. fac., De subst., De animi dign. et cur., De usu resp., De praecog., De sect. ad eos, De inaeq. intemp., De tumor. praet. nat., De ther. ad Pison., De ther. ad Pamphil., De antid.

    6 For the location of the Scriptorium in Constantinople see N. G. Wilson (A mysterious, pp. 172-174, and Ioannikios and Burgundio, pp. 448-449), and specially Brockmann, below, note 40. The location in Italy was considered by Wilson as well (Scholars of Byzantium, London 1983, pp. 207-208, and p. 112 in H.-G. Nesselrath, ed., Einleitung ..., pp. 104-116), and some others: P. Canart (pp. 151-152 in Le livre grec en Italie méridionale sous les règnes normand et souabe: aspects matériels et sociaux, Scrittura e Civilità 2, 1978, pp. 139-157), G. Cavallo (pp. 214-215 in: La trasmissione scritta della cultura greca antica in Calabria e Sicilia), A. M. Ieracci Bio (p. 172 of La transmissione della letteratura medica greca nell'Italia Meridionale fra X e XIV secolo, in A. Garzya, ed., Contributi alla cultura greca nell'Italia Meridionale, Naples, 1989, pp. 133-257), and J. Irigoin (p. 23 of: Les plus anciens manuscrits grecs de médecine de l'Italie méridionale: origine et influence, in: Dalla medicina greca alla medicina salernitana: percorsi e tramiti, Salerno 1999, pp. 15-24).

[^2]:    8 Burgundio lived ca. 1110-1193. R. Durling, (Burgundio of Pisa's translation of Galen's ПЕРI KPAERN, p. xx), checked several Greek ms. containing De complexionibus, and considered that the Greek exemplar for Burgundio's translation was the parent of this Laurentianus (he followed the previous date for $\mathbf{L}$, the xiv century). The actual date makes very probable the use of this ms. by Burgundio. Durling has reviewed the text of De temperamentis in this ms. L, and points out some errors of transcription by Helmreich (Gal., De temperamentis). According to Durling (Burgundio ... De complex. p. xx), the Greek mss. containing De temperamentis "can be divided into two families, $L$ representing the first, and $\mathrm{MN}(\mathrm{O})$ TV the second (b)." Marcianus gr. $275=$ M. Vaticanus gr. 282, s. $x v=N$.. Trivultianus 685 , s. xiv=T. Marcianus... $=$ V. Two of the Latin mss (C,D) "include textual changes clearly made in the light of Greek variants found in as yet unidentified MS belonging to the $\mathbf{b}$ family".

    9 See Wilson, p. 173, of: A mysterious Byzantine scriptorium.
    10 See p. 36 of Sur la méthode de travail suivie dans la préparation de l'édition aldine des Opera omnia de Galien (1525): analyse du De sectis ad introducendos, in: Storia e ecdotica dei testi medici greci, A. Garzya (ed.), Naples 1996, 31-42. Cf. as well N. Wilson, New light on Burgundio of Pisa", in: St. it. fil. class., 3rd. s., IV 2, 1986, 117-118.

    11 Cf. Helmreich (Gal., De temp., p. III). The editor also mentions this manuscript as the best testimonium for Gal. De elem. (Erlangen 1878, p. VIII), De sectis and De nat. fac. (Scripta minora, III, pp. III, V). See De Boer in Gal. De propr. dign. et curat., De animi ... dign. et curat., CMG V 4, 1.1, p. V, and V. Nutton, Gal. De praecog., CMG V 8,1, pp. 17-18, and Gal. De propr. plac., CMG V 3,2, p. 18. Ph. de Lacy, in Gal. De elem., CMG V 1,2, pp. 11-12.

    12 CMG V 8,1, pp. 17-18.
    13 CMG V 1,2, pp. 11-12.
    14 Cf. Wilson, Aspects, p. 60.
    15 De temp. (mut. ad in.), De nat. fac., De opt. corp. nost. const., De bono hab., De inaeq. intemp., Ad Glauconem de med. meth., In Hipp. de vict. acut. comm., In Hipp. Prorrh. I comm.

    16 E. Martini, Catalogo di Manoscritti Greci esistente nelle Biblioteche Italiane (Vols. I 1, I 2, II, Mailand 1893, 1896, 1902), repr. Indici e Cataloghi, XIX, Rome vol. I, 1967, pp. 377-381.

[^3]:    17 G. Helmreich, Galeni De temperamentis..., p. IV: "Huic (Laurentiano 74.5) paulum cedit bonitate codex Trivultianus 685". Galeni De elem. (Erlangen 1878, p. VIII), De nat. fac. (Scripta minora, vol. III, Leipzig 1893, p. V), Galeni in Hipp. de victu acut., CMG V 9,1, pp. xxx-xxxi. H. Diels, Gal. In Hipp. Prorrh. I commm. III (CMG V 9,2), p. x.

    18 Description in V. Puntoni, pp. 453-454 of Indici dei codici greci della Biblioteca Estense di Modena, in: Studi Italiani di Filologia Classica, IV, 1896, 379-536, reprinted in Ch. Samberger, Catalogi codicum graecorum qui in minoribus bibliothecis italicis asservantur in duo volumina collati et novissimis additamentis aucti. Vol. primum accuravit Ch. Samberger, indicem adiecit D. Raffin, Lipsiae 1965.

    19 See P. Landucci Ruffo, Le fonti della «Medicina» nell' Enciclopedia di Giorgio Valla, in: Giorgio Valla tra scienza e sapienza, V. Branca, ed., Florence 1981, 55-68, and G. Cardenal, Cronologia della vita e delle opere di Giorgio Valla, ibid., 93-97.

    20 For the text of Cassius Iatrosophista's Problemata in this ms., see A. Garzya and R. Masullo, I Problemi di Cassio Iatrosophista, introd., ed., transl. by, Naples 2004, p. 15.

    21 This scribe worked in Mailand, Florence and Rome: among his clients, the Medici and the Vatican Library. He was related to Konstantinos Laskaris, and worked with Demetrios Chalkondyles. Cf. E. Gamillscheg, D. Harlfinger, Repertorium der griechischen Kopisten, 800-1600. 1. Teil. Handschriften aus Bibliotheken Grossbritanniens. A. Verzeichnis der Kopisten, Vienna 1981, p. 68.

    22 H. O. Coxe, Bodleian Library. Quarto catalogues. I. Greek Manuscripts, (1853), reprinted with corrections by R. Barbour, N. Wilson, Oxford 1969, p. 539, col. a. It belonged to David Paraeus, and afterwards to Samson Johnson. The catalogue did not include De i. i.: the reference is handwritten. A David Paraeus (1548-1622) was a German Calvinist whose commentary on Revelations was translated into English in 1644.

[^4]:    23 De loc. aff., De elem., De temp., De inaeq. intemp., De fac. nat., De cris., De dieb. decret. R. Durling (Linacre and ...) considered that Thomas Linacre translated De temperamentis from this ms. See below.

    24 Cf. De temp., pag. VIII.
    25 Concerning De temp., Helmreich (ibid. IX) guesses that one of them was the exemplar of the Aldine edition. For De elem., de Lacy (CMG V 1,2, p. 13) considers that the inmediate source of the Aldine is unknown, but those two manuscripts are closely related. According to Alexanderson (De crisibus, pp. 41-42) the text of De crisibus in the Bodleianus is copied from V (Vat 282). This is also the case for De elem. (de Lacy, CMG V 1,2, pp. 13-14.) As for De nat. fac., Helmreich (SM III, 1893, p. VI) considers them gemelli, and for De temp. (p. VIII): "Oxoniensi simillimus est Vaticanus 282".

    26 See Devresse, Le fonds grec de la Bibliothèque Vaticane des origines à Paul V, Vatican City 1965, pp. 58, 94, 131, 348. This ms. appears catalogued ca. 1472.

    27 I. Mercati, P. Franchi de Cavalieri, Codices Vaticani graeci, I, Rome 1923, repr. Modena 1980, pp. 384-391. Cf. also Helmreich, De elem., p. xi; Alexandersson, De cris., pp. 18, 41-43. Helmreich, De fac. nat., SM III, p. vi. Helmreich, De temp., p. viii. Ph. de Lacy, Galeni De elem., CMG V 1,2, p. 12. More bibliography in P. Canart, V. Peri, Sussidi bibliografici per i manoscritti greci della Biblioteca Vaticana, Vatican City 1970, p. 404. The ms. also contains Aetius Amidenus, libri VI-XVI; cf. p. 251 in A. Garzya, Problémes relatifs à l'édition des livres ix-xvi du Tetrabiblon d'Aetios d'Amida, REA 86, 1984, pp. 245-257.

    28 De elem., De temp., De inaeq. intemp. and De fac. nat. are written as well in the Laurentianus.

    29 See E. Mioni, Bibliotheca Divi Marci Venetiarum codices Graeci manuscripti, Vol. I, Thesaurus antiquus, Rome 1981, pp. 405-406, and M. R. Formentini, I codici greci di medicina nelle tre Venezie, Padua 1978, p. 13. A complete description and bibliography in Zanetti 136. Diels I, 28, 60, 71, 73 74, etc. Editors: B. Alexanderson, I. Heeg, K. Koch, I. Mewaldt, W. de Boer, I. Marquardt, G. Helmreich.

[^5]:    30 For the activity of this Bessarion's scribe, see E. Mioni, pp. 309-312 in: Bessarione scriba e alcuni suoi collaboratori, Miscellanea Marciana di studi Bessarionei, Padua 1976, 263-318. The scribe is Toı $\beta \iota \zeta_{\imath} \alpha \varsigma ~ Г \varepsilon \omega \varrho \gamma \iota \rho$ (Venice), known as George the Cretan. Bessarion himself (died 1472) did not write on this copy.

    31 According to Formentini (I codici, p. 50) the scribes of Bessarion utilised the Laurentianus gr. 74.5 as exemplar to copy De elementis, De temperamentis and De facultatibus naturalibus on the Marcianus gr. 275, and nine other treatises on Marc. 281 (see ibid 13)

    32 Those two treatises precede De inaequali intemperie in the Trivultianus and the Mutinensis, and follow it in the Phillipps, as it happens in the Marcianus.

    33 The ms. contains the following treatises (CH, Galen and Pseudo-Galen), (Mioni.... ibid.; Formentin 13): Puero epilep., De morb. temp., Hipp.: De genit.; Galenus: De cris., De dieb. decret., In Hipp. prognost., De febr. diff., De san. tuend., In Hipp. de nat. hom., (PseudoGal.) De affect. ren. insid. dignot. et cur., (Gal.) De tremore, De marcore, De atra bile, De parvae pilae, De inaeq. intemp., De opt. corp. nost. const., De bono habitu, De sectis ad tirones). In relation with De sectis, D. Beguin ("L'édition Goulston..., RHT xix 1989, 341-349 and Sur la méthode... de l'édition aldine... de Galien: analyse du De sectis ad introducendos), remarks that the Aldine edition has been made on this ms. as basic text, with the aid of Paris. suppl. gr. 35 (xvi c.), a copy from the Laur. 74.5.

    34 See Formentin, I codici greci..., p. 48. Cf. the list of Galenic or pseudogalenic works written in the Marciani graeci mss., in pp. 52-69.

    35 For this and many other details of the ms., see L. Labowsky, Bessarion's Library and the Bibliotheca Marciana, Rome 1979.

    36 De crisibus comes from a lost copy. In Hipp. Progn. and De nat. hom., the model is very close to the Vat. Reg. 173. Bessarion ordered the scribe to copy again on 282 De sanitate tuenda, which had been poorly copied on 276 (Formentin, I codici... pp. 50-51).

[^6]:    50 For the kind of copies used by the scribe, and his way of copying (he was "le bon copiste"), see pp. 146-147 of my Un texte byzantin inédit... in: Garzya et alii, ed., Les Textes Médicaux Grecs..., pp. 135-148.

    51 The document in: F. Moklosich, I. Müller, Acta et diplomata graeca medii aevi, Vol. IV, Vienna 1871, pp. 265-266; for the date, cf. F. Dölger, p. 306, and n. 3 of: Chronologisches und Prosopographisches zur byzantinischen Geschichte des 13. Jahrhunderts, in: BZ XXVII, 1927, 291-320. Cf. Prosopographisches Lexikon der Paläiologenzeit, ed. E. Trapp, H-V. Beyer, E. Kislinger, Vienna 1986, s.v.

    52 For the Syriac translations of Galen's work, cf. G. Strohmaier, Der syrische..., ANRW II, 37.2, pp. 1997-2007.

    53 See R. Degen, pp. 143, 163 of: Galen im Syrischen: Eine Uebersicht über die syrische Ueberlieferung der Werke Galens, in V. Nutton ed., Galen: problems and prospects, Cambridge 1981, 131-166. According to G. Strohmaier, this Job of Edessa belongs to the second Syrian "Galenrezeption", where Hunayn is placed as well (Der syrische ..., ANRW II, 37.2, p. 2001).

    54 For Ḥunayn, see Bergsträsser, Hunayn ..., and Neue Materialen ... Cf. also Dictionary of Scientific Biography, vol. XV, Suppl. I, pp. 230-249 (A. Z. Iskandar), and The Encyclopaedia of Islam ${ }^{2}$ III, pp. 578-581 (G. Strohmaier).

    55 Translated by G. Bergsträsser, Ḥunayn ..., p. 23. Hunayn understood Syriac as well

[^7]:    because he belonged to the Christian church of the Nestorians. See Strohmaier, ANRW 37.2, p. 2002.

    56 Cf. the Alexandrian Canon in Ullmann, Die Medizin ..., pp. 65, 343, and V. Nutton, Medicine in Late Antiquity and the Early Middle Ages, p. 87 in: L. I. Conrad, M. Neve, V. Nutton, R. Porter, A. Wear, The Western Medical Tradition, 800 B.C. to A.D. 1800, Cambridge 1996, pp. 71-87.

    57 For the reception of Greek culture by the Arabians, see D. Gutas, Greek Thought. For the translations of Galen's works into Arabic, see F. Sezgin, G.A.S. III, pp. 67-140, and M. Ullmann, Die Medizin..., pp. 35-68. For the Summaria Alexandrinorum, see now Jawâmic alIskandarâniyyîn. / The Alexandrian Compendium of Galen's Works, Translated by Ḥunayn ibn Isḥâq (d. 873), Parts I-II, ed. F. Sezgin, 2001; and P. Pormann, The Alexandrian Summary (Jawamic) of Galen's On the Sects for Begginners: Commentary or Abridgment? in: P. Adamson (ed.), Philosophy, Science, and Exegesis in Greek, Arabic and Latin Commentaries, Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies, Supplement 83, London, 2004, vol. II, pp. 11-31.

    58 See Sezgin, G.A.S., III, p. 75.
    59 Strohmaier, ANRW, pp. 1994-1995.
    60 For the level of the translations, see D. Gutas, Greek Thought..., pp. 136-141.
    61 Strohmaier, Galen in Arabic ..., 190-191, and ANRW p. 2005.
    62 Bergsträsser, ibid., and Neue Materialen .., p. 12. Sezgin, G.A.S., III, pp. 108-109.
    63 See Sezgin, G.A.S., III, p. 25.
    64 Cf. Klamroth, Über die Auszüge aus griechischen Schriftstellern bei al-Jaqūb̄̄, in: Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft 40, 1886, p. 630; see Sezgin, G.A.S., III, p. 109.

[^8]:    65 [Diels, xiv c.] Sezgin, G.A.S., III p. 109; Ullmann, Die Medizin ..., p. 39; H.P.J. Renaud, Les manuscrits arabes de l' Escurial décrits d'aprés les notes de Hartwig Derenbourg, Tome II, Fasc. II-III (Médecine et Histoire Naturelle, Sciences Exactes et Sciences Occultes), Paris, P. Geuthner, 1941, T. II, fasc. 2, p. 55. It appears between K. al-Mizaj = De temperamentis, and K. Jalinus fi l-quwa al-tabiciya = De naturalibus facultatis. This ms. includes these three works by Galen, and was copied by Muhammad b. Ahmad b. Muhammad in Murcia (South-Eastern Spain). The writing of this copy seems to be the same one as that in Escorial, MS 874, which contains the Arabic translation of Galen's De temperamentis, and K. fi l-Ustuqusat cala ra'y Buqrat = De elementis. The two manuscripts also seem to have been in the possesion of a common owner.

    66 Ullmann, Die Medizin..., p. 39; H. P. J. Renaud, Les manuscrits arabes de l'Escurial..., II 2, p. 91. This manuscript just contains De Temperamentis and De inaequali intemperie. $C f$. Diels (xiv c.).

    67 Ritter-Walzer, Arabische Uebersetzung griechischer Ärzte in Stambuler Bibliotheken, Berlin 1935, p. 813. Sezgin, G.A.S., III, p. 109. Not mentioned by Diels.

    68 We were not able to obtain a copy of this manuscript.
    69 Sezgin, G.A.S., III p. 109. Not mentioned by Diels. An Arabic summary of the treatise, written by the Syrian Thābit ibn Qurrah (d. 901), is included in this ms. Istanbul, Süleymaniye, 3631, fols. 34a-38b. It has to be a rather long compendium. Hunayn does not mention that summary. It was probably written after his death. Cf. G. Strohmaier, ANRW, pp. 2001-2002, and E. Savage-Smith, "Galen's lost ophthalmology and the Summaria Alexandrinorum", in V. Nutton, ed., The Unknown Galen, London 2002, pp. 121-138, in p. 130.

    70 A. Sidarus, Un recueil de traités philosophiques et médicaux à Lisbonne, Zeitschrift für Geschichte der Arabischen-Islamischen Wissenschaften, 6 (1990), pp. 174-194 (Galenic works, pp. 183-84; description of the ms. in p. 179). The scribe was Al-Mubarak b. Ismacil b. Muhammad al-Kutubi al-cAbbasi al-Mutatabbib, who copied it in Aleppo and Alexandria ca. 764-765/1363-1364. According to Sidarus, the manuscript would have reached Portugal some time between 1775 and 1800 . As a whole, this two-volume manuscript - which we have not had the opportunity to examine in its entirety - contains about thirty two works or extracts from works, mainly on philosophy, and mixed up rather messily, with no apparent relationship among them. Along with De inaequali intemperie (Vol. I, nr. 9) and De marasmo (Vol. I, nr. 16) by Galen, there are two treatises by Plato and another three attributed to Aristotle. As for medieval Islamic authors, they range from Ibn al-Tilmidh up to Abu Sulayman al-Sijistani, Ibn Sina, al-Bukhari, al-Farabi, Ibn al- Jazzar, al-Kindi, Abu Hayyan al-Tawhidi, 'Umar alKhayyam and Ibn Tufayl.

    71 A thorough examination of the manuscript would need to be done in order to rule out that missing folios of De inequali intemperie are scattered throughout the volumes. [Ms. not mentioned by Diels.]

[^9]:    72 Sezgin, G.A.S., p. 109; F. Guillén de Robles, Catálogo de los manuscritos árabes existentes en la Biblioteca Nacional de Madrid, Madrid: Imprenta M. Tello, 1989, p. 65; H. Derenbourg, Notes critiques sur les manuscrits arabes de la Bibliothèque Nationale de Madrid, Paris 1904, pp. 20-21. In this manuscript, our work is preceeded by the treatises K. fi l-Ustuqusat cala ra'y Buqrat = De elementis and K. al-Mizaj (Maqalas I, II, III) = De temperamentis. It is followed by Maqala fi afdal al-hayyat = De optima corporis nostri constitutione and Maqala fi khisb albadan = De bono habitu (Diels 84: xv c.)

    73 Sezgin, G.A.S., p. 109; Baron de Slane, Catalogue de manuscrits arabes, Paris, 18831895, p. 513. As in the copy preserved in Madrid, this manuscript contains K. fi l-Ustuqusat cala ra'y Buqrat = De elementis, K. al-Mizaj (Maqalas I, II, III) = De temperamentis, De inaequali intemperie, Maqala fi afdal al-hayyat = De optima corp. nostr. const. and Maqala fi khisb albadan = De bono habitu.

    74 Sezgin, G.A.S., p. 301-302; Ullmann, Die Medizin..., pp. 138-139.
    75 Ullmann, Die Medizin..., p. 138.
    76 De ord. libr. suor. 2: XIX 56,9-12 K.= I 94,7-9 Boudon: тó $\tau \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon @ i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ \alpha ̉ \varrho i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-$
    

    77 Treatises 50 and 52 translated by Ḥunayn; 51: the Arabic translation derives from the Syriac version by Hubaysh.

[^10]:    80 See my Un texte byzantin inédit sur la scène de Galien et Glaucon (De locis affectis, 8,361,12-366,5 K. ), in: A Garzya and J. Jouanna, eds., Les Textes Médicaux Grecs..., pp. 135-148.

[^11]:    81 Slightly later is the Latin translation by Nicholas of Regio，who worked in Southern Italy（Calabria），whilst Pietro d＇Abano taught and lived in Padua（he studied in Paris as well）．

[^12]:    82 For the relationship between Oribasius and the transmitted text of Galen, see now Garofalo-Debru, Galien, VII, pp. 17-24 (De ossibus ad tirones ), pp. 102-105 (De musculorum dissectione).

[^13]:    83 However, theoretically the editors of Orib. could not have been influenced by Galen's text, because the agreements Gal./Orib. are not present in the Aldine, Basle, Chartrier, or Kühn's edition of this treatise, which comes from the $\Lambda$-family, unless they had a copy of U. In the case of different readings, the Aldine edition and Oribasius only agree in p. 152,15-16, ऽéo and ú $\pi \alpha ́ \varrho \chi \circ$ (MU, coming from M to the Aldine).

    84 The text of Raeder does not present lec. variantes, but I have not checked the mss.
    85 See below, The Renaissance translations. Cf. my Les éditions du traité de Galien De inaequali intemperie à la Rennaisance, in: Boudon-Millot, éd., Éditer les médecins grecs, pp. 187-198. I checked the Aldine in the Library of the Universidad Complutense in Madrid.

[^14]:    86 For the circumstances of the Aldine edition of Galen, $c f$. pp. 34-39 in: N. Mani, Die griechische editio princeps des Galenos (1525), ihre Entstehung und ihre Wirkung, Gesnerus 13, 1956, pp. 29-52, and V. Nutton, John Caius..., pp. 19-37. Some important details in J. Mewaldt, Die Editio princeps von Galens in Hippocratis de natura hominis, Sitzungsberichte der Königliche Preussisichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1912, pp. 892-903. For the Aldine editions in general, see M. Sicherl, Griechische Handschriften und Aldinen, Wolfenbüttel 1978, pp. 119-123.

    87 Cf. Mani, Die griechische..., p. 42; G. Baader, p. 214 of: Galen in mittelalterlichen Abendland, and p. 61 of: Die Antikerezeption...

    88 D. Beguin has pointed out that the Aldine edition is based on this ms. Cf. Sur la méthode... As for the "printing copy" and other ways of the Aldine press, cf. M. Sicherl, Handschriftliche Vorlagen der Editio princeps des Aristoteles, in: Abhandlungen der Geistes- und Sozialwissenschaften Kl., Akad. der Wissenschaften und der Literatur in Mainz, 8, 1976, pp. 3-65.

    89 For the De sectis ad introducendos, a copy of this Laurentianus has been used as exemplar of correction, but not the Laurentianus itself. See Béguin, Sur la méthode..., p. 41. On the other hand, Irigoin has remarked the importance of the mss. written in Italy (the Laurentiani being an important group of them), for the genesis of the Galenic corpus (cf. Autour des sources..., pp. 208-209). Nutton maintained that the Florentian mss. had not been consulted by the Aldine editors of Galen (Nutton, John Caius..., p. 40. For the Laur. Plut. 74.3. see n. 22.)

[^15]:    90 For De temperamentis, Helmreich pointed to these copies as source of the Aldine (Galeni De Temperamentis, p. IX). De Lacy considered that their text for De elementis, was very near to the Aldine (CMG V 1,2, p. 13.). E. Wenkebach (Textkritische Beiträge zu Galens Protreptikosfragment, Sudhoffs Archiv 26, 1933, 298), on the basis of the corrections in margine in the Laudianus 58, guessed that it had been used as the basic copy by the Aldine presses (Cf. Nutton, John Caius, p. 46, n. 21). The Vaticanus was borrowed for a year in 1520-1521 (see J. I. Mercati, P. Franchi de Cavalieri, Codices Vaticani graeci, I , Rome 1923, repr. Modena 1980, p. 391): it could have arrived to Venice just in time.

    91 See H. O. Coxe, Bodleian Library. Quarto catalogues. I. Greek Manuscripts (1853), repr. with corrections by R. Barbour, N. Wilson, Oxford 1969, p. 539, col. a. The ms. belonged to David Paraeus and Samson Johnson.

    92 Cf. Irigoin, Autour des sources..., p. 215.

[^16]:    93 Cf. Mani, Die griechische..., pp. 48-50. According to Mani, Cornarius corrected by conjecture and/or on the grounds of the mediaeval Latin translations. He prepared the Latin Galen published in Basle (by Froben) in 1549: as for our treatise, he printed the translation by Thomas Linacre. See B. Mondrain, Éditer et traduire les médecins grecs au XVI ${ }^{e}$ siècle. L'exemple de Janus Cornarius, in: D. Jacquart, ed., Les voies de la science grecque, Geneve 1997, pp. 391-417. On the other hand, J. J. Scaligerus did not write corrections to this treatise in the Aldine exemplar of the Herzogbibliothek in Wolfenbüttel (copy checked by Pilar Perez Cañizares).

    94 I checked the copy in the Library of the Wellcome Institute, in London.
    95 For his activity on Galen's works between the Aldine and the Basle edition, see V. Nutton, John Caius..., pp. 38-49.

    96 Cf. R. J. Durling, Leonhart Fuchs and his Commentaries on Galen, in: Medizinhistorisches Journal 24, 1989, 42-47.

    97 See below, Renaissance commentaries.
    98 According to Nutton (John Caius..., p. 51), Caius could have had within reach the Marcianus gr. 282. For the copies used by Caius, see now Beate Gundert, Zu den Quellen der Basler Galen-Ausgabe (1538), in: Ch. Brockmann, Ärzte..., 81-98.

[^17]:     - $\alpha^{\prime} \zeta \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$ TAB

    101 Cf. B. Boncompagni, Della vita e delle opere di Gherardo Cremonese, in: Atti dell' Academia Pontificia dei Nuovi Lincei, IV (1850-1851), Rome 1852, pp. 387-493, and G. Sarton, Introduction..., 2.2, 339-344.

    102 See Sudhoff, Die kurze 'Vita', pp. 78, 80. The ms. Lipsiensis 1119, is the oldest that contains a list of the translations by Gerard. Cf. Sudhoff, 1927, p. 295, and Table I.

[^18]:    103 For the introduction and work on Arabian medicine in Toledo, see H. Schipperges, Zur Rezeption und Assimilation arabischer Medizin im frühen Toledo, Sudhoffs Archiv für Geschichte der Medizin und der Naturwissenschaften 39, 1955, pp. 261-283, now in G. Baader, G. Keil, eds., Medizin..., pp. 151-176.

    104 His name is included in the staff of Toledo's Cathedral since that year on. Cf. F. J. Hernández, Los Cartularios de Toledo, Madrid 1985 (in 1157, 1164, 1174, 1176 he was a member of the chapter). See D. Jacquart, Fr. Micheau, La médecine arabe..., Paris 1990, p. 148.

    105 The list written by his colleagues contains more than 70 titles, although not all of them are clear. Cf. Sudhoff, Die kurze..., p. 77-79, and D'Alverny, p. 452 of: Translations and Translators, in: Renaissance and Renewal in the Twelfth Century, ed. R. L. Benson, G. Constable, Cambridge Mass. 1982, 421-462 = La transmission, 421-462.

    106 Ackermann, Diels, Thorndike-Kibre, Kristeller Iter Italicum, R. Durling: A Chronological Census; Corrigenda I-II; A Guide to...; INCIPIT (CD-ROM); IN PRINCIPIO (online). Fichtner.

    107 As for the agents of the diffusion of the XII and early XIII century translations from the Arabic and the Greek, D'Alverny (Translations..., pp. 457-459) points to the wandering scholars.

    108 Diels, I, 84.
    109 Cf. D'Alverny, Pietro, pp. 27-28, and N. G. Siraisi, Taddeo Alderotti, pp. 106-107.
    110 Diels 84 [12]. Iter 5,49. G. Goldschmidt, Medizin und Alchemie des Mittelalters, in five boxes. Class D = medical mss. K. Escher, Die Miniaturen in den Basler Bibliotheken, Museen und Archiven, Basel 1917, p. 85, no 118. G. Haenel, Catalogi librorum manuscriptorum qui in bibliothecis Galliae, Helvetiae,Belgii, Brittaniae, Hispaniae, Lusitaniae asservantur, nunc primum editi, Leipzig 1830 (cols. 513-660), col. 659: misc. xiv, Galen. Ph. Schmidt, Die Bibliothek des ehemaligen Dominikanerklosters in Basel, Basler Zeitschrift für Geschichte und Altertumskunde 18, 1919, pp. 183-244, in p. 225.

[^19]:    111 Not in Diels or T-K. Durling, Corrigenda II, p. 375. Iter 5, 49. Ph. Schmidt, Die Bibliothek..., p. 233.

    112 Not in Diels or T-K. Iter 1, p. 9. Iter 5, p. 479. J. Agrimi, Tecnica e scienza nella cultura medievale, Florence 1976, pp. 1-46, in pp. 25-27. F. Bazzi, Inventario dei manoscritti e degli incunaboli di interesse medico della Civica Biblioteca "Angelo May" di Bergamo, Rivista di storia della medicina, Roma, 1, 1959, pp. 130-192.

    113 Not in Diels, or T-K. Iter 3, pp. 475-476. Durling, A guide to ... III, p. 349. E. Narducci, Catalogo di manuscrittti ora posseduti da D. Baldassarre Boncompagni (no 214), Roma 1892, 2nd. augm. ed., pp. 128-130.

    114 J. Marx, Verzeichnis der Handschriften-Sammlung des Hospitals zu Cues bei Bernkastel an der Mosel, Trier, 1905, pp. 287-288. Not in Diels, T-K, Iter. *IP (=included in In Principio).

    115 J. Marx, Verzeichnis, pp. 287-288. Not in Diels, T-K, Iter. *IP.
    116 S. Ricci-M. J. Wilson, Census of Medieval and Renaissance Manuscripts in the USA and Canada, vol. I, New York (1935), repr. 1961. C. U. Faye - W. H. Bond, Supplement to the Census of..., New York 1962. Not in Diels, T-K, or Iter.

[^20]:    118 Diels 84 [56]: XIV c.
    119 Cf. D'Alverny, Pietro..., p. 28, «recueil de Galien, XIII s.»
    120 Catalogue général des manuscrits des Bibliothèques publiques de France, Départements, tome IV, Paris 1886, pp. 71-72 (XIV c.).

    121 Cf. Sudhoff 1927, p. 30.
    122 See Nutton CMG V,3,2, p. 25.
    123 Cf. D'Alverny, Pietro..., p. 28.
    124 Diels 84 [56] (XIII c.) A. Poorter, Catalogue des mss. de Médecine médiéval de la ville de Bruges, Paris 1924, p. 523, and Catalogue des mss. de la Bibliothèque publique de la ville de Bruges, vol. II, Paris 1934, pp. 523-525. Not in T-K, Iter. *IP.

    125 Diels 84 [56]: XIV c.. T-K 846. *IP.
    126 Catalogue général des manuscrits des Bibliothèques publiques de France, Départements, t. xvii, Paris 1891, p. 367.

    127 Diels 84 [56]. T-K 846. *IP.
    128 G. M. Muccioli, Catalogus Codicum Manuscriptorum existentium ad sinistram ingredientium partem Malatestianae Caesenatis Bibliothecae, II, Cesena 1784, p. 36. R. Zazzeri, Sui codici e libri a stampa della Biblioteca Malatestiana din Cesena. Ricerche e osservazionni, Cesena 1887, pp. 296-300. Anna Manfron, La biblioteca di un medico del Quattrocento. I codici di Giovanni di Marco da Rimini nella Biblioteca Malatestiana, Torino, 1998, pp. 215-219. Diels 84 [56]. Not in Iter 1 and 5. See Nutton CMG V 8,1, pp. 26-27, and CMG V 3,2, p. 23. See below description and notes in Pietro d'Abano: Pet ${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$.

[^21]:    129 G. M. Muccioli, Catalogus, vol. I, p. 87. R. Zazzeri, Sui codici..., pp. 207-208. A. Manfron, La biblioteca..., pp. 198-200. G. Baader, Die Bibliothek... pp. 60-62. Diels 84 [56].

    130 XIII c. Manfron, while Muccioli and Zazerri dated it in the xiv c. M. Muccioli, Catalogus, vol. I, p. 87. R. Zazzeri, Sui codici, pp. 208-209. A. Manfron, La biblioteca..., pp. 200-202. Diels 84 [56]. T-K 846.

    131 Diels 84 [56]: XIII c. Cf. Sudhoff 1927, p. 300, and Catalogue général des manuscrits des Bibliothèques publiques de France. Départements, t. xl, Paris 1890, p. 140.

    132 Catalogue général des manuscrits des Bibliothèques publiques de France. Départements, t. xl, Paris 1890, pp. 144-145. Diels 84 [56]: xiv c. Cf. Sudhoff 1927, p. 300: 20 treatises by Galen. T-K 846. *IP.

    133 F. Schnorr von Carolsfeld, L. Schmidt, Katalog der Handschriften der Königlichen öffentlichen Bibliothek zu Dresden, Leipzig 1882, vol. I, p. 307. Not in Diels. T-K 846.

    134 Not in Diels or T-K. Durling, Corrigenda II, p. 375. C. R. Borland, A descriptive catalogue of the Western mediaeval manuscripts in Edinburgh University Library, Edinburgh 1916, p. 249. For a dating in the XII c. , cf. Iter IV 20b, and Durling, A Guide to... IV, 360.

    135 W. Schum, Beschreibendes Verzeichnis der Amplonianischen HandsschriftenSammlung zu Erfurt, Berlin 1887, pp. 433-435. Diels 84 [56]: xiii c. Not in T-K or Iter. *IP.

[^22]:    136 W. Schum, Beschreibendes, pp. 158-160. Cf. K. Sudhoff, "Anatomietexte..." 1927, 293-303, in p. 297. Diels 84 [56], XIII c. Gallester, Commentum..., p. 145. Not in T-K, or Iter. *IP.

    137 Not in Diels. Iter IV 276. Durling, A Guide to .. IV, 360. M. R. James, Descriptive Catalogue of the manuscripts in the Library of Eton College, Cambridge 1895, pp. 61-62. N. R. Ker, Medieval manuscripts in British Libraries, vol. II Abbotsford-Keele, Oxford 1977, pp. 752-754.

    138 G. Lami, Catalogus codicum manuscriptorum qui in Bibliotheca Riccardiana adservantur, Livorno, 1756, pp. 26; 204; 319; 348. Cf. Inventario e stima della Libreria Riccardi. Manoscritti e edizioni del seculo 15, Florence, 1810, p. 21. Durling, A Guide to... I-II, p. 506. Iter 1, p. 180. M. S. Elsheikh, Medicina e farmacologia nei manoscriti della biblioteca ricardiana di Firenze, Roma 1990, p. 108. Not in Diels.

    139 Not in Diels. Durling, Corrigenda II, p. 375. Durling, A Guide to .. IV, p. 360. Iter 4, p. 399b.

    140 H. Broszinski, Die Handschriften der Murhardschen Bibliothek der Stadt Kassel und Landesbibliothek, Band 3, 1 (Manuscripta medica), Wiesbaden 1976, pp. 74-77. Not in Diels or T-K. Durling, Corrigenda II, p. 375. Iter 3.2, pp. 74-77. Iter 6, p. 521: carta miscellanea, xiv c. Galen, de malitia complexionis, de ingenio sanitatis. Cf. Gallester, Commentum supra tractatum ..., pp. 144-145. *IP.

    141 Alois Haidinger, Katalog der Handschriften des Augustiner Chorherrenstiftes, Klosterneuburg, Teil 2, Codd. 101-200, Vienna 1991, pp. 35-38. *IP (wrong nr. 11). Not in Diels, T-K, Iter, Durling.

[^23]:    142 M. Kowalczyk et alii, Catalogus codicum manuscriptorum medii aevi latinorum qui in bibliotheca Jagellonica Cracoviae asservantur, vol. VI, Cracoviae 1996, 162-169. Not in Diels. *IP.

    143 Iter 3, p. 417. Iter 6, p. 523. Not in Diels, T-K.
    144 Diels 84 [56]. Iter IV 150a. Durling, A Guide to... IV, 360. A Catalogue of the Harleian Manuscripts in the British Museum, vol. iii, London 1808, p. 58.

    145 Diels 84 [56] (XIII c.) A Catalogue of the Harleian Manuscripts in the British Museum, vol. iii, London 1808, p. 267.

    146 Catalogue of the additions to the manuscripts in the British Museum in the year 1856-1860, London 1875, rep. 1965, p. 715. Not in Diels, T-K, Iter.

    147 S. A. J. Moorat, Catalogue of Western Manuscripts on Medicine and Science in the Wellcome Historical Medical library, vol. I, Mss. written before 1650 A.D., London 1962, pp. 179-180. Not in Diels. Iter IV 223a. Durling, A Guide to ... IV, 360.

    148 Diels, 84 [12: as tr. from the Greek]. Iter 4, p. 525b. Durling, A Guide to ... IV, p. 360. J. L. de Toro, Inventario general de manuscritos de la Biblioteca Nacional vol. X, Madrid 1984, pp. 64-65. The ms. contains as well Galen's De febrium differentiis libri II, De accidente et morbo libri VI.

    149 Catalogue général des Bibliothèques publiques des Départements, t. I, Paris 1849, pp. 290-291. Diels 84 [56]. Sudhoff 1927, 297-298: 17 treatises by Galen. T-K col. 846. D'Alverny, Pietro..., 29-30. *IP f. 9?
    $150 \mathrm{G}^{\text {a }}$ Ballester, "Commentum supra tractatum...", p. 128, note 182, remarks that this ms. (along with several others) collects the nucleus of the "New Galen": De complexionibus,

[^24]:    157 S. Ricci-M. J. Wilson, Census..., p. 162. Iter V, 275. B.A. Shailor, Catalogue of Medieval and Renaissance Manuscripts in the Beinecke rare Book and manuscript Library, Yale University..., vol. I, 1984, p. 16. Not in Diels, T-K. Treatises included: Liber therapeutices, De utilitate anhelitus, De pharmaciis, De malicia complexionis, De accidente et morbo, De lapide, De phlebotomia, De pharmaciis Hippocratis, De virtutibus naturalibus, De complexionibus, De regimine sanitatis.

    158 Ingeborg Neske, Die lateinischen mittelalterlichen Handschriften: Varia: 13.-15. und 16.-18. Jh., Wiesbaden, 1997 (Die Handschriften der Stadtbibliothek Nürnberg; Bd. 4), pp. 1215. Not in Diels, T-K or Iter. *IP.

    159 Diels 84 [56]: xiv in. H. O. Coxe, Catalogue of the manuscripts in the Oxford colleges, vol. I, Oxford (1852), repr. 1972, pp. 77-78. R. A. B. Mynors, Catalogue of the manuscripts of Balliol College, Oxford 1963, pp. 244-247. D'Alverny, Pietro 28, n. 34.

    160 Diels 84 [56]: f. 25. H. O. Coxe, Catalogue..., p. 84.
    161 Diels 84 [56]. H.O. Coxe, Catalogue..., p. 86.
    162 Diels 84 [12]. Ackermann, p. lxxix. Thorndike, "Translations...by N. da Reggio", p. 226. V. Nutton, CMG V 8, 1, pp. 26-27.

    163 H. Martin, Catalogue des Manuscrits de la Bibliothèque de l'Arsenal, Paris 1886, vol II, pp. 264-266. Diels 84 [56]: XIV c. Not in T-K, Iter, IP.

    164 Catalogue général des manuscrits des Bibliothèques publiques de France., vol. 12,1. Université de Paris et Universités des Départements, Paris 1918, pp. 29-30. Not in Diels, T-K, Iter. *IP.

    165 D'Alverny, Pietro., p. 29: "Montp. 18 and Sorbon. 125 contain very early collections of Galen's treatises."

[^25]:    166 Cf. Durling, Galenus Latinus I, for the translation of Burgunde of Pisa.
    167 Not in Diels, T-K, Iter. Durling, Corrigenda II, p. 375.
    168 Diels 84 [56]: XIV c. T-K 846. *IP. Thorndike, Translations... Niccolò, p. 226. D'Alverny, Pietro..., p. 35.

    169 Cf. D'Alverny, Pietro d'Abano, p. 45. This ms. and Vat. pal. 1211 contain most of the translations of Galen by Niccolo (see below for the description of Vat. pal. 1211, in the chapter dedicated to Niccolò).

    170 Nutton CMG V 3,2, pp. 23, 33. See Nutton CMG V 8,1, pp. 26-27.
    171 Diels 84 [56]: XIV c. *IP.
    172 L. Delisle, Bibliothèque de l'École de Chartres, 26, 1865, p. 208. Diels 84 [56]: XIV c. Iter 3, pp. 251-252. Durling, A guide to .. III, p. 349. *IP.

    173 According to the web (www.bnf.fr, 6 February 2006). Bibliothèque nationale de France, Département des Manuscrits, Division occidentale, Cote Latin 14389. L. Delisle, BEC, 30, 1869, pp. 11-12.

    174 Diels 84 [56]: XIV c. Iter 3, p. 234: XIV c. Durling, A guide to .. III, p. 349. *IP.
    175 Description: Delisle, BEC 31, 12. Date: Diels 84 [56] xiii c. T-K 846. Iter 3, p. 260 (XIII). Durling, A guide to... III, p. 349. Fichtner. *IP.

    176 L. Delisle, Inventaire des mss. de la Bibliothèque Nationale. Fonds de Cluni, Paris 1884, pp. 172-175, no 98. L. Delisle, Inventaire alphabétique, 2 vols, Paris 1891, p. 265. Diels 84 [56]: XIII c. Iter 3, 272 (XIV c.) Durling, A guide to... III, p. 349. *IP.

[^26]:    177 L. Delisle, Inventaire des mss. de la Bibliothèque Nationale. Fonds de Cluni, Paris 1884, pp. 170-172, no 97 . L. Delisle, Inventaire alphabétique, 2 vols, Paris 1891, p. 265. Diels 84 [56] (no date). Iter 3, p. 274. *IP.

    178 J. Truhlár, Catalogus codicum manu scriptorum latinorum qui in C. R. Bibliotheca Publica atque Universitatis Pragensis asservantur, Pragae (2 vols., 1905-1906): Pars prior: Codices 1-1665, 1905, p. 525 (xiii/xiv c). Not in Diels, T-K, Iter. *IP. Durling, Corrigenda II, p. 375 (XIV c.).

    179 Donald Yates, Descriptive inventories of manuscripts microfilmed for the Hill Monastic Manuscript Library, Austrian Libraries, Volume I, Collegeville (Minn.) 1981, pp. 145148. Not in Diels. Iter 3, 37: XIV c. Iter 6, 436. Durling, A guide to..., III, p. 349 (early XIV). *IP.

    180 H. Röckelein, Die lateinischen Handsschriften der Universitäts-Bibliothek Tübingen, Teil I (Mc1-Mc150), Wiesbaden 1991, pp. 117-119. Not in Diels, T-K. Iter 6, p. 543.

    181 Not in Diels or T-K. Iter 6, p. 545. G. Brinkhus, A. Mentzel-Reuters et alii, Die lateinischen Handschriften der Universitätsbibliothek Tübingen: Teil 2: Signaturen Mc 151 bis Mc 379 sowie die lateinischen Handschriften bis 1600 aus den Signaturengruppen Mh, Mk und aus dem Druckschriftenbestand, Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 2001 (= Handschriftenkataloge der Universitätsbibliothek Tübingen ; Bd. 1, Teil 2), pp. 237-239.

    182 Diels 84 [56]. Iter 2, 312. Iter 6, 319: it does not mention Galen. Membranaceus, misc., XIV c.

    183 Diels 84 [56]. Iter 2, 312. Cf. Sudhoff, Anatomie..., p. 301 (XII c.)

[^27]:    184 Diels 84 [56]. Iter 2, 312. Iter 6, 319. P. Kibre, Hippocrates Latinus: Repertorium of Hippocratic Writings in the Latin Middle Ages (VI), Traditio, 36, 1980, 347-372, in p. 359.

    185 Diels 84 [56].
    186 Diels 84 [56]. Cf. Aristoteles latinus II 1227-1228, no 1863. Iter 6, 319.
    187 Diels 84 [56]. L. Schuba, Die medizinischen Handschriften der Codices Palatini Latini in der Vatikanischen Bibliothek, Wiesbaden 1981, pp. 23-24. Iter 6, p. 356.

    188 Diels 84 [56]. L. Schuba, Die medizinischen..., pp. 25-26. Iter 2, p. 393. Iter 6, pp. 356-357.

    189 Diels 84 [56]: xiv c. L. Schuba , Die medizinischen..., pp. 26-31. Iter 6, p. 357.
    190 Diels 84 [56]. Schuba, Die medizinischen..., pp. 31-32. Iter 2, 393. Iter 6, 356.
    191 Diels 84 [56]. Schuba, Die medizinischen..., pp. 33-34. Iter 2, 393 and 6, 357.
    192 Not in Diels. Schuba 48-49. Durling, Corrigenda I..., p. 466. Iter 6, 366.

[^28]:    193 Not in Diels. Durling, Corrigenda I, pp. 466, 475. E. Pellegrin, Les mss. classiques latins de la Bibliothèque Vaticane, vol. I, Paris 1975, pp. 437-863 (Otoboniani). Iter 6, 374.

    194 Diels 84 [56]. T-K 846. E. Pellegrin, Les mss. classiques latins de la Bibliothèque Vaticane, vol. II, part 2, Paris 1982, pp. 518-685 (codd. Urbinates). C. Stornaiolo, Codices Urbinates Latini, 3 vols., Rome 1902-1921.

    195 Diels 84 [56].
    196 Not in Diels. Theodore Silverstein, Medieval Latin Scientific Writings in The Barberini Collection, Chicago 1957, p. 144. *IP. Iter 2, p. 443. Iter 6, p. 388.

    197 Diels 84 [56]. T-K col. 846. A. M. Zanetti, Latina et italica D. Marci Bibliotheca codicum manuscriptorum per titulos digesta, Venice 1741, p. 137. G. Valentinelli, Bibliotheca Manuscripta ad S. Marci Venetiarum, 6 vols., Venice 1868-1873. vol. V, pp. 76-77. Iter 2, p. 212.

    198 K. Sudhoff, p. 295 of: Anathomietexte in den lateinischen Galenhandschriften des XIII. und XIV. Jahrhunderts und in den Frühdrucken, in: Janus 31, 1927, pp. 293-303. See Tabulae codicum manu scriptorum praeter graecos et orientales in Bibliotheca Palatina Vindobonensi asservatorum; ed. Academia Caesarea Vindobonensis, Vienna, 1864-1899, vol. 2, p. b49. M. Roland, Die Handschriften der alten Wiener Stadtbibliothek in der Österreichischen Nationalbibliothek, Vienna, 1999. Not in Diels, T-K, Iter, or Durling.

    199 Diels 84 [56]: xv c., f. 87. Iter II, pp. 307-310; 580. Cf. Giuseppe Mazzatinti, Inventari dei manoscritti delle biblioteche d'Italia, Forlì 1893, vol. II, p. 193, no 103. G. Funaioli, Studi italiani di filologia classica xviii 1910, pp. 77-169, in p. 132. Gabriella Pomaro, in Catalogo di manoscritti filosofici nelle biblioteche italiane II, Florence, 1981, pp. 201-230, in pp. 225227: Galen, de crisibus; de interioribus; de phlebotomia; de virtutibus naturalibus; de voce; de malitia complexionis ; de differentiis febrium; de accidenti et morbo; de motibus liquidis; de diebus criticis (fragm.) Iter 6, p. 292.

[^29]:    200 G. Zedler, Die Handschriften der Nassauischen Landesbibliothek zu Wiesbaden, Leipzig 1931, pp. 65-69. Not in Diels or T-K. *IP.

    201 See E. L. Demaitre, Medieval Notions of Cancer: Malignancy and Metaphor, Bulletin of the History of Medicine, 72, 4, 1998, pp. 609-637.

    202 Diels 84 [56]. Iter 4, 424b. Durling, A Guide to... IV, 360. Sudhoff, 1927, p. 296.
    203 Diels 84 [56]. Cf. Henschel, Catalogus codd. Medii aevi medicorum et physicorum, Wrocław 1847.

    204 For the editions of Galen in the Renaissance, see R. Durling, A Chronological Census. The references to the different versions included in the Opera omnia present some errors (cf. p. 286), but Durling's research is outstanding. The reference in T-K 846: Opera, 1490, II, 237r238 v , is wrong. Checked copy: Complutense University Library.

    205 Secunda impressio Galieni quecunque in prima continebatur apprehendens: novaque annectetur Galieni opera, pars secunda, ed. H. Surianus, Venecia, B. Benalius, 1502. Checked copy: Göttingen University Library.

[^30]:    206 Exceptionally, in p. 2, 19 то七кí入 $\omega \varsigma$ om. Hun., sed servat Ger. (et Greek codd.)
    207 See Paul Kunitzsch, Der Almagest. Die Syntaxis Mathematica des Claudius Ptolemäus in arabischer-lateinischer Überlieferung, Wiesbaden 1974, pp. 85-86.

    208 This procedure is revised by D'Alverny, in: Les traductions à deux interprètes, d'arabe en langue vernaculaire, et de langue vernaculaire en latin (1986), in: La transmission, pp. 193-206 (she refers to Gerard in pp. 197-198).

    209 Cf. D. Jacquart, Fr. Micheau, La médecine arabe..., pp. 149-150.
    210 See D. Jacquart, Fr. Micheau, La médecine arabe..., pp. 160-165.
    211 D. Jacquart, F. Micheau, La médecine arabe..., p. 152: "A l'opposé de Constantin l'Africain, Gérard de Crémone et ses associés rendent l'arabe littéralment, jusqu'aux moindres particules et en suivant le plus possible l'ordre des mots. La traduction est un véritable calque de l'original." See as well Ilona Oppelt, «Zur Übersetzungstechnik des Gerhard von Cremona», Glotta 38, 1959, 135-170.

    212 For an alike situation in the Almagest, see Kunitzsch, Der Almagest., p. 104. D'Alverny qualifies the style of Gerard's translations as "very literal and with stereotyped renderings." (Translations...", p. 453): She quotes some of his mannerisms: illud est quod declarare, or demonstrare voluimus, or quod est quia.

[^31]:    213 See my Composition et style du traité de Galien De inaequali intemperie: avantages et désavantages pour la transmission du texte, Storia e ecdotica dei testi medici greci, ed. A. Garzya, Naples, D'Auria Editore, 1996, pp. 141-153.

    214 At times there are different readings in Arabic following different readings in Greek. Maybe $H u n^{\text {SM }}$ et Hun ${ }^{\text {Ai.m., }}$, present a reading ヨHPA due to wrong word-division of XA $\wedge$ EП $\Omega$ TEPA (TEPA $\rightarrow \Xi H P A$ ), iotacism and dittography.

[^32]:    215 Moritz Steinschneider, (1893) Die Hebraeische Übersetzungen des Mittlealters und die Juden als Dolmetscher (reprint Graz: Akademische Druck-U.Verlaggsantalt 1956), pp. 650664. Elinor Lieber, (1981), Galen in Hebrew: the transmission of Galen's work in the mediaeval Islamic world, in: V. Nutton, ed., Galen: problems and prospects.

    216 The content of these collections from the Alexandrian Canon until the Kibuttzei Galenos is described in Lieber (1981), 173.

    217 See Maimonides, Medical Aphorisms. Treatises 1-5, ed. and trans. by Gerrit Bos, Provo, Utah: Brigham Young University Press, 2004, p. 2.

    218 A description of the translator's prologue, which informs about the 24 books, can be found in Ron Barkai, A History of Jewish Gynaecological texts in the Middle Ages, Leiden-Boston-Köln: Brill, 1998, pp. 20-34. The prologue was published by Steinschneider: Haqdamat

[^33]:    ha-ma'atiq bi-ketab yad Paris 1190, Magazin für die Wissenschaft des Judenthums 15, 1888, p. 197, and 6-14 (Hebrew part).

    219 Joseph Shatzmiller, Jews, Medicine and Medieval Society, University of California Press, Berkeley, Los Angeles, London, 1994, pp. 49-50.

    220 The esteem Jewish translators showed toward the books written by professors of the Faculty of medicine of Montpellier is a well known phenomenon. See Lola Ferre, "Hebrew Translations from Medical Treatises of Montpellier", Korot 13, 1998-99, pp. 21- 36.

    221 Antoni Cardoner i Planas, Historia de la medicina a la Corona d'Aragó (1162-1479), Barcelone: editorial Scientia, 1973, p. 73.

    222 Cf. L. García Ballester, E. Sanchez Salor, R. Durling, eds., Commentum supra tractatum Galieni De malicia complexiones diverse. Doctrina Galieni de interioribus, in: Arnaldi de Villanova, Opera medica Omnia XIV, Barcelona: Publicacions i Edicions de la Universitat de Barcelona, 1985.

[^34]:    223 Doniach, N.S., (1932-33), "Abraham Bédersi's Purim letter to David Kaslari", The Jewish Quarterly Review: New series, vol 23, nó, pp. 63-69.

    224 On Abraham ben David Caslari see Friedenwald, Harry (1944), "History of the Jewish physicians of Spain, Portugal and Southeastern France", The Jews and the Medicine. Essays, 3 vols., Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins Press, 1944, (reprint Ktav Publishing Hosue, 1967) vol. 2, pp. 662 and 682. Alteras, Isaac (1977), "Jewish physicians in Southern France during the 13th and 14th centuries", The Jewish Quarterly Review, vol. LXVIII, pp. 14-223, 222.

    225 № 2083 in Neubauer, A.D., (1886) Catalogue of the Hebrew Manuscripts in the Bodleian Library and in the College libraries of Oxford, Oxford :Oxford University Press.

    226 See García Ballester, Sanchez Salor,(eds), Commentum supra tractatum Galieni De malicia complexiones diverse, pp. 144-146.

[^35]:    228 See García Ballester, Sanchez Salor,(eds), Commentum ... De malicia complexiones diverse, p. 35.

    229 T-K 758. All sort of details in Garcia Ballester, Commentum. See P. Glorieux, Répertoire des maîtres en théologie de Paris au XIIIème siècle, vol. I, 1933, p. 420. *IP.

    230 Garcia Ballester, Commentum, pp. 26-27.
    231 See other data in The Hebrew translation, above.

[^36]:    232 Text of the quaestiones (not the answers) in Garcia Ballester, Commentum, p. 67.
    233 G. Sarton, Introduction, 3.1, pp. 837-838. The reported date of his birth, 1280, could be incorrect, for he was Taddeo Alderotti's disciple and this professor died in 1295 (even if the alumni went to the University when they were 14 years old).

    234 Cf. N. G. Siraisi, Taddeo, pp. 55-64.
    235 Vide Siraisi, Taddeo, pp. 103, 420.
    236 Siraisi, Taddeo, p. 107, and n. 31.
    237 "The questiones in commentaries may indeed often have been the ultimate distillation of longer questions that were actually or heavely disputed or, at any rate, were the subject of independent treatment in full scholastic form", Siraisi, Taddeo, p. 243.

[^37]:    238 T-K 1625.
    239 Francesco Puccinotti, Storia della medicina, Leghorn 1850-1870, vol. II, pp. ii, cviicxii.

    240 See Gª Ballester, Commentum, p. 141, n. 377. Wrongly attributed to Arnau. Cf. W. Schum, Beschreibendes Verzeichnis..., p. 938.

    241 Muccioli, Catalogus, I p. 90. Zazzeri, Sui codici, pp. 215-220. Manfron, pp. 207-209. G. Baader, Die Bibliothek..., description in pp. 73-75 (wrong reference $159 \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{v}}-170 \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{v}}$; in 165vb-170va is written the Commentary to Galen's De differentiis febrium by Dino).

    242 Gallardo, p. 61. Guy Beaujouan, pp. 180, 184. Iter IV: 570b. Durling, A Guide to .. IV, p. 357.

    243 T-K col. 1625. Iter 2, 327. Siraisi, Taddeo, pp. 424 (133r-142v), 420. Incipit Ut videamus aliqualiter necessitatem eorum que in libro.

    244 T-K col. 1625. Iter 2, 327, and 6, 335. Cf. Journal of the History of Medicine and allied sciences 8,1953 , p. 268. Siraisi, Taddeo, pp. 419, 425.

    245 Cf. Nutton, Medieval Western Europe, p. 157, and D. P. Lockwood, Ugo Benzi, Chicago 1951.

    246 T-K 846.

[^38]:    247 primo sic Vat.: multipliciter ed.
    248 Ugo, pp. 228-229.
    249 Lockwood, Ugo, pp. 229, 383.
    250 I do not find references to this edition in Lockwood's rather complete study of the Printed editions of Ugo, pp. 382-398. Issue of Complutense University. I have checked as well the 1547 Juntine edition (Venice): Avicenna, Canon, I, cum comm. J. Forliviensis. Venecia apud Iuntas 1547.

[^39]:    251 Cf. L. Thorndike, "Translations of the Works of Galen from the Greek by Peter of Abano", ISIS xxxiii, 1942, 649-653.

    252 In his Conciliator Diff. 30 and 52; cf. M. Th. D'Alverny, p. 50 in "Pietro d'Abano traducteur de Galien", MedRin 11, 1985, 19-64.

    253 R. Durling, Burgundio of Pisa's translation of Galen's ПEPI KPAEE 2 N "De complexionibus", Berlin-New York 1976.

    254 See D'Alverny, Pietro..., 31-32. Such is the case for De sectis, tr. by Burgundio and completed by Peter (in Cesena, S V 4), and for Therapeutica methodus (books 7-14 of De methodo medendi).

    255 Vide Gustav Gröber, Uebersicht über die lateinische Literatur von der Mitte des VI. Jahrhunderts bis zur Mitte des XIV. Jahrhunderts, Neue Ausgabe des II. Bandes des Grundisses der romanischen Philologie, Munich 1963, pp. 256, 259.

    256 Cf. Biographisches Lexikon der hervorragenden Aertzte aller Zeiten und Völker, Erster Band, Second ed., Berlin-Vienna 1929, s.v. Abano.

    257 Cf. P. Laín, Historia de la medicina, Barcelona 1978, p. 154.
    258 M . Kowalczyk et alii, Catalogus codicum manuscriptorum medii aevi latinorum qui in bibliotheca Jagellonica Cracoviae asservantur, vol. VI, Cracoviae 1996, 162-169, in p. 163.

[^40]:    259 This ms. is not mentioned by R. Durling in his edition (Stuttgart 1992).
    260 M. Kowalczyk, p. 167.
    261 Cf. note 1.
    262 See note 2.
    263 See G. M. Muccioli, Catalogus Codicum Manuscriptorum existentium ad sinistram ingredientium partem Malatestianae Caesenatis Bibliothecae, II, Cesena 1784, p. 36. R. Zazzeri, Codici e libri a stampa della Biblioteca Malatestiana di Cesena. Ricerche e osservazioni, Cesena 1887, pp. 296-300. A. Manfron, La biblioteca di un medico del Quattrocento. I codici di Giovanni di Marco da Rimini nella Biblioteca Malatestiana, Torino, 1998, pp. 215-219. D'Alverny, "Pietro d'Abano..." pp. 54-55. It belonged to Niccolò de Leonardis, Professor of medicine in Padua at the beginning of the $x v$ century (he died in 1452); consequently D'Alverny suggested that the ms. could be executed in Padua (Pietro d'Abano..., p. 37). Afterwards it belonged to Giovanni Marco da Rimini (died 1474), who donated his library to Cesena. Cf. G. Baader; Die Bibliothek..., pp. 76-81. Cf. V. Nutton, De praecognitione (CMG V 8,1), pp. 28-29 and notes, and De propriis placitis (CMG V 3,2), pp. 23, 33.

    264 Thorndike, "Translations...", p. 653.
    265 See Thorndike, "Translations...", p. 649.
    266 D'Alverny, "Pietro d'Abano...", pp. 31-32.

[^41]:    267 See Zazzeri, Codici e libri..., p. 300; Manfron, La biblioteca..., p. 215.
    268 Italian origin for such spellings as paxionis (ss), inextiuali (s), uexicam (s). Cf. V. Nuton, CMG V 8,1), p. 29, and (CMG V 3,2), pp. 23, 33, with bibliography: "Corrections and marginalia from at least three hands can be distinguished: the original scribe, and two later owners, Nicolaus de Leonardis and Giovanni Marco da Rimini." (CMG V 3,2, p. 23).

    269 Cf. Diels, 84 [12]; G. M. Muccioli, Catalogus... II, p. 173. Zazzeri, 480-482. Manfron, 238-240. T-K col. 438. D'Alverny, 50. Muccioli, Catalogus... II, p. 36 remarks: "Petrus Paduanus is est, qui ab Apone, sive Abano vico agri Patavini Aponensis est dictus, de quo vide quae diximus Tom. I, Plut XXV pg. 89.

    270 CMG V 3,2, p. 34. Cf. CMG V 8,1, pp. 29-30, with bibliography: pace A. Minor and J. Schmutte.

    271 G. Baader (Die Bibliothek..., 1977, p. 47) pointed out that S XXVI 4 have been copied for the most part from S V 4, after 1445, when this last was in the Library of Giovanni Marco da Rimini.

    272 Manfron, p. 38. Cf. V. Nutton, CMG V 3,2, p. 34; CMG V 8,1, pp. 29-30, and A forgotten manuscript of Galenus latinus, Studia codicologica, Berlín 1977, pp. 331-340. Cf. G. Baader; Die Bibliothek..., p. 47, and D'Alverny, Pietro...", p. 36 y n. 49. Zazzeri (p. 482) dated the copy in the XIII c., with additions from the XIV century.

    273 N. Marinone, Galeno, La dieta dimagrante, Torino 1973, p. 17.
    274 See CMG V 8,1, pp. 31-32, and CMG V 3,2, p. 35.
    275 De Lacy CMG V 3,1, p. 17.
    276 S. Fortuna, CMG V 1,3, p. 33.
    277 Die Bibliothek..., p. 47.

[^42]:    279 For a transcription of the text preserved in the three copies，see my Pietro d＇Abano y su traducción del tratado de Galeno De inaequali intemperie，in：A．Bernabé，I．Rodríguez Alfageme，edd．，фúdov бкı́⿱㇒日，Homenaje a Rosa Aguilar，Anejo de CFC，serie gr．e ide．，Madrid 2007，pp．223－234．

    280 Capitulum primum．de quibusdam divisionibus inequalis distemperantie et de dis－ temperantia equalis complexionis et inequalis．［P．1，15］Capitulum secundum．de membro－ rum notitia in quibus conveniant distemperantia predicandum．［P．2，8］Capitulum tertium．de modo generationis inequalis distemperantie．

    281 The catalogue of Kowalsky mentions the second Latin edition of Galeni opera， Venetiis 1502．I have checked the two volumes（Göttingen）and the inserted translation is De malicia complexionis．

[^43]:    282 For Nicholas of Reggio, cf. G. Sarton, Introduction, III, pars I, pp. 446-448, and Inventory, vol. V, pp. 394-395. R. Weiss, The translators from the Greek of the Angevin Court of Naples, Rinascimento 1, 1950, 195-226,= transl. Die Übersetzer griechischer Texte am Angevinischen Hof in Neapel, in: G. Baader, G. Keil, eds., Medizin ..., 95-124. G. Cavallo, pp. 233-235 and notes in: La trasmissione scritta della cultura greca antica in Calabria e Sicilia. A. M. Ieracci Bio, La trasmissione della letteratura medica greca nell' Italia meridionale fra X e XV secolo, in: Contributi alla cultura greca nell' Italia meridionale..., passim. N. Wilson, pp. 115-116 of Griechische Philologie in Byzanz, in Nesselrath, ed. Einleitung, pp. 104-116.

    283 For his importance as Galen's translator, see R. Weiss, The translators..., and Medieval and Humanist Greek: Collected Essays, Padova 1977, pp. 124-135.

[^44]:    284 Cf. L. Thorndike, Translations... by Niccolo (1946) and R. Weiss, The translators...
    285 See F. Lo Parco, pp. 257-258 of: Niccolò da Reggio Antesignano del Risorgimento dell' antichità ellenica nel secolo xiv da Codice delle Biblioteche italiane e straniere e da documenti e stampe rare, in: Atti R. Accademia Arch. Lettere e Belle Arti di Napoli, N.S. 2, 1910, pp. 243-317.

    286 Cf. Liste lateinischer Autoren und Anonymer Werke des 13. Jahrhunderts, ed. P. Ch. Jacobsen, M. Schieber, E. Haag, T. Müller, S. Walther, in Erlangen: www.phil.uni-erlangen. de/~p2mitlat/liste/Autoren.htm, 26 March 2003.

    287 W. Schum, Beschreibendes Verzeichniss der Amplonianischen... pp. 187-188. Diels 84 [12]. R. Durling, Galenus Latinus II, Burgundio of Pisa's... De interioribus, p. 15. *IP.

    288 See Durling, ibid.

[^45]:    289 Not in Diels. Cf. Sarton, Introduction..., vol. III, Part I, pp. 446-448. Durling, Corrigenda... II , p. 376, and A Guide to... IV, 360. Iter 4, p. 219a. S. A. J. Moorat, Catalogue of Western Manuscripts... pp. 180-183, treatise nr. 11. The ms. includes: Galen, de differentis febrium, tr. Burgundio; de methodo medendi VII-XIV; de crisi; de diebus decretoriis, tr. Nic. de Reggio. Hippocrates, de natura pueri; fragm. Galen, de locis affectis, tr. Nic. de Reggio; de exercitio sphere (de parvae pilae exercitio). Hippocrates, iusiurandum (tr. Nic. de Reggio). Galen, de semine; de complexionibus; de inaequali dyskrasia ; de accidenti et morbo; de naturalibus facultatibus (fragm.); de substantia naturalium facultatum (fragm.); de iuvamento anhelitus (de utilitate respirationis). Durling in pp. 92-93 of Linacre and Medical Humanism..., prints the beginning of the treatise in this ms. According to him (Burgundio of Pisa's... De complexionibus, pp. xvi-xvii), that treatise in the Wellcome 286 is written by two Italian scribes: "Both hands are clearly Italian, and typically Italian spellings abound, for example: preheminentis, peximam, paxionibus, scimpliciter, iddem, subtilix. This is an important university ms. containing a corpus of predominantly Graeco-Latin versions... Burgundio's and Niccolò's" (cf. also Durling, De interioribus, p. 15. ) The Greek exemplar for De complexionibus is reported to be the parent of the Laurentianus graecus 74.5 (Durling, De complexionibus, p. xx), supposed to be written in the xiv c. until N. Wilson (1983) revised its palaeography, ascribing it to the XII c. The Laurentianus could be the exemplar itself of Burgundio, who wrote some notes on its folios.

    290 Vide infra, Durling and Nutton.
    291 See Nutton, De propriis placitis, CMG V,3,2, p. 35.
    292 J. L. de Toro, Inventario general de manuscritos de la Biblioteca Nacional, vol. V (1599-2099), Madrid 1959, pp. 394-397, and V. Nutton, CMG V 3,2, p. 34 ("136 leaves, written in Spain about 1375"). Diels 84 [12]. Iter IV 523b. Durling, A Guide to .. IV, 360. *IP. Incipit: Inequalis dyskrasia fit quia et secundum totum animale corpus.

[^46]:    294 Catalogue général des manuscrits des Bibliothèques Publiques des Départements, tome V: Metz-Verdun-Charleville, Paris 1879, p. 80. Diels 84 [12]. T-K 742. Fichtner, 1985, p. 51.

    295 C. Halm, G. Laubmann, Catalogus codicum latinorum bibliothecae regiae Monacensis, t. I Pars I, München 1868, p. 102. Diels 84 [12]. T-K 742. Thorndike, Translations... Niccolò, pp. 219-220. Durling, Linacre...", p. 93. For the precise date of 1488, see Nutton, CMG V 3,2, p. 34, and note 3.

    296 Cf. A. Boinet, Catalogue général des manuscrits des Bibliothèques publiques de France, Bibliothèque de l'Académie de Médicine, t. I, Paris 1909, pp. 366-370. Cf. Nutton, CMG V 3,2, p. 25, and CMG V 8,1, pp. 30-31. For the date in the last quarter of the century, see M.Th. d'Alverny, p. 364 in: Review of Iohannis Alexandrini Commentaria in librum De sectis Galeni, ed. C. D. Pritchet, Leiden 1982, Scriptorium 38, 1984, pp. 361-366. (=La transmission des textes philosophiques et scientifiques au Moyen Age, ed. Ch. Burnett, Aldershot, 1994, p. XXI). Not in Diels. T-K 742. Durling, Corrigenda II, p. 376. V. Nutton, A forgotten ms. of Galenus Latinus, M. Treu, ed., Studia codicologica, Berlin 1977, pp. 332-340.

[^47]:    297 L. Schuba, Die medizinischen..., pp. 200-204. Not in Diels. T-K 742. Iter 6, 358. *IP. Thorndike, Translations... Niccolo, p. 226, n ${ }^{\circ}$ 25. Durling, Corrigenda I, p. 466, and Linacre..., pp. 84 and 92 s. D'Alverny, Pietro d'Abano...', pp. 45, 48, 50-51, 55.

    298 The other mss. being: Par. lat. 6865, Dresden, Landesbibl. Db. 92-93, and the 15151516 Pavia edition.

    299 T-K 742. See Thorndike, Translations... Niccolo, p. 219. Durling, Census, p. 286.
    300 I have checked the exemplar of the Wellcome Institute Library.
    301 See Durling, Census..., p. 280.
    302 D'Alverny points out: "Nic. a suivi fidèlement le modèle grec, et conservé des termes pour lesquels Pietro [d'Abano] avait cherché un équivalent latin." (Pietro d'Abano traducteur de Galien, p. 56).

[^48]:    303 L. Thorndike, Translations... Peter of Abano, 649-653 and cf. D'Alverny, Pietro... pp. 47-64; as for the versions of this treatise, she transcribes a fragment of the beginning and the end of both translations (pp. 54-56), on the grounds of Peter's Cesena SV4 (my B) and Nicholas' Paris Academy of Medicine 1005 (my P).

[^49]:    304 For the style of the Latin versions from Greek, see Lorenzo Minio-Paluello, Iacobus Veneticus Grecus... The style of the translations by Nicholas of Reggio is examined by I. Wille, in Ueberlieferung und Uebersetzung (Tr. Gal. de temporibus morborum), in: Helikon 3, 1963, pp. 259-277, and K. Deichgräber, Die griechische Empirikerschule... pp. 10-11. Cf. as well Barigazzi, CMG V 1,1, pp. 26-27.

    305 utique om. MP ed.
    306 solum om WP ed.
    307 cuestionibus om. P.
    308 intentionis sue P.
    309 quandoque om. V.
    310 de P.
    311 intentione sua ed.
    312 de om. V ed.
    313 incipit V paulo antea: Quis igitur modus generationis est universis inequalibus discrasiis in hac scriptione preiacet pertransire. Ut autem... cognoscuntur.

    314 denique P: om. ed.
    315 ex eis in om. P.
    316 modis V ed.
    317 de V.
    318 subiecti... musculi om. ed.

[^50]:    319 post sanguinis, qui add. PV.
    320 quidem om. V.
    321 modis PV.
    322 de om. ed.
    323 parte] precedente V ed.
    324 de om. V.
    325 de V.
    326 perficiuntur P ed.

[^51]:    328 For his books, cf. L. Heiberg, Beiträge zur Geschichte Georg Valla's und seiner Bibliothek, Beihefte zum Zentralblatt für -bibliothekwesen 16, 1896, 353-481, and G. Cardenal, P. Landucci Ruffo, C. Vasoli, Giorgio Valla tra scienza e sapienza, Florence 1981.

    329 A synopsis of the chronology of the life and works of Valla, in G. Cardenal, Cronologia della vita e delle opere di Giorgio Valla, in Giorgio Valla... pp. 93-97. Complete references in L. Heiberg, Beiträge...

    330 T-K 438. Notice of this edition in pp. 147, 330, of A. C. Klebs, Incunabula scientifica et medica, in: Osiris 4, 1938, 1-358, and Durling, "Census...", p. 251. The title of the volume where this translation is included, is: Nicephorus, Blemmida. (Logica) Epitome logikes. G. Valla Placentino interprete. Venetiis, September 1498, folio 131r.

    331 Checked issue: British Library. The book contains as well his translations of the Galenic De optima corp. cons., De bono habitu, De praesagitura (the ending part of De constitutione artis medicae, supposed to be an independent treatise). See St. Fortuna, Galen's De constitutione artis medicae in the Renaissance, Classical Quarterly 43, 1993, 302-319.

    332 Cf. V. Nutton, John Caius and the Manuscripts of Galen, Cambridge 1987, p. 25.
    333 The first two translations of the 1498 edition have the Mutinensis gr. 109 as Greek exemplar. See Nutton, John Caius..., p. 25. His version of De sectis ad introducendos is also based on that ms.

[^52]:    334 Biography and manuscripts of his library, in D. Mugnai Carrara, La biblioteca di Niccolò Leoniceno: tra Aristotele e Galeno, cultura e libri di un medico umanista, Firenze 1991.

    335 V. Nutton, in p. 4 of: The rise of medical humanism: Ferrara, 1464-1555, in: Renaissance Studies vol. 11, 1997, 2-19.

    336 Cf. V. Nutton, The rise... Leoniceno translated 11 Galenic treatises.
    337 T-K 756.
    338 Cf. Durling, Census.., p. 252. Checked exemplar: Wellcome Library. The volume includes as well De differentiis morborum libri duo, De arte curativa ad Glauconem libri duo, and De crisibus libri tres.

    339 Galeni In Hipp. aphorismos. Ars parva. Therapeutica ad Glauconem. De crisibus. De inaequali intemperatura. De naturalibus facultatibus.

    340 Checked exemplar: Wellcome Library.
    341 W. D. Sharpe, Thomas Linacre, 1460-1524: an English physician scholar of the Renaissance, in: Bulletin of the History of Medicine, 34, 1960, 233-256, in p. 238.

[^53]:    342 He finished his PhD in Padua, and came back to England, where he was admitted to Oxford University for a second doctorate degree, in 1491. Cf. W. D. Sharpe, Thomas Linacre, p. 238. Biography as well in R. J. Durling, Linacre and medical Humanism, pp. 84-85, 91-93.

    343 Sharpe, Thomas Linacre, pp. 238-240.
    344 His way of translating is reviewed by Durling, Linacre, pp. 99 ff.
    345 Reproduction of the facsimile in J. F. Payne, Galeni pergamensis De temperamentis, et De inaequali intemperie libri tres Thoma Linacro anglo interprete, Cambridge 1881 (with Introduction).

    346 Cf. Durling, Linacre..., p. 92.
    347 The scribe worked in Milan, Florence and Rome. See description of the codex in The Greek manuscripts, above.

    348 According to Devresse, Le fonds grec de la Bibliothèque Vaticane des origines à Paul V, Cité du Vatican 1965, pp. 58, 94, 131, 348.

[^54]:    349 Charterius' Incipit includes some of the variations introduced in Linacre's: Inaequalis intemperies fit interdum et in universo animalis corpore, ut in hydrope quem aquam intercutem vocant, et febribus quas epialas apellant, et propre caeteris omnibus, iis exceptis quas hecticas nominant. Oboritur autem et in unaquaque parte, quum ea oedemate, vel phlegmone, vel gangraena, vel erysipilate, vel cancro obsidetur.
    Charterius' Explicit is identical with Linacre's: Quod si tota per tota mutata alterataque sint, fiunt quidem illico a dolore libera. in difficiliori tamen sic constituta sunt statu. haec praenovisse iis qui opus de medicamentis sunt percepturi, et post id medendi methodum, abunde mihi satis videtur.

[^55]:    350 R. J. Durling, Leonhart Fuchs and his Commentaries on Galen, Medizinhistorisches Journal 24, 1989, 42-47. "..his commentaries were preceded by editorial work on part two of the Basel 1538 collected edition ." (p. 44). Checked issue: Wellcome Library.

    351 Cf. Durling, Linacre, p. 104, n. 1.

[^56]:    352 Cf. Durling, Census, 277. Cl. Galeni Pergameni Operum Omnium latine propediem edendorum specimen. Ex Joannis Lalamantii recognitione, et castigatione Graeci codicis locis innumerabilibus. 1. De optima corporis nostri constitutione. 2. De pleniori habitu. 3. De inaequali intemperie. 4. Quomodo simulantes morborum sunt deprehendendi. 5. De ptisana [Lalamantii de ptisana sui temporis libellus]. Emendata infinitis locis per eundem Lalamantium versio latina, et ad haec littera Graeca ad librorum calcem restituta.

    353 The reference London 1579 is found in some sources. Checked issue: digitalised edition in www:gallica.bnf.fr. 12 February 2002.

[^57]:    354 See Ackermann p. lxxix. Not mentioned in Census. Issue: Universidad Complutense (digitalised).

    355 On p. 21.
    356 Ackermann lxxix. Census, p. 261. Cf. P. Dilg, Johann Agricola Ammonius' Kommentar zu Galens 'Methodus medendi', in: F. Kudlien, Galen's Method of Healing, pp. 190-205. Issue: Leiden University.

[^58]:    357 Census p. 267, the 1547 edition: in Census the city is supposed to be Lyons instead of Leiden. The 1557 edition is not mentioned. Issue: Universidad Complutense, Madrid (digitalised).

    358 Issue: Universidad Complutense, Madrid (digitalised).
    359 Cf. J. A. Sánchez Pérez, Alfonso López de Corella, médico español del siglo XVI, prototipo de la cultura española de su época, in: Anales de la Universidad de Madrid, 1, 1932, pp. 74-101, and J. Olmedilla y Puig, Noticias bibliográficas referentes al médico y escritor español del siglo XVI, Alfonso López de Corella, Madrid, M. Tello, 1910. Issue: Universidad Complutense, Madrid (digitalised).

    360 Cf. J. L. García Hourcade / J. M. Moreno Yuste, eds., Andrés Laguna. Humanismo, ciencia y política en la Europa renacentista, congreso internacional, Segovia, 22-26 de noviembre de 1999, Madrid, 2001.

[^59]:    361 http://gallica.bnf.fr. In the image the city is Leiden, but Lyons is wrongly written in the reference of the BNF. Census, p. 260, mentions a 1537 edition, in Paris, by C. Wechel. I have not found it. Census, p. 268: 1549, Paris, J. Gazellus, and 1549, Leiden (wrongly ascribed to Lyon), by G. Rouille.

    362 Census, p. 269. See R. J. Durling, Leonhart Fuchs and his Commentaries on Galen, Medizinhistorisches Journal 24, 1989, 42-47. Checked issue: Wellcome Library.

    363 Ackermann lxxx. Census, p. 271. Issue: Amsterdam University.
    364 Census, p. 280, 1562-63. Issue: Wellcome Library. In Saragosa was printed a Cl. Galeni... Opera quaedam nunc recens inuenta ac latinitate donata... / Iohanne Rasario... interprete... Caesaraugustae: apud Antonium Furnium, 1567, in-8. De inaequali intemperie is not included. Cf. Census, p. 276. Issue: UCM.

[^60]:    365 Ackermann lxxx. Census, p. 266. See Ana Isabel Martín Ferroso, El humanismo médico en la Universidad de Alcalá (siglo xvi), Alcalá 1995. Issue: Complutense University (digitalised).

    366 Not included in Census.
    367 Census, p. 279, for the last three editions.

[^61]:    368 For the way of collecting by Oribasius, see R. de Lucia, Doxographical Hints in Oribasius' Collectiones Medicae», in Ph. van der Eijk, ed., Ancient Histories of Medicine. Essays in Medical Doxography and Historiography in Classical Antiquity ("Studies in Ancient Medicine", 20), Leiden, Boston, Köln, 1999, pp. 473-489, and p. 28 of: Oribasio di Pergamo, in: A. Garzya et alii, Medici Bizantini, pp. 19-251. See also A. Guardasole, Nuovi escerti di Oribasio, in: Ugo Criscuolo, ed., Actes du Colloque international Cultura, società e diritto nel Tardoantico: da Costantino a Teodosio il Grande (Naples, 26-28 avril 2001), Naples, D'Auria, 2003, pp. 177-196.

[^62]:    though sometimes he excerpted from Galen himself. See M. Lamagna, pp. 683-688 of: Paolo di Egina, in: A. Garzya et alii, Medici Bizantini, pp. 681-783.

    370 For the way of composition in Oribasius' Collectiones, see Roberto de Lucia, Doxographical hints in Oribasius Collectiones medicae, in: Ph. v. d. Eijk, ed., Ancient Histories..., pp. 473-489: completeness and complementarity, "leaving out considerations and observations unnecessary to the exposition of the main subject" (ibid. 478). Cf. as well M. Grant, Dieting for an Emperor, Leiden, 1997, pp. 14-17.

[^63]:    371 See my Les scholies marginales...
    372 Cf. Les scholies...
    373 These scholia are now included in Ihm, Clavis..., p. 258.
    374 H . Omont, Inventaire sommaire des manuscrits grecs de la Bibliothèque Nationale, Seconde partie, Ancien fonds grec, Paris 1888, p. 229.

    375 Cf. Alexanderson, De crisibus, pp. 16, 31, and De Lacy, CMG V,1,2 (De elementis... ), p. 34.

[^64]:    376 El concepto de discrasia en la patología Galénica. Traducción y Comentario del tratado ПЕРI AN@MA

[^65]:    
    390 See In Hipp. Nat. Hom. comment. II [1]: XV 115,10-116,4 K. = CMG V 9,1; 60, 24-26 Mewaldt, where Hipp. Nat.Hom. VI 52, 4-11 L.= CMG I 1,3; 9,1-2: 188,3-10 Jouanna, is commented. It is a late treatise, from the reign of Commodus. See Garcia Ballester, Galeno, p. 268.

    391 CMG V 4,2; 1-198 Koch.

[^66]:    392 Garcia Ballester, Galeno, p. 267.
    393 See XIII 768,8-773,14 K.
    394 Cf. Garcia Ballester, Galeno, p. 267.
    395 Garcia Ballester, Galeno, p. 266.

[^67]:    399 Fragment 4.9 D-K. Pythagorean as he was, he knew of krasis producing harmony. See Guthrie, 1.1.1., pp. 448 ff.

    400 See Pilar Hernán Pérez, La estructura arquitectónica del Ayante de Sófocles, diss. PhD, Madrid, UCM, 2005.

    401 Acme ca. 450 B.C.E. according to G.E.R. Lloyd, p. 114 in: "Alcmaeon and the early history of dissection", Sudhoffs Archiv 59, 1975, pp. 113-147 (now in Methods and problems, pp. 164-193).

    402 See fr. 4 D-K.
    403 Empedocles, ca. 490-430. Summary in NP, Empedocles [1], by J. Bollack. For the four roots, see Fr. 6 D-K. Other names given by Empedocles to the four roots in: M. R. Wright, Empedocles. The extant fragments, ed., New Haven-London 1981, p. 23.

    404 For elements, qualities and humours in Diocles Carystius, see fr. 25-27 Eijk (7, 8c and 8a Wellmann) in Diocles I, and Commentary in Diocles II pp. 46-56. The fragments belong to Galen Med.Meth., De placitis, and Nat. Fac.

    405 A summary in G. E. R. Lloyd, Early, pp. 99-124.
    406 III c. BCE. Summary in NP, Chrysippus [2]

[^68]:    407 Cf. Sambursky, Stoics p. 17.
    408 See Sambursky, Stoics, pp. 7-11.
    409 Sambursky, Stoics, pp. 11-17.
    410 In fr. 472 Arnim, 85-91, Chrysippus makes a fundamental account of mixis and krasis. For interesting research on the difference between mixis and krasis in Galen, see now V. Boudon-Millot, La notion de mélange dans la théorie humorale de Galien : Mixis ou Crasis ?

    411 Adv. Jul. 5,10: XVIIIa, 269,11-270,3 K.=50,17-21 Wenkebach.
    412 Adv. Iul. 4,1: XVIIIa, 257,15-258,6 K.=42,9-17 Wenkebach= fr. 132 Zenon, Arnim.
    413 In the V-IV c., $\varepsilon \dot{u} \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma$ í $\alpha$ is applied to climate, pleasure, character or life, by Euripides, or Plato. See Euripides, fr. 504,2 Kannicht=Austin; fr. 772,2 Kannicht=Austin; fr. Phaeth. 7.23 Diggle, and $c f$. critical app. to fr. 981,2 Kannicht. Plato Tim. 24.c.6; Crit. 112.d.3; Ax. 371.d.4. Anaxagoras and Democritus (cf. testimonia 135.60 D-K II) are reported to attach $\varepsilon \dot{v} \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma$ í $\alpha$ to

[^69]:    420 The system of humours is explained in several Hippocratic treatises, even if the authors do not necessarily agree on the number or the quality of the humours. For the system of four humours, cf. E. Schöner, Das Viererschema. I. M. Lonie (The Hippocratic treatises "On Generation"..., pp. 54-62) considered the relationship between the four elements and the four humours in the Hippocratic Corpus.

    421 Grensemann distinguished in the gynaecological treatises of the Hippocratic Corpus three layers, A, B and C. The C-author happened to write as well MorbIV and De natura pueri/ De genitura. See Grensemann, Knidische Medizin, I and II, and Hippokratische Gynäkologie.

    422 The C-author included Water instead of Blood.
    423 See J. Jouanna, La postérité du traité Hippocratique de la Nature de l'homme, in: Brockmann... Aertze und ihre, pp. 117-142, for the posterity of the four humours' theory, where he adds new testimonies. For the Commentary of the Hippocratic De nat. hom. by Galen, see A. Roselli, pp. 1554-1557 of: D. Manetti, A. Roselli, Galeno commentatore di Ippocrate, ANRW 37.2, 1529-1635.

    424 óкóт $\alpha v$ Littré.
    425 к@íбıos к $\alpha$ ì post $\alpha \not \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \alpha$ add. MV: om. Gal Laurent. LIX 14, Jouanna.
    426 Pain is a fundamental sign of disease for Galen, and the easiest to grasp.
    427 For Galen, aether, the fifth Aristotle's element, was not necessary.
    428 Ch. 1-5 deal with elements, ch. 6-9 with qualities, ch. 10-14 with humours. See de Lacy, CMG V 1,2.

[^70]:    429 Cf. J. Jouanna, La posterité, p. 121.
    430 De placitis VIII 6: V 692, 18 - 693, 3 K. = CMG V 4, 1, 2; (516) 17,1-18, 1 Lacy.
    431 See Nat. Fac. I 2: II 3, 2-9 K. = SM III 102, 13-20 Helmreich= 4, 19-20 Brock.
    432 Nat. Fac. ibid.
    433 Nat. Fac. I 2: II 3, $12-15$ K. = SM III 102, $23-103,2$ Helmreich $=6,8-10$ Brock.
    434 Cf . inter alios, Plutarch, who employs it as an unbalance of the weather, but he applies it as well to the "elements" (бточхعí $\alpha$ ), the body or the soul. See Vitae, Alex. 2.2: 58,1,3 Ziegler; Dio 2.1: 5,7,3 Ziegler; Arat. 3.1: 29,8,5 Ziegler.

    435 Zeno, fr. 131 Arnim.
    436 ca. 135-51 B.C.E. Summary in NP: Poseidonius [3], by Brad Inwood.
    437 Cf. Schöner, 79-80.

[^71]:    438 Much data in J. Kollesch, Untersuchungen... See V. Nutton, NP, s.v. Pneumatists.
    439 Schöner 81-85, and Wellmann, Die pneumatische Schule bis auf Archigenes in ihrer Entwicklung dargestellt, Berlin 1895, pp. 163-168.

    440 In his non-humoral system, Aretaeus, who followed the Stoics, conceived pneuma as keeping together the fundamental qualities. The balance of those is eukrasiê, and the unbalance dyskrasiê. Cf. De caus. et sign. acut. morb. 2.12.4.1 Hude. In the II c. AD, Archigenes Med. (cf. fr. 14.27 Brescia), and Severus Iatrosophista (cf. De instr. inf. 5.14, 15.10, and 28.2 Dietz), deal with dyskrasia.

    441 The pseudo-Galen's Definitiones medicae belong to this current. See Jutta Kollesch, Untersuchungen...

    442 In the sense of internal unbalance, Democritus is reported to apply anômalos to sugkrima ("uneven compound") in A $93 \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{K}$, while Anaxagoras is reported to speak of anômalotês sugkrimatos in A 77, 6-10 D-K. See DGE s.v.

[^72]:    443 In the hektikos fever, the solid parts are reached as well, while the other fevers just attack pneuma and/or the humours.

[^73]:    445 Find Section 4, Deposits.
    446 Cf. Section 10.
    447 It appears now and then in Aristotle. See inter alia, in the doctrine of the opposites,
    
    

    448 Section 4, Deposits.
    449 Cf. Table I.
    450 Cf. Aristot. Physic 214 b.

[^74]:    451 A Frame is defined by similar passages at the beginning and the end of a book, somewhat like the frame of a painting.

[^75]:    452 ov́ $\tau \omega$ is anaphoric as well, but second to the pronoun.
    453 For Ring composition, see B. A. Van Groningen, La composition littéraire archaïque grecque, Amsterdam, 1958, and I. Beck, Die Ringkomposition bei Herodot und ihre Bedeutung für die Beweistechnik, Hildesheim-New York, 1971. For Greek medicine, the research by O. Wenskus, Ringkomposition, anaphorisch-rekapitulierende Verbindung und anknüpfende Wierderholung im hippokratischen Corpus, Frankfurt-am-Main 1982, is outstanding.

    454 In the ring, opening and closing deal with the same subject, which ends at the close, and several rings may keep to the order $1,2,3$, core, $3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}$. On the other hand, the parallel passages open or close two successive subjects (Units, Sections), in the order ABC, core/ A'B'C', core'.

    455 See my: Simetría y variación en el teatro y en el arte griegos: el problema de las libertades de responsión o Responsionsfreiheiten, in: Dramaturgia e messa in scena del dramma greco, edd. E. García Novo, I. Rodríguez Alfageme, Ediciones Clásicas, Madrid, 1998, pp. 121150. As for variatio, the specific study is that of J. Ros: Die METABOAH (variatio) als Stilprinzip des Thukydides, Paderborn 1938.

[^76]:    458 Hunayn keeps the pronoun "you" that disappeared by phonetic haplography ( $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha i ́<\sigma \varepsilon>)$. The pronoun is present in loc. sim. of Galen.
    
    
     $\tau \circ \varsigma \psi \dot{\xi} \xi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$.

[^77]:    461 Cf. p. 140 of my: "Relación entre infinitivo y modos en la oración de $\pi$ @ív, tomando como ejemplo el Corpus Hippocraticum", in: CFC II (N.S. Griega) 1992, pp. 137-164.

    462 The link to oratory is reflected as well by the form $\tau \alpha v \tau i ́$. See K.J. Dover, The evolution of Greek prose style, Oxford 1997, p. 63.

[^78]:    463 D. Nickel comments some important linguistic features of Galen, in: Sprache und Stil, Galeni de foetuum formatione, CMG V 3, 3, pp. 44-46.

[^79]:    464 Galen's word order is no more unusual than Joyce's at his best.
    465 Whenever two correlative sections are not contiguous because an outer ring falls between them, I also include in the text the ring $(R)$ and its number ( $R^{\prime}-8 \quad \mathrm{~S} 12 \mathrm{R}^{\prime}-7 \mathrm{~S} 13$ ).

[^80]:    2-5 $\alpha v \omega \mu \alpha \lambda$ оऽ - о̀vо $\mu \alpha \zeta$ о $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v]$ cf. infra, p. 170, 9-10 2-10 $\dot{\alpha} v \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \lambda$ оऽ - $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha] ~ c f . ~$
     inter alia Gal. De temp. III 3: I 664,4-5 K.= 97,11-13 Helmreich cf. Gal. De tumor. praeter nat. 5: VII 719.3-4 K. cf. Gal. De sympt. causis III 3: VII 223,18-224,5 K. cf. Gal. De meth. med. II 2: X 82,19-83,1 K. et III 10: X 221,17-222,2 K. 8-10 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}-\mu o \rho i ́ \omega v$ et $14-\mathrm{p} .144,1 \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \alpha \tilde{\imath}-$ $\alpha v \dot{\tau \omega} \omega v] c f$. Steph. Alex. In pr. Gal. Therap. Glauc. comment. I 242, $24-26$ Dietz. 9 - p. 144,5 $\alpha ้ v \varepsilon v-\xi \eta \varrho \alpha เ v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v$ bis] cf. Gal. De sympt. causis II 5: VII 175,16-176,2 K. 9-10 بóvaıs $\delta v \sigma \kappa \varrho \alpha \sigma$ í $\alpha]$ cf. Gal. De meth. med. I 17: X 660,3 K. et I 17: X 660,7-9 K. cf. Gal. De morb. diff. 5: VI 848,16-18 K. 12-14 кג̉к - v́ү@ $\alpha \iota v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \iota \varsigma] ~ c f . ~ i n t e r ~ a l i a, ~ G a l . ~ D e ~ o p t . ~ c o r p . ~ n o s t r i ~ c o n s t . ~ 3: ~ I V ~$ 742,6-10 K. cf. Gal. In Hipp. De nat. hom. II 5: XV 127,10-12 K. = CMG V 9,1; 66,6-8 Mewaldt.
     K. et II 1: 40,4-10 Helmreich=I 572,4-573,1 K. cf. De sanit. tuenda I 13: VI 69,7-11 K.= CMG V 4,2; 32,12-16 Koch cf. De Loc. Aff. III 5: VIII 149,5-7 K. 14 - p. 144,2 $2 \pi \lambda \alpha \tilde{\imath}-\alpha v \dot{\tau} \tilde{\omega} v] c f$. De propr. plac. CMG V 3,2: 66, 20-21 Nutton 14 -p. 144,5 $\alpha \pi \lambda \alpha \tilde{\imath}-\xi \eta \varrho \alpha \iota v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v] c f . ~ G a l . ~ D e ~$ praesag. ex puls. III 1: IX 331,5-7 K.

[^81]:    
    
     غ̇víote add. 6 oístoкó $\mu \varepsilon$ vov] when in it (the organ) occurs oedema -which is the phlegmatic
    
    
    
     fiunt discrasie Nic. $10 \psi v \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \tau \omega v$ LTP: $\psi v \chi \theta \dot{v} v \tau \omega v$ cett. غ̇кк $\alpha v \theta \dot{v} v \tau \omega \nu$ LMU: $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \kappa \alpha v \theta \dot{v} v \tau \omega v$ $\mathrm{TPz} 11 \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega \varsigma$ add., cf. p. 168,15, et (or a calm or inactivity) that surpasses the convenient,
    
     ante $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha เ v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v o ı s ~ a d d . \eta \eta U 14 \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \alpha i ̃]$ simple and singular Hun. $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ om. P

[^82]:    $1 \mathrm{~S}=$ Section. [1] = traditional Chapters $\quad \mathrm{R}-1=$ Ring between Sections
    2 I just transcribe the Greek names of diseases, indicating that I do not mean that the names used today refer to the same diseases. I include the present-day definitions in notes, and indicate the Galenic notion in the plain text of the Commentary.

[^83]:     infra, p. 146,16-20 9-10 îv $\alpha-\mu \varepsilon \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau \omega v] c f$. Gal. De meth. med. II 7: X 149,9-10 K. cf. Gal. In Hipp. Progn. comment. III 10: XVIIIb,251,6-9 K.=CMG V 9,2; 340,14-15 Heeg cf. Gal. De meth. med. X 6: X 695,1-2 K. cf. Gal. Ad Glauc. med. meth. II 6: XI 105,13-14 K. 16 - p. 146,2 ס $\alpha \kappa \tau \cup ́ \lambda \omega v-\pi \tau \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta ́] ~ c f .$, inter alia, Gal. De const. art. med. 3: I 235,1-4 K.= CMG V 1,3; 3,5: 62,19-20 Fortuna cf. Gal. De element. I 6: I 465,14-466,4= CMG V 1,2: (6,29,2-30,1) 110, 18-21 De Lacy et I 8: I 479,10-480,6 K.= CMG V 1,2: (8,11,1-12,1) 126,1-5 De Lacy cf. Gal. De nat. fac. I 7: II 16,11-15 K.=SM III; 112,17-21 Helmreich cf. Gal. De morb. differ. 3: VI 841,2-4 K. cf. Gal. De meth. med. III 9: X 216,14-16 K.

[^84]:    
    
    
     $\xi ŋ \varrho \alpha ı v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega \omega$ U, cf. gets dry Нип.: ن́ү@
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     Hun., te Nic. $\quad \pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \omega \nu$ LMP, cf. all of them Hun., omnium Nic ${ }^{A}$, om. Nic $c^{\text {cett: }}$ : $\pi$ e@i Tz
    
    
    
    
    

[^85]:     tomici III (Arabi codd.) 9-10 غ̇v - غ̇ $\gamma \chi \varepsilon$ @ $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \mathrm{v}]$ cf. Gal. De anat. adm. II 215-731 K.= Garofalo I-II, et Procedimenti anatomici III (Arabi codd.) 16 ह̀v toĩ $\pi$ пœ@ì к@ $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma] ~ c f$. De
    
    18-19 о́тоі́ $\alpha$ - $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma]$ ¢f. Gal. De temper. I 1: X 509,8-510,2 K.= 1,8-9 Helmreich

[^86]:    
     $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \mathrm{z}$ z, U, cf. dictum est Pet., cff. I have said, Hun. $6 \omega \bar{\omega}]$ ) cf. (I also have written in this
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     general and common, Hun. (sed commune et participativum Ger.) kotvóv ante $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ \Delta: ~$
    

[^87]:    3 I.e. of similar parts.

[^88]:    
    
    
     sed when it is too much in excess Hun. 6 tò bis om. P 7 кגì LPU $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ кıvoúv $\tau \omega v$ dùtò $\chi \iota \tau \omega v \omega v$ LM: $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \chi \tau \tau \omega \dot{v} \omega v \alpha \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ TPz, cf. per tunicas earum Nic.: from the veins' bodies
     Ps.l. 8 тои́ $\tau \omega$ ] oũ $\omega \omega$ Pac. ut videtur кevai Pz, cf. hollow Hun.: om. $\left.\Lambda \mathrm{U} \omega^{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon\right]$ sed $c f$. up to (happening), Hun. (adeo donec accidat Ger.), cf. ut (calefiant) Nic. $9 \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \chi$ ó $\theta \varepsilon v$ v́rò $\tau \eta \bar{\varsigma}$ ט́ү९óтŋтоऽ] $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \chi$ о́ $\theta \varepsilon v$ post
    
    
    
    
    
     om. Hun., sed diversos servat Ger. $\quad \dot{\delta} \delta v \nu \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha \_$P $\quad 12-13 \tau \varepsilon \ldots \tau$ om. LM $\quad 13-14 \tau \varepsilon \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \mu \alpha$
    
    
    
     videtur

[^89]:    4 Galen sometimes uses the Greek word for body ( $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ ) for referring to parts and even to humours. 5 The blood.
    6 Literally: "wedged".
    7 "of the vessels".
    8 That is, the blood.

[^90]:    9 Literally "bodies".
    10 Apostasis.
    11 Literally "a non-unimportant".
    12 Again, "bodies".

[^91]:     620，6－8 K．＝Gal．In Hipp．epid．VI comment．VI 5：XVIIb，334，2－4 K．＝CMG V 10，2，2：343，1－2 Wenkebach＝Gal．De sympt．causis I 6：VII 115，14－15，et I 5：VII 176，9－10 $3-4$ тоĩ $\sigma$－$\left.-\gamma^{\prime} v o v \tau \alpha \iota\right]$ $=$ Hipp．De loc．hom．42；VI 334，7－8 L．＝Hipp．XIII：71，21－72，1 Joly $=42,1 ; 78,18-19$ Craik
     Gal．De constit．art．med．8：I 252，10－15 K．＝CMG V 1，3；80，8－9 Fortuna 10－11 $\dot{\varepsilon} v-\lambda v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \varsigma]$ $c f$ ．Gal．De constit．art．med．5：I 238，12－15 K．＝CMG V 1，3；66，7－10 Fortuna cf．Gal．In Hipp．de fract．comment．III 34：XVIIIb 586，5－8 K．

[^92]:    
    
     $\Lambda \mathrm{U}, c f$ ．or Hип．：каì Pz，cf．et Nic．$\quad \mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ov P：$\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ cett． $8 \alpha i ́ o m . \Delta \quad \xi \eta \varrho \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha ı$
    
    
    
     $\Lambda \quad \tau \eta ̃$ om． P 12 ci］sed when，Hun．ŋु］om．PU，rest．${ }^{\text {s．l．}}: c f . ~ \varepsilon i ~ O r i b .{ }^{R}$ ，corr．Daremberg
    
     $\zeta$ と́ot oфоб＠ótع＠ov］cf．when the heat of the blood in the inflammed part is strong，it heats the body up with the power of its boil，Hun．弓＇́oı MU（ferverit Pet．），cf．Orib．，cf．ferveat Nic．：Цéqı
    
    
     $\pi \tilde{\alpha} v \mathrm{U}$ ，et cf．Orib． $17 \sigma v v \delta \varrho \alpha ́ \mu \eta \mathrm{Pz}, \mathrm{U}$ ut videtur，Orib．：$\sigma v v \delta \varrho \alpha ́ \mu о \iota \Lambda \alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$ U $18 \alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$ add．

[^93]:    13 In Greek, "does not".
    14 Again, "does not" in Greek.

[^94]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     post $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi \nu \omega \nu$ cett. $22 \phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \varsigma \eta \geqslant \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi$ о $\quad 2$ ıкòv U $23 \sigma \cup ́ \mu \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \mathrm{U}$ : $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha$ cett. $\left.\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{l}\right] \quad \gamma \varepsilon v^{\prime} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \mathrm{U}$

[^95]:    15 The Greek word is $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \omega \delta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varrho o v$.
    16 In Greek, "bodies".
    17 "Wedged" in Greek.

[^96]:     cf. Gal. De anat. adm. II 215-731 K. = Garofalo I-II et Procedimenti anatomici III (Arabig. codd.)
     1: VII 276,7-8 K. 18-19 őoos - $\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta] c f$. Gal. De tumor. praet. nat. 1: VII 706, 9-10 K. cf. Gal. De differ. febr. II 16: VII 388, 10-12 K.

[^97]:    
     the alterations of many kinds, are the cause of the heterogeneous dyscrasia, Hun. 2 ai
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^98]:    
     utique non adhuc in humidis et spiritus solum: sed iam in hiis $q$. habitum habent corporibus
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     to the colder Hun., cf. hae vero frigidior Nic. $16 \mu \eta$ jeclusi, cf. ut contristet vicinantem Nic. $\tau$
    
    
    
    
     cett.

[^99]:    19 See Diagram in Commentary to Section 10.
    20 Probably "treatises" may be understood.
    21 Meaning that they have reached the same condition.

[^100]:    1-2 $\varepsilon i \varsigma-\dot{\varsigma} \kappa \tau \varrho \alpha \pi \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota] c f$. Gal. Adv. Lyc. 1: XVIIIa,204,3 K.=CMG V 10,3: 7,19 Wenkebach 4-6 кıvঠuvev́eı - vơŋ́ $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ] cf. Hipp. De fract. 31: III 526, 9-10 L. = II 94,10-11 Kühlewein 5-6 I $\pi \tau$ ок@ $\alpha \tau \eta \varsigma-$ vớń $\alpha \tau \alpha]=$ Gal. De tremore: VII 620,6-8 = Gal. In Hipp. epid. VI comm. VI 5: XVIIb,334,2-3 K. = Gal. De sympt. caus. I 6: VII 115,14 K. et II 5: VII 176,9-10 K. 6-10 ovveरeías - $\theta \lambda \tilde{\alpha}]$ cf. Gal. In Hipp. De fract. comment. III 34: XVIIIb,586,1587,12 K. cf. Gal. De loc. aff. II 5: VIII 80,12-17 K. 17-18 oṽt $\omega$ - v $\eta \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma] c f . ~ G a l . ~ D e ~$ nat. fac. III 7: II 163,5-9 K. = SM III; 218,25 -219,3 Helmreich cf. Nicand. Ther. 86 20-21 $\alpha$ v̌ $\xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota-$ ó $\mu o i ́ \omega \nu$ ] cf. Hipp. morb. IV 34: VII 548, 2-5 L. = Hippocrate XI 87, 9-12 Joly cf. Hipp. morb. sacr. 18 (21 Jones); Hippocrate II 32,13-15 Jouanna = VI 386, 3-4 L. cf. Anonym. Lond. 26, 7-8, et 11-12 Diels (=Jones) cf. pseudo?-Aristotle, De spiritu 481a15 = Roselli 73, 15

[^101]:     cf. supra, p. 158,11-14 4-7 $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ - $\delta$ ббк@ $\alpha \sigma$ í $\varsigma]$ cf. infra, p. 166,7-168,10 et p. 170,5-10
    
     cf. supra, p. 156,11-13 15-16 ov̉ үà@ - $\mu$ óvov] cf. Gal. De diff. febr. II 11: VII 375,11 15-17 où $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho-\mu \alpha ́ \lambda ı \sigma \tau \alpha]$ cf. supra, p. 154,17-19 cf. Gal. De diff. febr. II 17: VII 399,6-7 K. 17-18 $\sigma \dot{\eta} \pi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota-\sigma \eta \psi \iota v]$ cf. Gal. De diff. febr. II 5: VII 287,7-9 K. et II 11: VII 375,11 K. 19 - p. 164,3 к $\alpha \grave{\imath} \mu \varepsilon ̀ v-\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha v \theta \varepsilon ́ v \tau o \varsigma] ~ c f . ~ G a l . ~ D e ~ d i f f . ~ f e b r . ~ I ~ 7: ~ V I I ~ 295,15-296,7 ~ K . ~$.

[^102]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     Hип.

[^103]:    12-13 $\alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}-\pi$ оо́ $\eta \tau \alpha$ ] cf. supra, p. 142,9-10 17 غ̇v- $\left.\alpha i \tau i ́ \alpha ı s\right]$ Gal. De morb. caus. VII,
    1-41 K. 21 - p. 166,3 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma o \tilde{v} \sigma \iota$ - òvv́ $\chi \omega v$ ] cf. Gal. De loc. aff. II 5: VIII 81,5-11 K. cf. Gal. Meth. Med. XII 7: X 853, 12-14 K. cf. pseudo-Arist., pseudo-Alex., Problem. I 44,1-45,4 Ideler cf. Aetius V: CMG VIII 2; V 101, 6-8 Olivieri

[^104]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     z 13 к@ $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \tau о \tilde{v} ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ P: ~ \tau \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ \tau о \tilde{v} ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ к @ \alpha ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ z ~ 14 ~ \alpha u ̈ \tau \eta ́ v] ~ \alpha u ̀ \tau \alpha ́ ~ L ~$
    
    
    
     (sic) $\Lambda$, Pz s.l. U 19-20 tò $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \alpha v$ $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ Pz: $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \alpha v$ tò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ cett. 20 oṽ́toı $\Delta$, cf.
     vol $\Lambda$

[^105]:    6 ỏסvv $\mathrm{\omega} v \tau \alpha \iota$ - [ỏסóv $\tau \alpha \varsigma]$ cf. Gal. De diff. sympt. 3,5: CMG V 5,3; 220,14-17 Gundert = VII 57,1758,1 K. 7 - p. 168,10 ov̌ $\varepsilon$ - $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \tau \omega \kappa \varepsilon v]$ cf. supra, p. 162,4-7

[^106]:    2-3 हैvıot- $\alpha$ ı̂ $\theta$ Óvov $\alpha$ ı] $c f$. Gal. De diff. febr. II 6: 7.347, 5-9 K. cf. Aetius V: CMG VIII 2; V 87,3-4 Olivieri $c f$. Leo, Consp. med.1,12,1-4 Ermerins 11-12 $\alpha v \omega ́ \mu \alpha \lambda o s-\sigma u ́ \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha] c f$. Leo, Consp. med. 1,15,1-4 Ermerins 13-14 $\sigma \pi \alpha \dot{v}$ เov - $\mathfrak{v} v i ́ o \tau \varepsilon] c f$. Gal. De tremor. 7: VII 635,5-7 K. 14-16 $\chi \varrho \eta ̀-\alpha ̋ v \theta \varrho \omega \pi \sigma v] c f$. Gal. De sympt. caus. I 5: VII 109, 4-7 K. cf. Gal. De diff. febr. I 6: VII 291, 8-9 K. cf. Gal. De loc. aff. I1: VIII 9-11 K. 15-18 そ̌ $\tau \iota-\dot{v} \alpha \lambda \omega \delta \eta] c f$. Gal. De aliment. facult. I 12: VI 509,
     cf. Gal. De sympt. caus. II 5: VII 189, 2-11 K. cf. Gal. De tremor. 7: VII, 636,18-637,8 K.

[^107]:     $\lambda o u ́ \theta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v]$ cf. Gal. De diff. febr. II 5: VII 346,10-11 K. 5-7 бóvӨ $\quad$ тоs - $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \varepsilon ́ \beta \eta \kappa \varepsilon v] ~ c f$. Leo, Consp. med. 1,12,1-4 Ermerins $\quad 7-8 \dot{\omega}$ - $\pi$ vøøтós = Aet.V: CMG VIII 2; V 87,7 Olivieri
     142,5-8 cf. Gal. De sympt. caus. III 1: VII 211,4-7 K. 13-18 кג@кívos- $\gamma$ ' $\gamma v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ] cf. Gal. De caus. morb. 6: VII 22,1-13 K.

[^108]:     от. U к $\alpha$ ì от. LM $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu о v \omega \delta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ corr. apud $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \omega \delta \tilde{\omega}$ (sic) P: $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu i ́ \omega \varsigma$ TU: om. LM (sed spatium habet L) каì ante $\pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{z} \quad \pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha}$ om. L, restituit $\mathrm{L}^{\text {s.l. }} \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$ เoĩs LMP: $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \alpha$ เoĩs Uz: sed the wise men Hun. $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma ŋ \varsigma s \Delta$, cf. it is necessary, Hun., cf. ex
    
    
    
    
    
     $12 \tau \tilde{\eta} \phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \circ v \tilde{\eta}] \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \circ v \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \mathrm{~L} \quad 13$ 炏 $Ө \varrho \alpha \xi$ om. Hun. 14 post $\phi \alpha \gamma \varepsilon ́ \delta \alpha \iota v \alpha$ add. $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma-\mu \mathrm{v} \eta \dot{\eta} \Lambda \mathrm{A} \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ om. U 15 v́tò Uz: $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ò cett. $\quad$ тoṽ ante $\phi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa$ oṽ add. TM
    
    

[^109]:    
     $\mu \varepsilon ̇ v ~ \eta ̄ ̀ ~ c o n i e c . ~ H e l m r e i c h ~ 5 \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \Delta: o m . ~ \Lambda, ~ c f . ~ \Sigma ~ \delta \varepsilon ̀ ~ P z, c f . ~ \Sigma: ~ o m . ~ c e t t . ~ \mu \eta ́ \pi \omega ~ P U, ~ c f . ~ \Sigma: ~ \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega ~$
    
    
     $\dot{\alpha} v \omega \mu \alpha ́ \lambda$ ov add.: $\tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda o \varsigma ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \tau @ i ́ t o v ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \pi \varepsilon @ i ̀ ~ \kappa @ \alpha ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega v ~ z ~(a p u d ~ p r i o r e m ~ t r a c t a t u m): ~ o m . ~ c e t t . ~$

[^110]:    29 "Blood", in fact.
    30 I. e. "completely".

[^111]:    1 Galen fluctuates in the title of his books. Cf. V. Boudon, "Comment Galien désignait-il ses propres oeuvres? Pour une ecdotique des titres", in A. Garzya, J. Jouanna, eds., Trasmissione e ecdotica..., 2003, pp. 25-37.

    2 Nowadays they would be called systemic and localized.

[^112]:    3 Galen also uses the group $\gamma$ 'i $\gamma v \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ - غ̇víote in De meth. med. X 2: X 670,17 K., In Hipp. Aphor. 2: XVIIb,730,6 K., and De praes. ex puls. II 8: IX 303,13-14 K.

    4 The syntagm $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ò $\lambda o v$ тov $\zeta \omega$ ov tò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ is found as well in Gal. De usu part. VI 10: III 446,17 K. = 326,4 Helmreich, and IX 3: III 696,9 K. = II 10,2-3 Helmreich. De plac. Hipp. et Plat. VI 8: 21, 4; CMG V 4,1,2 Lacy. De diff. febr. II 18: VII 403,10-11 K. De simp. med. temp. ac facult. IV 16: XI 675,8 K.

    5 The group $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ - $\mu$ ó@ıьv, occurs in De morb. differ. 5: VI 850,3 K., De causis puls. II 13: IX 101,1-2 K., and Gal. In Hipp. Aphor. 12: XVIIb,394,8 K.

    6 As the scriptio continua and the phonetics do not permit distinguishing $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \sigma \alpha ́ \varrho \kappa \alpha$ from $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \quad \sigma \alpha ́ \varrho \kappa \alpha$, I just write a single word. The syntagm toĩs - v́dépoıs is not uncommon. Cf., inter alia, Steph. Alex., Schol. in Hipp. Progn. 2,1,63; 2,1,143; 2,1,147 Duffy; Alexand. Trall., Therap. 10: 2,449,15 Puschmann; Aretaeus, De causis I 16,6,4 CMG II Hude.

    7 Anasarka is in use as massive oedema. It is a dropsy of the subcutaneous cellular tissue; an effusion of serum into the cellular substance, occasioning a soft, pale, inelastic swelling of the skin (http://cancerweb.ncl.ac.uk/cgi-bin/omd?query=anasarka, 12/02/2007).

[^113]:    9 See the Pseudo-Galenic Def. med. 279: XIX 424,7-10 K.
    10 De sympt. caus. III 10: VII 260,2-5 K.
    11 De locis affectis V 7: VIII 353,5-13 K.
    12 See De ther. ad Pisonem 15: XIV 275,10-276,2.
    13 The syntagm $\pi \lambda \eta\rangle$ - ỏvo $\mu \alpha \zeta$ o $\quad$ ह́v $\omega v$ is repeated infra, p. 162,13-14; p. 168,11-12; p. 170,10.

    14 The initial syntagm in 5-6 toútov - кגì, is repeated in De sympt. causis III 12: VII $268,1 \mathrm{~K}$. and De meth. med. II 3: X 317,13-14 K. The beginning of the book (see above, notes 4-5) introduces some known syntagms that are clear enough for the exposition.

[^114]:    26 Oedema is the presence of abnormally large amounts of fluid in the intercellular tissue spaces of the body, usually applied to demonstrable accumulation of excessive fluid in the subcutaneous tissues. (http://cancerweb.ncl.ac.uk/cgi-bin/omd?query=oedema, 18/02/2006).

    27 This [cancer] is now a general term for more than 100 diseases that are characterised by uncontrolled, abnormal growth of cells. Cancer cells can spread locally or through the bloodstream and lymphatic system to other parts of the body. (http://128.240.24.212/cgi-bin/ omd?action=Search+OMD\&query=cancer , 18/02/08).

    28 Phlegmon (Latinised, as different from phlegmonê that is not in use) is a purulent inflammation and infiltration of connective tissue (Merrian Webster Dictionary, in: http:// medical.merriam-webster.com/medical/phlegmon, 24/02/2005).

    29 Cf. De tumor. prae. nat. 5: VII 719,1-6.
    30 Cf. among others, De sympt. caus. III 1: VII 211,3-8 K.
    31 See De sympt. caus. III 3: VII 223,16-224,13, and De morb. diff. 12: VI 875,3-6.
    32 Cf. De temp. III 3: I 664,4-7 K.=97,11-14 Helmreich: "Cancer, phagedena, the corroding herpes, anthrax, malignant sores like Cheiron's , the wound of Telephus, and many other ulcers, are produced by ill humours".

    33 "... The inflamed ulcer and the putrid, being corroded and gangrened, of the kind of erysipelas and cancer, are painless or painful, and all the remaining, are referred as differences of ulcers, but in case someone describes an empirical treatment by writing it down, it will not be a matter of discussion for him to name the differences in the ulcers." Cf. Med. Meth. III 10: 221,14-222,4 K.

    34 Ibid. later on, Galen explains that "there are many differences according to size, depth, form, time (old/new, etc.), being homogeneous or heterogeneous, total- or partially seen."

    35 Anthrax, Lat. carbunculus.
    36 Cf. De tumor. prae. nat. 6: VII 719,7-18 K.
    37 See Epid. II 1,1: V 73,2-6 L.= Hippocrates VII: 18,2-7 Smith, and Grmek 129, and note 59, p. 390.

    38 See De tumor. prae. nat. 8: VII 720,14-19.
    39 In the Lexicon of Zonaras, gamma.421,1-3, $\gamma \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \varrho \alpha \iota v \alpha$ is told "to be a kind of putrid

[^115]:    
    

    40 Cf. Med. Meth. II 2: X 83,16-18 K.
    41 De tumor. prae. nat. 13: VII 727,4-9.
    
    

    43 Cf. J. Jouanna, pp. 353-360 of: La maladie sauvage dans la Collection Hippocratique et la tragédie grecque, in: Mètis. Anthropologie des mondes grecs anciens, 3, 1988, pp. 343-360.

    44 De tumor. prae. nat. 13: VII 727,4-9. See C. Prêtre, Ph. Charlier, Maladies humaines, thérapies divines, Paris, 2009, pp. 112-113.

    45 Cf. Johnston, Galen: On Diseases... p. 61.
    46 Cf. De tumor. prae. nat. 14: VII 727,14-728,7.
    47 In Orib. Collect. medica XLV 28,1,1-4,6: CMG VI,2,1 Raeder = Bussemaker et Daremberg, IV, 63-64. See C. Daremberg, C. E. Ruelle, Oeuvres de Rufus d'Ephèse, Fragments extraits d' Oribase, fr. 37, 305,4-10.

    48 For the erysipelas in the Hippocratic Corpus, and in general, for the eating ulcers, $c f$. J. Jouanna, La maladie sauvage dans la Collection Hippocratique et la tragédie grecque, in: Mètis. Anthropologie des mondes grecs anciens, 3, 1988, pp. 343-360.

[^116]:    49 See I. Johnston, p. 57: "I have taken this to be a general term for swelling rather than specifically indicating fluid accumulation".

    50 De tumor. praeter nat. 7: VII 720,5-13 K.
    51 Cf. De sympt. differ. 5,2: CMG V 5,3; 244,17-246,10 Gundert = VII 74,15-75,12 K.

[^117]:    52 For ט̛̀ $\eta$ as "matter", cf. Aristot. GC 320a.2-5.
    53 In De morb. differ. 5: VI 848,14-849,13 K., Galen mentions the two kinds of (anomalous) dyskrasia, just changing the quality, or moving a flux upon the part. Among those last he mentions the series of part's diseases that he presents in our treatise, and some others, such as psoras, leprâ and alphoi. And an example of qualitative dyskrasia is fever.

    54 See V. Boudon-Millot, La notion de mélange dans la théorie humorale de Galien : Mixis ou Krasis?, for the dominance, in Galen, of the krasis in quality over that of humours.
    
     тоเદ́єı.

[^118]:    57 In: La letteratura pseudepigrafa nella cultura greca e romana, ed. G. Cerri, Naples 2000, pp. 167-195.

[^119]:    58 Cf. De sympt. causis II 5: 7.176.3-7 K.
    59 Cf. Gal. De praesag. ex puls. II 8: IX 307,5 K.; De usu part. VII 5: III 526,6-7 K. = 382,10 Helmreich.

    60 See as well Gal. De anat. admin. VII 1: II 589,1-2 K.= II 407, 2-3 Garofalo; Gal. De sanit. tuenda II 7,36: VI 133,10 K. = CMG V 4,2; 59,20-21 Koch; Gal. De bonis malisq. sucis 1: VI 757,14 K. = CMG V 4,2; 1,20: 393, 27-28 Helmreich; Gal. De diff. puls. IV 10: VIII 749,19 K.; Gal. De simpl. medic. temp. ac fac. IV 12: XI 661,1-2 K.

[^120]:    62 See pp. 142-145 of my Composition et style du traité de Galien De inaequali intemperie: avantages et désavantages pour la transmission du texte, in: A. Garzya, ed., Storia e ecdotica dei testi medici greci, pp. 141-154.
    
     $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta$ خous.

    64 Cf. De ossibus, proem.: II 739,11-13 K., غ̀ $\pi i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} v ~ o ̉ v o \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \eta ̃ ~ \sigma v v \theta \eta ́ \kappa \eta ~ \kappa \alpha \iota \varrho o ̀ \varsigma ~$
     See as well De optima corp. nostri const. 1: IV 738,5-7 K.; De usu part. II 8: III 120,9-11 K. = 88,5 Helmreich; VI 10: III 292,14-16 K.= 214,22 Helmreich; VII 12: III 557.12-13 K. = 405,18-19 Helmreich; De caus. morb. 1: VII 1,4-6 K.

    65 Interestingly, Lacy translates $\gamma \nu \omega \varrho i \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ into "recognise" as different from "know", in On the elements, p. 102.

[^121]:    68 All sorts of details in De part. homoeom. diff. G. Strohmaier, CMG Suppl. Or. III, Berlin 1970.

[^122]:    69 The text 146,5-15 к $\alpha \grave{\imath} \mu \dot{v} v$... $\pi$ ógot is repeated in the spureus In Hipp. de alim. comment. III 1: XV 253, 6-18 K. See K. Schubring, Bemerkungen zu der Galenausgabe Karl Gottlob Kühn und zu ihrem Nachdruck, in: Kühn XX, p. clviii.

    70 Cf. The Sources. Relations between the Greek manuscripts, for the importance of this omission in the transmission of the text.

    71 See my Syntaxe et critique textuelle des traités hippocratiques et galéniques, in: Boudon et alii, edd., Ecdotica..., 2006, pp. 117-123.

[^123]:    72 Cf. my Composition et style ...
    73 The flux appears as Genitive partitivum of verbs of filling, or as "personal" agent with útó.

    74 The exception are the interstices: p. 2,16 $\alpha \dot{1} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \xi \grave{v} \chi \tilde{\omega} \varrho \alpha \iota \ldots \pi \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \iota \varrho \varepsilon v ́ \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma$.

[^124]:    75 See my Syntaxe et critique textuelle..., in: Boudon et alii, edd., Ecdotica ..., 2006, pp. 117-123.

    76 Hun. lost $\alpha \circ \pi \alpha \sigma \iota$ because of homoeoteleuton.

[^125]:    77 The definition of inflammation by Celsus (III 10,3: 117,23-24 Marx) is remarkable: it presents calor (warmth), dolor (pain), tumor (swelling) and rubor (redness and hyperaemia).

    78 Oribasius introduces two specific passages dealing with inflammation in Syn. ad Eust. VII 24, and Ad Eunap. III 41 (CMG VI 3).

[^126]:    79 The name is still used for the watery discharge from a wound. I just tansliterate.
    80 Nowadays "thrombus" is a clot consisting of fibrin, platelets, red blood cells, and white blood cells that forms in a blood vessel or in a chamber of the heart and can obstruct blood flow. Source: http://medical-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/thrombus (12 May 2008).

[^127]:    81 On the other hand, Oribasius, in Syn. ad Eustath. V 44,13,1-14,1 (CMG VI 3), writes:
     $\sigma \phi$ обоó $\tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\sigma \phi \dot{\zeta} \zeta$ ovoıv. He deals with the diagnôsis of the bodies with dyskrasia, but the reference to the throbbing is not adequate to our passage.

    82 See Anat. Admin. VII 5: II 601,4-602,4 K.= II 421,10-26 Garofalo.
    83 As for the reading in U, somebody may have written $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi o ́ \rho \omega v<\alpha \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\omega} v>$ as a gloss in the margin that entered the text: $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ is kept by Pet.: cf. per poros ipsos.

    84 De usu puls., 5: V 164,14-165,2 K.
    85 De puls. causis I 4: IX 6,6-7,14 K.

[^128]:    86 For the designation of the similares as first bodies，see De placitis CMG V 4，1，2：VIII 4， 8－9，7（500，3－10）Lacy，where Galen states that Hippocrates did not call them that way．

    87 In his Commentary to the treatise：see Sources，Commentaries in the Renaissance．

[^129]:    88 The scholium has $\sigma \dot{v} \delta \delta \sigma \mu \mathrm{ot}$ supra $\theta \varepsilon \varrho \mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{l}$, and veṽ@ $\alpha$ к $\alpha$ oí ó $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \varsigma$ supra $\theta \lambda i ́ \beta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{\iota}$, while supra $\sigma v v \alpha \mu ф о \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho \omega \Sigma$ scripsit $\mu v ̃ \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \sigma \alpha ́ \varrho к \varepsilon \varsigma . ~$

    89 The text of Sections 4-6, pp. 150,2-154,4, кגì סvoĩv $\gamma \varepsilon$... тò 弓 $\tilde{\mu} 0 \nu \alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$, is transmitted as well by Oribasius, Collectiones medicae XLIV 1,10,5-1,13. See Sources. Indirect tradition.

    90 The syntagm סvoĩv - $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha i ̃ o v, ~ i n ~ 150,2 ~ a l s o ~ a p p e a r s ~ i n ~ G a l . ~ D e ~ u s u ~ p a r t . ~ V I ~ 2: ~ I I I ~$ $414,15 \mathrm{~K}$.

[^130]:    91 See suppurative otitis media in Epid. VII 5: V 373-76 L.= VII 302-306 Smith = Hippocrate IV 3: 53,1 - 55,6 Jouanna.

[^131]:    92 Nevertheless, Galen makes ample use of кoı入ótŋऽ for hollow/cavity. Cf. De usu part. VI 15: III 483,13-18 K. = 352,18-21 Helmreich.
     $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v$, ov̉ $\mu \eta े \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa v ́ \varrho \omega v \gamma \varepsilon \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \sigma \iota v$.

[^132]:    94 For the knowledge of the brain's anatomy by Herophilus, see von Staden, Herophilus, pp. 155-161, and fr. 75-79 in pp. 195-200. For the vessels, find pp. 169-181 and fr. 115-128 (comm. in pp. 240-241).

    95 See Gal. De instrumento odoratus ( J. Kollesch, CMG Suppl. V, Berlin 1964).

[^133]:    97 Cf. Testimonien II 2, 250-251.
    98 While the group $-\tau \tau$ - is usually considered Attic in prose, $-\sigma \sigma-$ is usually Ionic and Koiné. However, it appears in Attic Tragedy (in the iambic trimeters, which have a taint of Ionian), and sometimes in other Attic texts.

[^134]:    99 See Testimonien, I 360-361.
    
    

[^135]:    101 Cf．In Hipp．Aph．comment．II 27：XVIIb，515，13－15 K．，and V 70：XVIIb，885，1－2 K．
    102 Cf．De temper．I 9：I 568，12－14 K．＝37，21 Helmreich．De usu part．VII 9：III 547，6－7 K． ＝398，1 Helmreich．

[^136]:    103 For pneuma in the arteries, see, in D. Manetti, pp. 308-309 of "Saggio d'edizione di P. Lit. Lond. 165 [the Anonymous Londinensis]" in Garzya, ed., Storie e ecdotica, Naples 1996, pp. 307-317, the discussion of the author against Erasistratus regarding this subject.

[^137]:    104 Loc. sim. in: Theophilus Protospatharius, De corporis humani fabrica, IV 5: 7-9 Greenhill.

    105 In A. Debru, ed., Galen on Pharmacology, Vth International Galen Colloquium, Lille, 16-18 March 1995, ed., Leiden, New York, Köln, 1997, pp. 85-102.

    106 As for the importance of the Pneumatists in Galen's Physiology, in synthesis, let me quote Jutta Kollesch: "Den Höhepunkt und Abschluß den antiken Physiologie stellt die physiologische Lehre Galens dar, die bis zum Beginn der Neuzeit autoritative Stellung behielt. Die Basis seiner Physiologie bildeten die hippokratische Humorallehre und die Pneumatheorien der Pneumatiker". See Lexikon der Antike, s.v. pneuma. M. Wellmann, Die pneumatische Schule..., J. Kollesch, Untersuchungen... and F. Kudlien, Der griechische Arzt... are fundamental sources.

    107 The interesting pseudo-Aristoteles, De spiritu, deals with several questions on pneuma. See the Commentary and translation of Amneris Roselli, with new edition (Roselli, [Aristotele]: De spiritu).

[^138]:    108 For every aspect of black bile, $c f$. H. Flashar, Melancholie und Melancholiker in den medizinischen Theorien der Antike, W. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1966.

    109 He also states it earlier in the same chapter I 2: VII 276, 3-4 K.

[^139]:    110 The two other Vf are dedicated to AD (as expected: $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \vee 0 \nu \tau \alpha \mathrm{\iota}$ ) and to himself (as
    

[^140]:    
    112 Cf. R. M. Moreno Rodríguez, Acerca de la cualidad del calor innato en las fiebres, según Galeno, in: Dynamis 5-6, 1985-1986, pp. 11-30.
    

[^141]:    114 See De tumor. praeter nat. 2: VII 712,17-18 K.
    115 In Med. Meth. Galen contends with Erasistratos, who related fever just to inflammation. (see Hankinson, Galen..., 183).
    
    $117 \pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota v$ is acting as an attributive adjective.

[^142]:    118 In Dative, cf. as well, De temper. II 2: I 581,14-17. De san. tuenda I 11: VI 55,6-11 K. De caus. puls. IV 10: IX 176,5-8.

    119 It is as well in the loc. sim. in Paulus Aegineta, Epitomae medicae libri septem 2.31.1.1 -2.31.1.4, Raeder, CMG 9.1 and 9.2.

    120 Cf. Goodwin, Syntax, pp. 70-71.

[^143]:    
    124 Cf. Smyth-Messing, p. 343-344.
    125 See now V. Carson, Aristotle's Psychology in: M. L. Gill, P. Pellegrin, A Companion to Ancient Philosophy, Blackwell Companions to Philosophy, Malden (Mass.), 2006, pp. 316346 (with rather good bibliography).

[^144]:    126 See now Baltusen, Theophrastus against the Presocratics and Plato: peripatetic dialectic in the De sensibus, Leiden, Brill, 2000.

[^145]:    127 The group $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\eta}$ is rather common in Galen (over 370 times).
     oủoí $\alpha v$, in De simp. medicament. temp. ac facult. V 6: XI 723,8-9 K.

[^146]:     comparation, the soul is hardly calm with those words that have been told, as Hipp. ..." I cannot find any sense in it.

    130 Cf. Testimonien II 2, p. 235.
    131 For this meaning of غ̇ாıє́́кєь $\alpha$ in Galen, cf. A. Roselli, pp. 184-108, of Il commento di Galeno a Sulle Fratture. Specimina per la nuova edizione, in: Studi su Galeno, ed. D. Manetti, pp. 93-117.

    132 A text of Parmenides (fr. 28 B 8, 25 Diels) affirms that everything is contiguous/ continuous ( $\sigma v \vee \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon ́ \varsigma$ ) to something.

    133 Cf. S. Sambursky, Physics of the Stoics, p. 1.

[^147]:    134 It is related to living beings in as much as psychê is made of dry and warm pneuma, while physis is made of moist and cold pneuma.

    135 See Sambursky, Physics of the Stoics, pp. 7-8.
    136 Cf. Sambursky, Stoics, p. 8.
    137 See NatFac II 3: II 81,11-88,8 K. = SM 159,5-165,6 Helmreich, and Sambursky, Stoics, p. 9.

    138 Ps.l. notes that $\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \pi \iota \varepsilon ́ \zeta \varepsilon \iota$, "compresses", is exerted on the hard parts ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \varrho o ́ \tau \varepsilon \varrho \alpha$ ), while $\theta \lambda \tilde{\alpha}$, "breaks", acts on the soft ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \tau \varepsilon \varrho \alpha)$.

[^148]:    139 See pp. 104-108, of Il commento di Galeno a Sulle Fratture. Specimina per la nuova edizione, in: Studi su Galeno. Scienza, filosofia, retorica e filosofia, Daniela Manetti ed., Firenze, 2000, pp. 93-117.

    140 In Hippocratis De fracturis comment. III 34 : XVIIIb, 585,16 ... 587,12. K.
    141 Palladius, Comment. in Hippoc. librum sextum de morbis popularib. 2,159,28-29 Dietz.

    142 According to Roselli, the key texts in Galen are: In Hipp. Fract. comment. III 34 : XVIIIb 586, 12; De inaequali p. 6, 7-11=7, 744, 17-745, 3 K.; De locis affectis II 5: VIII 80,12 17; In Hippoc. Acut. comment. II 1: XV 515,14=CMG V 9, 1, pp. 163 ff. Helmreich; In Hipp. Epid. VI comment. IV 10: XVIIIb 158,13-15 K.= Pfaff CMG V 10, 2,2, pp. 335-36. See as well Palladius, Comment. In Hippoc. librum vi de morb. popul. 2,137,21 -22 (to Epid. VI 3, Dietz 159 ff .) and 2,159,28-160, 1.

[^149]:    143 Leonardo da Vinci mentions the peculiarity of a man killing a scorpion with saliva: "Saliva, spit out when fasting will kill a scorpion. This may be likened to abstinence from greediness, which removes and heals the ills which result from that gluttony, and opens the path of virtue." In the Vol. II nr. 1240, "the scorpion", of the so-called The Notebooks of Leonardo Da Vinci, transl. by Jean Paul Richter. Available in Project Gutenberg Literary Archive Foundation, http://www.gutenberg.org/etext/4999 (12 february 2006).

    144 De venenatis animalibus, proemium, pp. 47-49 Sprengel.

[^150]:    148 De plac. Hipp. et Plat. 3.7.20.2; De sanit. tuen. III 13: VI 228,17 K. = CMG V 4,2; 101,67 Koch; De sanit. tuen. IV 1: VI 234,10 K. = CMG V 4,2; 103,18 Koch; De tumor. praeter nat. 1 : VII 706,8 K.; De loc. aff. I 1: VIII 316,18 K.; De simpl. medicament. temp. ac fac. IV 7: XI 645,1-2 K.; De comp. medicament. sec. loc. I 8: XII 483,6 K.; In Hipp. de victu acut. comment. IV 21: XV 770,9 K.= CMG V 9,1; 290,7 Helmreich; In Hipp. Epidem. III comment. III 76: XVIIa,766,3; In Hipp. Epidem. VI comment. I,29: XVIIa, 890, 14 K. = CMG X 2,2; 57,10 Wenkebach.

[^151]:    $150 \varepsilon ้ \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ was changed into $\varepsilon$ と́б $\tau \iota$ in the model of Nic. (est), because of haplography in ECTAIA ҮCKPACIA.

    151 Or swollen glands.
    
    
    

    153 In De Lacy see as well the related passages in Galen. For transpiration, cf. A. Debru, Le corps ..., specially pp. 178-201.

[^152]:    156 The adjective appears as well in Theophrastus, De Lapidibus 30,2-4 Eichholz:
    

    157 Nowadays, the so-called crystalline is the lens of the eye, while the hyaloid membrane envelopes the vitreous or hyaloid humour, a viscous fluid that fills the space between the lens and the retina.

[^153]:    160 Cf ．the description of the intestine in De usu part．IV 18：III 333，3－12 K．$=244,20-$ 245，8 Helmreich．

    161 See tr．and note in Johnston 189.
    162 52，28－30 Otte＝VII 550，4 K：
    163 In Anonymus Parisinus XV 1－2 Garofalo，colic affections are described（and therapy in XV 3－16 Garofalo：pp．102，1－106，13）．
    
    
    

[^154]:    166 In De sympt. caus. II 5: VII 194, 10-11 K. it has no verb, but in 194, 16 it does.
    167 Cf. Denniston, p. 193. For the series oúठ $̇ v ~ \theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~ o u ́ \delta \grave{\varepsilon}, ~ c f . ~ D e ~ s y m p t . ~ c a u s . ~ I I ~ 5: ~$
    

[^155]:    168 Cf. $\pi \lambda$ عová ̧̨́ıv èv $\alpha$ ùtoĩs in De sanita. tuend. V 5: VI ,337, 7 K.= CMG V 4,2; 145,26 Koch ( $\varepsilon$ v in Koch, not in Kühn).

    169 For oúd $\dot{\varepsilon} v \theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau$ òv see above, at the beginning of the Section.
    170 The words $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho \omega \nu$ ó $\mu$ oí $\omega \varsigma$ appear in this order in three other Galenic passages.

[^156]:    171 In the glossary to Hippocrates by Erotianus, $\eta \pi \tau \alpha \lambda \omega \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \pi v \varrho \varepsilon \tau o$ is explained: oí $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ ф \varrho i ́ к \eta \varsigma ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \varrho ́ i ́ \gamma o u s ~ \gamma ı v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o t . ~ " e ̂ p i a l i c ~ f e v e r s: ~ t h o s e ~ o c c u r r i n g ~ w i t h ~ s h i v e r s ~ a n d ~ r h i ̂ g o s " ~$ (Vocum Hipp. coll. 74, 11-12 Nachmanson).

    172 See Cf. Cassius Dio, Historiae Romanae I, versio prima 307,20-22 Boissevain: ő 1
    

[^157]:    173 In Hipp. prorrhet. I comment. I (7): XVI 530, 4-9 K.=CMG V 9,2; 23,4-5 Diels.
    174 In Hipp. Epid. I comment. II (75): XVIIa 178, 12-181, 14 (to Hipp. Epid. I 9 L. II 650, 12-654,2 L. = Epid. I 18 Kühlewein; I 194,13-195,7 Kühl.) = CMG V 10,1; 88,21-89,9 Wenkebach.

    175 Cf. Coll. med. CMG VI 2,1; XLV 30, 22,1-27,1 Raeder.
    176 For the name of the patient, see Deichgräber, Die Epidemien und das Corpus Hippocraticum. Voruntersuchungen zu einer Geschichte der koischen Ärzteschule. Berlin 1933, repr. Berlin 1971, p. 16.

    177 Cf. as well Pseudo-Galen, Def. med. 90: XIX 399, 13-16 K.; Alexander Tr., De febribus I 437,25-439,1 Puschmann; Leo med., Conspectus 1,14, 7-8 Ermerins; Aetius V 90,1-20 Olivieri.

[^158]:    178 V 608, 2-3 L.
    179 VII 30,6-8 L. = Hippocrate X 2: 151,2-4 Jouanna) and II 51 (VII 78,22-23 L. = Hippocrate X 2: 188,17-18 Jouanna.

    180 IX 280, 9-16 L. $=11 \mathrm{~d}$ : 5,1-7 Preiser.
    181 IX 390, 20 - 392,2 L. $=$ Smith 100,7-10.

[^159]:    184 See A. M. Ieracci Bio, pp. 787-799 of: Leone medico, in: Medici Bizantini, A. Garzya et alii, edd., pp. 785-859.
    
    
    

    186 Denniston 335.
    187 Ioannis Philoponi in Aristot. analyt. poster. comment., Wallies.
    188 Aristophanis historiae animalium epitome, Epit. 2,405,1-2 Lambros (Commentaria in Aristotelem Graeca, suppl. 1.1).
    
     (Foerster).

    191 In Aristot. analyt. post. lib. sec. comment., 113.29-30 and 180.33 Hayduck: it happens twice, preceded by $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon$.

[^160]:    192 A=Ambrosianus gr. 659. M=Marcianus App. cl. V 5. E=Mutinensis gr. 213. Cf. Otte, Galen. De plenitudine, Wiesbaden 2001.

[^161]:    196 Cf. Galen De nat. fac. I 6: II 13, 13-14 K. = 110, 16 Helmreich (غ̇к סvoĩv бט́ $\gamma \kappa \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota$ $\chi \iota \tau \omega \dot{\nu} \omega v$ ), and III 11: II 181, 2-3 K. = 232, 7 Helmreich ( ̇̇к סvoĩv $\chi \iota \tau \omega \cup \omega \nu \sigma v \nu \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \sigma \iota v$ ).

    197 But $c f$. the passages in the preceding note.
    198 As the tritaios or tertian happens every third day, the êmitritaios or semi-tertian occurs every day-and-a-half. See De differ. febr. II 9: VII 367, 5-371, 9 K.

[^162]:    200 For the unusual $\eta$ そ̌ot ．．．ぞ（l．13），common in Plato and Aristotle，cf．Denniston， 553.
    201 The form $\pi \alpha \chi$ źos for the Genitive is the usual（some 300 times in the TLG）against 13 times of $\pi \alpha \chi \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$ ．
    
    
     $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi v \varrho \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$.

[^163]:    203 For the concept of diathesis in Galen, cf. R. M. Moreno Rodríguez, El concepto de "diáthesis parà phýsin" (estado preternatural) en la patología de Galeno, in: Dynamis 3, 1983, pp. 7-27

