



## Genes involved in muscle lipid composition in 15 European *Bos taurus* breeds

S. Dunner\*, N. Sevane\*, D. Garcia\*, H. Levéziel<sup>†‡</sup>, J. L. Williams<sup>§</sup>, B. Mangin<sup>¶</sup>, A. Valentini\*\* and the GeMQual Consortium<sup>1</sup>

\*Departamento de Producción Animal, Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid, Spain. <sup>†</sup>INRA, UMR 1061, 87000 Limoges, France. <sup>‡</sup>Université de Limoges, UMR 1061, 87000 Limoges, France. <sup>§</sup>Parco Tecnologico Padano, Via Einstein, Polo Universitario, 26900 Lodi, Italy. <sup>¶</sup>INRA Chemin de Borde-Rouge-Auzeville, BP 52627, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France.

\*\*Department for Innovation in Biological, Agro-Food and Forest Systems, Università della Tuscia, via De Lellis, 01100 Viterbo, Italy.

### Summary

Consumers demand healthy and palatable meat, both factors being affected by fat composition. However, red meat has relatively high concentration of saturated fatty acids and low concentration of the beneficial polyunsaturated fatty acids. To select animals prone to produce particular fat types, it is necessary to identify the genes influencing muscle lipid composition. This paper describes an association study in which a large panel of candidate genes involved in adipogenesis, lipid metabolism and energy homeostasis was tested for effects on fat composition in 15 European cattle breeds. Sixteen genes were found to have significant effects on different lipid traits, and among these, *CFL1* and *MYOZ1* were found to have large effects on the ratio of 18:2/18:3, *CR11* on the amount of neutral adrenic acid (22:4 n-6), *MMP1* on docosahexaenoic acid (22:6 n-3) and conjugated linoleic acid, *PLTP* on the ratio of n-6:n-3 and *IGF2R* on flavour. Several genes – *ALDH2*, *CHRNE*, *CRHR2*, *DGAT1*, *IGFBP3*, *NEB*, *SOCS2*, *SUSP1*, *TCF12* and *FOXO1* – also were found to be associated with both lipid and organoleptic traits although with smaller effect. The results presented here help in understanding the genetic and biochemical background underlying variations in fatty acid composition and flavour in beef.

**Keywords** beef cattle, candidate genes, fatty acid profile, genotype-assisted selection.

### Introduction

The level of intramuscular fat content and fatty acid (FA) composition is among the main factors determining meat palatability and consumers satisfaction (Lee *et al.* 2007). Muscle lipid characteristics which determine meat flavour, lipid oxidation and contribute to beef colour, can be responsible for abnormal odours and influence the juiciness and tenderness of meat (Bernard *et al.* 2007). Throughout the last decades, consumer concerns for dietary health has increased the desire for meat with a higher ratio of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) to saturated fatty acids (SFA) (P:S ratio) and in particular with a high n-6:n-3 PUFA content (Simopoulos 1999). Indeed, SFA are impli-

cated in the formation of blood clots leading to heart attacks (Enser *et al.* 2000), while omega-3 fatty acids have been reported to have beneficial effects in the prevention and treatment for a large number of diseases (Simopoulos 1999; De Caterina *et al.* 2007). However, meat from ruminants tends to have high levels of SFA in contrast to non-ruminants. Also in cattle, rumen fat biohydrogenation makes it difficult to alter FA profiles of muscle through changes in the diet (Hausman *et al.* 2009). FA composition has been shown to differ between breeds of cattle (Zembayashi *et al.* 1995), which suggests that, at least to some extent, fat metabolism is under genetic control and that FA profiles could be changed by genetic selection.

The complex and multigenic nature of traits related to meat quality, and the high cost of measuring these traits, most of which can only be evaluated post-mortem, make application of traditional selection methods, as well as the state-of-the-art genomic selection, difficult (Luan *et al.* 2009). An alternative approach is to identify genes with an effect on fat composition and include these in selection objectives.

The aim of this research was to test 389 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) located in 206 candi-

Address for correspondence

S. Dunner, Departamento de Producción Animal, Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid, Spain.  
E-mail: dunner@vet.ucm.es

Accepted for publication 21 February 2013

date genes involved in adipogenesis, lipid metabolism and energy homeostasis (Williams *et al.* 2009) for effects on muscle lipid composition in 15 European cattle breeds.

## Material and methods

### Animals

We used a sample of 436 largely unrelated purebred bulls belonging to 15 breeds: 31 Jersey, 27 South Devon, 30 Aberdeen Angus, 29 Highland, 29 Holstein, 29 Danish Red, 20 Simmental, 30 Asturiana de los Valles, 31 Asturiana de la Montaña, 30 Avileña-Negra Ibérica, 31 Pirenaica, 30 Piedmontese, 28 Marchigiana, 31 Limousin and 30 Charolais. The bulls were fed from weaning to adult weight *ad libitum* on the same diet (barley 82%, soybean 8% and straw 7.5% with appropriate minerals and vitamins and energy of 12.5 kJ/kg dry matter; for details see Albertí *et al.* 2008). A uniform beef management system representative of those used in European Union countries was used for all breeds to homogenise as far as possible the influence of management and rearing systems on meat quality. The animals were slaughtered at 15 months of age, and carcasses were treated under similar conditions (Albertí *et al.* 2008).

### SNPs in candidate genes and phenotypes

Selection of candidate genes and identification of the SNPs are described by Williams *et al.* (2009). The association analysis was performed using 389 SNPs with minor allele frequencies above 10% in the breeds investigated (Williams *et al.* 2009). These SNPs were genotyped across the 436 bulls.

The phenotypes measured are listed in Table S1. Fat was extracted as described by Christensen *et al.* (2011). Sensory panel tests assessed meat using a nine-point scale as described by Christensen *et al.* (2011). Briefly, the criteria assessed were flavour and abnormal flavour intensity, tenderness and juiciness.

Total lipid content was taken as the sum of the neutral lipid (FA<sub>N</sub>) and phospholipid (FA<sub>P</sub>) fractions. Some additional phenotypes were set, such as PUFA, n6–n3 ratios, P/S ratio and antithrombotic potential, which is the ratio between the sum of the antithrombogenic fatty acids, eicosatrienoic acid (C20:3n-6) and C20:5n-3 and the thrombogenic fatty acid, C20:4n-6 [(C20:3 + C20:5)/C20:4] (Enser *et al.* 1996). Other individual traits were grouped as phenotypic groups according to their possible link: all FA (a grouping of all the FA of the lipid profile), the flavour group (all FA, all FA ratios and flavour) and the test panel (all sensory analysis: tenderness, juiciness, beef flavour intensity, abnormal flavour intensity, texture and overall appraisal).

### Statistical analysis

A linear model was used to account for the population substructure of the data

$$Y_{i,j} = m_i + a_i G_{i,j} + \varepsilon_{i,j}^{(0)}$$

where  $Y_{i,j}$  is the phenotype of individual  $j$  in breed  $i$ ,  $m_i$  is the phenotypic mean for breed  $i$ ,  $a_i$  is the additive effect of marker in breed  $i$ , and  $G_{i,j}$  takes different values according to the genotype of each individual (1 for genotype AA, 0 for Aa and -1 for aa).  $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{(0)}$  are independent and identically distributed normal residuals, also independent of  $G_{i,j}$ . The effects of country, slaughterhouse and day of slaughter are confounded with the breed effect.

Log transformations [ $Y' = \ln(1+Y)$ ] were applied to most of the FA. Multiple testing was accounted for using either a combination of the effective number of markers (Nyholt 2004) and the false discovery rate (see Benjamini & Yekutieli 2005) on the one hand, and on the other, resampling through permutations which were made on the genotypes of the individuals within breeds while keeping their phenotypes fixed, thus generating samples under the null hypothesis. The traditional Bonferroni  $\alpha$  correction led to very small individual  $\alpha$  values and consequently to a loss of power. For this reason, a multivariate linear analysis was also performed as an alternative to reduce the dimension of the problem, looking for associations between a marker and a phenotypic group, following the method of CPC (Composed Principle Components) (Mangin *et al.* 1998).

Haplotype association analysis was performed on those genes with two or more markers for which a strong association was found with particular traits. Because the pedigree information was insufficient to determine phases, the haplotypes and their frequencies were estimated with FAMHAP v1.6 software (Becker & Knapp 2004) (Table S2).

### Gene pathway annotations

SNPPATH was used to analyse the cattle SNPs by enriched KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathway terms (Wang *et al.* 2012).

## Results

Associations are shown in Tables 1 and 2, and the allele indicated in the table is the one positively correlated with the trait. Allele effects were estimated for the associations detected with the linear univariate model. Some associations only appear when phenotypes are grouped (e.g. test panel which includes all sensory analysis: tenderness, juiciness, beef flavour intensity, abnormal flavour intensity, texture and overall appraisal), highlighting effects which were not seen when used individually. This is the case for most of them and possibly results from their small effect corrected for all SNPs analysed.

**Table 1** Significant associations between SNPs and fatty acids, juiciness and flavour.

Locus Symbol	dbSNPs <sup>1</sup>	SNP location	Significant Trait Associations <sup>2</sup>	Mean <sup>3</sup>	SD <sup>4</sup>	P-value	Allele <sup>5</sup>	Effect <sup>6</sup>	Effect/SD <sup>7</sup>
<i>ALDH2</i>	ss77831990	Intron 8	Flavour group <sup>8</sup> Test panel <sup>9</sup>			0.04 0.01			
<i>CFL1</i>	ss77831721	Exon 2-NS 47aa Ile→Thr	18:2/18:3	18.774	9.964	0.00005	T	-1.514	-0.152
<i>CHRNE</i>	ss77831830	Exon 4-S	All fatty acids			0.04			
<i>CRHR2</i>	ss77832125	Intron 4	All fatty acids			0.04			
<i>CR1</i>	ss77832128	Exon 1-NS 47aa Ala→Ser	N 22:4 n-6 All fatty acids Flavour group	0.677	0.639	0.002 0.004 0.01	T	0.091	0.142
<i>DGAT1</i>	ss77831744	3'UTR	All fatty acids Flavour group			0.03 0.01			
	ss77831745	Exon 8-NS <i>Dinucleotide substitution</i>	12:0 16:1 All fatty acids Flavour group	1.978 85.998	1.815 68.882	0.009 0.006 0.0002 0.0003	G G	0.072 0.131	0.040 0.002
	ss77832137	232aa Lys→Ala	All fatty acids Flavour group			0.005 0.001			
<i>IGF2R</i>	ss77831728	Intron 25	Test panel			0.01			
	ss77831887	Intron 55	Test panel			0.01			
	ss77831883	Intron 55	Flavour Test panel	3.608	0.493	0.0006 0.004	A	0.142	0.288
	ss77831884	Intron 55	Test panel Flavour	3.608	0.493	0.0007 0.0003	C	-0.148	-0.300
	ss77831885	Intron 55	Test panel Flavour	3.608	0.493	0.001 0.0002	G	0.160	0.325
<i>IGFBP3</i>	ss77832346	Intron 2	Test panel			0.0006			
<i>MMP1</i>	ss77831914	Intron 1	N 12:0 14:0 N 14:0 9c11Tcla N 9c11Tcla N 20:1 N t18:1 N 18:2 n-6 N 18:3 n-3 All fatty acids Flavour group	1.818 68.858 64.505 7.561 6.560 3.047 63.534 52.412 7.879	1.723 56.393 54.323 5.779 5.430 2.786 65.720 43.051 8.336	0.0008 0.001 0.0009 0.0002 0.0002 0.002 0.0002 0.0004 0.0008 0.01 0.02	C C C C C C C C C	0.051 0.226 0.098 0.210 0.096 0.061 0.109 0.082 0.072	0.030 0.004 0.002 0.036 0.018 0.022 0.002 0.002 0.009
	ss77831916	Intron 1	9c11tCLA 12:0 14:0	7.561 1.978 68.858	5.779 1.815 56.393	0.00007 0.001 0.0005	G G G	-0.250 -0.133 -0.269	-0.043 -0.073 -0.005
	ss77831919	Intron 1	All fatty acids			0.03			
	ss77831921	Intron 1	All fatty acids			0.05			
	ss77831923	Intron 3	All fatty acids			0.04			
	ss77831924	3'UTR	16ald 18ald 22:6 n-3 All fatty acids Flavour group	23.277 16.005 0.892	6.880 5.060 0.567	0.005 0.001 0.0008 0.003 0.01	C C C	-0.155 -0.171 0.126	-0.023 -0.034 0.222
<i>MYOZ1</i>	ss77831945	Intron 2	18:2/18:3	18.774	9.964	0.0002	C	-1.470	-0.148
<i>NEB</i>	ss77832090	Intron 149	All fatty acids			0.01			
			12:0 16:0 16:1 20:1 9c11tCLA 9c18:1 18:2/18:3	1.978 611.473 85.998 3.707 7.561 797.417 18.774	1.815 451.855 68.882 2.957 5.779 611.702 9.964	0.002 0.007 0.003 0.007 0.0003 0.003 0.001	C C C C C C C	0.072 0.104 0.126 0.073 0.139 0.126 -0.050	0.040 0.00023 0.002 0.025 0.024 0.00021 -0.005
<i>PLTP</i>	ss77832104	3'UTR	n-6:n-3	9.587	3.974	0.0001	A	-0.756	-0.190

**Table 1** (continued)

Locus Symbol	dbSNPs <sup>1</sup>	SNP location	Significant Trait Associations <sup>2</sup>	Mean <sup>3</sup>	SD <sup>4</sup>	P-value	Allele <sup>5</sup>	Effect <sup>6</sup>	Effect/SD <sup>7</sup>
SOCS2	ss77832234	Exon 2-5	20:3n-6 P 20:3 n-6	8.911 8.277	2.452 1.996	0.0004 0.00002	A A	-0.071 -0.070	-0.029 -0.035
SUSP1	ss77831761	Exon 25-5	All fatty acids			0.01			
TCF12	ss77831958	Intron 11	All fatty acids			0.04			

<sup>1</sup>dbSNPs accession number.<sup>2</sup>See Table S1.<sup>3</sup>Trait mean.<sup>4</sup>Trait standard deviations.<sup>5</sup>Allele positively correlated with the trait.<sup>6</sup>Allele effect.<sup>7</sup>Effect measured in standard deviations.<sup>8</sup>Flavour group includes all fatty acids, all fatty acid ratios and flavour.<sup>9</sup>Test panel includes all sensory analysis: tenderness, juiciness, beef flavour intensity, abnormal flavour intensity, texture and overall appraisal.**Table 2** Significant associations between haplotypes and fatty acids and texture.

Locus symbol	dbSNPs (Allele1/Allele2) <sup>1</sup>	Haplotype ID and alleles	Significant Trait Associations <sup>2</sup>	P-value	Haplotype frequency
<i>DGAT1</i>	<b>ss77831744 T/C; ss77831745 A/G; ss77832137 A/C</b>	1-TAA 2-CAA 3-TGC	18ald 18ald 18:3 n-3	0.006 0.001 0.006	0.088 0.140 0.765
<i>FOXO1</i>	ss77831726 A/G; ss77831860 A/C; ss77831861 C/T; ss77831862 C/T; ss77831859 C/T	3-GCCCT	t18:1 18:2 n-6	0.0003 0.02	0.033
<i>IGF2R</i>	<b>ss77831728 G/A; ss77831887 G/A; ss77831883 G/A;</b> <b>ss77831884 T/C; ss77831885 A/G; ss77831877 G/A;</b> ss77831878 T/C; ss77831880 C/T; ss77831881 C/T; ss77831882 G/A; ss77831886 T/C	2-GAATAGTCCAC	Texture	0.008	0.495

<sup>1</sup>In bold, SNPs also found to be associated with some trait individually.<sup>2</sup>See Table S1.

The association analysis performed on 15 European breeds revealed a total of 73 associations influencing muscle lipid composition and, hence, physical and sensory meat attributes among 389 tested SNP in 206 candidate genes. These associations involved 37 SNPs, either individually considered (Table 1) or included in one haplotype (Table 2), in 16 different genes. Among these, *CFL1* and *MYOZ1* were found to have large effects on the ratio of 18:2/18:3, *MMP1* on docosahexaenoic acid (22:6 n-3) and conjugated linoleic acid (CLA), *CR11* on the amount of neutral adrenergic acid (22:4 n-6), *PLTP* on the ratio of n-6:n-3 and *IGF2R* on flavour. *ALDH2*, *CHRNE*, *CRHR2*, *DGAT1*, *IGFBP3*, *NEB*, *SOCS2*, *SUSP1*, *TCF12* and *FOXO1* were newly found to be associated with both lipid and organoleptic traits. Mean and standard deviations for all the traits associated with different genes in the 15 breeds are given in Table S3-A. Table S4 shows the allele frequencies per breed of the 26 polymorphisms found to be individually associated with different traits, and Table S2 the haplotype frequencies of those genes with two or more markers for which a strong association was found. Table S5 shows the gene pathway

annotations currently available for some of the associated genes. Only *MMP1* and *PLTP* shared a common biological pathway, whereas other genes are included in the PPAR signalling, insulin signalling, Type II diabetes mellitus, fatty acid metabolism or glycerolipid pathways. Differences in lipid profiles and allele frequencies among breeds are summarised in Table S3-B.

## Discussion

A total of 16 genes were found to have significant effects on several traits: *ALDH2*, *CFL1*, *CHRNE*, *CRHR2*, *CR11*, *DGAT1*, *IGF2R*, *IGFBP3*, *MMP1*, *NEB*, *MYOZ1*, *PLTP*, *SOCS2*, *SUSP1*, *TCF12* (Table 1) and *FOXO1* (Table 2). Although some of these effects are considerable (*CFL1*, *CR11*, *IGF2R*, *MMP1*, *MYOZ1*, *PLTP*), most of the 73 significant associations reported here had an overall low effect. This may be because either, the SNPs examined were not causative but in linkage disequilibrium or, more likely, that the traits are polygenic and the genes detected account for only a small amount of the total effect.

Several metabolic pathways are implicated (Table S5): PPAR signalling pathway, fatty acid metabolism, glycerolipid metabolism, pyruvate metabolism, limonene and pinene degradation, fat digestion and absorption, insulin signalling and diabetes mellitus II pathways. This is in accordance with the literature, which describes some of the genes as having biological functions related to lipid metabolism (Table 3 summarises published associations for these genes).

### Genes affecting muscle fatty acid profile

If we group the associations by traits, some genes, such as *CFL1*, *MYOZ* and *NEB*, affect the 18:2/18:3 ratio, whereas *PLTP* is associated with n6/n3 ratio. Also, *CR11*, *DGAT1*, *FOXO1*, *MMP1* and *SOCS2* influence the amount of specific n-6 and n-3 FA in muscle (18:3 n-3, 18:2 n-6, 22:4 n-6, 22:6 n-3, 20:3 n-6) (Tables 1 and 2). Specifically, an association between the SNP ss77831721 of *CFL1* and the ratio of linoleic/linolenic acids (18:2 n-6/18:3 n-3) was found. The dietary n-6 to n-3 ratio, and in particular n-3 FA, has been proven to be beneficial for human health (MacLean *et al.* 2006; Gissi-Hf Investigators *et al.* 2008). This SNP is non-synonymous, causing a *CFL1*: p.Ile47Thr substitution. The effect of the favourable allele *T* (Table 1) is considerable, decreasing the ratio of 18:2/18:3 by 8%. Also, an appreciable effect of the intronic SNP in *MYOZ1* is found on the FA ratio of 18:2/18:3, decreasing the trait by 8%, possibly explained by the different FA profile which depends on muscle fibre types. Janovská *et al.* (2010) already determined that, compared with glycolytic muscles, oxidative fibres preferentially accumulated C18 over C16 FA and n-6 over n-3 PUFA.

Variations in the *NEB* gene (specifically SNP ss77832090) were strongly associated with the amount of different FA in muscle (12:0, 16:0, 16:1, 20:1, 9c11tCLA, 9c18:1, 18:2/18:3) and with the overall amount of FA, which may be explained by the fact that an increase in the cytoskeletal matrix can cause a decrease in adipose tissue (Anderson & Kunkel 1992). In fact, this gene has been found to be highly expressed in individuals that produce meat with low marbling (Lee *et al.* 2008). *PLTP* was found to affect the amount of FA in muscle, and the *A* allele of SNP ss77832104 (located in the 3'-UTR) decreases the ratio n-6:n-3 by 8%. *CR11*, which reduces PPARG transactivation and pRB levels, leading to increased expression of *UCP1* and *PGC-1A*, all of these genes involved in lipid metabolism, seems to influence the total amount of lipids in muscle and the 'flavour group' (which includes, among other traits, total FA). The *T* allele of SNP ss77832128 increases the amount of neutral adrenic acid (22:4 n-6) by 13.4%. The associated polymorphism, located in exon 1, results in a SNP ss77832128: p.Ala47Ser substitution and therefore may play a functional role in expression or function of the gene product. Three SNPs of *DGAT1* were shown to be

associated with 18:3 n-3, n-octadecanal (18ald) fatty aldehyde (Table 2), palmitoleic acid (16:1), lauric acid (12:0), muscle FA content and the phenotypic flavour group (Table 1), which may be a consequence of the variation caused by this gene on fatty aldehyde profiles, described as a key component of beef flavour (Resconi *et al.* 2010). One of the SNPs is located in the 3'-UTR, whereas the other two polymorphisms are the ApA to GpC dinucleotide substitution in exon 8 described by Grisart *et al.* (2002), causing a *DGAT1*: p.Lys232Ala substitution.

The haplotype analysis performed in this study associated haplotype 3 (GCCCT) of *FOXO1*, a regulator of master adipogenic transcription factors such as PPAR $\alpha$  and C/EBP $\alpha$  (Kousteni 2012), with the amount of 18:2 n-6 and trans 18:1 FA in muscle (Table 2), although no associations were detected for individual SNPs. This may suggest that the real effect is another gene in linkage with *FOXO1*. Also, nine SNPs in *MMP1* were examined, six of which were associated with the amount of FA in muscle, either taken individually (9c11tCLA, 12:0, 14:0, 16ald, 18ald and 22:6n-3) or grouped (all fatty acids group and flavour group traits), although with small effects except for SNPs ss77831914 and ss77831916 that influence the amount of CLA, and SNP ss77831924 in 3'UTR that affects docosahexaenoic acid (22:6 n-3), for which the *C* allele is associated with an increase in the amount of this beneficial n-3 in muscle by 14%. This allele is also associated with a slight decrease in the amount of 16ald and 18ald fatty aldehydes. Finally, an association was detected between *SOCS2* and the amount of DGLA 20:3 n-6 in muscle. *SOCS2*<sup>-/-</sup> knockout mice show a twofold increase in PGC-1 $\alpha$  (Rico-Bautista *et al.* 2005), which is a key regulator of energy homeostasis in skeletal muscle, including lipid metabolism (Puigserver & Spiegelman 2003).

### Genes affecting organoleptic characteristics

The main effect of another group of genes (*ALDH2*, *IGFR2* and *IGFBP3*) is on different sensory appraisals, such as flavour, texture or test panel, which includes all sensory analysis. *ALDH2* influences the flavour group and test panel, probably through its effect on the key components of beef flavour aldehydes and carboxylates (Resconi *et al.* 2010). *IGF2R* was found to have a strong effect on different lipid traits, with five of the 11 SNPs (Table 1) and one of the 10 haplotypes (Table 2) associated with the test panel and flavour groups and texture measurements. *IGFBP3* affects the test panel group, most likely by affecting the muscle fat content (Sun *et al.* 2003; Wang *et al.* 2009).

### Genes affecting total fatty acid content in muscle

Finally, *CRHR2*, *CHRNE*, *SUSP1* and *TCF12* seem to exclusively influence the amount of FA in muscle. Concerning *CRHR2*, although Jiang *et al.* (2006) did not find



**Table 3** Gene symbol and name, main biological functions, previously described trait associations and references of 16 candidate genes associated with different lipid traits.

Gene symbol	Gene name	Biological process	Previous trait association	References
ALDH2	Aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 family (mitochondrial)	Catalyses the detoxification of aldehydes to carboxylates, key components of beef flavour	Unknown	Conklin <i>et al.</i> (2007) and Resconi <i>et al.</i> (2010)
CFL1	Cofilin 1 (non-muscle)	Organisation of actin filament assembly/disassembly. Lipid metabolism, gene regulation, apoptosis	Unknown	Bamburg & Bernstein (2010)
CHRNA	Cholinergic receptor nicotinic, epsilon (muscle)	Activation of this ligand-gated ion channel results in membrane depolarisation	Congenital myasthenic syndrome (CMS): carriers have body weight advantage at different stages	Kraner <i>et al.</i> (2002) and Thompson <i>et al.</i> (2007)
CRHR2	Corticotropin releasing hormone receptor 2	Appetite and gastrointestinal motor regulation, regulation of energy homeostasis and mediation of the anorexic effect of CRH at the adipose level	Unknown	Bale <i>et al.</i> (2003) and Doyon <i>et al.</i> (2004)
CR1 (EID1)	EP300 interacting inhibitor of differentiation 1	Reduces fat accumulation in adipose cells and induces expression of brown fat genes in white pre-adipocytes	Unknown	Lizcano & Vargas (2010)
DGAT1	Diacylglycerol acyltransferase 1	Catalyses the final stage of triacylglycerol synthesis	Milk fat content, marbling	Grisart <i>et al.</i> (2002) and Thaller <i>et al.</i> (2003)
FOXO1 (FKHR)	Forkhead box O1	Influences a variety of cellular functions, including lipid metabolism through the regulation of master adipogenic transcription factors	Unknown	Kousteni (2012)
IGF2R	Insulin-like growth factor 2 receptor	IGF2 clearance receptor	Reduced lipid metabolism and fat deposition in <i>GDF8</i> hypertrophic muscles	Nezer <i>et al.</i> (1999), Sherman <i>et al.</i> (2008), Pérez <i>et al.</i> (2010)
IGFBP3	Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3	IGF2 can signal via this receptor and affect cellular functions such as differentiation, migration and apoptosis in a variety of cell types	Slaughter and carcass traits, including back-fat thickness and beef fat content (cattle, pigs)	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2009) and Sun <i>et al.</i> (2003)
MMP1	Matrix metalloproteinase 1	Transporter and regulator of IGFs	Unknown	Meissburger <i>et al.</i> (2011)
MYOZ1	Myozenin 1	Plays a key role in adipogenesis, stimulating tissue remodelling during adipose tissue expansion in obesity	Unknown	Frey <i>et al.</i> (2008)
NEB	Nebulin	Regulation of muscle fibre type composition	Marbling	Stedman <i>et al.</i> (1988) and Lee <i>et al.</i> (2008)
PLTP	Phospholipid transfer protein	Encodes a giant protein component of the cytoskeletal matrix	Unknown	Albers <i>et al.</i> (1995)
SOC2	Suppressor of cytokine signalling 2	Transports a large number of different amphipathic molecules, playing an important role in lipid and lipoprotein metabolism	Growth	Starr <i>et al.</i> (1997) and Horvat & Medrano (2001)
SUSP1 (SENP6)	SUMO1/Sentrin-specific peptidase 6	Involved in the negative regulation of cytokine signal transduction and body growth	Unknown	Hershko & Ciechanover (1998)
TCF12 (HEB)	Transcription factor 12 loop-helix transcription factors 4	Belongs to the small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) protein family, which contributes to the regulation of many cellular processes	Unknown	Massan & Murre (2000) and Parker <i>et al.</i> (2006)
		Orchestrates the regulation of myogenic factor activity through myogenic differentiation	Unknown	

any association between several polymorphisms in this gene and intramyocellular lipid accumulation or subcutaneous fat depth in cattle, the data presented here show a significant link between this gene and the total amount of FA in muscle. Unfortunately, our experimental design did not provide records of individual feed intake, and therefore, it was not possible to assess whether the effects of variations in *CRHR2* on fat accumulation were mediated through differences in appetite and feed intake. On the other hand, the association of *CHRNE*, *SUSP1* and *TCF12* with lipid traits have no clear biochemical link (see Table 3), and further research is needed to validate these associations.

## Conclusions

We can conclude that, although most of the 73 significant associations reported here had an overall low effect, some of the genes show considerable and novel effects such as *CFL1* and *MYOZ1* on the 18:2/18:3 ratio, as well as *CRI1* on the amount of 22:4 n-6, *MMP1* on 22:6 n-3 and *CLA*, *PLTP* on n-6:n-3 ratio and *IGF2R* on flavour. In addition, the effects of *DGAT1*, *IGF2R* and *IGFBP3* on muscle FA content, and consequently on meat palatability, confirm the associations previously described for these genes, and *ALDH2*, *CHRNE*, *CRHR2*, *NEB*, *SOCS2*, *SUSP1*, *TCF12* and *FOXO1* were newly found to be associated with both lipid and organoleptic traits. The results presented here provide valuable information to help dissect the complex gene networks underlying muscle FA composition in cattle and understand the factors influencing meat sensory aspects. The development and implementation of low-density SNP panels with predictive value for economically important traits, such as those reported here, may be used to improve production efficiency and meat quality in the beef industry as a molecular signature of TGGAGTGCCAA for *CFL1* (ss77831721), *CRI1* (ss77832128), *DGAT1* (ss77831745), *IGF2R* (ss77831887, ss77831883, ss77831884, ss77831885), *MMP1* (ss77831924), *MYOZ1* (ss77831945), *PLTP* (ss77832104) and *SOCS2B* (ss77832234).

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by an EC grant QLK5 – CT2000-0147.1.

GeMQual Consortium Albertí P. Centro de Investigación y Tecnología Agroalimentaria, Gobierno de Aragón, 50080, Zaragoza, Spain; Amarger V., Delourme D., Levéziel H. Université de Limoges, UMR 1061, 87000 Limoges, France; INRA, UMR 1061, 87000 Limoges, France; and Université de Limoges, UMR 1061, 87000 Limoges; Boitard S., Mangin B. INRA Chemin de Borde-Rouge-Auzeville, BP 52627, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France; Cañón J., Checa ML., Dunner S., García D., Miranda ME., Pérez R. Dpto de Producción Animal, Facultad de Veterinaria, 28040 Madrid, Spain; Christensen M., Ertbjerg P., Department of Food

Science, University of Copenhagen, 1958 Frederiksberg C., Denmark; Crisá A., Marchitelli C., Valentini A. Dipartimento di Produzioni Animali, Università della Tuscia, via De Lellis, 01100 Viterbo, Italy; Failla S., Gigli S., CRA, Istituto Sperimentale per la Zootecnia, 00016 Monterotondo, Italy; Hocquette JF. INRA, UR1213, Unité de Recherches sur les Herbivores, Centre de Clermont-Ferrand Theix 63122, France; Nute G. Division of Farm Animal Science, University of Bristol, BS40 5DU, United Kingdom; Olleta JL., Panea B., Sañudo C., Dpt de Producción Animal y Ciencia de los Alimentos, Universidad de Zaragoza, 50013 Zaragoza, Spain; Razzaq N., Roslin Institute, Roslin, Midlothian, Scotland EH25 9PS, UK; Renand G. INRA, UR337, Station de Génétique Quantitative et Appliquée, 78352 Jouy-en-Josas Cedex, France; Williams J.L. Parco Tecnologico Padano, Via Einstein, Polo Universitario, 26900 Lodi, Italy.

## References

- Albers J.J., Wolfbauer G., Cheung M.C., Day J.R., Ching A.F.T., Lock S. & Tu A.Y. (1995) Functional, expression of human and mouse plasma phospholipid transfer protein: effect of recombinant and plasma PLTP on HDL subspecies. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* **1258**, 27–34.
- Albertí P., Panea B., Sañudo C. *et al.* (2008) Live weight, body size and carcass characteristics of young bulls of fifteen European breeds. *Livestock Science* **114**, 19–30.
- Anderson M.S. & Kunkel L.M. (1992) The molecular and biochemical basis of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* **17**, 289–92.
- Bale T.L., Anderson K.R., Roberts A.J., Lee K.F., Nagy T.R. & Vale W.W. (2003) Corticotropin-releasing factor receptor-2-deficient mice display abnormal homeostatic responses to challenges of increased dietary fat and cold. *Endocrinology* **144**, 2580–7.
- Bamburg J.R. & Bernstein B.W. (2010) Roles of ADF/cofilin in actin polymerization and beyond. *F1000 Biology Reports*, **19**, 62.
- Becker T. & Knapp M. (2004) Maximum-likelihood estimation of haplotype frequencies in nuclear families. *Genetic Epidemiology* **27**, 21–32.
- Benjamini Y. & Yekutieli D. (2005) Quantitative trait loci analysis using the false discovery rate. *Genetics* **171**, 783–90.
- Bernard C., Cassar-Malek I., Le Cunff M., Dubroeuq H., Renand G. & Hocquette J.F. (2007) New indicators of beef sensory quality revealed by expression of specific genes. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **55**, 5229–37.
- Christensen M., Ertbjerg P., Failla S. *et al.* (2011) Relationship between collagen characteristics, lipid content and raw and cooked texture of meat from young bulls of fifteen European breeds. *Meat Science* **87**, 61–5.
- Conklin D., Prough R. & Bhatanagar A. (2007) Aldehyde metabolism in the cardiovascular system. *Molecular BioSystems* **3**, 136–50.
- De Caterina R., Madonna R., Bertolotto A. & Schmidt E.B. (2007) n-3 fatty acids in the treatment of diabetic patients biological: rationale and clinical data. *Diabetes Care* **30**, 1012–26.
- Doyon C., Moraru A. & Richard D. (2004) The corticotropin-releasing factor system as a potential target for antiobesity drugs. *Drug News & Perspectives* **17**, 505–17.

- Enser M., Hallett K., Hewitt B., Fursey G.A.J. & Wood J.D. (1996) Fatty acid content and composition of English beef, lamb and pork at retail. *Meat Science* **42**, 443–56.
- Enser M., Richardson R.L., Wood J.D., Gill B.P. & Sheard P.R. (2000) Feeding linseed to increase the n-3 PUFA of pork: fatty acid composition of muscle, adipose tissue, liver and sausages. *Meat Science* **55**, 201–12.
- Frey N., Frank D., Lippl S. *et al.* (2008) Calcineurin-2 deficiency increases exercise capacity in mice through calcineurin/NFAT activation. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **118**, 3598–608.
- Gissi-Hf Investigators, Tavazzi L., Maggioni A.P., Marchioli R., Barlera S., Franzosi M.G., Latini R., Lucci D., Nicolosi G.L., Porcu M. & Tognoni G. (2008) Effect of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in patients with chronic heart failure (the GISSI-HF trial): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* **372**, 1223–30.
- Grisart B., Coppeters W., Farnir F. *et al.* (2002) Positional candidate cloning of a QTL in dairy cattle: identification of a missense mutation in the bovine *DGATI* gene with effect on milk yield and composition. *Genome Research* **12**, 222–31.
- Hausman G.J., Dodson M.V., Ajuwon K. *et al.* (2009) The biology and regulation of preadipocytes and adipocytes in meat animals. *Journal of Animal Science* **87**, 1218–46.
- Hershko A. & Ciechanover A. (1998) The ubiquitin system. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* **67**, 425–79.
- Horvat S. & Medrano J.F. (2001) Lack of *Socs2* expression causes the high-growth phenotype in mice. *Genomics* **72**, 209–12.
- Janovská A., Hatzinikolas G., Mano M. & Wittert G.A. (2010) The effect of dietary fat content on phospholipid fatty acid profile is muscle fiber type dependent. *American Journal of Physiology - Endocrinology and Metabolism* **298**, E779–86.
- Jiang Z., Michal J.J., Williams G.A., Daniels T.F. & Kunej T. (2006) Cross species association examination of UCN3 and CRHR2 as potential pharmacological targets for antiobesity drugs. *PLoS ONE* **20**, e80.
- Kousteni S. (2012) *FoxO1*, the transcriptional chief of staff of energy metabolism. *Bone* **50**, 437–43.
- Kraner S., Sieb J.P., Thompson P.N. & Steinlein O.K. (2002) Congenital myasthenia in Brahman calves caused by homozygosity for a *CHRNE* truncating mutation. *Neurogenetics* **4**, 87–91.
- Lee S.H., Park E.W., Cho Y.M. *et al.* (2007) Identification of differentially expressed genes related to intramuscular fat development in the early and late fattening stages of Hanwoo steers. *Journal of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **40**, 757–64.
- Lee S.H., Cho Y.M., Lee S.H. *et al.* (2008) Identification of marbling-related candidate genes in *M. longissimus dorsi* of high- and low marbled Hanwoo (Korean Native Cattle) steers. *BMB Reports* **41**, 846–51.
- Lizcano F. & Vargas D. (2010) *EID1* induces brown-like adipocyte traits in white 3T3-L1 pre-adipocytes. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **398**, 160–5.
- Luan T., Woolliams J.A., Lien S., Kent M., Svendsen M. & Meuwissen T.H. (2009) The accuracy of genomic selection in Norwegian red cattle assessed by cross-validation. *Genetics* **183**, 1119–26.
- MacLean C.H., Newberry S.J., Mojica W.A. *et al.* (2006) Effects of omega-3 fatty acids on cancer risk: a systematic review. *JAMA* **295**, 403–15.
- Mangin B., Thoquet P. & Grimsley N. (1998) Pleiotropic QTL analysis. *Biometrics* **54**, 88–99.
- Massari M.E. & Murre C. (2000) Helix-loop-helix proteins: regulators of transcription in eucaryotic organisms. *Molecular Cell Biology* **20**, 429–40.
- Meissburger B., Stachorski L., Röder E., Rudofsky G. & Wolfrum C. (2011) Tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase 1 (*TIMP1*) controls adipogenesis in obesity in mice and in humans. *Diabetologia* **54**, 1468–79.
- Nezer C., Moreau L., Brouwers B., Coppeters W., Detilleux J., Hanset R., Karim L., Kvasz A., Leroy P. & Georges M. (1999) An imprinted QTL with major effect on muscle mass and fat deposition maps to the *IGF2* locus in pigs. *Nature Genetics* **21**, 155–6.
- Nyholt D.R. (2004) A simple correction for multiple testing for single-nucleotide polymorphisms in linkage disequilibrium with each other. *American Journal of Human Genetics* **74**, 765–9.
- Parker M.H., Perry R.L., Fauteux M.C., Berkes C.A. & Rudnicki M.A. (2006) MyoD synergizes with the E-protein HEB beta to induce myogenic differentiation. *Molecular Cellular Biology* **26**, 5771–83.
- Pérez R., Cañón J. & Dunner S. (2010) Genes associated with long-chain omega-3 fatty acids in bovine skeletal muscle. *Journal of Applied Genetics* **51**, 479–87.
- Puigserver P. & Spiegelman B.M. (2003) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma coactivator 1 alpha (PGC-1 alpha): transcriptional coactivator and metabolic regulator. *Endocrine Reviews* **24**, 78–90.
- Resconi V.C., Campo M.M., Montossi F., Ferreira V., Sañudo C. & Escudero A. (2010) Relationship between odour-active compounds and flavour perception in meat from lambs fed different diets. *Meat Science* **85**, 700–6.
- Rico-Bautista E., Greenhalgh C.J., Tollet-Egnell P., Hilton D.J., Alexander W.S., Norstedt G. & Flores-Morales A. (2005) *Suppressor of cytokine signaling-2* deficiency induces molecular and metabolic changes that partially overlap with growth hormone-dependent effects. *Molecular Endocrinology* **19**, 781–93.
- Sherman E.L., Nkrumah J.D., Murdoch B.M., Li C., Wang Z., Fu A. & Moore S.S. (2008) Polymorphisms and haplotypes in the bovine neuropeptide Y, growth hormone receptor, ghrelin, insulin-like growth factor 2, and uncoupling proteins 2 and 3 genes and their associations with measures of growth, performance, feed efficiency, and carcass merit in beef cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* **86**, 1–16.
- Simopoulos A.P. (1999) Essential fatty acids in health and chronic disease. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **70**, 560–9.
- Starr R., Willson T.A., Viney E.M. *et al.* (1997) A family of cytokine-inducible inhibitors of signalling. *Nature* **387**, 917–21.
- Stedman H., Browning K., Oliver N., Oronzi-Scott M., Fischbeck K., Sarkar S., Sylvester J., Schmickel R. & Wang K. (1988) *Nebulin* cDNAs detect a 25-kilobase transcript in skeletal muscle and localize to human chromosome 2. *Genomics* **2**, 1–7.
- Sun W.B., Chen H., Lei X.Q., Lei C.Z., Zhang Y.H., Li R.B., Zan L.S. & Hu S.R. (2003) Polymorphisms of *insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3* gene and its associations with several carcass traits in Qinchuan cattle. *Yi Chuan* **25**, 511–16.
- Thaller G., Kühn C., Winter A., Ewald G., Bellmann O., Wegner J., Zühlke H. & Fries R. (2003) *DGATI*, a new positional and functional candidate gene for intramuscular fat deposition in cattle. *Animal Genetics* **34**, 354–7.



- Thompson P.N., van der Werf J.H., Heesterbeek J.A. & van Arendonk J.A. (2007) The *CHRNE* 470del20 mutation causing congenital myasthenic syndrome in South African Brahman cattle: prevalence, origin, and association with performance traits. *Journal of Animal Science* **85**, 604–9.
- Wang W., Meng Q., Hu X. & Li N. (2009) Genetic variation and association of *insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3* with performance in Swine. *Biochemical Genetics* **47**, 315–21.
- Wang Q., Wang M., Yang Y. & Pan Y. (2012) *SNPPATH*: characterizing cattle SNPs by enriched pathway terms. *Animal Science Journal* **83**, 279–83. <http://klab.sjtu.edu.cn/SNPpath>.
- Williams J.L., Dunner S., Valentini A. *et al.* (2009) Discovery, characterization and validation of single nucleotide polymorphisms within 206 bovine genes that may be considered as candidate genes for beef production and quality. *Animal Genetics* **40**, 486–91.
- Zembayashi M., Nishimura K., Lunt D.K. & Smith S.B. (1995) Effect of breed type and sex on the fatty acid composition of subcutaneous and intramuscular lipids of finishing steers and heifers. *Journal of Animal Science* **73**, 3325–32.

## Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

**Table S1** Phenotypes measured on 436 purebred bulls belonging to 15 cattle breeds.

**Table S2** Haplotype frequencies of those genes with two or more markers for which a strong association was found with particular traits.

**Table S3** A) Mean and standard deviation for the traits associated to different genes in 15 cattle breeds; B) Main differences (in bold) in lipid profiles among breeds. Values are expressed as means  $\pm$  SE.

**Table S4** Allele frequencies per breed of 26 polymorphisms found to be individually associated to different lipid and organoleptic traits.

**Table S5** Gene pathway annotations.